	<b>P</b>
*	<u>District I</u>
	<ul> <li>1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240</li> </ul>
	District II
	811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
	District III
	1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
	District IV
	1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

*۳* \_ د

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
N2797 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: $\Box$ Below grade tank registration $U5 - 248966$ $\Box$ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method $\Box$ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method $\Box$ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Derator: BP America Production CompanyOGRID #:778RECEIVED
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401         Facility or well name:Gallegos Canyon Unit Com E 161E
API Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr N Section 23 Township 29NRange 13W County: San-Juani Ric.
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.70559 Longitude108.17989 NAD:1927 🛛 1983
Surface Owner: 🛛 Federal 🔲 State 🗌 Private 🗋 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
<ul> <li>2.</li> <li>Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>Temporary: Drilling Workover</li> <li>Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&amp;A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no</li> <li>Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil</li> <li>LLDPE HDPE PVC Other</li> <li>String-Reinforced</li> </ul>
Liner Seams: 🗌 Welded 🗋 Factory 🗋 Other Volume:bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
3. Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A
Volume:95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water
Tank Construction material:Steel
Secondary containment with leak detection 🗌 Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
□ Visible sidewalls and liner □ Visible sidewalls only
Liner type: Thickness mil HDPE PVC Other
<ul> <li><u>Alternative Method</u>:</li> <li>Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.</li> </ul>

21

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)

Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

Alternate. Please specify

6

7.

**Netting:** Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other\_

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
<ul> <li>Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
Below Grade Tanks	
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	
ropographic map, violal inspection (contineation) of the proposed site	🔲 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 500 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li><sup>10.</sup></li> <li><u>Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist</u>: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.</i></li> <li><u>Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks)</u> - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> </ul>	NMAC
<ul> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
II. M. M. W. H. PL, 'J. Management <b>P:</b> Charle's de la sectione Des C10.15, 17.0 NMAC	
<u>Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the down of the second seco	cuments are
attached.	
Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
<ul> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.</li> </ul>	
Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19	.15.17.9 NMAC
and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
<ul> <li>Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

, ,

.

12.	
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.	
<ul> <li>Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Climatological Factors Assessment	
Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan	
<ul> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H <sub>2</sub> S, Prevention Plan	
$\square$ Emergency Response Plan	
Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization	
Monitoring and Inspection Plan	
<ul> <li>Erosion Control Plan</li> <li>Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	
13. Proposed Closures 10.15.17.12 NMAC	
<u>Proposed Closure</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Fi	uid Management Pit
Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal	
Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)	
On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)	
In-place Burial 🔲 On-site Trench Burial	
Alternative Closure Method	•
<sup>14.</sup> Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be a	attached to the
closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	
Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
<ul> <li>Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)</li> <li>Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
15. Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour	ce material are
provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency.	
19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Crown dwater is less than 25 fast balaw the better of the buried wate	
<ul> <li>Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells</li> </ul>	Yes No
	🗌 NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste	Yes No
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	🗌 NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	Yes No
lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	T Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> <li>Within 300 feet of a wetland.</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> <li>Within 300 feet of a wetland.</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No

.

•

.

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approx		
	val obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Minir	ng and Mineral Division	Yes 🗌 No
Within an unstable area.		
- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geolo Society; Topographic map	gy & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain.		
- FEMA map		Yes No
<ul> <li>16.</li> <li>On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.</li> <li>Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection</li> </ul>	quirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. 15.17.13 NMAC quirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC f 19.15.17.13 NMAC drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann h H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC n H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	.11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:		
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accur	ate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli	ief.
Name (Print):	Title:	
Signature:	Date:	
5 <u> </u>		
e-mail address:	Telephone:	
e-mail address: 18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure P OCD Representative Signature:		e C-141
e-mail address:	an (only) X OCD Conditions (see attachment) Se Approval Date: <u>4/224</u>	e C-141
e-mail address:	an (only) X OCD Conditions (see attachment) Approval Date: <u>4/224</u> OCD Permit Number: NMAC o implementing any closure activities and submitting the completion of the closure activities. Please do not osure activities have been completed.	e C-14 12015
e-mail address: <u>OCD Approva</u> l: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure P OCD Representative Signature: Title: Compliance Office <u>19.</u> <u>Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion)</u> : 19.15.17.13 <i>Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior t</i> <i>The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the submitted to the division the division within 60 days of the submitted to the division within 60 days of the submitted to the division within 60 days of the s</i>	an (only)       Image: Conditions (see attachment)         Approval Date:       4/224         OCD Permit Number:	e C-14 12015
e-mail address: <u>OCD Approval</u> : Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure P OCD Representative Signature: Title: <u>Compliance</u> 19. <u>Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion)</u> : 19.15.17.13 Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior t The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure	Approval Date: 4/224 OCD Permit Number: NMAC o implementing any closure activities and submitting the completion of the closure activities. Please do not osure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date:6/28/2013_	the closure report.

× .

.

#### **Operator Closure Certification:**

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print):

22.

\_\_\_\_\_ Title: Field Environmental Coordinator\_\_\_\_\_

sel Signature:

\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_March 23, 2015\_\_\_

e-mail address:\_\_peace.jeffrey@bp.com\_

Jeff Peace

\_\_\_\_\_ Telephone: \_\_(505) 326-9479\_

### BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

#### <u>Gallegos Canyon Unit Com E 161E</u> <u>API No. 3004524886</u> <u>Unit Letter N, Section 23, T29N, R13W</u>

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

#### **General Closure Plan**

- BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)
  - All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.
- 4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

#### All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	0.79
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	5,900
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

> Soil under the BGT was sampled and BTEX levels were below the stated limits. TPH was 5,900 ppm by Method 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
   Sampling results indicate a minor release occurred. The release will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines. The release is on sandstone bedrock and the depth to groundwater is greater than 100 feet.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

#### The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will be reclaimed since the well has been plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT will be reclaimed since the well has been plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

# The area over the BGT will be reclaimed since the well has been plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT will be reclaimed since the well has been plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area since the well has been plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

#### BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation. Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

ſΟ

• .

•

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Sant	ta Fe, NM 87505			
Release Notifica	tion and Correctiv	e Action		
	<b>OPERATOR</b>	nitial Report 🛛 🖂 Final Rep		
Name of Company: BP	Contact: Jeff Peace			
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	Telephone No.: 505-32			
Facility Name: Gallegos Canyon Unit Com E 161 E	Facility Type: Natural	gas well		
Surface Owner: Private Mineral Own	ner: Federal	API No. 3004524886		
	ION OF RELEASE			
Unit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the N	North/South Line Feet from 1,530	he East/West Line County: San Juan West		
Latitude36.70559	Longitude108.179	89		
,	RE OF RELEASE			
Type of Release: oil/condensate	Volume of Release: un	cnown Volume Recovered: none		
Source of Release: below grade tank – 95 bbl	Date and Hour of Occu	rrence: Date and Hour of Discovery: June 18,		
	unknown	2013; 10:50 AM		
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?			
By Whom?	Date and Hour			
Was a Watercourse Reached?		If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.		
🗌 Yes 🖾 No				
f a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*				
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar Analysis results are attached.				
he BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar Analysis results are attached.	rds. TPH was 5,900 ppm by M <b>Cule</b> , <b>additional</b> wed and the area underneath th sandstone. Hydrogen peroxid 0 ppm TPH. e to the best of my knowledge a ase notifications and perform c by the NMOCD marked as "Fin ediate contamination that pose	tethod 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. C - 141 (concern) e BGT was sampled. Sampling results indicate a e will be applied to the impacted area and soil sample und understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and orrective actions for releases which may endanger nal Report" does not relieve the operator of liability a threat to ground water, surface water, human health		
he BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar Analysis results are attached.	rds. TPH was 5,900 ppm by M <b>cule</b> , <b>add:fibral</b> wed and the area underneath th sandstone. Hydrogen peroxid 0 ppm TPH. to the best of my knowledge a ase notifications and perform c by the NMOCD marked as "Fine ediate contamination that pose port does not relieve the operato	tethod 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. C - 141 Concern, e BGT was sampled. Sampling results indicate a e will be applied to the impacted area and soil sample and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and orrective actions for releases which may endanger nal Report" does not relieve the operator of liability a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or of responsibility for compliance with any other		
the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar Analysis results are attached.	rds. TPH was 5,900 ppm by M <b>cule</b> , <b>add:fibral</b> wed and the area underneath th sandstone. Hydrogen peroxid 0 ppm TPH. to the best of my knowledge a ase notifications and perform c by the NMOCD marked as "Fine ediate contamination that pose port does not relieve the operato	tethod 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. C - 141 (concern) e BGT was sampled. Sampling results indicate a e will be applied to the impacted area and soil sample und understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and orrective actions for releases which may endanger nal Report" does not relieve the operator of liability a threat to ground water, surface water, human health		
The BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar Analysis results are attached.	rds. TPH was 5,900 ppm by M <b>cule</b> , <b>add:fibral</b> wed and the area underneath th sandstone. Hydrogen peroxid 0 ppm TPH. to the best of my knowledge a ase notifications and perform c by the NMOCD marked as "Fine ediate contamination that pose port does not relieve the operato	tethod 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. C - 141 Concernsion of the sampled Sampling results indicate a e will be applied to the impacted area and soil sampled orrective actions for releases which may endanger that Report does not relieve the operator of liability a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or of responsibility for compliance with any other ONSERVATION DIVISION		
the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar Analysis results are attached.	rds. TPH was 5,900 ppm by M <b>Cule Additional</b> wed and the area underneath the sandstone. Hydrogen peroxid 0 ppm TPH. e to the best of my knowledge a ase notifications and perform c by the NMOCD marked as "Fine ediate contamination that pose poort does not relieve the operator <u>OIL C</u>	tethod 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. C - 141 Conceptson e BGT was sampled. Sampling results indicate a e will be applied to the impacted area and soil sample und understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and orrective actions for releases which may endanger nal Report" does not relieve the operator of liability a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or of responsibility for compliance with any other ONSERVATION DIVISION		
the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar Analysis results are attached.	rds. TPH was 5,900 ppm by M <b>Gale Additional</b> wed and the area underneath the sandstone. Hydrogen peroxid 0 ppm TPH. e to the best of my knowledge a ase notifications and perform c by the NMOCD marked as "Fine ediate contamination that pose port does not relieve the operator <u>OIL C</u> Approved by Environment	tethod 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. <u>C - 141</u> <u>Conce</u> e BGT was sampled. Sampling results indicate a e will be applied to the impacted area and soil sample und understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and orrective actions for releases which may endanger nal Report" does not relieve the operator of liability a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or of responsibility for compliance with any other <u>ONSERVATION DIVISION</u> tal Specialist:		
the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chloride below standar	rds. TPH was 5,900 ppm by M <b>Cule Additional</b> wed and the area underneath the sandstone. Hydrogen peroxid 0 ppm TPH. e to the best of my knowledge a ase notifications and perform c by the NMOCD marked as "Fine ediate contamination that pose boort does not relieve the operator <u>OIL C</u> Approved by Environment Approval Date:	tethod 418.1 and was 3,280 ppm by Method 8015D. C - 141 Concerns of the second		

CLIENT: BP	P.O. BOX 87, BL	GINEERING, INC. OOMFIELD, NM 87413 5) 632-1199	API #. 3004524886 TANK ID (if applicble): <b>A</b>
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION /	RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE #: <u>1</u> of
SITE INFORMATIO	N: SITE NAME: GCU CO	M E #161E	DATE STARTED: 06/18/13
QUAD/UNIT: N SEC: 23 TW	P: 29N RNG: 13W PM:	NM CNTY: SJ ST. N	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 350'S / 1,530	W SE/SW LEASE TY	PE: FEDERALY STATE / FEE / INDIAN	
LEASE #: NM 03654	PROD. FORMATION: DK CON	NTRACTOR: MBF - C. DAVIS	SPECIALIST(S): NJV
REFERENCE POIN		COORD.: 36.70555 X 108.179	
	GPS COORD.:36.		CE/BEARING FROM W.H.:60', N75.5V
		DISTAN	CE/BEARING FROM W.H.:
	GPS COORD.:		CE/BEARING FROM W.H.:
		DISTAN	
SAMPLING DATA:			
•	,	SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS: 411	
		SAMPLE TIME: DAB ANALYSIS:	
		SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:	•
		SAND / SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL	
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERV	ED: <u>YES</u> NO EXPLANATION - <u>APP</u>	ROXMATELY 1.FT. BELOW BGT BOTTOM	(MED. GRAY TO BLACK IN COLOR).
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES /			
		ES NO EXPLANATION : NOT FROM EX RE. VERY HARD COMPETENT BEDROCK	
MENDED MIXING WITH CLEAN SO	LAND AERATING IN PLACE, PRIOR	TO BACKFILLING.	
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATIC DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100'	N: <u>NA</u> ft. X <u>NA</u> NEAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000'		VI ESTIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA
SITE SKETCH		PLOT PLAN circle: attached	
		PLOT PLAN circle: attached	OVM CALIB. READ. = <u>NA</u> ppm OVM CALIB. GAS = <b>NA</b> ppm
BERM		NÎ	TIME: <u>NA</u> am/pm DATE: <u>NA</u>
		••	MISCELL. NOTES
	x T.B. ~ 5' B.G.		WO: N15171253
	<u> Б.</u> б.		PO #:
			PK: ZFEIRKOSJS
			PJ#: X7-0057H-E
		⊕ ₽&A	Permit date(s): 06/08/10 OCD Appr. date(s): 12/02/11
		MARKER	Tank OVM = Organic Vapor Meter
			A BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y /N
		X - S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N
	ATION DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BEL	OW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD;	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N
TR = TANK ROTTOM PROTI = PREVIOUS	RELOWLGRADE TANK LOCATION: SPD = SAMPLE PO	INT DESIGNATION: R.W. = RETAINING WALL: NA _ NOT	
	Below-grade tank location;	INT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT M; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM. ONSITE: <b>06/18/13</b>	Magnetic declination: 10° E

.

.

#### Analytical Report Lab Order 1306902

Date Reported: 6/28/2013

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

# CLIENT: Blagg Engineering Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 7' (95) Project: GCU COM E # 161E Collection Date: 6/18/2013 10:50:00 AM Lab ID: 1306902-001 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 6/20/2013 10:00:00 AM Analyses Result RL Qual Units DF Date Analyzed Batch

10 10	6/26/2013 3:43:55 PM	: JME 8029 8029
	6/26/2013 3:43:55 PM	0020
10		8029
	A mah sat	
	Analyst	: NSB
1	6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM	8059
1	6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM	8059
	Analyst	: NSB
1	6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM	8059
1	6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM	8059
1	6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM	805 <del>9</del>
1	6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM	8059
1	6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM	8059
	Analyst	JRR
5	6/25/2013 1:56:07 PM	8092
	Analyst	: jmb
10	6/26/2013	8060
	1 1 1 1 1 5	<ol> <li>6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM</li> <li>Analyst</li> <li>6/24/2013 4:00:08 PM</li> <li>6/25/2013 1:56:07 PM</li> <li>Analyst</li> </ol>

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 1 of 6
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU COM E # 161E

•

Sample ID MB-8092	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8092	RunNo: 11560		
Prep Date: 6/25/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 327531	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	ND 1.5			
Sample ID LCS-8092	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8092	RunNo: 11560		
Prep Date: 6/25/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 327532	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

WO#: 1306902

28-Jun-13

٠.

Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
RL	Reporting Detection Limit

В

Н

ND

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

28-Jun-13

1306902

WO#:

	lagg Engineering GCU COM E # 161E			
Sample ID MB-8060	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8060	RunNo: 11574		
Prep Date: 6/21/201	3 Analysis Date: 6/26/2013	SeqNo: 328063	Units: <b>mg/Kg</b>	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK	Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, T	R ND 20			
Sample ID LCS-8060	) SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	·
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8060	RunNo: 11574		
Prep Date: 6/21/201	3 Analysis Date: 6/26/2013	SeqNo: 328064	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK	Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, T	R 99 20 100.0	0 98.8 80	120	
Sample ID LCSD-80	60 SampType: LCSD	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 8060	RunNo: 11574		
Prep Date: 6/21/201	3 Analysis Date: 6/26/2013	SeqNo: 328065	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK	Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, T	R 100 20 100.0	0 100 80	120 1.35	20

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 3 of 6

-----

WO#: 1306902

28-Jun-13

	Engineering COM E # 161E		
Sample ID MB-8029	SampType: <b>MBLK</b>	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8029	RunNo: 11465	
Prep Date: 6/20/2013	Analysis Date: 6/21/2013	SeqNo: 324738	Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value S	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10		
Surr: DNOP	12 10.00	118 63	147
Sample ID LCS-8029	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8029	RunNo: 11465	
Prep Date: 6/20/2013	Analysis Date: 6/21/2013	SeqNo: 324739	Units: <b>mg/Kg</b>
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value S	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	51 10 50.00	0 103 77.1	128
Surr: DNOP	5.9 5.000	117 63	147
Sample ID MB-8095	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8095	RunNo: 11523	
Prep Date: 6/25/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 326782	Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value S	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	8.5 10.00	85.3 63	147
Sample ID LCS-8095	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8095	RunNo: 11523	
Prep Date: 6/25/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 326783	Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value S	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	4.4 5.000	88.3 63	147
Sample ID MB-8058	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8058	RunNo: 11523	
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 327121	Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value S	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	8.9 10.00	89.0 63	147
Sample ID LCS-8058	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8058	RunNo: 11523	
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 327122	Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value S	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	4.7 5.000	93.0 63	147

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

# Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU COM E # 161E

Sample ID MB-8059	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	1D: 80	59	F	RunNo: 1	1530				
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis D	ate: 6/	24/2013	S	eqNo: 3	26435	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	890		1000		89.0	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-8059	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
			50	-	RunNo: 1	1530				
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	11D: 80	29	г		1000				
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Batcl Analysis E				SeqNo: 3		Units: mg/k	ſg		
			24/2013				Units: <b>mg/H</b> HighLimit	Kg %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis D	ate: 6/	24/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	26436		5	RPDLimit	Qual

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

WO#: 1306902

28-Jun-13

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU COM E # 161E

٠

Sample ID MB-8059	Samp1	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles	-	
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 80	59	F	RunNo: 1	1530				
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis E	Date: <b>6/</b>	24/2013	S	eqNo: 3	26540	Units: mg/H	ζg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-8059	Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 80	59	F	RunNo: 1	1530				
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis D	Date: <b>6/</b>	24/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	26541	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	95.7	80	120			
Toluene	0.95	0.050	1.000	0	94.7	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.95	0.050	1.000	0	95.3	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	96.5	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6

WO#: 1306902

28-Jun-13

Sham-or-Custouy Record							1 🖡			5	-1 A		F	NI	/TE	20	NI	ME	NT	AI	
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	Standard	Rush													RA			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Project Name					2. N						nme						
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	X 87	G	CU COM E #	161E	Í	49	01 F	ławi											
<u></u>			FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:	<u> </u>		1.	4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107													
Phone #:		(505) 63			,	·	Analysis Request						5-194								
email or F	ax#:	(303) 03		Project Marrag	Jer:	······································															
QA/QC Pa	ckage:		Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELSON VE	ELEZ	<del>VD's (</del> 8021B)	only)	(Oum			S)		04,504)	PCB's			er - 300.1)			
Accreditat	lion:	D Other		Sampler:	pler: <b>NELSON VELEZ</b> MV			H-(Gas	/ DRO /	18.1)	504.1)	8270SIMS)		3,NO <sub>2</sub> ,P	/ 8082		1	.0/water		samole	יוקווופכ
					erature.		F	⊨ +	SRO	d 4:	od 5(	or 8.	als	١ <u>۶</u>	ides	~	10 	- 300		ite l	215
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO. 130X-102	BTEX + MTDE	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method	PAH (8310 c	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,Cl,NO <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>2</sub> ,PO <sub>4</sub> ,SO <sub>4</sub> )	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil - 300.0 /	and some	5 nt. composite	
6/18/13	1050	SOIL	5PC-TB @ 7' (95)	4 oz 2	Cool	-001	V		V	V	·							V		V	_
	· · · ·			¢																1	T
							[													1	Ť
													,								T
	i						[														
				-																	
<u> </u>																			T		T
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:		Date Time	Ren	hark	s:										- <b>*</b> -	<u></u>	
6/19/13	1217	90	my	Christy.	ullale	4/A/13 1217					O BP			-							
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:	/	Daté Time	1				-				ingto				neic		
6/19/13	1747	Chro	the Walters	L M	> 64/2	ad 13 1100_		Work Order: <u>N15171253</u> Paykey: <u>ZFEIRKOSJS</u>				-									

•

. •

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental A Albuq TEL: 505-345-3975 F Website: www.hall	4901 Hav niergue, Ni XX: 505-3	rkins NL M 87109 145-4107	Sa	mp	le Log-In Check List
Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number:	1306902				RcptNo: 1
Received by/date:	66 20 1 2 6/20/2013 10:00:00 AM		Ŏ	treading I Ha	1992	
ر Completed By: Lindsay Mangín	6/20/2013 4:07:54 PM		14	makey fflo	ange O	
Reviewed By: IO	06/20/13		· · V	<b>v</b> (	/	
Chain of Custody	•					
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?		Yes		No		Not Present 🗸
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🗸		No		Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?		<u>Courier</u>				
Log In						
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples	2	Yes 🗸	,	No		NA
was an altempt made to cool the samples	!	162		110		
5. Were all samples received at a temperature	e of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗸		No	:	NA
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗸	,	No		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test	(s)?	Yes 🗸		No		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prope	erly preserved?	Yes 🗸		No	:	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes		No		NA
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes		No		No VOA Vials 🗸
11, Were any sample containers received brok	ken?	Yes		No	<b>v</b> :	# of preserved
		· .			•	bottles checked
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes 🗸		No	-	for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of	of Custody?	Yes 🗸		No		Adjusted?
14 Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes 🗸		No		
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🗸		No		Checked by:
<u>Special Handling (if applicable)</u>						
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with	this order?	Yes :		No		NA 🗸

Person Notified:	and the territorian discontinuous matrix is in the second second second second second second second second second	Date:			<u>1-16-1614-</u>	
By Whom:		Via:	eMail	Phone	Fax	In Person
	The second s	the design of the second s	A COMPANY OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY	CONTRACTOR AND	ATTACK ATTACK STORE	The second s
Regarding:						

• .

18.	Cooler Inform	nation					
	Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
	1	1.1	Good	Yes			

Page 1 of 1

