District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

**For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits**, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
**For permanent pits** submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

# Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
12348 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3

NOV 13 2014

Type of action:
45-08414
- ☐ Below grade tank registration
- ☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
- ☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
- ☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
- ☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

***Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request***

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #: 778
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name: Cornell B 1
API Number: 3004508414 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr D Section 14 Township 29N Range 12W County: San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.73141 Longitude -108.07341 NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983
Surface Owner: ☐ Federal ☐ State ☒ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.
☐ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness _____mil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: _____bbl Dimensions: L_____ x W_____ x D_____

3.
☒ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank B
Volume: 95.0 bbl Type of fluid: Produced water
Tank Construction material: Steel
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☒ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other Single walled/single bottomed
Liner type: Thickness _____mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____

4.
☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.


23

5.

**Fencing:** Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)*

☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top *(Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)*

☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

☐ Alternate. Please specify_____

6.

**Netting:** Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)*

☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other_____

☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.

**Signs:** Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.

**Variances and Exceptions:**

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

***Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:***

☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.

**Siting Criteria (regarding permitting):** 19.15.17.10 NMAC

***Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below.*** **Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.**

| | |
|---|---|
| **General siting** | |
| **Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.**<br>- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| **Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit .**<br>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**<br>- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**<br>- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within an unstable area. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**<br>- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**<br>- FEMA map | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| **Below Grade Tanks** | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).<br>- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.<br>- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| **Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid** (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter) | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)<br>- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |

| | |
|---|---|
| Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.<br>- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.<br>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 100 feet of a wetland.<br>- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| **Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid** | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).<br>- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.<br>- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;<br>- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland.<br>- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| **Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit** | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).<br>- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.<br>- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.<br>- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland.<br>- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |

10.
**Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist:** Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.
**Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist:** Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.
**Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist:** Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
- ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including $H_2S$, Prevention Plan
- ☐ Emergency Response Plan
- ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- ☐ Erosion Control Plan
- ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.
**Proposed Closure:** 19.15.17.13 NMAC
***Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.***

Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit ☐ Alternative

Proposed Closure Method:
- ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal
- ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
- ☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
  - ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
- ☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.
**Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist:** (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- ☐ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.
**Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only):** 19.15.17.10 NMAC
***Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.***

| | |
|---|---|
| Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.<br>- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No<br>☐ NA |
| Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste<br>- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No<br>☐ NA |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.<br>- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No<br>☐ NA |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).<br>- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.<br>- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.<br>- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland.<br>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance | |

| | |
|---|---|
| adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.<br>- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.<br>- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within an unstable area.<br>- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain.<br>- FEMA map | ☐ Yes ☐ No |

16.
**On-Site Closure Plan Checklist:** (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
- ☐ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.
**Operator Application Certification:**

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.
**OCD Approval:** ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☒ Closure ~~Plan (only)~~ ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)

**OCD Representative Signature:** Jonathan D. Kelly **Approval Date:** 12/1/2014

**Title:** Compliance Officer **OCD Permit Number:** _____

19.
**Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion):** 19.15.17.13 NMAC

***Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.***

☒ **Closure Completion Date: 7/23/2012**

20.
**Closure Method:**

☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)

☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.
**Closure Report Attachment Checklist:** ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- ☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- ☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- ☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- ☒ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- ☐ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- ☒ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.73141 Longitude -108.07341 NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983

22.

**Operator Closure Certification:**

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Jeff Peace Title: Field Environmental Coordinator

Signature: Jeff Peace Date: November 13, 2014

e-mail address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com Telephone: (505) 326-9479

# BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY
SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

**Cornell B 1 BGT Tank B (95 bbl)**
**API No. 3004508414**
**Unit Letter D, Section 14, T29N, R12W**

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

## General Closure Plan

1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
   **No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.**

2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
   **No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.**

3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
   a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
   b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
   c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

**All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.**

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.
**The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.**

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.
**All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.**

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

| Constituents | Testing Method<br>**95 bbl BGT, Tank B** | Release Verification (mg/Kg) | Sample results |
|---|---|---|---|
| Benzene | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 0.2 | ND |
| Total BTEX | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 50 | ND |
| TPH | US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 | 100 | 700 |
| Chlorides | US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B | 250 or background | 8.4 |

**Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.**

**Soil under the BGT was sampled and BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. TPH was 700 ppm by Method 418.1 but was only 117 ppm by Method 8015B. The closure standard for this site is 5,000 ppm TPH. Sampling data is attached.**

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
**C-141 is attached.**

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
**Sampling results indicate a minor release occurred.**

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area
**The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and the well site was left in its current condition at the landowner's request. A copy of the agreement is attached.**

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
**The area over the BGT was left in its current condition at the landowner's request. A copy of the agreement is attached.**

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.
**The area over the BGT was left in its current condition at the landowner's request. A copy of the agreement is attached.**

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.
**The area over the BGT was left in its current condition at the landowner's request. A copy of the agreement is attached.**

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.
**BP left the area in its current condition at the landowner's request. A copy of the agreement is attached.**

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves re-vegetation.
**No re-vegetation will be done since the landowner requested the area be left in its current condition. A copy of the agreement is attached.**

15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
    a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
    b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
    c. disposal facility name and permit number
    d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
    e. site reclamation, photo documentation.
    **Closure report on C-144 form is included.**

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
**Certification section of C-144 has been completed.**

bp

**BP America Production Company**
200 Energy Court
Farmington, NM 87401
Phone: (505) 326-9200

August 22, 2013

RE: Landowner – abandonment acceptance approval
Well Name: Cornell B#1
Legals: NWNW Section 14- T29N- R12W
Property: 77A Road 5580, Farmington, NM 87401

Dear Mr. Allen,

The above mentioned well site, on your property, was plugged & abandoned by BP America on 02/02/12. BP and the Landowner acknowledge and agree that BP may leave the property in its current condition with the well site and lease road unrestored and unrevegetated so that Landowner may have the use thereof in its current state and condition.

BP is required to inform the NMOCD that the location and lease road have been left to the landowner's satisfaction. If the property meets your expectations, would you please sign and return this letter to me?

I (James Allen) James Allen personally have inspected the well site and lease road and find the property in good order and to my satisfaction.

Thank you,

Jerry Van Riper
Land – Surface Negotiator
BP America Production Company

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

# Release Notification and Corrective Action

## OPERATOR

☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report

| | |
|---|---|
| Name of Company: BP | Contact: Jeff Peace |
| Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 | Telephone No.: 505-326-9479 |
| Facility Name: Cornell B 1 | Facility Type: Natural gas well |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Surface Owner: Private | Mineral Owner: Federal | API No. 3004508414 |

## LOCATION OF RELEASE

| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | Feet from the | North/South Line | Feet from the | East/West Line | County: San Juan |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| D | 14 | 29N | 12W | 790 | North | 1,130 | West | |

**Latitude** 36.73141 **Longitude** 108.07341

## NATURE OF RELEASE

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Type of Release: condensate or oil | Volume of Release: unknown | Volume Recovered: none |
| Source of Release: below grade tank – 95 bbl, tank B | Date and Hour of Occurrence: unknown | Date and Hour of Discovery: 7/6/2012; 9:05 AM |
| Was Immediate Notice Given? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required | If YES, To Whom? | |
| By Whom? | Date and Hour | |
| Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☒ No | If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. | |

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standards. TPH was 700 ppm by Method 418.1 and 117 ppm by Method 8015B, but the closure standard at this site is 5,000 ppm. Analysis results are attached.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The sandstone bedrock under the BGT was scraped to remove impacted soil. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and was left in its current condition at the request of the landowner. The well has been plugged and abandoned.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Signature: Jeff Peace | OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION | |
| Printed Name: Jeff Peace | Approved by Environmental Specialist: | |
| Title: Field Environmental Coordinator | Approval Date: | Expiration Date: |
| E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com | Conditions of Approval: | Attached ☐ |
| Date: November 13 2014 Phone: 505-326-9479 | | |

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP

**BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC.**
**P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413**
**(505) 632-1199**

API #: 3004508414
TANK ID (if applicble): ~~A~~ & B

# FIELD REPORT:

(circle one): [BGT CONFIRMATION] / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER: ~~21 &~~ 95 BGT~~s~~

PAGE #: 1 of 1

## SITE INFORMATION:

SITE NAME: CORNELL B # 1

DATE STARTED: 07/06/12
DATE FINISHED:

QUAD/UNIT: D SEC: 14 TWP: 29N RNG: 12W PM: NM CNTY: SJ ST: NM

1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 790'N / 1130'W NW/NW LEASE TYPE: [FEDERAL] / STATE / FEE / INDIAN

ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): NV

LEASE #: SF065557 A PROD. FORMATION: DK CONTRACTOR: ELKHORN MBF - J. YEOMANS

## REFERENCE POINT:

WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.73161 X 108.07368 GL ELEV.: 5631'

| | | GPS COORD. | DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1) | ~~21 BBL BGT (SW/DB) - A~~ | ~~36.73164 X 108.07334~~ | ~~99', N 79E~~ |
| 2) | 95 BBL BGT (SW/SB) - B | 36.73141 X 108.07341 | 113', S50.5E |
| 3) | | | |
| 4) | | | |

## SAMPLING DATA:

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL

| | SAMPLE ID: | SAMPLE DATE: | SAMPLE TIME: | LAB ANALYSIS: | OVM READING (ppm) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1) | ~~5PC-TB @ 6', (21 BGT)~~ | ~~07/06/12~~ | ~~0915~~ | ~~418.1, 8015, 8021, 300.0 (Chlor.)~~ | ~~NA~~ |
| 2) | 5PC-TB @ 6' (95 BGT) | 07/06/12 | 0905 | 418.1, 8015, 8021, 300.0 (Chlor.) | NA |
| 3) | | | | | |
| 4) | | | | | |

## SOIL DESCRIPTION:

SOIL TYPE: SAND / [SILTY SAND] / SILT / [SILTY CLAY] / CLAY / GRAVEL / OTHER BEDROCK - (SILTSTONE/SHALE)

SOIL COLOR: MOSTLY DARK YELLOWISH TO GRAYISH ORANGE

COHESION (ALL OTHERS): [NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTLY COHESIVE] / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE

PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / [SLIGHTLY PLASTIC] / COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC

CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LOOSE / [FIRM] / DENSE / VERY DENSE

DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT / [FIRM / STIFF] / VERY STIFF / HARD

MOISTURE: DRY / [SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST] / WET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED

HC ODOR DETECTED: [YES] / NO EXPLANATION - WITHIN DISCOLORED BEDROCK ONLY.

SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB / [COMPOSITE] - # OF PTS. 5

DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: [YES] / NO EXPLANATION - MEDIUM LIGHT TO MEDIUM DARK GRAY WITHIN BEDROCK SURFACE ONLY.

ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / [NO] EXPLANATION -

APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED : [YES] / NO EXPLANATION :

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: BEDROCK - VERY HARD, COMPETENT, SCRAPPED SURFACE WITH BACKHOE & COLLECTED SAMPLE. IMPACTS BENEATH BGT APPEAR HISTORICAL BASED ON DISCOLORATION.

SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: NA ft. X NA ft. X NA ft. EXCAVATION ESTIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA

DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100' NEAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000' NEAREST SURFACE WATER: >1,000' NMOCD TPH CLOSURE STD: 5,000 ppm

## SITE SKETCH

PLOT PLAN circle: attached




OVM CALIB. READ. = NA ppm RF = 0.52
OVM CALIB. GAS = NA ppm
TIME: NA am/pm DATE: NA

## MISCELL. NOTES

WO: N15058455
PO #: 4300049927
PK:
PJ #:
Permit date(s): 06/14/10
OCD Appr. date(s): 05/10/11

| Tank ID | OVM = Organic Vapor Meter ppm = parts per million |
|---|---|
| ~~A~~ | ~~BGT Sidewalls Visible: (Y) / N~~ |
| B | BGT Sidewalls Visible: (Y) / N |
| | BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N |

Magnetic declination: 10° E

NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATION DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD; T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM.

TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT: ONSITE: 07/24/12

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering
**Project:** Cornell B #1
**Lab ID:** 1207347-002 **Matrix:** SOIL

**Client Sample ID:** 5PC-TB @6' (95 BGT)
**Collection Date:** 7/6/2012 9:05:00 AM
**Received Date:** 7/10/2012 9:50:00 AM

| Analyses | Result | RL | Qual | Units | DF | Date Analyzed |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS** | | | | | | Analyst: **SCC** |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 94 | 9.9 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/12/2012 11:01:23 AM |
| Surr: DNOP | 110 | 77.6-140 | | %REC | 1 | 7/12/2012 11:01:23 AM |
| **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** | | | | | | Analyst: **BRM** |
| Chloride | 8.4 | 1.5 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/12/2012 1:29:22 PM |
| **EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES SHORT LIST** | | | | | | Analyst: **RAA** |
| Benzene | ND | 0.048 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Toluene | ND | 0.048 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.048 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.095 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 99.9 | 70-130 | | %REC | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 88.1 | 70-130 | | %REC | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Surr: Dibromofluoromethane | 90.6 | 70-130 | | %REC | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Surr: Toluene-d8 | 86.0 | 70-130 | | %REC | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| **EPA METHOD 8015B MOD: GASOLINE RANGE** | | | | | | Analyst: **RAA** |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 23 | 4.8 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| Surr: BFB | 88.1 | 70-130 | | %REC | 1 | 7/17/2012 1:33:24 PM |
| **EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH** | | | | | | Analyst: **JMP** |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 700 | 20 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 7/17/2012 |

**Qualifiers:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| */X | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | B | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
| E | Value above quantitation range | H | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded |
| J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit |
| R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| S | Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits | U | Samples with CalcVal < MDL |

# QC SUMMARY REPORT

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1207347**

*23-Jul-12*

**Client:** Blagg Engineering
**Project:** Cornell B #1

| Sample ID | **MB-2797** | SampType: | **MBLK** | | TestCode: | **EPA Method 300.0: Anions** | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | **PBS** | Batch ID: | **2797** | | RunNo: | **4007** | | | | |
| Prep Date: | **7/12/2012** | Analysis Date: | **7/12/2012** | | SeqNo: | **114674** | Units: | **mg/Kg** | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloride | ND | 1.5 | | | | | | | | |

| Sample ID | **LCS-2797** | SampType: | **LCS** | | TestCode: | **EPA Method 300.0: Anions** | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | **LCSS** | Batch ID: | **2797** | | RunNo: | **4007** | | | | |
| Prep Date: | **7/12/2012** | Analysis Date: | **7/12/2012** | | SeqNo: | **114675** | Units: | **mg/Kg** | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloride | 15 | 1.5 | 15.00 | 0 | 99.6 | 90 | 110 | | | |

| Sample ID | **1207452-001AMS** | SampType: | **MS** | | TestCode: | **EPA Method 300.0: Anions** | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | **BatchQC** | Batch ID: | **2797** | | RunNo: | **4007** | | | | |
| Prep Date: | **7/12/2012** | Analysis Date: | **7/12/2012** | | SeqNo: | **114688** | Units: | **mg/Kg** | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloride | 25 | 7.5 | 15.00 | 11.47 | 89.2 | 64.4 | 117 | | | |

| Sample ID | **1207452-001AMSD** | SampType: | **MSD** | | TestCode: | **EPA Method 300.0: Anions** | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | **BatchQC** | Batch ID: | **2797** | | RunNo: | **4007** | | | | |
| Prep Date: | **7/12/2012** | Analysis Date: | **7/12/2012** | | SeqNo: | **114689** | Units: | **mg/Kg** | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloride | 28 | 7.5 | 15.00 | 11.47 | 108 | 64.4 | 117 | 10.9 | 20 | |

**Qualifiers:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| */X | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | B | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
| E | Value above quantitation range | H | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded |
| J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit |
| R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |

# QC SUMMARY REPORT

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1207347

*23-Jul-12*

**Client:** Blagg Engineering

**Project:** Cornell B #1

| Sample ID | MB-2839 | SampType: | MBLK | | TestCode: | EPA Method 418.1: TPH | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch ID: | 2839 | | RunNo: | 4076 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 7/16/2012 | Analysis Date: | 7/17/2012 | | SeqNo: | 116753 | Units: | mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | ND | 20 | | | | | | | | |

| Sample ID | LCS-2839 | SampType: | LCS | | TestCode: | EPA Method 418.1: TPH | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch ID: | 2839 | | RunNo: | 4076 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 7/16/2012 | Analysis Date: | 7/17/2012 | | SeqNo: | 116754 | Units: | mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 110 | 20 | 100.0 | 0 | 107 | 80 | 120 | | | |

| Sample ID | LCSD-2839 | SampType: | LCSD | | TestCode: | EPA Method 418.1: TPH | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | LCSS02 | Batch ID: | 2839 | | RunNo: | 4076 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 7/16/2012 | Analysis Date: | 7/17/2012 | | SeqNo: | 116755 | Units: | mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 100 | 20 | 100.0 | 0 | 100 | 80 | 120 | 5.99 | 20 | |

**Qualifiers:**

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

# QC SUMMARY REPORT

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1207347**

*23-Jul-12*

**Client:** Blagg Engineering

**Project:** Cornell B #1

| Sample ID **MB-2786** | SampType: **MBLK** | TestCode: **EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics** |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: **PBS** | Batch ID: **2786** | RunNo: **3976** |
| Prep Date: **7/11/2012** | Analysis Date: **7/12/2012** | SeqNo: **113960** Units: **mg/Kg** |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 11 | | 10.00 | | 113 | 77.6 | 140 | | | |

| Sample ID **LCS-2786** | SampType: **LCS** | TestCode: **EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics** |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: **LCSS** | Batch ID: **2786** | RunNo: **3976** |
| Prep Date: **7/11/2012** | Analysis Date: **7/12/2012** | SeqNo: **113961** Units: **mg/Kg** |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 39 | 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 78.6 | 52.6 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 5.0 | | 5.000 | | 99.1 | 77.6 | 140 | | | |

| Sample ID **1207347-001AMS** | SampType: **MS** | TestCode: **EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics** |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: **5PC-TB @6' (21 BG** | Batch ID: **2786** | RunNo: **3976** |
| Prep Date: **7/11/2012** | Analysis Date: **7/12/2012** | SeqNo: **114238** Units: **mg/Kg** |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 35 | 10 | 50.25 | 0 | 70.5 | 57.2 | 146 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 4.3 | | 5.025 | | 85.9 | 77.6 | 140 | | | |

| Sample ID **1207347-001AMSD** | SampType: **MSD** | TestCode: **EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics** |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: **5PC-TB @6' (21 BG** | Batch ID: **2786** | RunNo: **3976** |
| Prep Date: **7/11/2012** | Analysis Date: **7/12/2012** | SeqNo: **114239** Units: **mg/Kg** |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 35 | 10 | 49.90 | 0 | 69.8 | 57.2 | 146 | 1.73 | 24.5 | |
| Surr: DNOP | 4.3 | | 4.990 | | 86.1 | 77.6 | 140 | 0 | 0 | |

**Qualifiers:**

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

# QC SUMMARY REPORT

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1207347

*23-Jul-12*

**Client:** Blagg Engineering

**Project:** Cornell B #1

| Sample ID mb-2778 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 2778 | RunNo: 4074 |
| Prep Date: 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: 7/16/2012 | SeqNo: 116681 Units: mg/Kg |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Benzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 0.50 | | 0.5000 | | 99.2 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.40 | | 0.5000 | | 80.8 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: Dibromofluoromethane | 0.45 | | 0.5000 | | 89.0 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: Toluene-d8 | 0.46 | | 0.5000 | | 91.4 | 70 | 130 | | | |

| Sample ID lcs-2778 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 2778 | RunNo: 4074 |
| Prep Date: 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: 7/16/2012 | SeqNo: 116682 Units: mg/Kg |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Benzene | 1.2 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 118 | 70.7 | 123 | | | |
| Toluene | 0.91 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 91.0 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 0.50 | | 0.5000 | | 99.6 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.42 | | 0.5000 | | 84.5 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: Dibromofluoromethane | 0.44 | | 0.5000 | | 87.6 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: Toluene-d8 | 0.43 | | 0.5000 | | 86.3 | 70 | 130 | | | |

| Sample ID 1207347-002AMS | SampType: MS | TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: 5PC-TB @6' (95 BG | Batch ID: 2778 | RunNo: 4093 |
| Prep Date: 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: 7/17/2012 | SeqNo: 117256 Units: mg/Kg |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Benzene | 1.0 | 0.049 | 0.9737 | 0 | 107 | 81.3 | 119 | | | |
| Toluene | 0.95 | 0.049 | 0.9737 | 0 | 97.9 | 75 | 121 | | | |
| Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 0.48 | | 0.4869 | | 98.1 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.45 | | 0.4869 | | 92.8 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: Dibromofluoromethane | 0.45 | | 0.4869 | | 93.0 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: Toluene-d8 | 0.44 | | 0.4869 | | 89.8 | 70 | 130 | | | |

| Sample ID 1207347-002AMSD | SampType: MSD | TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List |
|---|---|---|
| Client ID: 5PC-TB @6' (95 BG | Batch ID: 2778 | RunNo: 4093 |
| Prep Date: 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: 7/17/2012 | SeqNo: 117257 Units: mg/Kg |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Benzene | 1.1 | 0.050 | 0.9921 | 0 | 116 | 81.3 | 119 | 9.90 | 15.7 | |
| Toluene | 0.99 | 0.050 | 0.9921 | 0 | 99.4 | 75 | 121 | 3.42 | 16.2 | |
| Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 0.49 | | 0.4960 | | 98.5 | 70 | 130 | 0 | 0 | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.40 | | 0.4960 | | 80.3 | 70 | 130 | 0 | 0 | |

**Qualifiers:**

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

# QC SUMMARY REPORT

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1207347**

*23-Jul-12*

**Client:** Blagg Engineering

**Project:** Cornell B #1

Sample ID **1207347-002AMSD** SampType: **MSD** TestCode: **EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List**

Client ID: **5PC-TB @6' (95 BG** Batch ID: **2778** RunNo: **4093**

Prep Date: **7/11/2012** Analysis Date: **7/17/2012** SeqNo: **117257** Units: **mg/Kg**

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Surr: Dibromofluoromethane | 0.51 | | 0.4960 | | 104 | 70 | 130 | 0 | 0 | |
| Surr: Toluene-d8 | 0.46 | | 0.4960 | | 93.5 | 70 | 130 | 0 | 0 | |

**Qualifiers:**

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

# QC SUMMARY REPORT

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1207347**

*23-Jul-12*

**Client:** Blagg Engineering

**Project:** Cornell B #1

| Sample ID | mb-2778 | SampType: | MBLK | | TestCode: | EPA Method 8015B Mod: Gasoline Range | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch ID: | 2778 | | RunNo: | 4074 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: | 7/16/2012 | | SeqNo: | 116688 | Units: | mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 5.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 400 | | 500.0 | | 80.8 | 70 | 130 | | | |

| Sample ID | LCS-2778 | SampType: | LCS | | TestCode: | EPA Method 8015B Mod: Gasoline Range | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch ID: | 2778 | | RunNo: | 4074 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: | 7/16/2012 | | SeqNo: | 116690 | Units: | mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 29 | 5.0 | 25.00 | 0 | 118 | 85 | 115 | | | S |
| Surr: BFB | 440 | | 500.0 | | 87.3 | 70 | 130 | | | |

| Sample ID | 1207347-001ams | SampType: | MS | | TestCode: | EPA Method 8015B Mod: Gasoline Range | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | 5PC-TB @6' (21 BG | Batch ID: | 2778 | | RunNo: | 4074 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: | 7/16/2012 | | SeqNo: | 116691 | Units: | mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 22 | 4.9 | 24.73 | 0 | 90.9 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 400 | | 494.6 | | 81.6 | 70 | 130 | | | |

| Sample ID | 1207347-001amsd | SampType: | MSD | | TestCode: | EPA Method 8015B Mod: Gasoline Range | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | 5PC-TB @6' (21 BG | Batch ID: | 2778 | | RunNo: | 4074 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 7/11/2012 | Analysis Date: | 7/16/2012 | | SeqNo: | 116692 | Units: | mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 21 | 4.7 | 23.32 | 0 | 89.0 | 70 | 130 | 7.94 | 20 | |
| Surr: BFB | 380 | | 466.4 | | 81.8 | 70 | 130 | 0 | 0 | |

**Qualifiers:**

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit



20

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

*Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory*
*4901 Hawkins NE*
*Albuquerque, NM 87109*
*TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107*
*Website: www.hallenvironmental.com*

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Number: 1207347

Received by/date: [signature] 07/10/12

Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 7/10/2012 9:50:00 AM [signature]

Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 7/10/2012 4:31:41 PM [signature]

Reviewed By: [signature] 07/11/12

## Chain of Custody

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Were seals intact? | Yes | No | Not Present ✔ |
| 2. | Is Chain of Custody complete? | Yes ✔ | No | Not Present |
| 3. | How was the sample delivered? | Courier | | |

## Log In

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. | Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) | Yes ✔ | No | NA |
| 5. | Was an attempt made to cool the samples? | Yes ✔ | No | NA |
| 6. | Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C | Yes ✔ | No | NA |
| 7. | Sample(s) in proper container(s)? | Yes ✔ | No | |
| 8. | Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? | Yes ✔ | No | |
| 9. | Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? | Yes ✔ | No | |
| 10. | Was preservative added to bottles? | Yes | No ✔ | NA |
| 11. | VOA vials have zero headspace? | Yes | No | No VOA Vials ✔ |
| 12. | Were any sample containers received broken? | Yes | No ✔ | |
| 13. | Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) | Yes ✔ | No | # of preserved bottles checked for pH: |
| 14. | Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? | Yes ✔ | No | (<2 or >12 unless noted) |
| 15. | Is it clear what analyses were requested? | Yes ✔ | No | Adjusted? |
| 16. | Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) | Yes ✔ | No | Checked by: |

## Special Handling (if applicable)

17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✔

Person Notified: Date:

By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person

Regarding:

Client Instructions:

18. Additional remarks:

19. Cooler Information

| Cooler No | Temp °C | Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No | Seal Date | Signed By |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3.4 | Good | Yes | | | |

# Chain-of-Custody Record

Client: **BLAGG ENGR. / BP AMERICA**

Mailing Address: **P.O. BOX 87**
**BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413**

Phone #: **(505) 632-1199**

email or Fax#:

QA/QC Package:
☑ Standard ☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)

Accreditation:
☐ NELAP ☐ Other
☐ EDD (Type)

Turn-Around Time:
☑ Standard ☐ Rush

Project Name:
**CORNELL B #1**

Project #:

Project Manager:
**NELSON VELEZ**

Sampler: **NELSON VELEZ**

On Ice: ☑ Yes ☐ No

Sample Temperature: 3.4

**HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY**
www.hallenvironmental.com
4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109
Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107

Analysis Request

| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Container Type and # | Preservative Type | HEAL No. 1207347 | BTEX + ~~MTBE + TMB's~~ (8021B) | BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only) | TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel) | TPH (Method 418.1) | EDB (Method 504.1) | 8310 (PNA or PAH) | RCRA 8 Metals | Anions (F, Cl, NO3, NO2, PO4, SO4) | 8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's | 8260B (VOA) | 8270 (Semi-VOA) | Chloride (300.0) | | Grab sample | 5 pt. composite sample |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ~~7/6/12~~ | ~~0915~~ | ~~SOIL~~ | ~~5PC-TB @ 5' (21 BGT)~~ | ~~4 oz. 3~~ | ~~Cool~~ | -001 | √ | | √ | √ | | | | | | | | √ | | | √ |
| 7/6/12 | 0905 | SOIL | 5PC-TB @ 6' (95 BGT) | 4 oz. - 2 | Cool | -002 | √ | | √ | √ | | | | | | | | √ | | | √ |

| Date: | Time: | Relinquished by: | Received by: | Date | Time |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7/9/12 | 1100 | | | 7/9/12 | 1100 |
| Date: | Time: | Relinquished by: | Received by: | Date | Time |
| 7/9/12 | 1751 | | | 7/10/12 | 0950 |

Remarks: **TPH (8015B) - GRO & DRO ONLY.**

**Send invoice to :**
**Blagg Engineering, Inc.**
**P.O. Box 87**
**Bloomfield, NM 87413**

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

# CORNELL B # 1




Previous 95 bbl BGT
Position (Tank ID: B)
Plugged &
Abandoned Marker
B