Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

## State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

12553	Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	OCD Received
39-20175	Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application	1-14-15
	Type of action:  Below grade tank registration	
	Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method	
	Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method	
	☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration ☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below.	ow-grade tank.
	or proposed alternative method	3 <b>g</b>
	Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative	2 request
Please he advise	d that approved of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water	r, ground water or the
environment. N	or does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rule	es, regulations or ordinances.
1. Operator: Bu	urlington Resources OGRID #:14538	
_	PO BOX 4289. Farmington, NM 87499	
	Il name: San Juan 28-4 Unit 33	
	3003920175 OCD Permit Number:	
	r <u>M (SWSW)</u> Section 33 Township 28N Range 4W County: Rio Arriba	
	posed Design: Latitude 36.61174 <u>N</u> Longitude <u>-107.26074 W</u> NAD: 1927 1983	
l .	er: Note Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	
2.		
Pit: Sub	section F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
   Temporary:	☐ Drilling ☐ Workover	
Permanen	t 🗌 Emergency 🔲 Cavitation 🔲 P&A 🔲 Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Flu	id ☐ yes ☐ no
Lined [	Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other	
String-Re	inforced	
1	☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L	x Wx D
3.  Relow-or	rade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Volume:	120bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water	
	uction material: Metal Metal	
	ry containment with leak detection  Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off	
	sidewalls and liner  Visible sidewalls only  Other	
I —	Thickness45mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☒ OtherLLDPE	_
Liner type:	Inickness 43 Inii Inii Inii Inii Inii Inii Inii Ini	
4.	N. Alexandre	
	ive Method:  an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for co	onsideration of approval
Submittal of	an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Sama Te Environmental Bureau office for ex	
5.	Description of the second state of the second	
	absection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	a school hospital
Chain lin	ık, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residenc r church)	г, ъснові, ногрнаі,
	t height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
☐ Alternate	e. Please specify	

6.  Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Variances and Exceptions:  Variances and Exceptions:  Ustifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.  Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	otable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.  - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; ☑ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	] Yes □ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	] Yes □ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	] Yes ∏ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NM Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docur attached.  Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Nmac Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:  or Permit Number:	NMAC 5.17.9 NMAC
11.  Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu attached.  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design)  API Number:  or Permit Number:	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) Art Indinoct.	

12. Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the de-	ocuments are
attached.  Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	1
Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Ouglity Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan	
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H <sub>2</sub> S, Prevention Plan  Emergency Response Plan	
☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan	
Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Flu	nid Management Pit
Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal  Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)	
On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)  In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial  Alternative Closure Method	
<ul> <li>☑ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>☑ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>☑ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)</li> <li>☑ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>☑ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>☑ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. P. 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	ce material are lease refer to
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	│
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.	
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure p by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17  Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards can Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	7.11 NMAC 9.15.17.11 NMAC
17.  Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and be	
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
18. OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) 【 Closure Plan (only) ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)	
18. OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) 【 Closure Plan (only) ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature:  Approval Date: 1	
18. OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) 【 Closure Plan (only) ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 1  Title: Environmental Specialist OCD Permit Number:  19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	/15/15
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature:  Title: Environmental Specialist  OCD Permit Number:  19.  Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not the closure activities.	/15/15
18.  OCD Approval: □ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☒ Closure Plan (only) □ OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature: □ Approval Date: □ 1  Title: □ Environmental Specialist □ OCD Permit Number: □ 1  19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do in section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.  □ Closure Completion Date: □ 5/22/13  □ Closure Method: □ Alternative Closure Method □ Waste Removal (Closed)	/15/15 ing the closure report. not complete this
18.   OCD Approval:   Permit Application (including closure plan)   Closure Plan (only)   OCD Conditions (see attachment)   OCD Representative Signature:   Approval Date:   1	/15/15 ing the closure report. not complete this
OCD Approval:  Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only)  OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature:	/15/15 ing the closure report. not complete this

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requires	report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and ments and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print): Kenny Davis	Title: Staff Regulatory Technician
Signature:	Date: <u>12/3/14</u>
e-mail address: kenny, r. davis@conocophillips.com	Telephone: <u>505-599-4045</u>

Form C-144

### Burlington Resources Oil Gas Company, LP San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: SJ 28-4 Unit 33

API No.: 3003920175

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

#### General Plan:

- 1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.
- 2. The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.
- 3. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

4. BR Will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

5. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

6. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. COPC shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.



7. A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached).

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.1	250

8. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

9. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- 10. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
  - i. Operator's name
  - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification is missing due to employee turnovers. ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to include the required 72 hour notification.

11. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner not found. COPC was not aware that the original notification sent at the time of Permitting was not the only closure notification required.

ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to include the required 72 hour notification.

12. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping, including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

13. BR Shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved

methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

14. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

- 15. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
  - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)
  - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
  - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
  - Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
  - Proof of closure notice (Included as an attachment)

Closure Documentation was not submitted within the 60 day requirement due to employee turnovers.

ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to ensure closure documentation is submitted with the 60 day time frame.



July 2, 2013

Lisa Hunter ConocoPhillips San Juan Business Unit Office 214-04 5525 Hwy 64 Farmington, New Mexico 87401 www.animasenvironmental.com

624 E. Comanche Farmington, NM 87401

505-564-2281

Durango, Colorado 970-403-3084

Via electronic mail to: SJBUE-Team@ConocoPhillips.com

**Below Grade Tank Closure Report** RE:

San Juan 28-4 #33

Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Hunter:

Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) is pleased to provide the final report associated with the below grade tank (BGT) closure at ConocoPhillips (CoP) San Juan 28-4 #33, located in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Tank removal had been completed by CoP contractors prior to AES' arrival at the location.

#### Site Information 1.0

#### 1.1 Location

Site Name – San Juan 28-4 #33

Legal Description - SW% SW%, Section 33, T28N, R4W, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico Well Latitude/Longitude - N36.61180 and W107.26144, respectively BGT Latitude/Longitude - N36.61185 and W107.26167, respectively

Land Jurisdiction – U.S. Forest Service

Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map

Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, May 2013

#### 1.2 NMOCD Ranking

Prior to site work, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) database was reviewed, and a C-144 form dated February 2009 for the San Juan 28-4 #33 reported the depth to groundwater as greater than 100 feet below ground surface (bgs). The New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) database was reviewed for nearby water wells, and no registered water wells were reported to be located within 1,000 feet of

the location. Additionally, Google Earth and the New Mexico Tech Petroleum Recovery Research Center online mapping tool (<a href="http://ford.nmt.edu/react/project.html">http://ford.nmt.edu/react/project.html</a>) were accessed to aid in the identification of downgradient surface water.

Once on site, AES personnel further assessed the ranking using topographical interpretation, Global Positioning System (GPS) elevation readings, and visual reconnaissance. AES personnel concluded that depth to groundwater at the site was greater than 100 feet bgs. A stock pond is located approximately 600 feet southeast of the location. Based on this information, the location was assessed a ranking score of 10.

#### 1.3 BGT Closure Assessment

AES was initially contacted by Freddie Martinez, CoP representative, on May 21, 2013, and on May 22, 2013, Heather Woods and Stephanie Lynn of AES mobilized to the location. AES personnel collected six soil samples from below the BGT liner. Four samples were collected from the perimeter of the BGT footprint, one sample was collected from the center of the BGT footprint, and one sample was composited from the four perimeter samples and one center sample.

#### 2.0 Soil Sampling

On May 22, 2013, AES personnel conducted field screening and collected five soil samples (S-1 through S-5) and one 5-point composite (SC-1) from below the BGT. Soil samples were collected from approximately 0.5 feet below the former BGT for field screening of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH). Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for chloride and was submitted for confirmation laboratory analysis. Soil sample locations are included on Figure 2.

#### 2.1 Field Screening

#### 2.1.1 Volatile Organic Compounds

A portion of each sample was utilized for field screening of VOC vapors with a photo-ionization detector (PID) organic vapor meter (OVM). Before beginning field screening, the PID-OVM was first calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas.

#### 2.1.2 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Soil samples were also analyzed in the field for TPH per USEPA Method 418.1 using a Buck Scientific Model HC-404 Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer Infrared Spectrometer (Buck). A 3-point calibration was completed prior to conducting soil analyses. Field analytical protocol followed AES's Standard Operating Procedure: Field Analysis Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons per EPA Method 418.1.

#### 2.1.3 Chlorides

Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for chlorides using Chloride Drop Count Titration with silver nitrate. Sampling and analysis methods followed procedures provided by Hach Company.

#### 2.2 Laboratory Analyses

The composite soil sample SC-1 collected for laboratory analysis was placed into a new, clean, laboratory-supplied container, which was then labeled, placed on ice, and logged onto a sample chain of custody record. The sample was maintained on ice until delivery to the analytical laboratory, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall), in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Soil sample SC-1 was laboratory analyzed for:

- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8021B; and
- Chloride per USEPA Method 300.0.

#### 2.3 Field and Laboratory Analytical Results

Field screening readings for VOCs via OVM ranged from 1.3 ppm in S-1 up to 2.1 ppm in S-3. Field TPH concentrations ranged from 43.1 mg/kg in S-3 up to 86.1 mg/kg in S-1. The field chloride concentration in SC-1 was 60 mg/kg. Field screening results are summarized in Table 1 and presented on Figure 2. The AES Field Screening Report is attached.

Table 1. Soil Field Screening VOCs, TPH, and Chloride Results

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth below BGT (ft)	VOCs OVM Reading (ppm)	Field TPH (mg/kg)	Field Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD Action I	evel (NMAC 19.	.15.17.13E)		100	250
S-1	5/22/13	0.5	1.3	86.1	NA
S-2	5/22/13	0.5	2.0	48.6	NA
S-3	5/22/13	0.5	2.1	43.1	NA
S-4	5/22/13	0.5	1.9	54.2	NA
S-5	5/22/13	0.5	1.7	51.4	NA
SC-1	5/22/13	0.5	NA	NA	60

NA - not analyzed

Laboratory analytical results reported benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 as less than 0.050 mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively. The laboratory chloride concentration was reported below the laboratory detection limit of 30 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results are summarized in Table 2 and included on Figure 2. Laboratory analytical reports are attached.

Table 2. Soil Laboratory Analytical Results San Juan 28-4 #33 BGT Closure, May 2013

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth (ft)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH- GRO (mg/kg)	TPH- DRO (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD Action	Level (NMAC 19.15.	17.13E)	0.2	<b>50</b>	1	00	250
SC-1	5/22/13	0.5	<0.050	<0.25	NA	NA	<30

NA - not analyzed

#### 3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

NMOCD action levels for BGT closures are specified in New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.17.13E. Field TPH concentrations were below the NMOCD action level of 100 mg/kg, with the highest concentration reported in S-1 with 86.1 mg/kg. Benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 were below the NMOCD action levels of 0.2 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg, respectively. Chloride concentrations in SC-1 were below the NMOCD action level of 250 mg/kg. Based on field screening and laboratory analytical results for benzene, total BTEX, TPH, and chlorides, no further work is recommended at the San Juan 28-4 #33.

If you have any questions about this report or site conditions, please do not hesitate to contact Deborah Watson at (505) 564-2281.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Lynn, EIT

Atephanicoslyn

Elizabeth McNally, P.E.


Elizabeth V MiNdly


Lisa Hunter San Juan 28-4 #33 BGT Closure Report July 2, 2013 Page 5 of 5

#### Attachments:

Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, May 2013 AES Field Screening Report 052213 Hall Analytical Report 1305946

 $R:\Animas\ 2000\Dropbox\2013\ Projects\ConocoPhillips\SJ\ 28-4\ \#33\CoP\ San\ Juan\ 28-4\ \#33\ BGT\ Closure\ Report\ 070213.docx$ 





# **AES Field Screening Report**

Client: ConocoPhillips

Project Location: San Juan 28-4 #33

Date: 5/22/2013

Matrix: Soil



Animas Environmental Services, LLC www.animasenvironmental.com Durango, Colorado 970-403-3084

624 E. Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 505-564-2281

		Time of			Field	Field TPH				ТРН
Clolumes	Collection	Sample	Sample	OVIM **(maa)	Chloride (mg/kg)**	Analysis Time**	Field TPH* (mg/kg)	TPH PQL (mg/kg)	PF	Analysts Initials
S-1	1 2	17:20	North	1.3	NA	9:40	86.1	20.0	1	НММ
S-2	5/22/2013	17:21	South	2.0	NA	9:43	48.6	20.0	1	HMW
S-3	5/22/2013	17:23	East	2.1	AN	9:47	43.1	20.0	1	нмм
S-4	5/22/2013	17:24	West	1.9	NA	9:50	54.2	20.0	1	нмм
S-5	5/22/2013	17:25	Center	1.7	NA	9:54	51.4	20.0	Н	нмм
SC-1	5/22/2013	17:26	Composite	NA	09		Not,	Not Analyzed for TPH.	H.	

Field Chloride - Quantab Chloride Titrators or Drop Count Titration with Silver Nitrate

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - USEPA 418.1

Analyst:

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Not Analyzed g Ϋ́

Practical Quantitation Limit

Dilution Factor

\*Field TPH concentrations recorded may be below PQL.

 $\ast\ast$  OVM, Field TPH, and Field Chloride measured in lab on 5/23/2013

Heather I Wood

Report Finalized: 05/22/13



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

May 30, 2013

Debbie Watson

Animas Environmental 624 East Comanche Farmington, NM 87401

TEL: (505) 486-4071

**FAX** 

RE: CoP San Juan 28-4 #33

OrderNo.: 1305946

#### Dear Debbie Watson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 5/23/2013 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <a href="www.hallenvironmental.com">www.hallenvironmental.com</a> or the state specific web sites. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. All samples are reported as received unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

#### **Analytical Report**

Lab Order 1305946

Date Reported: 5/30/2013

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Animas Environmental

1305946-001

Lab ID:

Project: CoP San Juan 28-4 #33

Client Sample ID: SC-1

**Collection Date: 5/22/2013 5:26:00 PM** 

Matrix: MEOH (SOIL) Received Date: 5/23/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: <b>DAM</b>
Benzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	5/23/2013 12:40:46 PM	/I R10831
Toluene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	5/23/2013 12:40:46 PN	/I R10831
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	5/23/2013 12:40:46 PM	/I R10831
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10	mg/Kg	1	5/23/2013 12:40:46 PM	/I R10831
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.7	80-120	%REC	1	5/23/2013 12:40:46 PM	/I R10831
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: JRR
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	5/23/2013 2:01:03 PM	7593

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Ε Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Page 1 of 3
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

#### **OC SUMMARY REPORT**

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1305946

30-May-13

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

CoP San Juan 28-4 #33

Sample ID 1305838-002AMS

SampType: MS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: BatchQC Batch ID: 7593

RunNo: 10859

Prep Date: 5/23/2013 Analysis Date: 5/23/2013

SeqNo: 306798

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result 110

Result

97

SPK value SPK Ref Val

15.00

15.00

15.00

15.00

%REC 161

LowLimit HighLimit 64.4 117

**RPDLimit** %RPD

Qual S

Qual

Chloride

Sample ID 1305838-002AMSD

SampType: MSD Batch ID: 7593

POL

7.5

**PQL** 

7.5

RunNo: 10859

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Prep Date:

82.69

SPK value SPK Ref Val

82.69

117

9.76

%RPD

Analyte

Client ID:

5/23/2013 Analysis Date: 5/23/2013

SeqNo: 306799 %REC

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** 

Chloride

Sample ID 1305877-003AMS

SampType: MS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

LowLimit

64.4

64.4

Client ID:

**BatchQC** 

**BatchQC** 

Batch ID: 7593

RunNo: 10859

94.5

Prep Date:

5/23/2013

5/23/2013

SeqNo: 306817

Units: mg/Kg

Analysis Date: PQL

117

Analyte

Result 54

Result

55

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC LowLimit 76.6

**HighLimit** 

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Chloride

Sample ID 1305877-003AMSD

SampType: MSD

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: **BatchQC** 

Batch ID: **7593** 

RunNo: 10859

Prep Date:

5/23/2013

Analysis Date: 5/23/2013

7.5

7.5

SeqNo: 306818

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Chloride

PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val 43.01

43.01

%REC 77.9

LowLimit 64.4 HighLimit %RPD 0.363 117

**RPDLimit** 

Qual 20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range E

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. P Reporting Detection Limit

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits Page 2 of 3

#### **QC SUMMARY REPORT**

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1305946

30-May-13

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

CoP San Juan 28-4 #33

Sample ID MB-7561	SampT	уре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	1 ID: <b>R1</b>	0831	F	RunNo: 1	0831				
Prep Date: 5/22/2013	Analysis D	Date: <b>5</b> /	23/2013	8	SeqNo: 3	07583	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.99		1.000		99.1	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-7561	Sampl	Type: LC	s	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: <b>R1</b>	0831	F	RunNo: 1	0831							
Prep Date: 5/22/2013	Analysis [	Date: <b>5/</b>	23/2013	\$	SeqNo: 3	07584	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	110	80	120						
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	109	80	120						
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	108	80	120						
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	108	80	120						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	80	120						

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level,
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 3 of 3



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

#### Albuquerque, NM 87/05 Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Animas Environmental Work Order Number	r: 1305946		RoptNo: 1
Received by/date: 200			
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 5/23/2013 10:00:00 A	$\sim$	大子	
Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 5/23/2013 10:29:23 A		-A= ≥	
Reviewed By: MA 05/23//3			
09071			
Chain of Custody	<b>v</b>	N-	Not Present ✓
1 Custody seals Intact on sample bottles?	Yes V	No No	Not Present
2, Is Chain of Custody complete?  3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier	140	
<u>Log In</u>			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗸	No	NA .
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗸	No	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗸	No	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes V	No	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes V	No	
Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🗸	NA
10 VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes	No	No VOA Vials 🗸
11, Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes	No 🗸	
<u>전공격대로 등록 기타하다</u> 하다 (1888 - 1888)			# of preserved bottles checked
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes V	No	for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗸	No	Adjusted?
14, is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗸	No	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗸	No	Checked by:
Special Handling (if applicable)			
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes	No	NA 💆
Person Notified: Date:			
By Whom: Via:	eMall	Phone Fax	In Person
Regarding:			
Client Instructions:			
17. Additional remarks:			
18. Cooler Information  Cooler No Temp *C   Condition   Seal Intact   Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By	
1 1.1 Good Yes	ا العالم عند العامل ا		

ANALYSIS LABORATORY  www.hallenvironmental.com	4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel: 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107	(Vlr (O)	7M / OF	H9T + (1.81 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.808)	A (GI	BTEX + MARTER HATER HATE	<b>X</b>		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)						Remarks: Bill to Conocophillips Wo: 10534753 Gradund top:	Supervise: Frade Robe 414: 25
্র Standard (X Rush <u>১৫ন। Dag</u> Project Name:	Col San Juan 28-4#33 Project#	Project Manager	D. Walson	Sampler: HW / SL		Container Preservative Type Type	MON BY HON MON			医骶骨髓 经收益的 经不知道 医二十二氏 医二甲基酚 医克勒氏试验 医乳腺素 医克勒特氏病 化二甲基苯酚 医克勒特氏病 医克勒特氏病 医克勒氏病 医多种原因 医克勒氏病 医多种原因 医克勒氏病 医克勒氏病 医多种原理 医克勒特氏病 医多种原理 医克勒特氏病 医多种原理 医克勒特氏病 医多种原理 医克勒特氏病 医多种原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原原理 医多种原理 医多种原原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原原理 医多种原原原理 医多种原原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原原理 医多种原原原理 医多种原原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原理 医多种原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原原	《《···································			· 在 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mostre Labor Spalls 420	Delta Time
Client: Annas Environmental States	Lezy E. Comonite	564 - 2261	□ Level 4 (Full Validation)			Matrix Sample Request ID	Soul SC-1								Reinquished by:	) ट्रियानर्थ
ent: Anonas	1-F (	Phone #: 505 -	QA/QC Package: CXStandard	Accreditation	□ EDD (Type)	Date Time	8/22/13 1724								Shah (100	1/20 1/4/

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

#### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources


Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised October 10, 2003 Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance


Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

		_	Rele	ease Notific	eation and C	Corrective A	ction						
					OPER!	TOR	☐ Init	ial Report	$\bowtie$	Final Report			
Name of Co					Contact k	Contact Kenny Davis							
Address 340	1 East 30	<sup>th</sup> St, Farmin	gton, NM	[	Telephone	No.(505) 599-40	045	-					
Facility Nar	ne: San Ju	ıan 28-4 Un	it 33		Facility T	pe: Gas Well							
Surface Ow	ner Feder	al			Owner Federal ATION OF RI	FIFACE	Lease	No.SF-079	731				
Unit Letter <b>M</b>	Section 33	Township 28N	Range 4W	Feet from the 890	North/South Line South		East/West Line West	County Rio Arrik	)a				
				Latitude <u>36.61</u>	146700 Longitu	de <u>-107.2612000</u>	<u>0</u>						

NATURE	OF RELEASE					
Type of Release BGT Closure Summary	Volume of Release N/A	Volume R	ecovered N/A			
Source of Release: NONE	Date and Hour of Occurrence N/A	Date and F	Your of Discovery N/A			
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?					
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required	N/A					
By Whom? N/A	Date and Hour N/A		<u> </u>			
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Wa	tercourse.				
N/A ☐ Yes ☒ No	N/A					
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A						
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* N/A			<u> </u>			
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to t regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the	otifications and perform corrective ac	tions for rele	ases which may endanger			
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report of federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	e contamination that pose a threat to a	round water.	surface water, human health			
Signature:	OIL CONSERV	VATION	DIVISION			
Primed Name: Kenny Davis	Approved by District Supervisor:					
Title: Staff Regulatory Technician	Approval Date:	Expiration [	Pate:			
E-mail Address: Kenny.r.davis@conocophillips.com	nny.r.davis@conocophillips.com  Conditions of Approval:  Attached					
Date: 12/4/14 Phone: (505) 599-4045						

<sup>\*</sup> Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary





Below-grade Tank Closure Report from HSE

(Style="Footname: Color: Underground Storage Tanks">(Blood-grade Tanks Closure Reports (Style="Footname: Underground Storage Tanks") (Style="Footname: Underground S Sampling (S.\gsHSE\Element 6-Programs & Procedures\Underground Storage Tanks, Vessels, & VI ok and Line Test Results HSE800 E+20Y\Below Grade Tanks\ZZ-BGT Closure Reports (there are and the first results research the cooperation of the factor of the first reports of the first reports of the first places for documents) Proof of Closure (72 Hour Notice) e-mail to NMOCD E-mail notice located@ NO RECORD S:\gsREG\WELLS LIST\WELL NAME\72 Hour Notice BGT Closure (for post 2008 BGT's.) or research through Jamie's Folder in LRM (subfolders designated) – some have been moved to Wells List or Regulatory Pits\New Requirements\BGT\_Closure Report\_e-mails\some don't exist at all. FOUND Surface Owner Notification -(S:\gsREG\Wells List\Well Name) Saved copy NO BECCE of e-mail you sent Pictures (Pit Closure Form located @ S:\gsProj\tssjd-copy\Construction\Open Pit Inspections F170). Print the reclamation form for reference of Closure Date for C144 (use Start of Columnation as the Closure Date)-If Reclamation has not taken place, we only need a picture of when they backfilled after removing the BGT. C144 with correct operator, well name, lat/long., surface owner
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms/BGT\
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms\BGT\
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms\BGT\
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms\BGT\
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms\BGT\
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms\BGT\
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms\BGT\
S:\lgs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\C-144 Forms\Pre 2013 C144 Forms Closure (OLD)-Closure date for BGT's that have not had reclamation work done would be the date the camples were taken when BGT was removed. Below-grade Tank Closure Report Summary W/ C-141

(S\gs REG\Regulatory Pits (ADM090-12yrs)\New Requirements\BGT Closure Summary Report
Templates/Normal or Without Reclamation C-141 found @ S\gsHSE\Element 6-Programs & Procedures\Underground Storage Tanks, Vessels, & Pits\Tank and Line Test Results HSE800 E+20Y\Below Grade Tanks

Order for submitting the packet

C144 Form

BGT Closure Report Summary

Proof of Closure (72 Hour Notice) e-mail to NMOCD

BGT Closure Report from HSE & C141 Form

Sampling Results

Pictures

SCA appris . Purnit Rec & Scanudith Norn/Walshirt

The items on this checklist need to be checked off and initialed by the person completing the work and must accompany the C-144 Closure Packet when it is handed off for QC and the QC person must initial it as well. This checklist is to be scanned into Wells List & DSM as part of the BGT Closure Packet.

NO RECORD HISTORICAL

E-Mail received from Octivi for P&A Facility Strip Notice (Save this e-mail in the Wells List - S/gsREG/I Wells List under well name)

N/

Verify Twinned Location (Check in DSM under General Tab for notes about swinned well or check I<sup>st</sup> Delivery Database under Facilities located on MPAD)

12/4/14

NA

Call or e-mail Area MSO (Ask them to verify if there is a BGT on location and have them send you a picture to verify. Save the picture –S:\gsREG\1 Wells List under well name)

12/11/14

N/A\_

Request Closume Flam Approval from Samia Fe — (If this is a historic BGT Closure and the well is on the BGT Master List an e-mail is sent to Leonard Lowe @ Leonard.Lowe@state.nm.us)

NO RECORD

NO RECORD

Send 72-hour closure notification to NMCCD (In the e-mail received from O&M there is an 'estimated start date', use this start date when sending your 72-hour but not more than one week notice to NMOCD)

FOUND

Send 72-hour Surface Owner Notification (If surface owner is BLM/Tribal then we send an e-mail notification to Mark Kelly and Shari Ketchum giving notification that a BGT will be closed) (Note: previously we were submitting the 'original' surface owner notification that was submitted with the Permit; however, that part of the process was incorrect according to Cory @ NMOCD and going forward we will need to send this notification) For the Historic Closures, we will be stating that the notification cannot be found in our Closure Summary Report.

The items on this checklist need to be checked off and initialed by the person completing the work and must accompany the C-144 Closure Packet when it is handed off for QC and the QC person must initial it as well. This checklist is to be scanned into Wells List & DSM as part of the BGT Closure Packet.