

**NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**
P. O. BOX 1044
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

GAS SUPPLEMENT NO. (NEW) (SE) AR 56 DATE 3/30/66

NOTICE OF WELL CONNECTION OR AUTHORITY TO ASSIGN ALLOWABLE
ALL VOLUMES EXPRESSED IN MCF

The operator of the following well has complied with all the requirements of the Oil Conservation Commission and may be assigned an allowable as shown below.

Date of Connection 3/14/66 Date of First Allowable or Allowable Change 3/14/66
Purchaser Marathon Pool Indian Basin-Upper Penn. Gas
Operator Ralph Lamm Lease Indian Basin "C"
Well No. 3 Unit Letter K Sec. 23 Twp. 21 Rnge. 23
Dedicated Acreage 640 Revised Acreage _____ Difference _____
Acreage Factor 1.00 Revised Acreage Factor _____ Difference _____
Deliverability _____ Revised Deliverability _____ Difference _____
A x D Factor _____ Revised A x D Factor _____ Difference _____

M. L. Armstrong
SUPERVISOR, DISTRICT 2 *By M. L. B.*

New Connection

RECALCULATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWABLE

MONTH	% OF MO.	ALLOWABLE DIFFERENCE	MONTH	% OF MO.	ALLOWABLE DIFFERENCE
JANUARY			JULY		
FEBRUARY			AUGUST		
MARCH	<u>.5006</u>	<u>55090</u>	SEPTEMBER		
APRIL		<u>50582</u>	OCTOBER		
MAY			NOVEMBER		
JUNE			DECEMBER		

TOTAL AMOUNT OF (Cancelled or Additional) ALLOWABLE 106472

PREVIOUS APRIL MONTH NET ALLOW. -0- REVISED APRIL MONTH NET ALLOW. 55090

PREVIOUS MAY MONTH CURRENT ALLOW. -0- REVISED MAY MONTH CURRENT ALLOW. 50582

EFFECTIVE IN THE JUNE MONTH PRORATION SCHEDULE.

REMARKS: _____ **RECEIVED**

NOTICE OF SHUT-IN

APR 8 1966

The following described well has been Shut-in for Failure of Compliance:

Purchaser _____ Pool _____ Date _____
Operator _____ Lease _____
Well No. _____ Unit Letter _____ Sec. _____ Twp. _____ Rnge. _____
Effective date of Shut-in _____ Reason for Shut-In _____

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Director

By _____

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
RESEARCH REPORT

1. The first part of the report describes the experimental work carried out during the year 1955. The results of the experiments are presented in the form of tables and graphs. The second part of the report discusses the theoretical aspects of the problem and compares the experimental results with the theoretical predictions. The third part of the report contains a summary of the work and a list of references.

2. The experimental work was carried out in the Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago. The results of the experiments are presented in the form of tables and graphs. The theoretical aspects of the problem are discussed in the second part of the report. The experimental results are compared with the theoretical predictions. The summary of the work and the list of references are given in the third part of the report.

3. The experimental work was carried out in the Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago. The results of the experiments are presented in the form of tables and graphs. The theoretical aspects of the problem are discussed in the second part of the report. The experimental results are compared with the theoretical predictions. The summary of the work and the list of references are given in the third part of the report.

4. The experimental work was carried out in the Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago. The results of the experiments are presented in the form of tables and graphs. The theoretical aspects of the problem are discussed in the second part of the report. The experimental results are compared with the theoretical predictions. The summary of the work and the list of references are given in the third part of the report.

5. The experimental work was carried out in the Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago. The results of the experiments are presented in the form of tables and graphs. The theoretical aspects of the problem are discussed in the second part of the report. The experimental results are compared with the theoretical predictions. The summary of the work and the list of references are given in the third part of the report.