PO Box 1980, Hobbs, NM 88241-1980 District II PO Drawer DD, Artesia, NM 88211-0719 State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department

Form C-104 Revised October 18, 1994 Instructions on back Submit to Appropriate District Office

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, NM 87505

5 Copies

District III	2040 South				
000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410	Santa Fe, N				
District IV	•				

District IV 2040 South Pacheco,				Santa	10,	11111 07	505					AME	NDED REPOR	
I.			FOR A	LLOWAE	BLE	AND A	UTHO	RIZ	ZAT	ION TO T	RANS			
CHEROEN :=:		¹ Opera	itor name an								OGRID I			
ENERGEN RES			N								1629		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2198 Bloomf		_								1		Filing Cod	le	
Farmington 4 AP	Number	401				5 Pool N	ame				CH 10		ol Code	
30-0 30-	-045-253	211				BASIN D								
	erty Code	711				8 Property						71599 9 Well Number		
	2885					CHARLEY						1E		
II. 10 S	Surface	Location											_ 	
	Section	Township	Range	Lot. Idn	Feet	from the	North/S	outh L	ine	Feet from the	East/W	est line	County	
J	12	27N	13W			1850		S		1540		E]	SJ	
11 B	Bottom	Hole Loca	tion											
UL or lot no.	Section	Township	Range	Lot. Idn	Feet	from the	North/S	outh L	ine	Feet from the	East/V	Vest line	County	
12 Lse Code F	¹³ Producin	g Method Code	¹⁴ Gas C	Connection Date	1:	⁵ C-129 Per	mit Numb	ег	16	C-129 Effective	Date	¹⁷ C-12	9 Expiration Date	
III. Oil and	Gas Tr													
18 Transporter OGRID			porter Nam Address	e		20 POD) 	21 O/	/G		D ULSTI and Desc	R Location ription		
						entre entre esta de la companya de l	m av av			oggodin nem grani i vi			,	
										同国(BE			
pastic respectively.	nosti				-	i ta rasa rasa rasa sa	-			П); — З	EP 2	2 1993		
									Ţ		(ἀ(ō)[i		JV.	
					1		i. •				Digi	. 3	*************************************	
IV. Produce	d Wate	er				ye when en								
²³ POD					24	4 POD ULS	TR Location	on and	Desc	ription				
V. Well Cor	mpletio			,					77					
²⁵ Spud Date		²⁶ Ready	Date	27 T	D		²⁸ PB	rD		²⁹ Perfora	tions	30 D	HC, DC, MC	
31 Hole	Sie		32 Casing	& Tubing Size		33 Depth Set		t			³⁴ Sacks Cement			
					•							···		
					•••									
								-			<u>.</u>			
VI. Well Tes														
35 Date New Oil	36	Gas Delivery	Date	37 Test Date		38 7	Test Lengtl	1		39 Tbg. Pressure	,	⁴⁰ Cs	g. Pressure	
⁴¹ Choke Size		⁴² Oil	43 Water			44 Gas			⁴⁵ AOF		46 Test Method			
⁴⁷ I hereby certify that the rules of the Oil Conservation Division have been complied with and that the information given above is true and complete to he best of my knowledge and belief.					en)	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION								
Signature: Printed name:					\parallel	Approved by:								
Original sig	ned by	Joe Nieder	hofer		\parallel	Title:		JPE	RVI	SOR DIST	RICT	#3		
General Manag	ger					Approval D	Date:		_00	CT 1 199	Ω			
Date: 17-Sep-				-325-6800						- 1 133	<i>-</i>			
47 If this is a chang	ge of operat	or fill in the OC	GRID numbe					Α.	T	:. #162928			1 0-+ 00	
	Previo	ous Operator Sig	gnature	I qui	<u>u5</u>		ed Name	<u></u>	TIIC	#10 <u>2378</u>	Title		1-0ct-98 Date	

RISK-behoc

EL PASO FIELD SERVICES PRODUCTION PIT CLOSURE

Charlie Hosh #1E Meter/Line ID - 94561



SITE DETAILS

Legals - Twn: 27N

NMOCD Hazard Ranking: 0 Operator: M. R. Schalk

Rng: 13W Sec: 12

Land Type: Navajo

Pit Closure Date: 11/17/95

RATIONALE FOR RISK-BASED CLOSURE

The pit noted above was assessed and ranked according to the criteria in the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division's (NMOCD) Unlined Surface Impoundment Closure Guidelines.

A test pit was excavated to 5' where sandstone was encountered. The excavation was terminated at 5' and a soil sample was collected for field headspace analysis and laboratory analysis for TPH and BTEX. Groundwater was not encountered in the test pit. The pit was backfilled and graded in a manner to direct surface runoff away from the pit area. Headspace analysis indicated an organic vapor content of 234 ppm; laboratory analysis indicated a TPH concentration of 1740 mg/kg and total BTEX of 115 mg/kg.

El Paso Field Services Company (EPFS) requests closure of the above mentioned production pit location for the following reasons:

- The primary source, discharge to the pit, has been removed for over four years.
- Bedrock was encountered in the test excavation at five feet below ground surface making remediation impractical.
- The test pit was backfilled with clean soil and the former pit area graded to direct surface runoff away from the former pit.
- Source material has been removed from the ground surface, eliminating potential direct contact with livestock and the public.
- Groundwater was not encountered in the test excavation. In addition, the estimated depth to groundwater is greater than 100 feet; therefore, impact to groundwater is unlikely.
- There are no water supply wells or potential surface water receptors within 1,000 feet of the
- Residual hydrocarbons in the soil will degrade by natural attenuation with minimal risk to the environment.

ATTACHMENT

Revised Field Pit Assessment Form Field Pit Remediation/Closure Form

Field Pit Assessment Form Laboratory Analytical Results

REVISEDFIELD PIT SITE ASSESSMENT FORM



GENERAL	Meter: 9456 Location: CHARLES HOSH # E Operator #: Operator Name: M.R. SCHALK P/L District: Coordinates: Letter: J Section 12 Township: 27 Range: /3 Or Latitude Longitude Pit Type: Dehydrator X Location Drip: Line Drip: Other: Site Assessment Date: 5:18:98 Area: Run:						
	NMOCD Zone: Land Type: BLM □ (1) (From NMOCD State □ (2) Maps) Inside □ (1) Fee □ (3) Outside □ (2) Indian NAVADO						
	Depth to Groundwater Less Than 50 Feet (20 points)						
SITE ASSESSMENT	Wellhead Protection Area Is it less than 1000 ft from wells, springs or other sources of fresh water extraction?, or; Is it less than 200 ft from a private domestic water source? (1) YES (20 points) (2) NO (0 points)						
	Horizontal Distance to Surface Water Body Less Than 200 Ft (20 points)						
	TOTAL HAZARD RANKING SCORE: POINTS						
REMARKS	Remarks: Site has been re-assessed, due to initial assessment including washes as a Surface Water Body. LOCATION IS IN A REMOTE AREA ON A MESA NORTH OF CHARLIE WASH.						

FIELD PIT SITE ASSESSMENT FORM

GENERAL	Meter: 94561 Location: Charlie Hosh # E Operator #: 0203 Operator Name: SHALK Ample District: Angel Rak Coordinates: Letter: J Section 12 Township: 27 Range: 13 Or Latitude Longitude Pit Type: Dehydrator Location Drip: Line Drip: Other: Site Assessment Date: 1/23/95 Area: 01 Run: 33							
i	NMOCD Zone: (Farm NMOCD Zone: Land Type: BLM [] (1)							
	State (2)							
	Outside (2) Indian N_{avaso} Depth to Groundwater							
	Less Than 50 Feet (20 points) 🔀 (1)							
	50 Ft to 99 Ft (10 points) (2)							
	Greater Than 100 Ft (0 points) (3)							
INT	Wellhead Protection Area:							
SME	Is it less than 1000 ft from wells, springs, or other sources of fresh water extraction?, or; is it less than 200 ft from a private							
ASSESSMENT	domestic water source? (1) YES (20 points) (2) NO (0 points)							
SITE ASS	Horizontal Distance to Surface Water Body Less Than 200 Ft (20 points) (1) 200 Ft to 1000 Ft (10 points) (2) Greater Than 1000 Ft (0 points) (3) Name of Surface Water Body							
	(Surface Water Body : Perennial Rivers, Major Wash, Streams, Creeks, Irrigation Canals, Ditches, Lakes, Ponds)							
	Distance to Nearest Ephemeral Stream (1) < 100'(Navajo Pits Only)							
	$\Box (2) > 100' $							
	TOTAL HAZARD RANKING SCORE: VISUS POINTS							
KS	Remarks: Realine Book: Inside Vulnerable Zone Topo: Durside							
REMARKS	2 pits. Closel.							
REI	Partie Not							
	PUSH-IN							

FIELD PIT REMEDIATION/CLOSURE FORM

GENERAL	Meter: 9456/Location: Charlie Hash #1E Coordinates: Letter: J Section 12 Township: 27 Range: 13 Or Latitude Longitude Longitude Longitude Run: 01 33
FIELD OBSERVATIONS	Sample Number(s): 5/143 Sample Depth: 5/Feet Final PID Reading 234.0 PID Reading Depth 5/Feet Yes No Groundwater Encountered Approximate Depth Feet
CLOSURE	Remediation Method: Excavation
REMARKS	Remarks: This Pit was a Push In Hit Rock at 5' Fence Size 2/1/21 No in More than 100' From Ethemral Strem No EPWG on Site Signature of Specialist: [Span 1/2] [Sp



FIELD SERVICES LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT

PIT CLOSURE PROJECT - Soil Samples Inside the GWV Zone

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

	Field 1	D		Lab ID		
SAMPLE NUMBER:	JK143		94-	7798		
MTR CODE SITE NAME:	94561		Charlie	Hash #	1E	
SAMPLE DATE TIME (Hrs):	11-17-95		143	0		
PROJECT:	Phase I No	ivajo				
DATE OF TPH EXT. ANAL.:		195				
DATE OF BTEX EXT. ANAL.:	11/20/9	5		<i>1</i> 95		
TYPE DESCRIPTION:	V6		Lightfrown			
•			0			
Field Remarks:						
_		RESULTS				•
		(200210				
	RESULT UNITS					
PARAMETER	RESULT		DF	QUALIFIER	M(g)	V(mi)
BENZENE	< 0.5	MG/KG				
TOLUENE	16.4	MG/KG				
ETHYL BENZENE	6.8	MG/KG				
TOTAL XYLENES	91.7	MG/KG	12	D		
TOTAL BTEX	115	MG/KG				\
TPH (418.1)	1740	MG/KG	5		2.0	28
HEADSPACE PID	234.0	PPM				
PERCENT SOLIDS	93,0	%				
	TPH is by EPA Metho 10670/10376		PEPA Method 8020 - DIE All QA/QC	was accepta	able.	

BTEX SOIL SAMPLE WORKSHEET

File Soil Mas Extraction vo Shot Volum	s (g) : l. (mL) :	947798 5.01 10 25	Date Printed: Multiplier (L/g): CAL FACTOR (Analytical): CAL FACTOR (Report):	11/21/95 0.00100 400 0.39920	
			DILUTION FACTOR:	_	Det. Limit
Benzene	(ug/L) :	0.37	Benzene (mg/Kg):	0.148	0.998
Toluene	(ug/L) :	43.89	Toluene (mg/Kg):	17.521	0.998
Ethylbenzene	(ug/L) :	16.62	Ethylbenzene (mg/Kg):	6.635	0.998
p & m-xylene	(ug/L) :	189.89	p & m-xylene (mg/Kg):	75.804	1.996
o-xylene	(ug/L) :	39.76	o-xylene (mg/Kg):	15.872	0.998
3 Ayione	(-g.=) ·		Total xylenes (mg/Kg):	91.677	2.994
			Total BTEX (mg/Kg):	115.980	