District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

13143

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3

OCT 14 2015

Type of action:
- ☐ Below grade tank registration
- ☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
- ☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
- ☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
- ☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

39-23802

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: Burlington Resources OGRID #: 14538
Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499
Facility or well name: CANYON LARGO UNIT 95E
API Number: 30-039-23802 OCD Permit Number: _____
U/L or Qtr/Qtr O (SWSE) Section 36 Township T25N Range 6W County: _____
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.65295 °N Longitude -107.41620 °W NAD: ☐1927 ☒ 1983
Surface Owner: ☐ Federal ☒ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

OCD NAD 83 36.35295 107.4162

2.
☐ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

* Constituents over 19.15.17.13 Additional C-141 Required.

Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness ____mil ☒ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: ____bbl Dimensions: L___ x W__ x D____

3.
☒ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Metal
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☒ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _____
Liner type: Thickness 45 mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☒ Other LLDPE

4.
☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)*
☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top *(Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)*
☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
☐ Alternate. Please specify_____

6.
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)*

☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other_____

☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.
Variances and Exceptions:
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. **Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.**

General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit . NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
- ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H_2S, Prevention Plan
- ☐ Emergency Response Plan
- ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- ☐ Erosion Control Plan
- ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.

Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit ☐ Alternative

Proposed Closure Method: ☒ Waste Excavation and Removal
- ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
- ☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
  - ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
- ☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.

Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☒ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- ☒ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.

Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
- ☐ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.
Operator Application Certification:

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.
OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☒ Closure Plan (only) ☒ OCD Conditions (~~see attachment~~) See Front

OCD Representative Signature: _____ **Approval Date:** 11/16/15

Title: Environmental Spec **OCD Permit Number:** _____

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☒ **Closure Completion Date:** 4/14/2015

20.
Closure Method:

☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)

☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☒ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- ☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- ☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- ☒ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- ☒ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- ☒ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude _____ °N _____ Longitude _____ °W _____ NAD: ☐ 1927 ☐ 1983

22.

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Crystal Walker Title: Regulatory Coordinator

Signature: Crystal Walker Date: 10/14/15

e-mail address: crystal.walker@cop.com Telephone: (505) 326-9837

Burlington Resources Oil Gas Company, LP
San Juan Basin
Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Culpepper Martin 95E
API No.: 30-039-23802

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

2. **The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.**

3. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

   All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

4. BR Will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

   The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

5. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

   All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

6. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. COPC shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

7. **A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.**

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.1	250

8. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

   A release was determined for the above referenced well and the C141 is attached with sampling results.

9. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

   The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

10. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
    i. Operator's name
    ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

    Notification is attached.

11. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

    The closure process notification to the landowner was sent via email. (See Attached) (Well located on Federal Land, certified mail is not required for Federal Land per BLM/OCD MOU.)

12. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

    The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

13. BR Shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative

approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

14. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

    The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

15. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
    - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation **(See Report)**
    - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques **(See Report)**
    - Photo documentation of the site reclamation **(Included as an attachment)**
    - Confirmation Sampling Results **(Included as an attachment)**
    - Proof of closure notice **(Included as an attachment)**

Walker, Crystal

From: Journey, Denise D
Sent: Thursday, April 09, 2015 3:21 PM
To: 'Smith, Cory, EMNRD'; Powell, Brandon, EMNRD
Cc: SJBU E-Team; Morris, Mike D. (Farmington, NM); Payne, Wendy F; Notor, Lori
Subject: 72-Hour BGT Closure Notification - Canyon Largo Unit 95E - 30-039-23802

Subject: 72 Hour BGT Closure Notification

Anticipated Start Date: **Monday, April 13th @ approximately 12:00 (noon)**

The subject well has a below-grade tank that will begin the closure process between 72 hours and one week from this notification. Please contact me at any time if you have any questions or concerns.

Well Name: Canyon Largo Unit 95E

API#: 30-039-23802

Location: UL O, Sec. 36, T25N, R6W

Footages: 1170' FSL & 1840' FEL

Operator: BR **Surface Owner:** State

Denise Journey
Staff Regulatory Technician
ConocoPhillips Company
505-326-9556
505-215-1750
Denise.Journey@conocophillips.com

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report

Name of Company **Burlington Resources, a Wholly Owned Subsidiary of ConocoPhillips Company**	Contact **Lisa Hunter**
Address **3401 East 30th St, Farmington, NM**	Telephone No. **(505) 326-9786**
Facility Name: **Canyon Largo Unit 95E**	Facility Type: **Gas Well**

Surface Owner **State**	Mineral Owner **State (E-291-5)**	API No.**3003923802**

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
O	**36**	**25N**	**06W**	**1170'**	**South**	**1840'**	**East**	**Rio Arriba**

Latitude 36.35295 Longitude 107.41620

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release **Hydrocarbon**	Volume of Release **Unknown**	Volume Recovered **0**
Source of Release **BGT (Historic)**	Date and Hour of Occurrence **Unknown**	Date and Hour of Discovery **April 14, 2015**
Was Immediate Notice Given? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required	If YES, To Whom?	
By Whom? **N/A**	Date and Hour	
Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☒ No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*
N/A

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*
Per field sampling results, evidence of historic release during BGT closure discovered.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*
The below grade tank field sample results were above regulatory standard by USEPA method 418.1 for TPH and Organic Vapors, confirming a release. The sample was then transported to the lab and analytical results were below the regulatory standards set forth in the NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Release; therefore no further action is required.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature:	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
Printed Name: **Lisa Hunter**	Approved by Environmental Specialist:	
Title: **Field Environmental Specialist**	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: **Lisa.Hunter@cop.com**	Conditions of Approval:	Attached ☐
Date: **May 26, 2015** Phone: (505) **258-1607**		

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

NCS 1522352087

Rule Engineering, LLC
Solutions to Regulations for Industry

May 20, 2015

Ms. Lisa Hunter
ConocoPhillips
San Juan Business Unit
5525 Highway 64
Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Re: Canyon Largo Unit 95E
Below Grade Tank Closure Sampling Report

Dear Ms. Hunter:

This report summarizes the below grade tank (BGT) closure sampling activities conducted by Rule Engineering, LLC (Rule) at the ConocoPhillips Canyon Largo Unit 95E, located in Unit Letter O, Section 36, Township 25N, Range 6W in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Activities included collection and analysis of a 5-point composite soil confirmation sample from beneath the BGT on April 14, 2015. A topographic map of the location is included as Figure 1 and an aerial site map is included as Figure 2.

BGT Summary
Site Name – Canyon Largo Unit 95E
Location – Unit Letter O, Section 36, Township 25N, Range 6W
API Number – 30-039-23802
Monument Latitude/Longitude – N36.35283 and W107.41610
BGT Latitude/Longitude – N36.35295 and W107.41620
Land Jurisdiction – State of New Mexico
Size of BGT – 120 barrels
Site Ranking – 10 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases (August 1993) see Table 1
Date of BGT Closure Soil Sampling – April 14, 2015

BGT Closure Standards
As outlined in 19.15.17.13 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), BGT closure standards for the Canyon Largo Unit 95E are as follows: 0.2 mg/kg benzene, 50 mg/kg total benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and 100 mg/kg total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH).

Field Activities
On April 14, 2015, following removal of the BGT tank and liner, Rule personnel conducted a visual inspection for surface/subsurface indications of a release. Minor staining was observed beneath the liner in an area located along the southern edge of the BGT. Rule personnel then collected five soil samples (S-1

through S-5) from 0.25 feet beneath the BGT liner. Stained soils were included as sample S-1. Figure 2 provides the location of the soil samples collected from below the BGT. The field work summary sheet is attached.

Soil Sampling
The five soil samples (S-1 through S-5) collected from below the BGT liner were combined to create soil confirmation sample SC-1. A portion of SC-1 was field screened for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and chlorides, and field analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 418.1.

The portion of SC-1 collected for laboratory analysis was placed into laboratory supplied glassware, labeled, and maintained on ice until delivery to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The sample was analyzed for BTEX per USEPA Method 8021B, TPH per USEPA Method 418.1, chlorides per USEPA Method 300.0, and TPH for GRO and DRO per USEPA Method 8015D.

Field sampling results for soil confirmation sample SC-1 reported VOCs at 1.0 ppm and TPH concentrations at 194 mg/kg. Field chloride concentrations were also reported at 80 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results for sample SC-1 reported benzene and total BTEX concentrations of less than 0.050 mg/kg and 0.250 mg/kg, respectively. Laboratory analytical results for SC-1 reported concentrations of 360 mg/kg TPH and 5.0 mg/kg chloride. TPH (GRO/DRO) was reported at less than 5.0 mg/kg GRO and 53 mg/kg DRO. Field and laboratory results for SC-1 are summarized in Table 2, and the analytical laboratory report is attached.

Conclusions
On April 14, 2015, BGT closure sampling activities were conducted at the ConocoPhillips Canyon Largo Unit 95E. Field and laboratory results for sample SC-1 were reported below the BGT closure standards for benzene, total BTEX, and chlorides as outlined in 19.15.17.13.NMAC, but exceeded the BGT closure standard of 100 mg/kg for TPH. Based on field sampling and laboratory analytical results, a release occurred from the BGT.

In accordance with NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases (August 1993), this site was assigned a ranking score of 10. Based on the ranking score of 10, action levels for remediated soils at the Canyon Largo Unit 95E are as follows: 10 mg/kg benzene, 50 mg/kg BTEX, and 1,000 mg/kg TPH (GRO/DRO). Laboratory analytical results for soil confirmation sample (SC-1) reported benzene, total BTEX, and TPH (GRO/DRO) concentrations below the applicable NMOCD release action levels. Based on laboratory analytical results, no further work is recommended.

Rule Engineering appreciates the opportunity to provide services to ConocoPhillips. If you have any questions, please contact me at (505) 325-1055.

Sincerely,

Rule Engineering, LLC

Deborah Watson

Deborah Watson, PG

Attachments:
Table 1. NMOCD Site Ranking Determination
Table 2. BGT Soil Sampling Results
Figure 1. Topographic Map
Figure 2. Aerial Site Map
Field Work Summary Sheet
Analytical Laboratory Report

Table 1. NMOCD Site Ranking Determination
Canyon Largo Unit 95E
Rio Arriba County, New Mexico
ConocoPhillips

Ranking Criteria	Ranking Score	Site-Based Ranking Score	Basis for Determination	Data Sources
Depth to Groundwater				
<50 feet	20	0	Elevation differential between location and significant wash in Canyon Largo west of the location is 380 feet.	NMOCD Online database, Tafoya Canyon Quadrangle, Google Earth, and Visual Inspection
50-99 feet	10			
>100 feet	0			
Wellhead Protection Area				
<1,000 feet from a water source, or <200 feet from private domestic water source	20 (Yes)	0	No water source or recorded water wells within 1,000 feet radius of location.	NMOSE NMWRRS, Tafoya Canyon Quadrangle, Google Earth, and Visual Inspection
	0 (No)			
Distance to Surface Water Body				
<200 horizontal feet	20	10	An unnamed wash which drains to wash in Canyon Largo is located approximately 765 feet southeast of the BGT. An additional surface water is located 1,800 feet southwest of the BGT. A stock pond is located approximately 2,200 feet north of the BGT.	Tafoya Canyon Quadrangle, Google Earth, and Visual Inspection
200 to 1,000 horizontal feet	10			
>1,000 horizontal feet	0			
Site Based Total Ranking Score		**10**		

Table 2. BGT Soil Sampling Results
Canyon Largo Unit 95E
Rio Arriba County, New Mexico
ConocoPhillips

Sample ID	Date	Sample Type	Sample Depth (ft below BGT liner)	Field Sampling Results			Laboratory Analytical Results					
				VOCs (PID) (ppm)	TPH (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)	TPH-GRO (mg/kg)	TPH-DRO (mg/kg)
BGT Closure Standards*				**----**	**100**	**250**	**0.2**	**50**	**100**	**250**	**----**	
NMOCD Release Action Levels**				**100**	**----**	**----**	**10**	**50**	**----**	**----**	**1,000**	
SC-1	Apr 14, 15	composite	0.5	1.0	**194**	80	<0.050	<0.250	**360**	5.0	<5.0	53

Notes: VOCs - volatile organic compounds
PID - photo-ionization detector
ppm - parts per million
mg/kg - milligrams/kilograms
TPH-total petroleum hydrocarbons per USEPA Method 418.1
BTEX - benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
TPH-GRO - total petroleum hydrocarbons-gasoline range organics
TPH-DRO - total petroleum hydrocarbons-diesel range organics
*NMAC 19.15.17.13.E
**NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases (1993)



Rule Engineering, LLC
Solutions to Regulations for Industry

0 1,000 2,000 3,000 4,000 Feet

N

Location
O-36-T25N-R06W
N36.35283, W107.41610
Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Topographic Map
ConocoPhillips
Canyon Largo Unit 95E
API: 30-039-23802

Date: 5/21/2015 | File: 150427 Topographic Map | Figure: 1



Rule Engineering, LLC
Solutions to Regulations for Industry

0 25 50 75 100 Feet

Location
O-36-T25N-R06W
N36.35283, W107.41610
Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Aerial Site Map
ConocoPhillips
Canyon Largo Unit 95E
API: 30-039-23802

Date: 5/19/2015 | File: 150513 Aerial Map | Figure: 2

Rule Engineering Field Work Summary Sheet

Date:	14-Apr-15
Staff:	Debbie Watson

Company:	ConocoPhillips
Location:	Canyon Largo Unit 95E
API:	30-039-23802
Legals:	O-S36-T25N-R6W
County:	Rio Arriba
Land Ownership:	State of NM

Wellhead Monument GPS: 36.35283, -107.41610

BGT GPS: 36.35295, -107.41620

Siting Information based on BGT Location: Site Rank 10

Groundwater: Elevation differential between location and significant wash in Canyon Largo W of the BGT is 380 ft.

Surface Water: An unnamed wash (blue line) is located approximately 765 ft SE of the BGT.

Wellhead Protection: No wells

Objective:	Closure sampling for BGT
Tank Size:	120 bbls (removed prior to arrival)
Liner:	Yes, removed while onsite
Observations:	Staining observed near S-1. Included in SC-1-per Cory Smith (NMOCD) onsite during sampling.
Notes:	Heavy liner and cribbing in place. Sandstone bedrock at 3-4" below BGT.

Field Sampling Information

Name	Type of Sample	Collection Time	Collection Location	VOCs[1] (ppm)	VOCs time	TPH[2] mg/kg	TPH Time	Chloride[3] mg/kg	Chloride Time
SC-1	composite	1315	see below	1.0	13:35	**194**	13:40	80	13:45

SC-1 is a 5-point composite of S-1 through S-5, collected 3-4" below tank liner.

Sample SC-1 was laboratory analyzed for TPH (418.1), BTEX (8021),chlorides (300.0), and TPH-GRO /TPH-DRO (8015)

Field Sampling Notes:

[1] Field screening for volatile organic compounds (VOC) was conducted with a photo-ionization detector (PID). Before beginning field screening, the PID was calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas.

[2] Field analysis for TPH was conducted using a total hydrocarbon analyzer. Prior to field analysis, the machine was calibrated following the manufacturer's procedure which includes calculation of a calibration curve using known concentration standards.

[3]Field screening for chlorides was conducted using the Hach chloride low range test kit. Chloride concentration is determined by drop count titration method using silver nitrate titrant.

Rule Engineering, LLC
Solutions to Regulations for Industry

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

April 21, 2015

Deborah Watson
Rule Engineering LLC
501 Airport Dr., Ste 205
Farmington, NM 87401
TEL: (505) 860-2712
FAX

RE: Conoco Phillips Canyon Largo Unit 95 E OrderNo.: 1504659

Dear Deborah Watson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 4/15/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman
Laboratory Manager
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Rule Engineering LLC
Client Sample ID: SC-1
Project: Conoco Phillips Canyon Largo Unit 95 E
Collection Date: 4/14/2015 1:15:00 PM
Lab ID: 1504659-001 **Matrix:** SOIL
Received Date: 4/15/2015 7:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS						Analyst: **BCN**	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	53	10		mg/Kg	1	4/16/2015 11:42:25 AM	18708
Surr: DNOP	88.0	57.9-140		%REC	1	4/16/2015 11:42:25 AM	18708
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst: **NSB**	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0		mg/Kg	1	4/16/2015 9:51:00 PM	18710
Surr: BFB	87.3	80-120		%REC	1	4/16/2015 9:51:00 PM	18710
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst: **NSB**	
Benzene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	4/16/2015 9:51:00 PM	18710
Toluene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	4/16/2015 9:51:00 PM	18710
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	4/16/2015 9:51:00 PM	18710
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10		mg/Kg	1	4/16/2015 9:51:00 PM	18710
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.5	80-120		%REC	1	4/16/2015 9:51:00 PM	18710
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst: **LGT**	
Chloride	5.0	1.5		mg/Kg	1	4/17/2015 3:36:11 PM	18745
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst: **KJH**	
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	360	20		mg/Kg	1	4/21/2015 12:00:00 PM	18751

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1504659**

21-Apr-15

Client: Rule Engineering LLC

Project: Conoco Phillips Canyon Largo Unit 95 E

Sample ID **MB-18745**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 300.0: Anions**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **18745**	RunNo: **25615**
Prep Date: **4/17/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/17/2015**	SeqNo: **758950** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID **LCS-18745**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 300.0: Anions**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **18745**	RunNo: **25615**
Prep Date: **4/17/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/17/2015**	SeqNo: **758951** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	92.3	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1504659**

21-Apr-15

Client: Rule Engineering LLC

Project: Conoco Phillips Canyon Largo Unit 95 E

Sample ID **MB-18751**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 418.1: TPH**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **18751**	RunNo: **25642**
Prep Date: **4/17/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/21/2015**	SeqNo: **759956** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20								

Sample ID **LCS-18751**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 418.1: TPH**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **18751**	RunNo: **25642**
Prep Date: **4/17/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/21/2015**	SeqNo: **759957** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	100	20	100.0	0	101	86.7	126			

Sample ID **LCSD-18751**	SampType: **LCSD**	TestCode: **EPA Method 418.1: TPH**
Client ID: **LCSS02**	Batch ID: **18751**	RunNo: **25642**
Prep Date: **4/17/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/21/2015**	SeqNo: **759958** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	100	20	100.0	0	102	86.7	126	1.30	20	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1504659**

21-Apr-15

Client: Rule Engineering LLC

Project: Conoco Phillips Canyon Largo Unit 95 E

Sample ID **MB-18708**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **18708**	RunNo: **25548**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/16/2015**	SeqNo: **756791** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	8.9		10.00		88.9	63.5	128			

Sample ID **LCS-18708**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **18708**	RunNo: **25548**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/16/2015**	SeqNo: **756805** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	47	10	50.00	0	93.0	67.8	130			
Surr: DNOP	4.7		5.000		93.5	57.9	140			

Sample ID **1504659-001AMS**	SampType: **MS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **SC-1**	Batch ID: **18708**	RunNo: **25548**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/16/2015**	SeqNo: **756980** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	80	10	50.25	53.40	52.3	29.2	176			
Surr: DNOP	4.5		5.025		89.6	57.9	140			

Sample ID **1504659-001AMSD**	SampType: **MSD**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics**
Client ID: **SC-1**	Batch ID: **18708**	RunNo: **25548**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/16/2015**	SeqNo: **756981** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	180	9.9	49.26	53.40	255	29.2	176	76.9	23	RS
Surr: DNOP	4.9		4.926		99.2	57.9	140	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1504659**

21-Apr-15

Client: Rule Engineering LLC

Project: Conoco Phillips Canyon Largo Unit 95 E

Sample ID **MB-18710**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **18710**	RunNo: **25555**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/16/2015**	SeqNo: **757273** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	930		1000		93.2	80	120			

Sample ID **LCS-18710**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **18710**	RunNo: **25555**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/16/2015**	SeqNo: **757274** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	25	5.0	25.00	0	100	64	130			
Surr: BFB	960		1000		95.8	80	120			

Sample ID **1504659-001AMS2**	SampType: **MS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range**
Client ID: **SC-1**	Batch ID: **18710**	RunNo: **25601**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/17/2015**	SeqNo: **758550** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	24	4.9	24.73	0	97.5	47.9	144			
Surr: BFB	970		989.1		98.5	80	120			

Sample ID **1504659-001AMSD2**	SampType: **MSD**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range**
Client ID: **SC-1**	Batch ID: **18710**	RunNo: **25601**
Prep Date: **4/15/2015**	Analysis Date: **4/17/2015**	SeqNo: **758551** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	28	4.9	24.73	0	114	47.9	144	15.3	29.9	
Surr: BFB	970		989.1		98.5	80	120	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1504659**

21-Apr-15

Client: Rule Engineering LLC

Project: Conoco Phillips Canyon Largo Unit 95 E

Sample ID **MB-18710** SampType: **MBLK** TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**

Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: **18710** RunNo: **25555**

Prep Date: **4/15/2015** Analysis Date: **4/16/2015** SeqNo: **757283** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.92		1.000		92.4	80	120			

Sample ID **LCS-18710** SampType: **LCS** TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**

Client ID: **LCSS** Batch ID: **18710** RunNo: **25555**

Prep Date: **4/15/2015** Analysis Date: **4/16/2015** SeqNo: **757284** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	109	76.6	128			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	75	124			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	79.5	126			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	106	78.8	124			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	80	120			

Sample ID **1504659-001AMS** SampType: **MS** TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**

Client ID: **SC-1** Batch ID: **18710** RunNo: **25601**

Prep Date: **4/15/2015** Analysis Date: **4/17/2015** SeqNo: **758554** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.2	0.050	0.9901	0	119	69.2	126			
Toluene	1.1	0.050	0.9901	0	114	65.6	128			
Ethylbenzene	1.2	0.050	0.9901	0	122	65.5	138			
Xylenes, Total	3.6	0.099	2.970	0	120	63	139			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		0.9901		106	80	120			

Sample ID **1504659-001AMSD** SampType: **MSD** TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**

Client ID: **SC-1** Batch ID: **18710** RunNo: **25601**

Prep Date: **4/15/2015** Analysis Date: **4/17/2015** SeqNo: **758555** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.049	0.9891	0	110	69.2	126	7.72	18.5	
Toluene	1.0	0.049	0.9891	0	106	65.6	128	7.55	20.6	
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.049	0.9891	0	115	65.5	138	5.70	20.1	
Xylenes, Total	3.4	0.099	2.967	0	114	63	139	5.34	21.1	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		0.9891		108	80	120	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: RULE ENGINEERING LL Work Order Number: 1504659 RcptNo: 1

Received by/date: 04/15/15

Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 4/15/2015 7:00:00 AM

Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 4/15/2015 12:15:15 PM

Reviewed By: 04/15/15

Chain of Custody

1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☐	Not Present ☑
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes ☑	No ☐	Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier		

Log In

4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☑	NA ☐
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes ☐	No ☐	No VOA Vials ☑
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes ☐	No ☑	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes ☑	No ☐	# of preserved bottles checked for pH: _____ (<2 or >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes ☑	No ☐	Adjusted? _____
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes ☑	No ☐	Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☑

Person Notified: _____ Date: _____

By Whom: _____ Via: ☐ eMail ☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ In Person

Regarding: _____

Client Instructions: _____

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	4.3	Good	Yes			

Chain-of-Custody Record

Client: Rule Engineering LLC

Mailing Address: 501 Airport Drive Suite 205 Farmington NM 87401

Phone #:

email or Fax#:

QA/QC Package:
☒ Standard ☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)

Accreditation
☐ NELAP ☐ Other

☐ EDD (Type)

Turn-Around Time:
☒ Standard ☐ Rush

Project Name: ConocoPhillips Canyon Largo Unit 95E

Project #:

Project Manager: D Watson

Sampler: D Watson

On Ice: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Sample Temperature: 4.3

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109

Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107

Analysis Request

Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No. 1504659	BTEX + [redacted] (8021)	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / MRO)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270 SIMS)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F^-, Cl^-, NO_3, NO_2, PO_4, SO_4)	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	C				Air Bubbles (Y or N)
4-14-15	1315	Soil	SC-1	2-4oz glass	Cold	-001	X		X	X				X								

Date:	Time:	Relinquished by:	Received by:	Date	Time
4-14-15	1730	Alyssa Watson		04/15/15	0700
Date:	Time:	Relinquished by:	Received by:	Date	Time

Remarks: Bill to ConocoPhillips
Network # 10376058 Approved
act code: C200 Ordered by: Lisa Hunter
PO: KGARCIA

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.