HOBBS CEFTEE CCC

1980 FEB 24 FM 3 41

P.O. Box 352 Midland, Texas

February 20, 1960

New Mexico Gil Conservation Commission P.O. Box 2045 Hobbs, New Mexico

> Re: Completion, TEXACO Inc. U.S.A. -Federal Well No. 1 Culwin Queen Pool Unit "C", Section 6, T-19-S, R-31-E Eddy County, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Jos D. Ramey

Gentlemen:

Attached are packer setting affidavit, packer leakage test, and diagrammatic sketch of equipment installation for TELACO Inc. U.S.A.-Federal Well No. 1, Culwin Queen Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Approval to dually complete the subject well in the Culwin Queen and Culwin Yates Pools was granted by Order No. DC-874, dated December 30, 1959. The Yates formation was water productive, therefore the well was singly completed in the Culwin Queen Peol. A Baker Model "D" permanent-type packer had been set between the Zones prior to determining that the Tates would produce only water; therefore, due to the expense involved, it was decided to not squeese off these perforations. Two tubing strings are still in the well and two pumping units installed; however, it is our plan to salvage the short tubing string to the Tates formation at the time the necessity arises to pull the long tubing string to the Queen completion.

Yours very truly,

J. G. Bleving, Jr.

Assistant District Superintendent

JGB, JT-LAY Attachments

14 8 41 1 2 2 41

and the second s

boli putation ef

ఈ ఉండు దారిషి సారాజంగా ప్రార్థి చెలుకేంది. ఉంటింటి చినిపేస్ ఈ చాడ్ ఉంది ఉండిపేరు

ೆ. ್ಕೇಟ್ರ್ಯೆ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ

seburan se proves a serve more de constructiones de la constructione de la constructione de la constructione d Alta company esta per esta se la constructione de la constructione

TOPASSO SERVICE STATES

に通過費品**。** 同意の現代費用です。 This form is <u>not</u> to be used for reporting packer leakage tests in Northwest New Mexico

•

NI MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISS

FEB 2 9 1960

SOUTHEAST	NUM	MEYTCO	PACKER	LEAKAGE	ፐድናፐ
SOUTHEAST	NEW	MEY TOO	PACKER	LEANAGE	LCGI

		SOUTHEAS	ST NEW MEXICO PA	UKER LEANAGE TEST	D . 1	G. G.
Operator	TEXACO	Inc.	Leas U.	e S. AFederab	ARIES	Wellfice No. 1
Location of Well		Sec 6	Twp 19-8	Rge 31-E	County	ddy
OI WEIT	Name of Rese	rvoir or Pool	Type of Prod (Oil or Gas)	Method AT FIB	2Rroff Modium (Tbg or Csg)	Choke Size
Upper Compl	Culwin (Y		100% water	Art. Lift	Thg.	
Lower Compl	Culwin (Q	ueen)	011	Art. Lift	Tog	

FLOW TEST NO. 1

Both zones shut-in at (hour, date): 10:30 AM, February 11, 19	50	
	Upper	Lower
Well opened at (hour, date): 10:15 AM, February 12, 1960	Completion	Completion
Indicate by (X) the zone producing	·····	
Pressure at beginning of test	<u>0 psi</u>	<u>361 psi</u>
Stabilized? (Yes or No)	<u>yes</u>	<u>_yes</u>
Maximum pressure during test	<u>8 psi</u>	362 ps1
Minimum pressure during test	<u>0 psi</u>	<u>361 pai</u>
Pressure at conclusion of test	<u>8 psi</u>	362 psi
Pressure change during test (Maximum minus Minimum)	<u>8 psi</u>	<u> </u>
Was pressure change an increase or a decrease?	ne Un	Increase
Well closed at (hour, date): 9:15 AN, February 13, 1960 Production	n 23 hours	
Oil Production Gas Production During Test: 0 bbls; Grav ; During Test TSTM		
Remarks Yates pumped 47 barrels of salt water and no oil.	NMOCC and off	set
operators notified by letter dated 2-9-60 prior to initi		
FLOW TEST NO. 2		
Well opened at (hour, date): 12:33 PM, February 13, 1960	Uppe r Completion	Lowe r Completion
Indicate by (X) the zone producing		x
Pressure at beginning of test	0 081	260
Stabilized? (Yes or No)		<u>362 psi</u>
	<u>yea</u>	<u>362 psi</u>
Maximum pressure during test		yea
Maximum pressure during test	<u>0 psi</u>	<u>yea</u> 362 pai
	<u>0 psi</u>	<u>yes</u> <u>362 psi</u> <u>0 psi</u>
Minimum pressure during test	0 psi	<u>yes</u> <u>362 psi</u> <u>0 psi</u> <u>41 psi</u>
Minimum pressure during test Pressure at conclusion of test Pressure change during test (Maximum minus Minimum) Was pressure change an increase or a decrease?	No change	<u>yes</u> 362 psi 0 psi 41 psi 362 psi
Minimum pressure during test Pressure at conclusion of test Pressure change during test (Maximum minus Minimum) Was pressure change an increase or a decrease? Well closed at (hour, date) <u>12:33 PM. February 14.</u> 19Production 50	<u>O psi</u> <u>O psi</u> <u>O psi</u> <u>No change</u> on	<u>yes</u> <u>362 psi</u> <u>0 psi</u> <u>41 psi</u> <u>362 psi</u> <u>Decrease</u>
Minimum pressure during test Pressure at conclusion of test Pressure change during test (Maximum minus Minimum) Was pressure change an increase or a decrease? Total time	<u>0 psi</u> <u>0 psi</u> <u>0 psi</u> <u>0 psi</u> <u>No change</u> on <u>No change</u> <u>1 24 hours</u>	<u>yes</u> <u>362 psi</u> <u>0 psi</u> <u>41 psi</u> <u>362 psi</u> <u>Decrease</u>

I hereby certify that the information herein contained is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Approved	19
New Mexico Oil Conservation	Commission
By MLarmstrong	
Title	
V——	

Operator	TEXACO	Inc	
By Sil	liam	Plant	

Title___Petroleum Engineer____ Date____February 19,1960

. ≹_____

intervention

vitre stall be continuous design of the accuracy vitre accuracy vitre accuracy vitre accuracy vitre accuracy vitre accuracy	16
 a state of the st	4.1
t Portant turne ar y 	r the of
ng 'n en allerse besker aver anderse treppersenterse treppersenterse	dead-
The second s Second second	1 - 124 - 1

· _--- ·

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

•

PACKER_SETTING AFFIDAVIT
(Dual Completions)
STATE OF New Mexico)ss
County of <u>Eddy</u>)
James M. Franklin , being first duly sworn according to law, upon his oath deposes and says:
That he is of lawful age and has full knowledge of the facts herein below set out.
That he is employed by TEXACO Inc. in the capacity
of Petroleum Kngineer and as such is its authorized agent.
That on December 19, 1959, he personally supervised the setting of
a <u>Baker Model "D" Packer</u> in <u>TEXACO Inc.</u> 's (Make and Type of Packer) (Operator)
U.S.A. FederalWell No, located in Unit
(lease) Letter <u>C</u> , Section <u>6</u> , Township <u>19-S</u> , Range <u>31-E</u> , NMPM,
Eddy County, New Mexico.
That said packer was set at a subsurface depth offeet,
said depth measurement having been furnished by Ram Guns, Inc.
That the purpose of setting this packer was to effect a seal in the annular space between the two strings of pipe where the packer was set so as to prevent the commingling, within the well-bore, of fluids produced from a stratum below the packer with fluids produced from a stratum above the packer. That this packer was properly set and that it did, when set, effectively and absolutely seal off the annular space between the two strings of pipe where it was set in such manner as that it prevented any movement of fluids across the packer.

	TEXACO Inc. (Company)
	(its Agent)
Subscribed and sworn to before me this th	day of <u>dw.</u> , AD,
19 <u>60</u> .	autrey b. Williams
	Notary Public in and for the County of
My Commission Expires	<u>d</u> .

. :

DE

_.

lemo From M. L. Armstrong Supervisor District #2 Jo Dom Form only signing the some That Some sending to you. Hit is lik, to sign que Prow Mase Temo From Daniel S. Nutter Chief Engineer To more the your note on the Texaco dual completion. The packer leakage test appears to be OK. OK to go ahead and approve it and distribu













. A' (

* *