

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

(Submit to appropriate District Office as per Commission Rule 1106)

RECEIVED

JAN 8

FORM C-103
(Rev 3-55)

DISTRICT OFFICE OCC

O. O.

ARTESIA, OFFICE

Name of Company Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Company		Address Box 100, JAN Hobbs, New Mexico			
Lease N. Bodd "A"	Well No. 18	Unit Letter H	Section 27-14	Township 18S 17S	Range 29N
Date Work Performed 12-19-59	Pool Grayburg Jackson		County Bddy		

THIS IS A REPORT OF: (Check appropriate block)

- ☐ Beginning Drilling Operations
 ☐ Casing Test and Cement Job
 ☐ Other (Explain):
- ☐ Plugging
 ☒ Remedial Work

Detailed account of work done, nature and quantity of materials used, and results obtained.

Pulled rods and tubing. Perforated 2 holes per foot various sections between 2408' and 3210', total of 124 holes. Ran 3 1/2" tubing and set retrievable packer 3234' and packer at 2352'. Acid fractured with 63,000 gallons 3% acid and 25,000# sand in 5 stages using 40 RS nylon balls after first 4 stages. Pulled packers and reran tubing and pump. Production increased from 3 to 48 BOPD.

Witnessed by C. N. Moherly	Position Deval Foreman	Company Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Company
--------------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------

FILL IN BELOW FOR REMEDIAL WORK REPORTS ONLY

ORIGINAL WELL DATA

D F Elev. 3593	T D 3311	P B T D	Producing Interval 3241-3311 (orig OH)	Completion Date July '56
Tubing Diameter 2"	Tubing Depth 3263'	Oil String Diameter 5 1/2"	Oil String Depth 3241'	

Perforated Interval(s)

3241-3311

Open Hole Interval

3241-3311

Producing Formation(s)

Koolay (original) Grayburg/San Andres (new)

RESULTS OF WORKOVER

Test	Date of Test	Oil Production BPD	Gas Production MCFPD	Water Production BPD	GOR Cubic feet/Bbl	Gas Well Potential MCFPD
Before Workover	12-1-59	3		0		
After Workover	12-31-59	48	935	3 BAW	1950	

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Approved by <i>M. L. Armstrong</i>	Name <i>R. E. Statton</i>
Title OIL AND GAS INSPECTOR	Position R. E. Statton District Engineer
Date JAN 8 1960	Company Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Company

OIL COMPANIES COMMISSION

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of a 12-week, low-intensity, low-impact, and low-volume exercise program on the physical fitness of sedentary, middle-aged women. The study was a randomized, controlled trial. The subjects were 30 sedentary, middle-aged women who were randomly assigned to either an exercise group or a control group. The exercise group performed a 12-week, low-intensity, low-impact, and low-volume exercise program. The control group did not exercise. The physical fitness of the subjects was measured at baseline and at the end of the 12-week period. The results of the study showed that the exercise group had significantly higher levels of physical fitness than the control group at the end of the 12-week period. The exercise program was effective in improving the physical fitness of sedentary, middle-aged women.

16. Conclusions

3

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be answered. This involves understanding the context and the specific requirements of the task.

1. $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$

01242000 1

THE LAMP OF KNOWLEDGE

... ..

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group and the experimental group. The control group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group. The experimental group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group. The control group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group. The experimental group was divided into two subgroups: the control group and the experimental group.

Trial	Control	MCI	AD
1	95	85	75
2	95	85	75
3	95	80	70
4	95	78	68
5	95	75	65

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the experimental design. It shows a sequence of events: a subject is presented with a stimulus (a word), then a response is generated (a word), and finally, a feedback is provided (a word). The sequence is labeled with 'Stimulus', 'Response', and 'Feedback'.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. The control group received a standard training program, while the experimental group received a training program with a focus on the specific skills required for the task. The results of the training program were compared between the two groups.

1

—
