

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Form C-110
Revised 7/1/55

(File the original and 4 copies with the appropriate district office)


CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE AND AUTHORIZATION
TO TRANSPORT OIL AND NATURAL GAS

Company or Operator Magnolia Petroleum Company Lease Jicarilla "H"
Well No. 6 UT Unit Letter M S 2 T 26N R 3W Pool Undesignated Pictured Cliffs
County Rio Arriba Kind of Lease (State, Fed. or Patented) Federal
If well produces oil or condensate, give location of tanks: Unit S 11 T 26N R 3W
Authorized Transporter of Oil or Condensate McWood Corporation
Address 701 V & J Tower, Midland, Texas
(Give address to which approved copy of this form is to be sent)
Authorized Transporter of Gas Pacific Northwest Pipe Line Corporation
Address Box 1526, Salt Lake City, Utah
(Give address to which approved copy of this form is to be sent)
If Gas is not being sold, give reasons and also explain its present disposition:

Reasons for Filing: (Please check proper box) New Well ()
Change in Transporter of (Check One): Oil () Dry Gas () C'head () Condensate (x)

Change in Ownership () Other ()
Remarks: (Give explanation below)

Effective July 20, 1959



The undersigned certifies that the Rules and Regulations of the Oil Conservation Commission have been complied with.

Executed this the 9th day of July 19 59

Approved JUL 15 1959 19 59

By [Signature]
Title District Superintendent

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Company Magnolia Petroleum Company

By Legal Signer Emery G. Arnold

Address Box 2406, Hobbs, New Mexico

Title Supervisor Dist. # 3

AMTEC DISTRICT OFFICE

5

[illegible]

100-443887-100

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice".

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG). The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG).

2

100

Figure 1 is a line graph showing the percentage of total catch versus the number of hauls for three species: *P. setiferus*, *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus*, and *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus*. The x-axis represents the number of hauls (1 to 10), and the y-axis represents the percentage of total catch (0 to 100). The data shows that *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus* has the highest percentage of total catch, followed by *P. setiferus*, and *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus* + *P. setiferus* has the lowest percentage of total catch.