District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88

State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources**

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210	Department	
District III 000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410	Oil Conservation Division]
District IV	1220 South St. Francis Dr.	1
220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505	Santa Fe, NM 87505	

das	Duana		d-Loop System			
,1900	_				Plan Application	
//		Closure of a Modification Closure pla	n pit, closed-loop syst on to an existing perm only submitted for	tem, below-grade tan it	or proposed alternative k, or proposed alternative or non-permitted pit, clo	e method
	below-grade tan	k, or proposed al	ternative method			
			• •	• • •	/stem, below-grade tank or	•
environment. Nor de	at approval of this re oes approval relieve	equest does not relie the operator of its r	ve the operator of liabilit esponsibility to comply v	ty should operations resu with any other applicable	It in pollution of surface wate governmental authority's rule	er, ground water or the es, regulations or ordinances.
Operator: BP AN	MERICA PRODU	JCTION COMP	ANY	OGRID #:	778	
Address: 200 En	ergy Court, Far	mington, NM 8	7401			
Facility or well na	me: GALLEGOS	CANYON UN	T 168E			
API Number: JUL	J4524863		OCI	D Permit Number:		
U/L or Qtr/Qtr C	Se	ction 19.0	Township 28.0N	Range 11W	County: San Juan C	County
Center of Propose	ed Design: Latitude	36.65241	Lo	ngitude -108.04866	N	IAD: □1927 🗷 1983
Surface Owner:	Federal State	Private Tril	oal Trust or Indian Allo	tment	_	
2.					OIL CONS. DIV DI	ST 3
Pit: Subsecti	ion F or G of 19.1:	5.17.11 NMAC				
	Orilling 🔲 Workov				MAY 15 2014	
<u> </u>	Emergency Ca					
Lined Uni	lined Liner type:	Thickness	mil] HDPE PVC	Other	
String-Reinfor			•			
Liner Seams:	Welded Factor	y Other		Volume:	obl Dimensions: L	x W x D
3.						
	ystem: Subsection					
Type of Operation intent)	ı: ∐ P&A ∐ D⊓	lling a new well [_ Workover or Drilling	g (Applies to activities v	which require prior approval	of a permit or notice of
) '	Above Ground	Steel Tanks H	aul-off Bins Other		_	
Lined Unlin	ned Liner type: T	hickness	mil 🔲 LLDP	E HDPE PVC	Other	
Liner Seams:	Welded 🔲 Factor	y 🗌 Other		_		
4.						
■ Below-grade t		I of 19.15.17.11 N		<u>\</u>		
Volume: 95.0		bl Type of fluid:	Produced Water			
Tank Construction	n material: Steel					
Secondary co	ntainment with leal	k detection 🔲 Vi	sible sidewalls, liner, 6	inch lift and automatic	overflow shut-off	
☐ Visible sidew	alls and liner 🔲 🕻	Visible sidewalls o	nly 🗷 Other DOUBL	E WALLED DOUBLE E	OTTOMED SIDE WALLS N	NOT VISIBLE
Liner type: Thick	ness	mil [HDPE □ PVC □ C	Other		-
5.						
Alternative M	ethod:					1
		and Parametic	ة المحدد بسياريم منا ومريس بيس	a tha Casta Ea Envisor	nental Bureau office for cor	naidanation of ammortal

6. Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)	hospital,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	
7. Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
8. Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
☑ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
9.	
Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:	
Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau consideration of approval.	office for
Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
10. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appro-	ptable source
office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a	approval.
Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	ing pads or
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	Yes No
lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	JG Slzili
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	☑ Yes 🗷 No □ NA
(Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No ▶ NA
(Applies to permanent pits) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock	☐ Yes 🗷 No
watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.	Yes 🗷 No
- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.	Ø v ₪ No
- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☑ Yes 🗷 No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	☐ Yes 🛭 No
Society; Topographic map	
Within a 100-year floodplain.	Yes 🗷 No
- FEMA map	

	Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a che attached.	eck mark in the box, that the documents are ection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC 2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC 0 NMAC
1	12.	
	Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a che attached. Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Parag Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements	raph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 equirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
	and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	unchicles of Subsection C of 19.19.17.9 INVIAC
1		
	Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:	
	Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number:	(Applies only to closed-loop system that use
	above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)	
Ì		
	Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a checklist attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.1 Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMA Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15. Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15. Integrity Control/Quality Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17. Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and	9 NMAC 0 NMAC C 17.11 NMAC .15.17.11 NMAC
	Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
	Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed clo	osure plan.
ĺ	Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit 🗷 Below-	grade Tank [Closed-loop System
	Alternative Proposed Classica Methods W. Weste Everystica and Removal	
l	Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)	
l	On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
	☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial	
Ĺ	Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fo	e Environmental Bureau for consideration)
	Maste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	n F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ion H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
-		

Maste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cutting facilities are required.						
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Num						
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Num	iber:					
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) \(\subseteq \) No	Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations? Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) \(\subseteq \) No					
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F. Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	l of 19.15.17.13 NMAC					
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendate provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for considerate demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	m the appropriate distric	ct office or may be				
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA				
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA				
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	[[☐ Yes ☐ No☐ NA				
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebe lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	d, sinkhole, or playa	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of init - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	tial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed states.	of initial application.	Yes No				
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a madopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipal	'	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of t	the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	[Yes No				
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS Society; Topographic map	s; NM Geological	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map		Yes No				
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attated by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMA Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.1 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 1 Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	AC NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC ate requirements of 19.15. 19.15.17.13 NMAC VMAC	.17.11 NMAC				

1	1 1
	Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
	Name (Print): Jeffrey Peace Title: Field Environmental Advisor
i	Signature:
	e-mail address: Peace deffery op.com Telephone: 505-326-9479
	20. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) COD Monditions (see attachment)
	OCD Representative Signature: 5/2/13
	Title: Serior Hydrologist OCD Permit Number:
	21.
	Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.
	Closure Completion Date: 6-7-2013
	Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) If different from approved plan, please explain.
	23. Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than two facilities were utilized.
	Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:
	Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:
	Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations? Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) \(\sum \) No
i	Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:
	☐ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) ☐ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
	Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
	24. <u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u> : _Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.
	Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
ı	Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
l	🔀 Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
	 ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) ☑ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
	Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
	Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)
	On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.65241 Longitude -108.04866 NAD: 1927 1983
1	25. Operator Clasura Cartification
	Operator Closure Certification: I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
-	Name (Print): <u>Jeff feace</u> Title: <u>Avea Environmental Advisor</u>
	Signature: Date: May 14, 2014
	e-mail address: parce - jettray @ bp. com Telephone: (505) 326-9479
- 1	

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Gallegos Canyon Unit 168E API No. 3004524863 Unit Letter C, Section 19, T28N, R11W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.

C-141 is attached.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011
ubmit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notifi	catio	n and Co	orrective A	ction			
						OPERA	ΓOR	☐ Init	ial Report	\boxtimes	Final Report
Name of Co						Contact: Jef	f Peace				
		Court, Farmi				Telephone ?	No.: 505-326-94	79			
Facility Na	ne: Galleg	os Canyon U	Jnit 168E	·		Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	well			
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral	Owner:	Federal		API N	o. 3004524	863	
				LOC	ATIO	N OF RE	LEASE				
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the		South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County: S	an Juan	1
С	19	28N	11W	830	North		1,590	West		·	
		Lati	itude3	6.65241		_ Longitud	e108.04866_				
				NAT	ΓURE	OF REL	EASE				
Type of Rele	ase: none						Release: N/A	Volume	Recovered: N	V/A	
Source of Re	lease: belov	v grade tank –	95 bbl				lour of Occurrence	e: Date and	Hour of Dis	covery	:
Was Immedi	ate Notice (,, –			If YES, To	Whom?				
		L	Yes L	No 🛛 Not R	Lequired						
By Whom?						Date and I-					
Was a Water	course Read		Yes 🗵	l No		If YES, Vo	olume Impacting t	he Watercourse.			i
		<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
If a Watercou	ırse was Im	pacted, Descri	ibe Fully.	•							
Describe Cau	se of Probl	em and Remed	dial Action	n Taken.* Sampl	ing of the	e soil beneath	the BGT was do	ne during removal	to ensure no	soil im	pacts from
the BGT. So	il analysis r	esulted in TPI	H, BTEX	and chloride bel	ow stand	ards. Analys	sis results are atta	ched.			
Describe Are	a Affected	and Cleanup A	Action Tak	en.* BGT was re	emoved a	and the area u	nderneath the BG	T was sampled. T	he area unde	er the B	GT was
				ective well area.				•			
I hereby certi	fy that the i	nformation gi	ven above	is true and com	olete to the	he best of my	knowledge and u	nderstand that pur	suant to NM	OCD rt	ıles and
regulations al	I operators	are required to	o report ar	d/or file certain	release n	otifications a	nd perform correc	tive actions for rel	eases which	may en	ndanger
								eport" does not rel			
								eat to ground wate			
or the environ	nment. In a	ddition, NMC ws and/or regu	Acceptions	tance of a C-141	report d	oes not reliev	e the operator of	responsibility for o	omphance w	vitin any	ouner
rederal, state,							OIL CON	SERVATION	DIVISIO)N	
(1	رايالهم	Peace					OIL COIN	OLIK VITTON	DIVIDIC	<u> </u>	
Signature:	THI	gae									
Printed Name	J V V	9				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:			
rimed Name	. Jen Peace							T			
Title: Area E	nvironment	al Advisor				Approval Da	e:	Expiration	Date:		
Domail Adalos	naaaa :	ffrau@h- a	m			Conditions of	f Annroyal:				
E-mail Addre	sss: peace.je	effrey@bp.cor	11			Conditions 0	- Арргочаг.		Attached		
Date: May 1	4, 2014			5-326-9479							
Attach Addi	tional She	ets If Necess	ary								

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC. P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199	API #:
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE#:1 of1_
QUAD/UNIT: C SEC: 19 TWP: 1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE: 830'N / 1,590'N		DATE STARTED: 05/29/13 DATE FINISHED: ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): JCB
PEFERENCE POINT 1) 95 BGT (DW/DB) 2) 3)	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.65265 X 108.04869 GPS COORD.: 36.65241 X 108.04866 DISTANCE/BI GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/BI GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/BI	GL ELEV.: 5,593' EARING FROM WH.: 100', S2W EARING FROM WH.: EARING FROM WH.:
SAMPLING DATA: 1) SAMPLE ID:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL SAMPLE DATE: 05/29/13 SAMPLETIME: 0830 LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/ SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLETIME: LAB ANALYSIS: LAB ANALYSIS:	(8015B/8021B/300.0(CI) OVM READING (ppm) 0.0
4) SAMPLE ID: SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL COLOR: DARK YE COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST / M SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE + # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED:	LLOWISH ORANGE COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE OSE / FIRM / DENSE / VERY DENSE ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED OF PTS. 5	THER / COHESME / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC T / FIRM / STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES INO	EXPLANATION - BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED: YES/NO EXPLANATION:	TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA
	TO W.H. PLOT PLAN circle: attached OW TIM PROD. TANK PROD. TANK	MCALIB. READ. = 52.0 ppm RF = 0.52 MCALIB. GAS = 100 ppm DATE: 05/29/13 MISCELL. NOTES MO: N15279840 PO #: PK: ZDCS01GEN1 PJ #: Permit date(s): 06/09/10 DCD Appr. date(s): 05/21/13 ank OVM = Organic Vapor Meter ppm = parts per million A BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y (N)
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	X - S.P.D. IN DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~= APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD; DW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA-NOT WALL; DW-DOUBLE WALL; SB-SINGLE BOTTOM; DB-DOUBLE BOTTOM. ONSITE: 05/29/13	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N Magnetic declination: 10° E

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1306006

Date Reported: 6/7/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @ 5'

GCU 168E Project:

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Collection Date: 5/29/2013 8:30:00 AM

Lab ID: 1306006-001

Received Date: 6/1/2013 11:00:00 AM

ORGANICS ND	10			Analyst	- IRAC
	10			7 (1101) 51	L JIVIE
	10	mg/Kg	1	6/3/2013 12:43:14 PM	7713
94.6	63-147	%REC	1	6/3/2013 12:43:14 PM	7713
GE				Analyst	: NSB
ND	4.6	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM	7716
96.3	80-120	%REC	1	6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM	7716
				Analyst	NSB
ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM	7716
ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM	7716
ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM	7716
ND	0.092	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM	7716
100	80-120	%REC	1	6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM	7716
				Analyst	JRR
ND	30	mg/Kg	20	6/3/2013 4:03:08 PM	7715
				Analyst	:: jmb
. ND	20	mg/Kg	1	6/3/2013 12:00:00 PM	7720
	94.6 SE ND 96.3 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	94.6 63-147 SE ND 4.6 96.3 80-120 ND 0.046 ND 0.046 ND 0.046 ND 0.092 100 80-120 ND 30	94.6 63-147 %REC ND 4.6 mg/Kg 96.3 80-120 %REC ND 0.046 mg/Kg ND 0.046 mg/Kg ND 0.046 mg/Kg ND 0.046 mg/Kg ND 0.092 mg/Kg 100 80-120 %REC ND 30 mg/Kg	94.6 63-147 %REC 1 SE ND 4.6 mg/Kg 1 96.3 80-120 %REC 1 ND 0.046 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.046 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.046 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.046 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.092 mg/Kg 1 100 80-120 %REC 1 ND 30 mg/Kg 20	94.6 63-147 %REC 1 6/3/2013 12:43:14 PM Analyst ND 4.6 mg/Kg 1 6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM 96.3 80-120 %REC 1 6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM ND 0.046 mg/Kg 1 6/4/2013 11:36:33 PM ND 0.092 mg/Kg 20 6/3/2013 4:03:08 PM Analyst ND 30 mg/Kg 20 6/3/2013 4:03:08 PM Analyst

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Ε Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDIimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
 - Page 1 of 7 Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only
- RLReporting Detection Limit

	hain-	of-Cu	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:										\1 \ /	TD		n i b	<i>a</i> =	NT	AI	
Client:	BLAC	66 EN	UGNEERNO INC.	Standard																TO		F
	RA	A	2 . m. A	Project Name	:				9.			www			_							
Mailing	Address:	P.O.	Box 87	I	cu 16	8E			490	01 H									109			
	Bus	MFIE	W NM 87413	Project #:					Te	i. 50	5-34	5-39	75	F	ax 5	505-	345-	4107	7			
Phone #			632-1199							Aug .	÷ 2 . i.		A	naly	sis l	Requ	uest	į.			et s	
email or				Project Mana	ger:			<u> </u>	<u>(</u>	<u> </u>					(\$				Ĭ			Γ
QA/QC F	•		□ Level 4 (Full Validation)	J. Sampler:	BLAGE			FIXIÈ'S (8021)	(Gas or	30 /44			SIMS)		,PO4,SC	PCB's						
Accredi	tation			Sampler:	I. BLA	حک سے		幫	PH		=		ĕ		Ş	80						12
□ NEL	AP	☐ Othe		On ice:	M Yesumus	#Ø₹Nö **		1 1	1+	8	18.	8	8	,	6	3/s		₹	W			9
□ EDD	(Type)			Sample Ten	derature 4,	2° C		出	BE.	9	b 4	g	00	gas	Ž	ide	8	>	770			2
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type			BTEX + NATBE	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / 1480)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO3,NO2,PO4,SO4)	8081 Pesticides / 8082	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHUSCUDE			Air Bubbles (Y or N)
29/13	0830	SoIL	95 BGT 5-pt0 5	402×1			-00	X		X	X								X			
			,																			Γ
													\neg									T
												\dashv				\dashv			-	-+	_	+
									\dashv			\dashv	\dashv	_		\dashv			_	-+		+
								_			_	\dashv	_	\dashv						_		╀
								<u> </u>														↓_
		l																				
														ļ	ļ						ļ	
																						T
																				\dashv		\dagger
	-							\vdash			-	\dashv				\dashv			\dashv	-+	-	+
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe Relinquishe	14 Bug	Received by: Received by:	libeles	Date 5/3//2 Date	Time	Ren	narks	L_1 s: AH	Bio				5	<u> </u>	GE	N:	1			<u></u>
1/31/13	1135	samples out	atu Waller mitted to Hall Environmental may be sub-	contracted to other a	erredited laboratorie	6/1/13	///so	, poseil		7 7 A								the e	n n h 41	1 20 7 7 4		

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1306006 07-Jun-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Prep Date:

GCU 168E

Sample ID MB-7715

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

6/3/2013

Batch ID: 7715

PQL

RunNo: 11047

Analysis Date: 6/3/2013

SeqNo: 312505

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

%RPD

RPDLimit Qual

Analyte Chloride

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-7715

LCSS

6/3/2013

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions RunNo: 11047

Batch ID: 7715

Result

15

Result

SeqNo: 312506

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

Analyte

Client ID:

Prep Date:

Analysis Date: 6/3/2013 PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

98.5

90

%RPD

Qual

Chloride

1.5

15.00

LowLimit

110

RPDLimit

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Е Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

RSD is greater than RSDlimit O

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1306006

07-Jun-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project: GCU 1	68E			
Sample ID MB-7720	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 7720	RunNo: 11040		
Prep Date: 6/3/2013	Analysis Date: 6/3/2013	SeqNo: 312270	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND 20			
Sample ID LCS-7720	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 7720	RunNo: 11040		
Prep Date: 6/3/2013	Analysis Date: 6/3/2013	SeqNo: 312271	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	100 20 100.0	0 102 80	120	
Sample ID LCSD-7720	SampType: LCSD	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 7720	RunNo: 11040		
Prep Date: 6/3/2013	Analysis Date: 6/3/2013	SeqNo: 312272	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	99 20 99.90	0 99.1 80	120 2.89	20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range E

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. P

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1

Page 4 of 7

1306006 *07-Jun-13*

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 168E

Sample ID	MB-7713	SampTy	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics								
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 77	13	F	RunNo: 1	1021					
Prep Date:	6/3/2013	Analysis Date: 6/3/2013			SeqNo: 311874			Units: mg/k	⟨ g			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
_	Organics (DRO)	ND	10									
Surr: DNOP		10		10.00		105	63	147				
Sample ID	LCS-7713	SampTy	/pe: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics							
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 77	13	RunNo: 11021							
Prep Date:	6/3/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 6/	3/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	11875	Units: mg/k	(g			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
-	Organics (DRO)	47	10	50.00	0	95.0	77.1	128		-		
Surr: DNOP		5.1	_	5.000		102	63	147				
Sample ID	MB-7743	SampTy	/pe: M	BLK	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range C	Organics		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 77	43	RunNo: 11054							
Prep Date:	6/4/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 6/	4/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	12839	Units: %RE	С			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Surr: DNOP		9.9		10.00		99.1	63	147				
Sample ID	LCS-7743	SampTy	rpe: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics							
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 77	43	RunNo: 11054							
Prep Date:	6/4/2013	Analysis Date: 6/4/2013			SeqNo: 312840			Units: %REC				
		, maryoto De		7/2010								
Analyte		Result	PQL		SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Analyte Surr: DNOP		•				%REC 99.3	LowLimit 63	HighLimit 147	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Surr: DNOP	1305C14-001AMS	Result 5.0	PQL	SPK value 5.000	SPK Ref Val	99.3	63				Qual	
Surr: DNOP Sample ID	1305C14-001AMS BatchQC	Result 5.0 SampTy	PQL	SPK value 5.000	SPK Ref Val	99.3	63 PA Method	147			Qual	
Sample ID Client ID:	BatchQC	Result 5.0 SampTy	PQL pe: MS	5.000 5.13	SPK Ref Val Test	99.3 Code: El	63 PA Method 1079	147	el Range C		Qual	
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID:	BatchQC	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch	PQL pe: MS	5.000 5.000 6 13 5/2013	SPK Ref Val Test	99.3 Code: EF	63 PA Method 1079	147 8015D: Diese	el Range C		Qual	
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte	BatchQC	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch Analysis Da Result 200	PQL pe: MS ID: 77 ate: 6 /	5.000 5.000 6 13 5/2013	SPK Ref Val Test R	99.3 Code: EF tunNo: 1 eqNo: 3 %REC 114	63 PA Method 1079 13630	147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K	el Range C	Organics		
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte	BatchQC 6/3/2013	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch Analysis Da	PQL rpe: MS ID: 77 ate: 6/	5.000 5.000 6 13 5/2013 SPK value	SPK Ref Val Test R S SPK Ref Val	99.3 Code: EF LunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3	63 PA Method 1079 13630 LowLimit	147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K HighLimit	el Range C	Organics		
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte liesel Range (Surr: DNOP	BatchQC 6/3/2013	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch Analysis Da Result 200 5.7	PQL ppe: MS ID: 77 ate: 6/ PQL 10	SPK value 5.000 3 13 5/2013 SPK value 50.15 5.015	SPK Ref Val Test R S SPK Ref Val 142.8	99.3 Code: EF LunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 114 113	63 PA Method 1079 13630 LowLimit 61.3 63	147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K HighLimit 138	el Range C	Organics RPDLimit		
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte liesel Range C Surr: DNOP	BatchQC 6/3/2013 Organics (DRO)	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch Analysis Da Result 200 5.7 D SampTy	PQL ppe: MS ID: 77 ate: 6/ PQL 10	SPK value 5.000 3 13 5/2013 SPK value 50.15 5.015	SPK Ref Val Test R S SPK Ref Val 142.8	99.3 Code: EF LunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 114 113	63 PA Method 1079 13630 LowLimit 61.3 63 PA Method	147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K HighLimit 138 147	el Range C	Organics RPDLimit		
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Diesel Range C Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID:	BatchQC 6/3/2013 Organics (DRO) 1305C14-001AMSI BatchQC	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch Analysis Da Result 200 5.7 D SampTy	PQL pe: MS ID: 77 Ate: 6/ PQL 10 Ppe: MS ID: 77	SPK value 5.000 6 13 5/2013 SPK value 50.15 5.015	SPK Ref Val Test R S SPK Ref Val 142.8 Test	99.3 Code: EF cunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 114 113	63 PA Method 1079 13630 LowLimit 61.3 63 PA Method 1079	147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K HighLimit 138 147	el Range C %RPD el Range C	Organics RPDLimit		
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Diesel Range C Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date:	BatchQC 6/3/2013 Organics (DRO) 1305C14-001AMSI BatchQC	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch Analysis Da Result 200 5.7 D SampTy Batch	PQL pe: MS ID: 77 Ate: 6/ PQL 10 Ppe: MS ID: 77	SPK value 5.000 6 13 5/2013 SPK value 50.15 5.015 6D 13 5/2013	SPK Ref Val Test R S SPK Ref Val 142.8 Test	99.3 Code: EF cunNo: 1 ReqNo: 3 REC 114 113 Code: EF	63 PA Method 1079 13630 LowLimit 61.3 63 PA Method 1079	147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K HighLimit 138 147 8015D: Diese	el Range C %RPD el Range C	Organics RPDLimit		
Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Diesel Range C Surr: DNOP Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte	BatchQC 6/3/2013 Organics (DRO) 1305C14-001AMSI BatchQC	Result 5.0 SampTy Batch Analysis Da Result 200 5.7 D SampTy Batch Analysis Da	PQL The period of the policy of the policy of the policy of the period o	SPK value 5.000 6 13 5/2013 SPK value 50.15 5.015 6D 13 5/2013	SPK Ref Val Test R S SPK Ref Val 142.8 Test R S	99.3 Code: EF cunNo: 1 eqNo: 3 %REC 114 113 Code: EF cunNo: 1 eqNo: 3	63 PA Method 1079 13630 LowLimit 61.3 63 PA Method 1079 13710	147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K HighLimit 138 147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K	el Range C «g «RPD el Range C	Prganics RPDLimit Organics	Qual	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1306006

Qual

Qual

07-Jun-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 168E

Sample ID 1306073-004AMS

Client ID:

BatchQC

SampType: MS Batch ID: 7743

PQL

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics

RunNo: 11079

Prep Date:

6/4/2013

Analysis Date: 6/5/2013

Result

5.0

SPK value SPK Ref Val

SPK value SPK Ref Val

SeqNo: 314233

HighLimit

Analyte

Sample ID 1306073-004AMSD

%REC

101

Units: %REC

147

Surr: DNOP

SampType: MSD

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics

Client ID: BatchQC

Batch ID: 7743

RunNo: 11079

Prep Date: 6/4/2013 Analysis Date: 6/5/2013

SeqNo: 314234

Units: %REC

Analyte

Result PQL %REC

4.970

115

63

HighLimit 147

0

Surr: DNOP

5.7

4.975

LowLimit

LowLimit

63

%RPD

RPDLimit

RPDLimit

%RPD

0

Qualifiers:

Е

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Value above quantitation range

RSD is greater than RSDlimit O

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit Page 5 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

1000

960.6

WO#: **1306006**

07-Jun-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:	GCU 168	E											
Sample ID	MB-7716	SampT	ype: MI	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range								
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: 7716				RunNo: 11057							
Prep Date:	ate: 6/3/2013 Analysis Da			4/2013	SeqNo: 313385			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range	e Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0										
Surr: BFB		940		1000		94.3	80	120					
Sample ID LCS-7716 SampType: LCS					TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range								
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	1D: 77	16	F	RunNo: 1	1057						
Prep Date:	6/3/2013	Analysis Date: 6/4/2013			SeqNo: 313386			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range	Organics (GRO)	27	5.0	25.00	0	107	62.6	136					
Surr: BFB		1000		1000		104	80	120					
Sample ID	1305C16-001AMS	SampT	уре: МS	3	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	e			
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	1D: 77	16	F	RunNo: 1	1057						
Prep Date:	: 6/3/2013 Analysis Date: 6/4/2013			SeqNo: 313389			Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range	Organics (GRO)	29	4.8	23.97	1.168	115	70	130					
Surr: BFB		1000		958.8		105	80	120					
Sample ID	1305C16-001AMSI	SampT	уре: М	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	e			
Client ID: BatchQC Batch ID: 7716				RunNo: 11057									
Prep Date:	6/3/2013 Analysis Date: 6/4/2013				SeqNo: 313390			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Caralina Donge	Organics (GRO)	29	4.8	24.02	1,168	114	70	130	0.544	22,1			

Qualifiers:

Surr: BFB

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

107

80

120

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1306006

07-Jun-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Prep Date 8/3/2013	Project:	GCU 168	E									
Result	Sample ID	MB-7716	Samp	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Result POL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual blaces ND 0.050	Client ID:	PBS	Batc	h ID: 77	16	F	RunNo: 1	1057				
Serepte ND 0.050 ND 0	Prep Date:	6/3/2013				SeqNo: 313419			Units: mg/Kg			
ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	Benzene	·	ND	0.050								
ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	Γoluene		ND	0.050								
Surr 4-Brown CS-7716 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles Surp 1	Ethylbenzene		ND	0.050								
Composition	Kylenes, Total		ND	0.10								
Prep Date Color	Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		99.9	80	120			
Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Result Result Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Result Result Result Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Result Result Result Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Result Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Result PQL P	Sample ID	LCS-7716	Sampl	Гуре: LC	s	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles					
Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Re	Client ID:	LCSS	Batcl	h ID: 77	16	F	RunNo: 1	1057				
1.0 0.050 1.000 0 104 80 120	Prep Date:	6/3/2013	Analysis E	Date: 6/	4/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	13420	Units: mg/h	K g		
Sumple 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 104 80 120	Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Nylbenzene 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 104 80 120 1	Benzene		1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80	120			
Analyse Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val 0.0147 0.0148 0.0147 0.0148 0.0147 0.0148 0.0147 0.0148 0.0147 0.0148 0.0147 0.0148 0.01	Toluene		1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80	120			
Surri 4-Bromofiluorobenzene 1.1 1.000 107 80 120 120 1305C20-001AMS SampType: MS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatilus Series Series	thylbenzene		1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80	120			
Sample ID 1305C20-001AMS SampType: MS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles	(ylenes, Total		3.1	0.10	3.000	0	104	80	120			
Prep Date 6/3/2013 Analysis Date 6/4/2013 SeqNo: 313427 Units: mg/Kg	Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120			
Prep Date: 6/3/2013 Analysis Date: 6/4/2013 SeqNo: 313427 Units: mg/Kg	Sample ID	1305C20-001AMS	Sampl	Гуре: М	3	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Parzene 1.0 0.047 0.9443 0.01037 107 67.2 113 116	Client ID:	BatchQC	Batcl	h ID: 77	16	F						
1.0	Prep Date:	6/3/2013	Analysis E	Date: 6/	4/2013	\$	SeqNo: 3	13427	Units: mg/l			
Diverse	Analyte			PQL	SPK value			LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
hylbenzene	enzene	`	1.0	0.047	0.9443	0.01037						
Surri 4-Bromofluorobenzene	oluene		1.0	0.047	0.9443	0.01610	108					
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.0 0.9443 106 80 120	thylbenzene		1.0	0.047	0.9443	0	108	67.9	127			
TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles	(ylenes, Total		3.1	0.094	2.833	0.01470	108	60.6	134			
Prep Date: 6/3/2013 Analysis Date: 6/4/2013 SeqNo: 313428 Units: mg/Kg	Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.0		0.9443		106	80	120			
Prep Date: 6/3/2013 Analysis Date: 6/4/2013 SeqNo: 313428 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual enzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0.01037 110 67.2 113 3.18 14.3 bluene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0.01610 111 62.1 116 2.64 15.9 hylbenzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0 113 67.9 127 4.47 14.4 vlenes, Total 3.2 0.094 2.833 0.01470 112 60.6 134 4.00 12.6	Sample ID	1305C20-001AMS	Tes	-								
Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Publication enzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0.01037 110 67.2 113 3.18 14.3 bluene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0.01610 111 62.1 116 2.64 15.9 hylbenzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0 113 67.9 127 4.47 14.4 vlenes, Total 3.2 0.094 2.833 0.01470 112 60.6 134 4.00 12.6	Client ID:	BatchQC Batch ID: 7716				RunNo: 11057						
enzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0.01037 110 67.2 113 3.18 14.3 bluene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0.01610 111 62.1 116 2.64 15.9 hylbenzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0 113 67.9 127 4.47 14.4 relenes, Total 3.2 0.094 2.833 0.01470 112 60.6 134 4.00 12.6	Prep Date:	6/3/2013 Analysis Date: 6/4/2013			8	SeqNo: 3	13428	Units: mg/h	< g			
Diluene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0.01610 111 62.1 116 2.64 15.9 hylbenzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0 113 67.9 127 4.47 14.4 v/enes, Total 3.2 0.094 2.833 0.01470 112 60.6 134 4.00 12.6	Analyte											Qual
hylbenzene 1.1 0.047 0.9443 0 113 67.9 127 4.47 14.4 /lenes, Total 3.2 0.094 2.833 0.01470 112 60.6 134 4.00 12.6	Benzene											
Venes, Total 3.2 0.094 2.833 0.01470 112 60.6 134 4.00 12.6	oluene											
	thylbenzene											
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.0 0.9443 106 80 120 0 0	Kylenes, Total			0.094		0.01470						
	Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.0		0.9443		106	80	120	0	0	

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 7 of 7



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-410; Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **BLAGG** Work Order Number: 1306006 RcptNo: 1 Received by/date: anne Sham Logged By: Anne Thorne 6/1/2013 11:00:00 AM anne Sham Completed By: 6/3/2013 **Anne Thorne** Reviewed By: Chain of Custody No 🗆 Not Present 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Not Present 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log in NA 🗆 No 🗌 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 🗹 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C NA 🗆 Yes 🗸 Yes V No 🔲 Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 🗸 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? V 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes NA 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🗹 9. Was preservative added to bottles? No VOA Vials Yes 🗌 No 🗌 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? No 🗹 Yes 11. Were any sample containers received broken? # of preserved bottles checked Yes 🗹 No 🗌 for pH: 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (<2 or >12 unless noted) (Note discrepancles on chain of custody) Adjusted? No 🗌 \checkmark 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 🗌 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? V Yes 🗹 Checked by: No 🗆 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) Yes | NA 🔽 No 🗌 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: Date By Whom: eMail Phone Fax In Person Via: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No | Seal Date Signed By Cooler No Temp °C 4.2 Good Yes



