District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
12696 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2015
NMOCD
DISTRICT III

Type of action:
☐ Below grade tank registration
☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
45-31327 ☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: _XTO Energy, Inc_____ OGRID #:5380_____
Address: 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410_____
Facility or well name: _FRPC 29-3_____
API Number: 30-045-31327_____ OCD Permit Number: _____
U/L or Qtr/Qtr _K_____ Section ___29_____ Township ____29N_____ Range ___13W_____ County: San Juan _____
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.696473_____ Longitude _-108.233309_____ NAD: ☐1927 ☐ 1983
Surface Owner: ☒ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.
☐ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness _____mil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: _____bbl Dimensions: L_____ x W_____ x D_____

3.
☒ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: __21_____bbl Type of fluid: _Produced Water_____
Tank Construction material: _Steel_____
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _____
Liner type: Thickness _____mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____

4.
☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)*
☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top *(Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)*
☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
☐ Alternate. Please specify_____

6.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)*

☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other_____

☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. **Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.**

General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit . NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
- ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H_2S, Prevention Plan
- ☐ Emergency Response Plan
- ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- ☐ Erosion Control Plan
- ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.

Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit ☐ Alternative

Proposed Closure Method:
- ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal
- ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
- ☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
  - ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
- ☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.

Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- ☐ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.

Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
- ☐ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.
Operator Application Certification:

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.
OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☒ Closure ~~Plan (only)~~ ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)

OCD Representative Signature: Jonathan P. Kelly **Approval Date:** 3/25/2015

Title: Compliance Officer **OCD Permit Number:** _____

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☒ **Closure Completion Date: February 18, 2015**

20.
Closure Method:

☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)

☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☒ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- ☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- ☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- ☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- ☒ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- ☒ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- ☒ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude _____ Longitude _____ NAD: ☐ 1927 ☐ 1983

22.

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Logan Hixon Title:EHS Coordinator

Signature: Logan Hixon Date: February 23, 2015

e-mail address: Logan_Hixon@xtoenergy.com Telephone: (505) 333-3100

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report

Name of Company: XTO Energy, Inc.	Contact: Logan Hixon
Address: 382 Road 3100, Aztec, New Mexico 87410	Telephone No.: (505) 333-3683
Facility Name: FRPC 29-3	Facility Type: Gas Well (Fruitland Coal)

Surface Owner: Federal Land	Mineral Owner	API No. 30-045-31327

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
K	29	29 N	13W	2210	FSL	1530	FSL	San Juan

Latitude: N36*.696473 **Longitude:** W-108*.233309

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release: N/A	Volume of Release:	Volume Recovered:
Source of Release: N/A	Date and Hour of Occurrence: N/A	Date and Hour of Discovery: N/A
Was Immediate Notice Given? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required	If YES, To Whom? N/A	
By Whom?	Date and Hour	
Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☒ No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*
The below grade tank was taken out of service at the FRPC 29-3 well site due to the P&A'ing of this well site. A composite sample was collected beneath the location of the on-site BGT, and submitted for laboratory analysis for TPH via USEPA Method 8015 (C6-C36), Benzene and BTEX via USEPA Method 8021, and for total chlorides. The sample returned results below the 'Pit Rule' spill confirmation standards for TPH, Benzene, Total BTEX and the total chlorides, confirming that a release has not occurred at this location.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*
No release has been confirmed for this location.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature: Logan Hixon	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
Printed Name: Logan Hixon	Approved by Environmental Specialist:	
Title: EHS Coordinator	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: Logan_Hixon@xtoenergy.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached ☐
Date: Feb 23, 2015 Phone: 505-333-3683		

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

XTO Energy Inc.
San Juan Basin
Below Grade Tank
Closure Report

Lease Name: FRPC 29-3
API No.: 30-045-31327
Description: Unit K, Section 29, Township 29N, Range 13W, San Juan County

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure requirements of below-grade tanks on XTO Energy Inc. (XTO) locations. This is XTO's standard procedure for all below-grade tanks. A separate plan will be submitted for any below-grade tank which does not conform to this plan.

General Plan

1. XTO will close below-grade tanks within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment.
   Closure Date is February 18, 2015

2. XTO will close a below-grade tank that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC.
   Closure Date is February 18, 2015

3. XTO will close a permitted below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tank's operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B of 19.15.17.17 NMAC in accordance with a closure plan that the appropriate division district office approves. The closure report will be filed on form C-144.
   Required C-144 Form is attached to this document.

4. XTO will remove liquids and sludge from below-grade tanks prior to implementing a closure method and will dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. Approved facilities and waste streams include:
   Envirotech Permit No. NM01-0011 and IEI Permit No. NM 01-0010B
   Soil contaminated by exempt petroleum hydrocarbons
   Produced sand, pit sludge and contaminated bottoms from storage of exempt wastes
   Basin Disposal Permit No. NM01-005
   Produced water
   All liquids and sludge were removed from the tank prior to closure activities.

5. XTO will remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.
   XTO has removed the below grade tank, and will dispose of it at a division approved facility, or recycle, reclaim or reuse it in a manner that is approved by the division.

6. XTO will remove any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.
All equipment has been removed due to the plugging and abandoning of the FRPC 29-3 well site.

7. XTO will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. At a minimum 5 point composite sample will be collected along with individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release. Samples will be analyzed for BTEX, TPH and chlorides to demonstrate that the benzene concentration, as determined by EPA SW-846 methods 8021B or 8260B or EPA method that the division approves, does not exceed 0.2 mg/kg; total BTEX concentration, as determined by EPA SW-846 methods 8021B or 8260B or other EPA method that the division approves, does not exceed 50 mg/kg; the TPH concentration, as determined by EPA method 418.1 or other EPA method that the division approves, does not exceed 100mg/kg; and the chloride concentration, as determined by EPA method 300.1 or other EPA method that the division approves, does not exceed 250 mg/kg, or the background concentration, whichever is greater. XTO will notify the division of its results on form C-141.

A five point composite sample was taken of the pit using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached).

Components	Test Method	Limit (mg/Kg)	Results
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	**< 0.042 mg/kg**
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	**< 0.2090 mg/kg**
TPH	EPA SW-846 8015 (C6-C36)	100	**<64.10 mg/kg**
Chlorides	EPA 300.1	250 or background	**< 30.0 mg/kg**

8. If XTO or the division determines that a release has occurred, XTO will comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19NMAC as appropriate.
No release has been confirmed at this location

9. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, XTO will backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.
The pit cellar was backfilled using compacted, non-waste containing earthen material, with a division prescribed soil cover.

10. Notice of Closure operations will be given to the Aztec Division District III office between 72 hours and one week prior to the start of closure activities via email or verbally.
The notification will include the following:
    i. Operator's name
    ii. Well Name and API Number
    iii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range

Notification was provided to Mr. Brandon Powell with the Aztec office of the OCD via email on February 12, 2015; see attached email printout.

The surface owner shall be notified of XTO's proposal to close the BGT as per the approved closure plan using certified mail, return receipt requested.
The surface owner was notified on February 12, 2015 via email. Email has been approved as a means of surface owner notification to the BLM by Brandon Powell, NMOCD Aztec Office.

11. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.
The location will be recontoured to match the above specifications.

12. A minimum of 4 feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include 1 foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.
The site has been backfilled to match these specifications.

13. XTO will seed the disturbed areas the first growing season after the operator closes the pit. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM or Forest Service stipulated seed mixes will be used on federal lands. Vegetative cover will equal 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted) consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintain that cover through two successive growing seasons. Repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.
Site will be reclaimed pursuant to the BLM MOU.

14. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted in closure report form to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on form C-144 and incorporate the following:
    i. Proof of closure notice to division and surface owner; **attached**
    ii. Details on capping and covering, where applicable; **per OCD Specifications**
    iii. Inspection reports; **attached**
    iv. Confirmation sampling analytical results; **attached**
    v. Disposal facility name(s) and permit number(s); **see above**
    vi. Soil backfilling and cover installation; **per OCD Specifications**
    vii. Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques, (or approved alternative to re-vegetation requirements if applicable); **Per BLM MOU.**
    viii. Photo documentation of the site reclamation. **attached**

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

February 18, 2015

Logan Hixon
XTO Energy
382 County Road 3100
Aztec, NM 87410
TEL: (505) 386-8018
FAX (505) 333-3280

RE: FRPC 29-3 OrderNo.: 1502681

Dear Logan Hixon:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 2/17/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman
Laboratory Manager
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report
Lab Order **1502681**
Date Reported: **2/18/2015**

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: XTO Energy
Project: FRPC 29-3
Lab ID: 1502681-001 **Matrix:** SOIL

Client Sample ID: FARLH-021515-1030/BGT Com
Collection Date: 2/16/2015 10:30:00 AM
Received Date: 2/17/2015 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS						Analyst: **JME**	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.9		mg/Kg	1	2/17/2015 10:01:54 AM	17775
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50		mg/Kg	1	2/17/2015 10:01:54 AM	17775
Surr: DNOP	96.0	63.5-128		%REC	1	2/17/2015 10:01:54 AM	17775
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst: **NSB**	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.2		mg/Kg	1	2/17/2015 11:40:28 AM	17762
Surr: BFB	91.1	80-120		%REC	1	2/17/2015 11:40:28 AM	17762
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst: **NSB**	
Benzene	ND	0.042		mg/Kg	1	2/17/2015 11:40:28 AM	17762
Toluene	ND	0.042		mg/Kg	1	2/17/2015 11:40:28 AM	17762
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.042		mg/Kg	1	2/17/2015 11:40:28 AM	17762
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.083		mg/Kg	1	2/17/2015 11:40:28 AM	17762
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.0	80-120		%REC	1	2/17/2015 11:40:28 AM	17762
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst: **LGT**	
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	2/17/2015 12:14:09 PM	17780

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1502681**

18-Feb-15

Client: XTO Energy
Project: FRPC 29-3

Sample ID **MB-17780**	SampType: **MBLK**			TestCode: **EPA Method 300.0: Anions**						
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **17780**			RunNo: **24367**						
Prep Date: **2/17/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**			SeqNo: **718067**		Units: **mg/Kg**				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID **LCS-17780**	SampType: **LCS**			TestCode: **EPA Method 300.0: Anions**						
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **17780**			RunNo: **24367**						
Prep Date: **2/17/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**			SeqNo: **718068**		Units: **mg/Kg**				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	93.0	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1502681**

18-Feb-15

Client: XTO Energy
Project: FRPC 29-3

Sample ID **MB-17775** SampType: **MBLK** TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: **17775** RunNo: **24337**
Prep Date: **2/17/2015** Analysis Date: **2/17/2015** SeqNo: **717360** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP	12		10.00		122	63.5	128			

Sample ID **LCS-17775** SampType: **LCS** TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **LCSS** Batch ID: **17775** RunNo: **24337**
Prep Date: **2/17/2015** Analysis Date: **2/17/2015** SeqNo: **717361** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	59	10	50.00	0	119	67.8	130			
Surr: DNOP	5.7		5.000		114	63.5	128			

Sample ID **1502681-001AMS** SampType: **MS** TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **FARLH-021515-1030** Batch ID: **17775** RunNo: **24337**
Prep Date: **2/17/2015** Analysis Date: **2/17/2015** SeqNo: **717370** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	49	9.8	48.92	0	99.5	29.2	176			
Surr: DNOP	4.9		4.892		99.6	63.5	128			

Sample ID **1502681-001AMSD** SampType: **MSD** TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **FARLH-021515-1030** Batch ID: **17775** RunNo: **24337**
Prep Date: **2/17/2015** Analysis Date: **2/17/2015** SeqNo: **717526** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	47	10	50.00	0	94.7	29.2	176	2.78	23	
Surr: DNOP	4.9		5.000		97.9	63.5	128	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1502681**

18-Feb-15

Client: XTO Energy
Project: FRPC 29-3

Sample ID **MB-17762**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **17762**	RunNo: **24348**
Prep Date: **2/16/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**	SeqNo: **717850** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	910		1000		90.7	80	120			

Sample ID **LCS-17762**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **17762**	RunNo: **24348**
Prep Date: **2/16/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**	SeqNo: **717851** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	25	5.0	25.00	0	101	64	130			
Surr: BFB	970		1000		96.5	80	120			

Sample ID **LCSD-17762**	SampType: **LCSD**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range**
Client ID: **LCSS02**	Batch ID: **17762**	RunNo: **24348**
Prep Date: **2/16/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**	SeqNo: **717852** Units: **%REC**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB	970							0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1502681**

18-Feb-15

Client: XTO Energy
Project: FRPC 29-3

Sample ID **MB-17762**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **17762**	RunNo: **24348**
Prep Date: **2/16/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**	SeqNo: **717868** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.99		1.000		99.0	80	120			

Sample ID **LCS-17762**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **17762**	RunNo: **24348**
Prep Date: **2/16/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**	SeqNo: **717869** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	108	80	120			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	105	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.1	0.10	3.000	0	103	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		104	80	120			

Sample ID **LCSD-17762**	SampType: **LCSD**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**
Client ID: **LCSS02**	Batch ID: **17762**	RunNo: **24348**
Prep Date: **2/16/2015**	Analysis Date: **2/17/2015**	SeqNo: **717870** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	108	80	120	0.228	20	
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	108	80	120	3.04	20	
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	80	120	1.50	20	
Xylenes, Total	3.1	0.10	3.000	0	104	80	120	1.61	20	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		105	80	120	0		

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: XTO Energy | Work Order Number: 1502681 | RcptNo: 1

Received by/date: AT 02/17/15

Logged By: Anne Thorne 2/17/2015 7:30:00 AM Anne Thorne

Completed By: Anne Thorne 2/17/2015 Anne Thorne

Reviewed By: 02/18/15

Chain of Custody

1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☐	Not Present ☑
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes ☑	No ☐	Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier		

Log In

4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☑	NA ☐
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes ☐	No ☐	No VOA Vials ☑
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes ☐	No ☑	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes ☑	No ☐	
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes ☑	No ☐	

# of preserved bottles checked for pH: _____ (<2 or >12 unless noted)

Adjusted? _____

Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☑

Person Notified: _____ Date _____

By Whom: _____ Via: ☐ eMail ☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ In Person

Regarding: _____

Client Instructions: _____

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.3	Good	Yes			

*Same Day

XTO ENERGY
Western Division

Quote Number	Page 1 of 1	
XTO Contact: Logan H.	XTO Contact Phone #: 505 386-8018	
Email Results to: Logan, Kurt, James		
Well Site/Location: F Rpc 29-J	API Number: 30-045-31327	Test Reason: BGT Closure (BHA)
Collected By: Logan Hixon	Samples on Ice (Y/N)	Turnaround: ___ Standard; X Next Day Same day; ___ Two Day; ___ Three Day; ___ Std. 5 Bus. Days (by contract); Date Needed ___
Company: XTO	QA/QC Requested	
Signature: Logan H.	Gray Areas for Lab Use Only!	

Analysis

Sample ID	Sample Name	Media	Date	Time	Preservative	No. of Conts.	8015 Ext (C6-C36)	8021 (BTEX)	Chlorides	Sample Number
FARLH-021615-1030	BGT composite	S	2-16	1030	cool	1-4oz	X	X	X	150218-001

Lab Information

Office Abbreviations
Farmington = FAR
Durango = DUR
Bakken = BAK
Raton = RAT
Piceance = PC
Roosevelt = RSV
La Barge = LB
Orangeville = OV

Media: Filter = F Soil = S Wastewater = WW Groundwater = GW Drinking Waster = DW Sludge = SG Surface Water = SW Air = A Drill Mud = DM Other = OT

	Date	Time		
Relinquished By: (Signature) Logan H.	2-16-15	1130	Received By: (Signature) Mistie Walker	Number of Bottles / Temperature: 1-3 / Sample Condition / Other Information
Relinquished By: (Signature) Mistie Walker	2-16-15	1743	Received By: (Signature)	
Relinquished By: (Signature)	Date:	Time:	Received for Lab By: (Signature)	Date: 02/17/15 Time: 0730

Comments

* Sample ID will be the office and sampler-date-military time FARJM-MMDDYY-1200

Hixon, Logan

From: Hixon, Logan
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 6:49 PM
To: MARK KELLY (mark_kelly@blm.gov); Smith, Cory, EMNRD
Cc: McDaniel, James (James_McDaniel@xtoenergy.com); Hoekstra, Kurt; Espinosa, Tony; Dawes, Thomas (Thomas_Dawes@xtoenergy.com); Trujillo, Marcos (Marcos_Trujillo@xtoenergy.com); Dryer, David; Baxstrom, Scott (Scott_Baxstrom@xtoenergy.com); McCollum, Luke (Luke_McCollum@xtoenergy.com); Beaty, Brent (Brent_Beaty@xtoenergy.com)
Subject: 2-12-15, 72 Hour BGT Closure Notification 2/12/15-2/19/15-FRPC 29-3 (30-045-31327)

Mr. Kelly & Mr. Smith

Please accept this email as the required 72 hour notification for BGT closure activities at the following site:

-F RPC 29-3 (API 30-045-31327) located in Section 29(K), Township 29N, Range 13W, San Juan County, New Mexico.

This BGT is being closed due to the plugging and abandoning of this well site.

The closure plan was approved on February 12, 2015.

Work is tentatively scheduled for Monday February 16, 2015 at approximately 1000 MST.

If there is any unforeseen delays in closure of this BGT and it will not be closed within a week's time (February 20, 2015), a follow up email notification will be made for the change.

Thank you and have a good day!

If you have any questions or concerns do not hesitate to contact me at anytime. Thank you and have a good day!

Thank You!

XTO ENERGY INC., an ExxonMobil subsidiary
Logan Hixon | 72 Suttle Street, Suite J | Durango, CO 81303 | ph: 970-247-7708 | Cell: 505-386-8018
Logan Hixon | 382 CR 3100 | Aztec, NM 87410 | ph: 505-333-3100 | Logan_Hixon@xtoenergy.com

Well Below Tank Inspection Report

RouteName	StopName	Pumper	Foreman	WellName	APIWellNumber	Section	Range	Township
DEN NM Run 68	FRPC 29 003	Martin, Eugene	Morrow, Pete	FRPC 29 03	3004531327	29	13W	29N

InspectorName	Inspection Date	Inspection Time	Visible LinerTears	VisibleTankLeak Overflow	Collection OfSurfaceRun	Visible LayerOil	Visible Leak	Freeboard EstFT	PitLocation	PitType	Notes
Waddy Altman	08/21/2008	100:00	No	No	No	No	No	6			
alonsomedrano	09/11/2008	02:30	No	No	No	No	No	6			
alonsomedrano	11/11/2008	01:00	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonsomedrano	12/31/2008	01:40	No	No	No	No	No	3	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonso medrano	01/05/2009	02:00	No	No	No	No	No	3	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonso medrano	03/03/2009	03:55	No	No	No	No	No	4	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonso medrano	04/03/2009	02:43	No	No	No	No	No	4	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonso medrano	05/19/2009	01:06	No	No	No	No	No	4	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonso medrano	06/06/2009	02:35	No	No	No	No	No	4	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonso medrano	07/08/2009	03:04	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below Ground	
alonso medrano	08/08/2009	03:00	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below Ground	
JOSEPH MAESTAS	04/05/2010	13:58	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below Ground	
JOSEPH MAESTAS	05/16/2010	11:55	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below G	jm
JOSEPH MAESTAS	06/19/2010	09:45	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below G	jm
JOSEPH MAESTAS	07/18/2010	12:55	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below G	jm
JOSEPH MAESTAS	08/18/2010	12:28	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below G	jm
JOSEPH MAESTAS	09/29/2010	09:13	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below G	jm
JOSEPH MAESTAS	10/04/2010	15:00	No	No	No	No	No	5	Well Water	Below G	jm
Travis Callas	11/07/2010	13:14	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	tc
joseph maestas	12/05/2010	11:02	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm
joseph maestas	01/17/2011	02:34	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	04/08/2011	14:47	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	05/12/2011	12:35	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	07/26/2011	11:30	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	08/23/2011	10:11	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	09/14/2011	11:24	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	10/03/2011	11:29	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	11/17/2011	11:10	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	12/07/2011	10:16	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	01/24/2012	09:12	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	02/02/2012	12:35	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,
joseph maestas	03/20/2012	14:31	No	No	No	No	No	6	Well Water	Below G	jm,

XTO Energy, Inc.
FRPC 29-3 (30-045-31327)
Section 29(K), Township 29N, Range 13W
Closure Date: February 18, 2015

Photo 1: FRPC 29-3 after backfill of BGT.

Photo 2: FRPC 29-3 after backfill of BGT.

XTO Energy, Inc.
FRPC 29-3 (30-045-31327)
Section 29(K), Township 29N, Range 13W
Closure Date: February 18, 2015

Photo 3: FRPC 29-3 after backfill of BGT.

Photo 4: FRPC 29-3 after backfill of BGT.

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2015
NMOCD
DISTRICT III

January 27, 2015

Mr. Cory Smith
Oil Conservation Division
1000 Rio Brazos Rd.
Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Email: cory.smith@state.nm.us
Phone (505) 334-6178 Ext 115

RE: VARIANCE REQUEST FOR 19.15.17 NMAC TABLE I AND TABLE II

Mr. Smith,

Please accept this letter as a variance request as outlined in 19.15.17.15(A) NMAC. XTO Energy would like to request the replacement of USEPA Method 418.1 for the analysis of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) for USEPA Method 8015M, measuring carbon ranges C6-C36, for all sampling associated with closures and confirmations samples in relation to 19.15.17 NMAC, both in Table I and Table II (2103) and the 'pit rule' passed in 2008.

XTO Energy is requesting this variance on the grounds that USEPA Method 418.1 is an outdated analytical method that reports a full range of hydrocarbons from C_8 through C_{40}. (*Reference: American Petroleum Institute*). The attached table demonstrates the carbon ranges, and the typical hydrocarbon products that can be found in those ranges. As you can see, lube oil ranges from C_{28}-C_{35}. Analytical Method USEPA 418.1 extends past lube oils from C_{35} through C_{40}. This range of hydrocarbons is above the range that can reasonably be expected to be found in our field in both drilling pits and beneath below grade tanks. USEPA Method 8015M (GRO/DRO + extended analysis) will report hydrocarbons ranging from C_6-C_{10} for GRO, C_{10}-C_{28} for DRO, and C_{28}-C_{36} for extended analysis. This information was provided by Environmental Science Corporation Laboratories. As the information demonstrates, the 8015M analytical method reports as low as C_6, reporting lower than USEPA Method 418.1. Utilizing analytical method 8015M, lighter range hydrocarbons will be reported instead of higher range, heavy hydrocarbons that may not be reasonably expected to be found in our field. Utilization of USEPA Method 8015M will better protect groundwater resources by identifying lighter, more mobile hydrocarbons that USEPA Method 418.1 cannot identify. The heavier range hydrocarbons, C_{36}-C_{40}, that are not identified by USEPA Method 8015M are not a mobile form of hydrocarbon, and are not a threat to human health and the environment. With your acceptance of this variance request, XTO Energy will begin utilizing USEPA Method 8015M in place of USEPA Method 418.1 for all sampling activities associated with 19.15.17 NMAC, both from the rules passed in 2008 and 2013.

Respectfully Submitted,

James McDaniel, CHMM #15676
EH&S Supervisor
XTO Energy, Inc.
Western Division

Carbon Ranges of Typical Hydrocarbons

Hydrocarbon	Carbon Range
Condensate	C2-C12
Aromatics	C5-C7
Gasoline	C7-C11
Kerosene	C6-C16
Diesel Fuel	C8-C21
Fuel Oil #1	C9-C16
Fuel Oil #2	C11-C20
Heating Oil	C14-C20
Lube Oil	C28-C35