District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

4

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or Dranaged Alternative Mathed Dermit on Cleaver Plan Amplication
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Permit of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Output Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Output Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
I. Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #: 778 Address: 380 North Airport Road, Durango, CO 81303 OGRID #: 778 Facility or well name: Northeast Blanoc Unit 032A API Number: 3004524991 OCD Permit Number: U/L or Qtr/Qtr H Section 7 Township Sector of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.830244 Longitude -107.606863 Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
 2. Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary: Drilling Workover Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
3. TANK A Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC TANK A Volume: 45 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water Tank Construction material: Steel
 <u>Alternative Method</u>: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.
 5. Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Alternate. Please specify
Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division Page 1 of 6

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other

6

7

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting	
 Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No
Below Grade Tanks	
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
 Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No

 Within 100 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid					
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 					
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
 Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit					
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
 Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 					
 Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No				
10. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC null 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:					
11. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the dot attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	.15.17.9 NMAC				

,

٩

12. <u>Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached	locuments are	
 attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment 		
 Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 		
 Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan 		
 Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan 		
Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC		
13. <u>Proposed Closure</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC <i>Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.</i>		
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Fl	uid Management Pit	
Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)		
 On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method 		
 closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 		
15. <u>Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only)</u> : 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. P 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.		
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA	
 Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 	□ Yes □ No □ NA	
 Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 	□ Yes □ No □ NA	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site		
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	Yes No	
 Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No	
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No	
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No	

 adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	Yes No	
16. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved) Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 		
17. Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli Name (Print):	ef.	
Signature: Date: 9/28/2018		
	and the second se	
e-mail address:		
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Image: Closure plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: Image: Closure plan (only) Approval Date: Image: Closure plan (only)	512018_	
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Olosure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 10 20	the closure report.	
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature:	the closure report. complete this	

Oil Conservation Division

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print):	Title:	
Signature:	Date:	

Signature:_____ e-mail address:

22.

Telephone:

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Northeast Blanoc Unit 032A

API No. 3004524991

Unit Letter H Section 7 T 30N R 07W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

Notice is attached.

2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

Notice was provided and is attached.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported for recycling.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	45 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	10	< 0.043
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	217.2
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 or 8015 extended	100	5260
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	620	<30

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

> Soil under the BGT was sampled for chloride, TPH and BTEX with all concentrations of chloride below the stated limits. TPH and BTEX were addressed following an approved plan under NMAC 19.51.29, The field report and laboratory reports are attached.

BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
 C-141 is attached.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate a release had occurred and impacts were remediated following NMAC 19.15.29. Attached is a laboratory report and C-141.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

Sampling results indicate a release had occurred and impacts were remediated following NMAC 19.15.29. Attached is a laboratory report and field report. The location has been reclaimed as the well has been plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

Closure report on C-144 form is included including photos of reclamation completion.

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District 1 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

)

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Release Notification

Responsible Party

Responsible Party BP America Production Company	OGRID778
Contact Name	Contact Telephone
Contact email	Incident # (assigned by OCD)
Contact mailing address 380 North Airport Boad, Durango	CO 81303

Location of Release Source

Latitude 36.830244

Longitude -107.606863

(NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Site Name Northeast Blanoc Unit 032A	Site Type Natural Gas Well Site
Date Release Discovered	API# (if applicable) 3004524991

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
Н	7	30N	07W	San Juan

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name:

Nature and Volume of Release

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
	Is the concentration of total dissolved solids (TDS) in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)
likely	ase detected during closure sampling of be due to tank historically overfilling. Impacts 5.29 and will be closed under a subsequen	were remediated following NMAC

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release?		
🗌 Yes 🔳 No			
If YES, was immediate notice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)? Not required.			

Initial Response

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have <u>not</u> been undertaken, explain why:

No release identified with the closure of the below grade tank.

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: Steve Moskal	Title: Enviro Coord.
Signature: Mars Muy	Date: 9/28/2018
email: steven.moskal@bpx.com	Telephone: (505) 330-9179
OCD Only	
Received by:	Date:

Form C-141 Page 3 State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	(ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	Yes No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	Yes No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
Field data
Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
Depth to water determination
Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within 1/2-mile of the lateral extents of the release
Boring or excavation logs
Photographs including date and GIS information

- Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Form	C-141 State of New Mexico	r		r
			Incident ID	
Page 4	Oil Conservation Divisior	1	District RP	
			Facility ID	
			Application ID	
regu pub faile addi	I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.			
Prir	ited Name:	Title:		
Sig	nature:	Date:	_	
ema	il:	Telephone:		
	D Only eived by:	Date:		

•

Form C-141 Page 5 State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Remediation Plan

Remediation Plan Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.			
 Detailed description of proposed remediation technique Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points Estimated volume of material to be remediated Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required) 			
Deferral Requests Only: Each of the following items must be conf	irmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.		
Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.			
Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.			
Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health,	the environment, or groundwater.		
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.			
Printed Name:	Title:		
Signature:	Date:		
email:	Telephone:		
OCD Only			
Received by:	Date:		
Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of A	pproval Denied Deferral Approved		
Signature:	Date:		

Form C-141 Page 6 State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

] Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

Printed Name:	Title:		
Signature:	Date:		
email:	Telephone:		
OCD Only			
Received by:	Date:		
Closure approval by the OCD does not relieve the responsible party of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that poses a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible party of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.			
Closure Approved by:	Date:		
Printed Name:			

bp



BP America Production Company 380 Airport Rd Durango, CO 81303 Phone: (970) 247 6800

July 23, 2018

Bureau of Land Management Whitney Thomas 6251 College Suite A Farmington, NM 87402

VIA EMAIL

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: NORTHEAST BLANCO UNIT 032A API# - 3004524991

Dear Mrs. Thomas,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about July 31, 2018. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

If witnessing of the tank removal is required please contact me for a specific time (832)-609-7048.

Sincerely,

Erin Garifalos

BP America Production Company

BP America Production Company 380 Airport Rd Durango, CO 81303 Phone: (970) 247 6800

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: CORY.SMITH@STATE.NM.US; VANESSA.FIELDS@STATE.NM.US

July 19, 2018

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

NORTHEAST BLANCO UNIT 032A API# 30-045-24991 (H) Section 7 – T30N – R07W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Cory Smith and Mrs. Vanessa Fields,

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 45bbl BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site. We anticipate this work to start on or around July 23, 2018.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Durango office.

Sincerely,

Erin Garifalos

Field Environmental Coordinator – San Juan Cell: 832-609-7048

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC. P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199	API #:		
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE #:1_ of1_		
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,450'N / 990	30N RNG: 7W PM: NM CNTY: RA ST: NM 'E SE/NE LEASE TYPE: FEDERAL STATE / FEE / INDIAN			
REFERENCE POINT 1) 45 BGT (DW/DB) 2) 3)	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.830504 X 107.606 GPS COORD.: 36.830244 X 107.606863 DISTANCE GPS COORD.: DISTANCE	863 GL ELEV.: 6,132' E/BEARING FROM W.H.: 97', S12W E/BEARING FROM W.H.:		
SAMPLING DATA: 1) SAMPLE ID: 5PC - TB @ 3' 2) SAMPLE ID: 5PC - TB @ 5' 3) SAMPLE ID: 5 4) SAMPLE ID: 5	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL (45) sample date: 07/31/18 sample time: 0940 lab analysis:	8015B/8021B/300.0 (Cl) 0VM READING (ppm) 463		
SOIL DESCRIPTION: SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SAND SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL / OTHER SOIL COLOR: PALE YELLOWISH BROWN PLASTIC/ COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / LIGHTLY PLASTIC / COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SILGHTLY COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LOOSE FIRM / DENSE / VERY DENSE MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST MOIST / WET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE # OF PTS.				
BELOW GRADE, BUT HYDROCARBO EXCAVATION DIMENSION ESTIMATION	RESENT TO WITNESS CONFIRMATION SAMPLING. DISCOLORATION PHAS N ODOR REMAINED.	ESTIMATION (Cubic Yards) :		
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located : off / on site PLOT PLAN circle: attached	OVM CALIB. READ. = 99.4 ppm OVM CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm TIME: 10:00 (am)pm DATE: 07/31/18		
COMPRI	ESSOR BERM BERM BERM BERM BERM BERM BERM BER	WO: REF #: P-1003 VID: VHIXONEV11 PJ #: Permit date(s): 07/12/18 OCD Appr. date(s): 07/19/18 Tank OVM = Organic Vapor Meter ID ppm = parts per million A BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N		
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	X - S.P.D. DN DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~= APPROX; W.H. = WELL HEAD; OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM. ERY DATE: 10/5/2016. ONSITE: 07/31/18	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N Magnetic declination: 10° E		

.

Analytical Report Lab Order 1808001 Date Reported: 8/3/2018

AROUND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	Hall	Environmental	Analysis	Laboratory,	Inc.
----------------------------------------------	------	---------------	----------	-------------	------

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

1808001-001

Project: NEBU 32A

Lab ID:

 Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 3' (45)

 Collection Date: 7/31/2018 9:40:00 AM

 Matrix: SOIL
 Received Date: 8/1/2018 7:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	JRR
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 12:06:29 PM	39532
EPA METHOD 8015D MOD: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst	AG
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	4800	86		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512
Surr: BFB	92.2	70-130		%Rec	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGA	NICS					Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	460	9.8		mg/Kg	1	8/1/2018 11:02:49 AM	39527
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49		mg/Kg	1	8/1/2018 11:02:49 AM	39527
Surr: DNOP	92.2	50.6-138		%Rec	1	8/1/2018 11:02:49 AM	39527
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES SHORT LIST						Analyst	AG
Benzene	ND	0.43		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512
Toluene	24	0.86		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512
Ethylbenzene	3.2	0.86		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512
Xylenes, Total	190	1.7		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	110	70-130		%Rec	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512
Surr: Toluene-d8	102	70-130		%Rec	20	8/1/2018 10:46:12 AM	39512

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

An extended on the second seco			and the second state of th	
Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E	Value above quantitation range
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sample pH Not In Range
	PQL	Practical Quanitative Limit	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W	Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Analytical Report Lab Order 1808001

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 8/3/2018

CLIENT:	Blagg Engineering		Cl	ient Sa	mple II): 5P	C-TB @ 5' (45)			
Project:	NEBU 32A		(Collect	ion Dat	e: 7/3	1/2018 9:45:00 AM			
Lab ID:	1808001-002	Matrix: SOIL	Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 8/1/2018 7:50:00 AM							
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA MET	HOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	: JRR		
Chloride		ND	30		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 12:18:53 PM	39532		
EPA MET	HOD 8015D MOD: GASOL	INE RANGE					Analyst	AG		
Gasoline	Range Organics (GRO)	11000	850		mg/Kg	200	8/1/2018 12:20:56 PM	39512		
Surr: E	3FB	101	70-130		%Rec	200	8/1/2018 12:20:56 PM	39512		
EPA MET	HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL R	ANGE ORGANICS					Analyst	Irm		
Diesel R	ange Organics (DRO)	590	97		mg/Kg	10	8/1/2018 11:35:49 AM	39527		
Motor Oi	I Range Organics (MRO)	ND	480		mg/Kg	10	8/1/2018 11:35:49 AM	39527		
Surr: [ONOP	0	50.6-138	S	%Rec	10	8/1/2018 11:35:49 AM	39527		
EPA MET	HOD 8260B: VOLATILES	SHORT LIST					Analyst	AG		
Benzene	(1.9	0.42		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 11:09:18 AM	39512		
Toluene		98	8.5		mg/Kg	200	8/1/2018 12:20:56 PM	39512		
Ethylben	zene	11	0.85		mg/Kg	20	8/1/2018 11:09:18 AM	39512		
Xylenes,	Total	440	17		mg/Kg	200	8/1/2018 12:20:56 PM	39512		
Surr: 4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	111	70-130		%Rec	200	8/1/2018 12:20:56 PM	39512		
Surr: 7	Toluene-d8	106	70-130		%Rec	200	8/1/2018 12:20:56 PM	39512		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte de

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 6
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

С	hain-o	of-Cus	stody Record	Turn-Around 1	lime:	SAME				ш	A				/T E	20			ENT	ГА	5	
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR	/ BP AMERICA	Standard	Rush _	DAY)																2
Second Second				Project Name:															16. E 1	Un	A H	
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	NY 97	-	NEBU # 3	24			~								l.cor		_			
				Project #:	NLDO # JA	6 M				awki									9			
Chater and the second se			FIELD, NM 87413	Toject #.			States of	Te	1. 50	5-34	5-39	and the second	and the set	-		S 80 3	5-410	07				
Phone #:	~ /.	(505) 63	32-1199			- Decision - and the sector	10		1.0			A	naly	ysis	Red	ques	st					
email or f				Project Manag	jer:)4)	5			300.1)				
QA/QC Pa					ERIN DUNI	MAN	1B)	(Alc	MRO)					4,SC	PCB'S			- 30(
Stand		L	Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELCONIN	m g ga ag	(8021B)	as of	~			MS		PO,20	82 P			ater			ple	
Accreditat				Sampler:	NELSON VI		-	+ TPH (Gas only)	/ DRO	8.1)	4.1)	2021		NO	8082			M/0			am	(
	to the local sector of the	□ Other		On Ice:	Ki Yes erature ⊋ i I -	INO <u><u><u>n</u></u></u>	ł	TP	10	41	20	82	S	VO3,	es /		OA)	300.			ite s	or N
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.	BTEX + MTBE	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 80158 (GRO	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH (8310 or 8270SIMS)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,Cl,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil - 300.0 / water		Grab sample	ot. composite sample	Air Bubbles (Y or N)
7/31/18	0940	SOIL	5PC - TB @ う ⁽ (45)	Meo+Kit 4 02 1	Cool	180800	▲ BT	BT	4 V	TP		PA	8	An	80	82	82	C⊢ ▼	\square	G	5 pt.	Air
11 31/10	0140	JUIL	JFC-10(@ 5 (45)	4 02 1	001	-201	V		V	-+	-		_			-		V			V	-
7/31/18	0945	SOIL	5AC-TBE 5' (45)	40z1	COOL	202	\checkmark		7		+	-						7			1	
						AT															1	
						08/01/17																
•											_	_					-			\neg		-
											+	\rightarrow	_							+	\neg	
		-																				
										_	_	-	_								\neg	
								_			_	-								-+	_	-
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by: A D	Received by:	1	Date Time	Rom	arks		BILL DI	PECT		RPI	ISING	THE	CONT	L		COPPT	C PON	DING	VID
7/31/18	1632	2	Mu VI	Mittle	What The	1/18/1632				<u>& REFE</u> ERIN	REN	CE # V	VHEN	APP	LICA	BLE;		WITH (<u>.UKKE</u>	SPUN	JING	VID
Date: Time: Relinguished by:			Received by: / Date Time VID: VHIXONEV11 / S/G///F Reference # P - 1003																			
101118	If necessa	n, samples s	ubmitted to Hall Environmental may be su	ubcontracted to other	accredited laboratorie	the second secon	this p	ossibili	ty. An	y sub-c	ontra	cted d	ata w	ill be	clearly	y notat	ted on	the an	alytica	l repor	t.	

Blagg Engineering

Page 3 of 6

Project: NEBU	32A			
Sample ID MB-39532	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 39532	RunNo: 53124		
Prep Date: 8/1/2018	Analysis Date: 8/1/2018	SeqNo: 1748820	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	ND 1.5			
Sample ID LCS-39532	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 39532	RunNo: 53124		
Prep Date: 8/1/2018	Analysis Date: 8/1/2018	SeqNo: 1748821	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	15 1.5 15.00	0 96.7 90	110	

Qualifiers:

Client:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 6

Client: B Project: N

Blagg Engineering NEBU 32A

n par de la la construction de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la c		
Sample ID MB-39457	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 39457	RunNo: 53063
Prep Date: 7/27/2018	Analysis Date: 7/31/2018	SeqNo: 1746381 Units: %Rec
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPF	K Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	7.9 10.00	78.9 50.6 138
Sample ID LCS-39457	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 39457	RunNo: 53063
Prep Date: 7/27/2018	Analysis Date: 7/31/2018	SeqNo: 1746382 Units: %Rec
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPF	K Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	3.8 5.000	75.0 50.6 138
Sample ID LCS-39527	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 39527	RunNo: 53063
Prep Date: 8/1/2018	Analysis Date: 8/1/2018	SeqNo: 1747708 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPF	K Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	47 10 50.00	0 94.3 70 130
Surr: DNOP	3.3 5.000	66.4 50.6 138

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1808001

Client: Project: Blagg Engineering NEBU 32A

والمحمد والمحمد والمستخدم المستحد ستجرب ومحمد متعول ومراجع معاونين ومترجع والمراجع المتراوط		and a sub-spectra was and the set of	and the second design of the s			and the second se				
Sample ID Ics-39512	SampT	ype: LC	S4	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: Volat	iles Short	List	
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch	n ID: 39	512	F	RunNo: 5	3126				
Prep Date: 7/31/2018	Analysis D	Date: 8/	1/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	747908	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.98	0.025	1.000	0	97.7	80	120			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	103	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	107	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.58		0.5000		117	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.49		0.5000		98.9	70	130			
Sample ID mb-39512	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: Volat	iles Short	List	ban ya da dan sa aya ngan sina gan sa
Sample ID mb-39512 Client ID: PBS		ype: ME			tCode: El RunNo: 5		8260B: Volat	iles Short	List	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		n ID: 39	512	F		3126	8260B: Volat Units: mg/K		List	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 39	512 1/2018	F	RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1	3126			L ist RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 7/31/2018 Analyte	Batch Analysis D	n ID: 39 Date: 8/	512 1/2018	F	RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1	3126 747909	Units: mg/K	g		Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 7/31/2018	Batcl Analysis D Result	n ID: 39 Date: 8/	512 1/2018	F	RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1	3126 747909	Units: mg/K	g		Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 7/31/2018 Analyte Benzene	Batch Analysis D Result ND	n ID: 39 Date: 8 / PQL 0.025	512 1/2018	F	RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1	3126 747909	Units: mg/K	g		Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 7/31/2018 Analyte Benzene Toluene	Batch Analysis D Result ND ND	Date: 8/ PQL 0.025 0.050	512 1/2018	F	RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1	3126 747909	Units: mg/K	g		Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 7/31/2018 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	Batch Analysis D Result ND ND ND	Date: 8/ PQL 0.025 0.050 0.050	512 1/2018	F	RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1	3126 747909	Units: mg/K	g		Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 7/31/2018 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total	Batch Analysis D Result ND ND ND ND	Date: 8/ PQL 0.025 0.050 0.050	512 1/2018 SPK value	F	RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1 %REC	3126 747909 LowLimit	Units: mg/K HighLimit	g		Qual

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 5 of 6

Client: Blagg Engineering Project: NEBU 32A

		the second s	and the second state and a local data and a second s	and the same of th	and the second s	and the second se		Contraction of the local division of the loc	and the second se	and the state of the
Sample ID Ics-39512	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D Mod:	Gasoline	Range	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 39	512	F	RunNo: 5	3126				
Prep Date: 7/31/2018	Analysis D	ate: 8/	1/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	747901	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	26	5.0	25.00	0	102	70	130			
Surr: BFB	520		500.0		104	70	130			
			00010	tradeginals to an air mag (the propulation or any amount or an electron						
Sample ID mb-39512	at depart determines bester er er gesen musike Nadaske musikelse (klasset minister)	ype: ME		Tes		a Mariana a Sana a S	8015D Mod:	Gasoline	Range	
Sample ID mb-39512 Client ID: PBS	SampT	ype: ME	BLK			PA Method	A et sus an Sandharana (A) Sandharana ay ar san Ay maganagana ay ang	Gasoline	Range	
Sector in a contract pressure and a contract of the contract o	SampT	ID: 39	3LK 512	F	tCode: El	PA Method 3126	A et sus an Sandharana (A) Sandharana ay ar san Ay maganagana ay ang		Range	
Client ID: PBS	SampT Batch	ID: 39	BLK 512 1/2018	F	tCode: El	PA Method 3126	8015D Mod:		Range RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 7/31/2018	SampT Batch Analysis D	ID: 39 ate: 8/	BLK 512 1/2018	F	tCode: El RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1	PA Method 3126 747902	8015D Mod: Units: mg/M	(g		Qual

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 6 of 6

12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ♥ No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ♥ No Checked by: 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ♥ No Checked by: 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ♥ No Checked by: 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ♥ No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (If applicable) Checked by: Checked by: 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: In Person In Person 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	HALL ENVIRONMENTA ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Alt TEL: 505-345-397.	l Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE ruquerque, NM 87109 5 FAX: 505-345-4107 allenvironmental.com	San	nple Log-In Check List
Reviewed By: IO S(c)1/14 LabelLat by: AT 0810113 Chain of Custody No No 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes V No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Coulder Log In . No NA 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 8.0°C Yes V No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes V No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes V No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No No VoA vials M 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No No If of preserved bottles checked for pH: (c2 or >12 unless noted) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No No Checked by:	Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number	r: 1808001		RcptNo: 1
Reviewed By: IO \$\begin{bmatrix}{ c	Received By: Anne Thon	ne 8/1/2018 7:50:00 AM	6	Anne In	
Reviewed By: IO S(c)1/14 LabelLat by: AT 0810113 Chain of Custody No No 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes V No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Coulder Log In . No NA 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 8.0°C Yes V No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes V No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes V No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No No VoA vials M 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No No If of preserved bottles checked for pH: (c2 or >12 unless noted) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No No Checked by:	Completed By: Anne Thon			Don H.	
Chain of Custody 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes V No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In Survey and the samples? Yes V No NA 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 8.0°C Yes V No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes V No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes V No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes No No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No No VOA Vials V 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes V No If or preserved 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes V No Idusted? Idusted? 12. Are matrice so cortextly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes V No Adjusted? Idusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes V No Adjusted? Idusted? Idusted? Idusted? Idusted? Idusted? Idusted?	Reviewed By: IO Labeled by:	8/01/14 AT 08/01/17			
2. How was the sample delivered? Courier 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yas Ø No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0* C to 6.0* C Yes Ø No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes Ø No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes Ø No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes Ø No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes Ø No Ma 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes Ø No If of preserved bottles for pri: 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes Ø No If of preserved bottles or requested? Yes Ø No 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes Ø No If of preserved bottles or requested? Yes Ø No Checked by: Checked by: If of preserved bottles or requested? Yes Ø No Checked by: Checked by: If of preserved bottles or requested? Yes Ø No Checked by: Checked by: If of preserved bottles or requested? Yes Ø No					
Loa In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No NA 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No No VOA Vials If of preserved bottles checked 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked If or preserved bottles checked? 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Checked by: If of preserved bottles checked? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: If of preserved? 14. Were all hoding times able to be me? Yes No Na If of preson Notified: 15. Was client notified of all discrepancicle	1. Is Chain of Custody comple	ite?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No No 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Hot of preserved bottles checked 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Hot of preserved bottles checked 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Na Person Notified: Person Notified: Date Value: eMail Phone = Fax In Person <	2. How was the sample delive	red?	Courier		
1. Note at addipted to be the original of the o		ool the samples?	Yes 🖌	No	NA 🗆
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes 15. Was client notified? Yes 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Cooler Information	4. Were all samples received a	at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗸	No 🗌	NA 🗌
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials 10. Were any semple containers received broken? Yes No Wo 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Wo 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Wo 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Wo 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (If applicable) Date Person Notified: 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date Date In Person By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: In Person Regarding: In Person 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Seal No Seal Date Signed By	5. Sample(s) in proper contain	ier(s)?	Yes 🗹	No	
8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No Adjusted? 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (If applicable) Checked by: Checked by: 15. Was client notified i all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: By Whom: Via: Date Date In Person In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: In Person In Person In Person 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Seal No Seal Date Signed By	6. Sufficient sample volume fo	r indicated test(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials ✓ 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No ✓ # of preserved bottle schecked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No ✓ # of preserved bottle schecked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: (14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: ((If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (If applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✓ Person Notified: Date	7. Are samples (except VOA a	nd ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: Checked by: 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No No Na Checked by: 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: By Whom: Date Date Date In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	8. Was preservative added to I	bottles?	Yes	No 🗹	NA
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes ✓ No bottles checked bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ✓ No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No Checked by:	9. VOA vials have zero headsp	bace?	Yes	No	No VOA Vials 🗹
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No Adjusted? 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date	10. Were any sample container	s received broken?	Yes	No 🗹	the second se
12. Ale maintees contending times able to be met? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No No No Checked by: 16. Additional remarks:			Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No Checked by:	12. Are matrices correctly identi	fied on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹	No	Adjusted?
(if no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes Person Notified: Date By Whom: Via: Regarding: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	13. Is it clear what analyses wer	e requested?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date	•		Yes 🗹	No	Checked by:
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date					
By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 10 10 10 10 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By			Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
Regarding: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks: 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal Date Signed By	1	**************************************	eMail Phone	Eav	
16. Additional remarks: 17. <u>Cooler Information</u> <u>Cooler No</u> Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	Regarding:				
17. <u>Cooler Information</u> Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IN THE REPORT OF THE STREET, THE REPORT OF THE	 max is as it is structure waves of sensitive 6 	xxx x x s	A to B to Machine contractor times.
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By					
	hard and a state of the state o	Condition Seal Intact Seal No.	Seal Date Sign	ed Bv	
		Good Yes	Ser Sais 1 Sign	MALIN J INCOM	

.

