		cl
- <b>-</b> -	. 1	NM OIL CONS COMMISSION
and the second		Drawer DD
		Artesia, NM 88210 Artesia, NM AP ROVED
m 3160-5		Budget Bureau 1/0. 1004-0135
ne 1990)	NITED STATES	Expires: Mai :h 31, 1993
THE ADD ADD ADD THE INTEDIOD		5. Lease Designation at 1 Serial No.
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT		NM 2747
DOLLING		6. If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name
SUNDRY NOTIO	CES AND REPORT ON WELLS	
Do not use this form for proposal	s to drill or to deepen or reentry to a different reservoir.	
Use "APPLICATION FOR PERMIT-" for such proposals		7. If Unit or CA,, Agn ement Designation
SUB	MIT IN TRIPLICATE	_
		8. Well Name and No.
[X] Oil Well [] Gas Well [] Other		Jackson B 36
Name of Operator		9. API Well No.
Burnett Oil Co., Inc.		
Address and Telephone No		10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
801 Cherry Street, Suite 1500, Fort Worth, TR 70102		Grayburg-Jackson
Location of Well (Footage, Sec., T., R., M., or Survey Description		11. County or Parish, state
Unit J, 1905' FEL, 2250' FSL, Sec. 24, T17S, R30E		Eddy Count /, New Mexico
2. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX(s)	TO INDICATE NATURE OF NOTICE, REPORT, OR	
TYPE OF SUBMISSION	TYPE OF ACTION	
[X] Notice of Intent	[] Abandonment	[X] Change of Plans
	[ ] Recompletion	[ ] New Construction
	[ ] Plugging Back	<ul> <li>[] Non-Routine Fracturing</li> <li>[] Water Shut-Off</li> </ul>
[ ] Subsequent Report	[ ] Casing Repair	
[ ] Subsequent Report	[ ] Altering Casing	[ ] Conversion to Inje tion
[ ] Subsequent Report		<ul> <li>[ ] Conversion to Inje tion</li> <li>[ ] Dispose Water</li> </ul>
[ ] Subsequent Report [ ] Final Abandonment Notice	[ ] Altering Casing	[ ] Conversion to Inje tion [ ] Dispose Water (Note: Report results of m ltiple completion on Well
[ ] Final Abandonment Notice	[ ] Altering Casing [ ] Other	<ol> <li>Conversion to Inje tion</li> <li>Dispose Water</li> <li>(Note: Report results of m ltiple completion on Well Completion or Recompletic 1 Report and Log form)</li> </ol>
[ ] Final Abandonment Notice	[ ] Altering Casing	<ol> <li>Conversion to Inje tion</li> <li>Dispose Water</li> <li>(Note: Report results of m ltiple completion on Well Completion or Recompletia Report and Log form)</li> </ol>

economically preclude cementing the production casing with enough cement to reach back through the washed out salt section to at least tie lack into the surface casing, enough cement will be placed around the production casing to reach at least 50' above the base of the salt section or (2) If, after drilling out from under the surface casing with brine gel, no fresh water flows are encountered before reaching TD, enough lement will be

(2) If, after drilling out from under the surface casing with prine yer, no nesh water nows are chocumented points reasoning to the surface casing.

signed the Mohaul Title	Production Superintendent	Date 5/5/ 94
(This space for Federal or State office Use) Approved by <u>Jog Signed by Shannon J. Shaw</u> Title Conditions of approval, if any:	Potesteur Enchana	Date 5/31/94

CCCC

REDEIVED

April 18, 1994

•

Apr 21 10 23 AH '94

## OAT AREL

Bureau of Land Management Attention: Shannon Shaw P.O. Box 1778 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220

Re: Pending Applications for Permits to Drill: Jackson B # 36, Gissler B # 30 Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Shannon:

After submitting the referenced APDs to the BLM on February 11, 1994, I was out of the office due to illnes: from that time until last week. Apparently, approval of the APDs has been held up pending receipt of the archaeological clearance reports, which you should have now, according to word just received. During my absence [ believe you spoke with our Jim Arline regarding the heigh: of the cement behind the production casing needing to be up in the surface pipe. I was not aware of such a requirement; rather, as stipulated, we have been bringing the production string cement column to at least 600' above highest potential pay section, which would b∍ the approximately at the base of the salt section at around 1250'. Previous to this requirement, we had been bringing the cement up into the salt section at least 50', which amounts to about the same thing. If the requirement now is to bring cement back into the surface pipe, which has always been set above the salt section at about 500' to protect any fresh water (none), a severe economic penalty would be placed on us if, as expected, waterflows are uncovered at the +/- 2600' depth which normally are fresh enough to dissolve several thousand cubic feet of salt from the uncemented portion of the hole, resulting in a tremendous amount of cement being required to reach the surface pipe. The capacity of the open hole through the salt section will be directly proportional to the elapsed time necessary to drill the remainder of the hole below the waterflow and the rate and salinity of the flow. We have no way of estimating the amount of cement which would be required to fill this void. We have always successfully confined the high pressure waterflows to their point of origin in the hole by additional and usually costly

BURNETT OIL CO., INC.