

(7) That potential oil and gas zones should be tested/produced before said well is utilized as a salt water disposal well.

(8) That the application in Case 7925 should be granted.

(9) That no action should be taken in Case 7914 until the potential for the production of oil and gas in said well has been analyzed.

(10) That the proposed 320-acre spacing unit would apply to and should only be approved in the Wolfcamp, Pennsylvanian and Mississippian formations.

(11) That a standard oil spacing unit in the Cisco-Canyon formation (Upper Pennsylvanian) or a shallow gas proration unit would consist of the 160 acres being the NE/4 of said Section 22.

(12) That a standard oil spacing unit in any other formation would consist of 40 acres being the SW/4 NE/4 of said Section 22.

(13) That a 40-acre oil spacing unit, a 160-acre shallow gas or Cisco-Canyon formation oil spacing unit, and a 320-acre Wolfcamp-Mississippian gas spacing unit should each be pooled as described in the three preceding findings.

(14) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration units who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(15) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said units the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the oil and/or gas in any appropriate pool covered by said units, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said units.

(16) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and units.

(17) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.