OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

December 3, 1951

Sunol Oil Company 478 South First Street San Jose, California

Attention: Mr. D. L. Wade

Gentlemen: RE: Sunol Oil Co. \$10,000.00 one-well bond; 32-78-11E. Lot 64: Hartford Accident & Indemnity

Following the hearing of Case 276 whereby the Oil Conservation Commission of New Maxico eited T. W. Bailes to show cause why he should not plug the San Clemente Well No. 1, which is now covered by the above-captioned bond, the Commission allowed your company to post said bond and assume responsibility for the well. Approval date on this bond was September 28, 1951.

It is our information that there has been no further activity at this well. In view of this situation I would suggest that your company, unless they have immediate plans to renew drilling, make application to the Commission for temporary abandonment of the San Clemente Well No. 1. Under the provisions of Rule 202, subsection (c), when drilling operations have been suspended for 60 days the well shall be plugged and abandoned unless a permit for temporary abandonment shall be obtained from the Commission.

If it is planned to renew drilling on this well, it would be to your advantage to apply for permission for temporary abandonment, in accordance with Rule 202. Otherwise it will be necessary to call another hearing in this matter, since your responsibility for this well had been in effect for 60 days as of November 28.

Very truly yours,

Jason Kellahin, Attorney

JKinr

il.