

MULTIPOINT AND ONE POINT BACK PRESSURE TEST FOR GAS WELL

Form C-122
Revised 9-1-65

Type Test ☒ Initial ☐ Annual ☐ Special		Test Date 6-26-87	
Company Amoco Production Company		Connection	
Pool Bravo Dome		Formation Tubb	
Completion Date 4-29-81		Total Depth 2802	Plug Back TD 2761
Elevation 4542		Farm or Lease Name	
Csg. Size 5.50	Wt. 14	d 4.9	Set At 2802
Perforations: From 2141 To 2188		Well No. 1935-111G	
Tub. Size 2.875	Wt. 6.5	d 2.441	Set At 2138
Perforations: From To		Unit G 11 19 35	
Type Well - Single - Standhead - G.G. or G.O. Multiple Single		Packer Set At 2100	County Union
Producing Thru Tubing	Reservoir Temp. °F 90	Mean Annual Temp. °F 50	Baro. Press. - P _g 12.25
State New Mexico			
L	H	Cg	% CO ₂ 100
			% N ₂ 0
			% H ₂ S. 0
Prover		Meter Run 4.0	Taps Flange

FLOW DATA						TUBING DATA		CASING DATA		Duration of Flow
NO.	Prover Line Size	X	Orifice Size	Press. p.s.i.g.	Diff. h _w	Temp. °F	Press. p.s.i.g.	Temp. °F	Press. p.s.i.g.	
1.	4.026 x	1.50	218	31	60	219	60	0		24 hrs
2.	4.026 x	1.50	228	26	62	228	62	0		24 hrs
3.	4.026 x	1.50	243	15	61	244	61	0		24 hrs
4.	4.026 x	1.50	259	1	60	258	60	0		24 hrs
5.										

RATE OF FLOW CALCULATIONS							
NO.	Coefficient (24 Hour)	$\sqrt{h_w P_m}$	Pressure P _m	Flow Temp. Factor F _L	Gravity Factor F _g	Super Compress. Factor, F _{pv}	Rate of Flow Q, Mcd
1.							778
2.							736
3.							563
4.							245
5.							

NO.	P _t	Temp. °R	Z	Gas Liquid Hydrocarbon Ratio	A.P.I. Gravity of Liquid Hydrocarbons	Specific Gravity Separator Gas	Specific Gravity Flowing Fluid	Critical Pressure	Critical Temperature
1.				0		1.529	XXXXXX	1072	496
2.									
3.									
4.									
5.									

NO.	P _t ²	P _w	P _w ²	P _t ² - P _w ²
1.	287.25	230.25	53,015	29,496
2.		240.25	57,720	24,792
3.		255.25	65,152	17,359
4.		271.25	73,576	8,935
5.				

(1) $\frac{P_t^2}{P_t^2 - P_w^2} = 2.7974$

(2) $\left[\frac{P_t^2}{P_t^2 - P_w^2} \right]^n = 2.7054$

AOF = Q $\left[\frac{P_t^2}{P_t^2 - P_w^2} \right]^n = 2104$

Absolute Open Flow 2104 Mcd @ 15.025

Angle of Slope θ 44.0537

Slope, n 0.9675

Remarks: Test was run from a low flowing tubing pressure to a high flowing tubing pressure to minimize liquid loading effects.

Approved by Commission:	Conducted by: RANDY MAHANNAH	Calculated by: RICHARD ROETH	Checked by:
-------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------