

Nos. 23-77 and 24-77 are tentatively set for hearing on July 6 and July 20, 1977. Applications for must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - TUESDAY - JUNE 21, 1977

10:00 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM,  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 5960: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Corinne Grace and all other interested parties to appear and show cause why the following wells should not be shut-in until they can be operated in such a manner as to prevent injury to neighboring leases or properties, and to protect against contamination of fresh water supplies:

Humble Grace Com Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 2, and  
Panagra Com Well No. 1 located in Unit B of Section 11, both in  
Township 23 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 5961: Application of D. L. Hannifin for amendment of Order No. R-4432, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-4432 to remove the present operator of the pooled proration unit comprising the S/2 of Section 24, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, South Carlsbad-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to designate applicant as operator of said unit.

\*\*\*\*\*

Docket No. 22-77

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JUNE 22, 1977

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM,  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 5962: Application of Amoco Production Company for a non-standard proration unit, unorthodox locations, and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 320-acre non-standard proration unit comprising Lots 9, 10, 15 and 16 and the SE/4 of Section 2, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be simultaneously dedicated to applicant's State "C" Tract 11 Wells Nos. 1 and 5 located at unorthodox locations in Units X and I, respectively, of said Section 2.

CASE 5963: Application of Amoco Production Company for a non-standard proration unit and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 240-acre non-standard proration unit comprising the SW/4 and W/2 SE/4 of Section 22, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be simultaneously dedicated to applicant's Gilluly B Federal Lease Well Nos. 7 and 16 at unorthodox locations in Units K and M of said Section 22, respectively.

CASE 4962: (Reopened)

In the matter of Case 4962 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-4538 which order established temporary special pool rules for the Peterson-Pennsylvanian Associated Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said temporary special pool rules should not be rescinded.

CASE 5964: Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company, Inc., for non-standard proration units and unorthodox gas well locations, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for two 160-acre non-standard gas proration units comprising the NW/4 of Section 9, and the SW/4 of Section 11, dedicated respectively, to its State "A" A/c-2 Wells Nos. 63 and 62, at unorthodox locations 990 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the West line of Section 9 and 1930 feet from the South line and 2310 feet from the West line of Section 11, all in Township 22 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 5965: Application of Layton Enterprises, Inc., for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete its Elkins State Well No. 1, located in Unit N of Section 1, Township 7 South, Range 28 East, Chaves County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Cisco formation thru tubing in the production casing and to dispose of produced salt water down the production/intermediate casing annulus into the San Andres and Yeso formations thru the open-hole interval from 1861 feet to approximately 5000 feet.

CASE 5966: Application of J. R. Cone for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Blinbry and Tubb production in the wellbore of his Eubanks Well No. 2 located in Unit L of Section 14, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 5967: Application of Belco Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Morrow formation underlying all of Section 8 and in the other Pennsylvanian formations underlying the E/2 of said Section 8, Township 24 South, Range 35 East, Cinta Roja-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 5968: Application of Sun Oil Company for downhole commingling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Drinkard and Tubb production in the wellbore of its Elliott A Well No. 2 located in Unit H of Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 5969: Application of Getty Oil Company for an exception to Rule 104(C), Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 104(C) to permit its Mexico "L" Wells Nos. 3 and 24, located in Unit B of Section 5, Township 25 South, Range 38 East, Dollarhide-Fusselman Field, Lea County, New Mexico, to be located closer than 330 feet to each other.

CASE 5953: (Continued from June 8, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc., for amendment of Order No. R-5384, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-5384 to extend the injection interval in its Hobbs Salt Water Disposal Well No. 16 located in Unit P of Section 16, Township 19 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to include the total open-hole section from 4176 feet to 10,008 feet.

CASE 5954: (Continued from June 8, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Caultkins Oil Company for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Pictured Cliffs and Chacra production in the wellbore of its Breech Well No. 368 located in Unit I of Section 23, Township 26 North, Range 7 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

CASE 5956: (Continued from June 8, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Continental Oil Company for a non-standard proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the E/2 NE/4, NW/4 NE/4, and NE/4 SE/4 of Section 27, Township 20 South, Range 38 East, Warren-Tubb Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Warren Unit Well No. 42 located in Unit I of said Section 27.

CASE 5970: Application of Texaco Inc., for statutory unitization, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order unitizing, for the purpose of pressure maintenance, all mineral interests in the Grayburg-San Andres formation underlying the following-described lands, Vacuum-Grayburg-San Andres Pool, Lea County, New Mexico:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST

Section 25: SE/4 NE/4 and S/2  
Section 36: All

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST

Section 30: All  
Section 31: N/2, SW/4, and SW/4 SE/4

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST

Section 12: N/2 NE/4

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST

Section 6: All  
Section 7: NW/4 and NW/4 NE/4

Among the matters to be considered at the hearing will be the necessity of unit operations; the designation of a unit operator; the determination of the horizontal and vertical limits of the unit area; the determination of a fair, reasonable, and equitable allocation of production and costs of production, including capital investment, to each of the various tracts in the unit area; the determination of credits and charges to be made among the various owners in the unit area for their investment in wells and equipment; and such other matters as may be necessary and appropriate for carrying on efficient unit operations, including, but not-necessarily limited to, unit voting procedures, selection, removal, or substitution of unit operator, and time of commencement and termination of unit operations.

The following two cases are being reopened with respect to McKinley County only.

CASE 5942: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rules 1115 of the Commission Rules and Regulations to provide for the reporting of salt water disposal, secondary recovery, and other injection well volumes and pressures on Commission Form C-115, Operator's Monthly Report. Further to be considered will be the amendment of Form C-115 and the deletion of Rule 1120.

CASE 5943: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider adoption of the following:

1. Rule 407, requiring notice to the Commission by the operator of the disconnection of any gas wells from intrastate transportation facilities.
2. Rule 1130, setting forth the requirements of the notice of disconnection.
3. Form C-130, NOTICE OF DISCONNECTION, requiring notice of the reason(s) for disconnection.