NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

AZTEC. NEW MEXICO

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

120 East Chaco January 31, 1957

Superior Oil Company Midland, Texas

Gentlemen:

I have recently had a conversation with the dispatchers at the El Paso Natural Gas Company office in Farmington regarding the lease designation on your Schultz-Turner-Fields-Government #1-24 in the SW¹ Section 24-25N-6W. It seems that the long lease name has complicated their records as it has ours. He informed me that for bookkeeping purposes they are referring to the well as the #1-24 Fields and we have been referring to it as the #1-24 Schultz-Turner.

In view of the above I am wondering if it would cause your Company any inconvenience to re-file Form C-110 on this well and designate it simply as the Superior Oil Company #1-24 Fields. I might say that in all cases of this kind we prefer as short a lease designation as it consistent with your policy.

Yours very truly

Emery C. Aprold Supervisor, District #3

ECA:ks

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

AZTEC, NEW MEXICO

Indiana telephone

190 Cast Chirt 2002 **(1) 31,** 1957

> Supering (if Conpary 7%land, Pexas

> > idensificat

I have modably has a companished with the absorber we station like it is a security we start the like is lesse designabled on your Schultz-rumer-Kastan-Jonemment (1-24 in the Signostion on your Schultz-rumer-Kastan-Jonemment (1-24 in the Signostion 24-25%-68. It seems that it complicated basis records as it was curs. It had record we that for brookeeping purposes they are colouring or the wall as that for brookeeping purposes they are colouring or the wall as the first the first wall as constant.

In whew of the above is we wordering if it would take your Company and is convenience in setting for a -110 or into will and designate it airpay as one tay rior off for any #1-fa inclus. I sight say that in all asses of this kind we profes as short a lease leateneation as it consists to with row points.

imony to Aprolid Supportator Piotetich (5

EM: ATH