

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OFFICE OF STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

April 9, 1951

Mr. Clyde B. Neill
P. O. Box 57
Springer, New Mexico

C Dear Mr. Neill:

This is in answer to your letter of March 25, 1951.

O We have not yet accumulated sufficient information on the Silverstein and Legerwood wells to justify calling them in for a hearing, but this we intend to do as soon as we have sufficiently investigated these wells.

P Regarding the location of the Adams and McGahey well, I would suggest that you have your surveyor make a certified plat as to his findings, as no one in this office is a registered surveyor. However, I still intend to become more familiar with the situation in Harding County, but have not had the time or the opportunity to do this yet.

Y I have consulted with Spurrier and our attorney, Mr. Graham who inform me that the state does not care to enter into your controversy with Adams regarding the meter readings.

It would not be possible for me to make any statements of any consequence regarding the meter reading of the well or wells in question unless I had spent more time at the location as I am not familiar with the type of meter they have or the significance of the readings on the chart. I would suggest in this regard that you consult an engineer who could inform you as to the accuracy of the readings and charts. Before any statement as to volume could be made with any accuracy it would be necessary to make a 24 hour chart of the period for which the volume computations are desired. I doubt if just one chart would have real value.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OFFICE OF STATE GEOLOGIST
(SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO)

April 9, 1900

Mr. J. H. Bell
P. O. Box 17
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Bell:

I have received your letter of March 22, 1900.

I have also your second letter, which contains information on the same subject, and I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time. I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time.

I have also your letter of March 22, 1900, and I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time. I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time.

I have also your letter of March 22, 1900, and I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time. I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time.

I have also your letter of March 22, 1900, and I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time. I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OFFICE OF STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

I do not believe that the Commission could do anything regarding your collecting damages from Adam and McGahey should they be crowding your lease line, but if you would care to petition the commission for a hearing I believe the commission would schedule such a hearing and make whatever decision the evidence in the hearing might show regarding the protection of correlative rights.

Trusting that this answers your questions satisfactorily.

Sincerely yours,

ELVIS A. UTZ,
Gas Engineer

C

O

P

Y

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OFFICE OF STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

I am in receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. regarding the matter of the
discovery of the Adams and Van Hook mines. I am sorry to hear that
you were unable to obtain the necessary information from the Adams and Van Hook
mines. I am sorry to hear that you were unable to obtain the necessary information
from the Adams and Van Hook mines. I am sorry to hear that you were unable to
obtain the necessary information from the Adams and Van Hook mines.

I am sorry to hear that you were unable to obtain the necessary information
from the Adams and Van Hook mines.

Very truly yours,

W. A. HARRIS
State Geologist