

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OFFICE OF STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

November 19, 1951

Mr. Clyde B. Neill
Drawer #668
Springer, New Mexico

Re: Neill and Steffan
Sauble #2 and 3, NENE
Section 3, 26 N - 24 E.

Dear Sir:

We have your telegram of November 9, as well as your letter of November 12, wherein you have kept us well informed on your progress at the above captioned wells. Thank you for your cooperation.

In checking our files on these two wells, I note that your Notices of Intention to Plug on Oil Conservation Commission Form C-102, dated May 16, 1950, were never approved due to lack of detail in your proposed plan of work and your original plans for protecting all water sands. To bring our files up to date and facilitate your subsequent filing of the final plugging report, Form C-103, please submit to this office Form C-102 in triplicate in which you state the proposed plan of work including a clause relative to your protection of water zones exposed in the wells. You are now prepared to submit the final plugging report and anxious to get this all cleared up, but to abide with the Commission Rules and Regulations, Form C-102, duly presented and approved must supercede the plugging report.

I am enclosing copies of your Forms C-102 so that you may augment and clarify per our specifications. Please submit the final plugging report simultaneously with the above information.

Very truly yours,

E. A. Chávez
Geologist

EAC:lh
encs. Forms C-102-3

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OFFICE OF STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

November 14, 1951

Mr. Clyde W. Hall
Denver 4004
Springer, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Hall:
Enclosed for you are two copies of a letter from the
State Geologist, Santa Fe, New Mexico, dated November 14, 1951.

Very truly yours,

We have your letter of November 9, as well as your letter of November 14, wherein you have asked me to advise you as to the status of the above mentioned wells. Thank you for your cooperation.

In checking our files on these two wells, I have found that the intention to drill on the Conservation Commission Form 6-102, dated May 15, 1950, were never approved due to lack of data in your proposed plan of work and your proposed plan for protecting all water rights. No drilling was done on these two wells and the Commission Form 6-102, dated May 15, 1950, was never approved. The final drilling report, Form 6-102, dated May 15, 1950, was never approved. In the final report, Form 6-102, dated May 15, 1950, you stated that you proposed plan of work included a series of tests to determine the production of water from the wells. You also proposed to submit the final drilling report and suggest a new plan of work to the Commission. The Commission has not yet approved the final report, Form 6-102, dated May 15, 1950, but for certain and approved plans supervised the drilling report.

I am enclosing copies of your letter Form 6-102 as they were approved and clarify your own understanding. Please advise me if you are planning report simultaneously with the above mentioned.

Very truly yours,

W. H. Hall
State Geologist

W. H. Hall
Form 6-102-3