

Johnson, Roy

From: Danny_Holcomb@oxy.com
Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2002 3:36 PM
To: REJOHNSON@state.nm.us
Subject: RE: Siphon String Tests

Here you go:

BDCDGU 2134-132P

11/5/02 - R 2 3/8" plastic coated seating nipple, 77 jts of 2 3/8" FG tubing, 1ea 2 3/8" FG sub (10'), 1 ea 2 3/8" chrome sub, SN landed at 2314' (PBDT = 2320')

BDCDGU 2234-213D

11/6/02 - R 2 3/8" plastic coated seating nipple, 80 jts of 2 3/8" FG tubing, 1 ea 2 3/8" chrome sub, SN landed at 2382' (PBDT = 2396')

If you need anything else, please let me know.
Thanks,
Danny

-----Original Message-----

From: Johnson, Roy [mailto:REJOHNSON@state.nm.us]
Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2002 2:58 PM
To: 'Danny_Holcomb@oxy.com'
Subject: RE: Siphon String Tests

An e-mail will do.

ROY

-----Original Message-----

From: Danny_Holcomb@oxy.com [mailto:Danny_Holcomb@oxy.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 07, 2002 1:56 PM
To: REJOHNSON@state.nm.us
Subject: Siphon String Tests

Roy,
We just ran a string of 2 3/8" FG tubing (without packers) in two FG cased wells to test the siphon string concept. These wells are slightly wet and we hope to increase production by producing up the FG casing to FG tubing annulus and use the tubing string to sweep water to surface occasionally. If that doesn't work, we can produce up both the FG tbg and FG casing simultaneously.

Do we need to send you a C-103 for this work or is an email with tubing depths sufficient? Please advise.
Thanks,
Danny