

COPY

SOUTHERN UNION GAS COMPANY
FIDELITY UNION TOWER
DALLAS 1, TEXAS

April 20, 1964.

Pan American Petroleum Corporation
P. O. Box 180
Farmington, New Mexico

Attention Mr. L. O. Spear, Jr.

Dear Sir:

We have rechecked the Deliverability Test Data on the Pan American - Flynn #6 well and feel that the average NCF per day of 953 is correct for the flow week from January 30 to February 6, 1964.

It is suggested that you contact our Mr. Rudy Motto at Bloomfield regarding the retesting of this well.

If we may be of further assistance, please advise.

Very truly yours,

Billy McInnes
Billy McInnes

Measurement Office Supervisor

EMG:adg

cc: Mr. E. C. Arnold
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Albuquerque, New Mexico

bcc: Mr. Rudy Motto - Farmington



PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Mexico
March 24, 1964

File: N-191-501.77

Subject: 1964 Deliverability Test
Pan American Petroleum Corporation
E. H. Pipkin No. 6
Basin-Dakota Field
San Juan County, New Mexico

Mr. Billy McGehee
Gas Measurement Section
Southern Union Gas Company
1507 Pacific Avenue
Dallas 1, Texas

Dear Sir:

Please refer to your letter of March 4, 1964, by which you furnished Deliverability Test Data on a group of Pan American Petroleum Corporation gas wells for chart period January 29 through February 5, 1964.

Pan American's E. H. Pipkin Well No. 6 was included in this group of wells and the reported average production during the test flow week was 953 MCF per day. In checking past records, we note that during January, 1964, this well produced 42,466 MCF in 31 days, or 1370 MCF per day, which is approximately 44% greater than is indicated it produced during the flow week of the above referred to test.

We would appreciate it if you would recheck the flow data for the E. H. Pipkin No. 6 and advise of any corrections. If the flow data is determined correct, we respectfully request that this well be rescheduled for retest as it is our opinion, based on the previous month's production data, that the average production of 953 MCF per day during the test period does not represent this well's indicated capacity.


Very truly yours,

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION


L. O. Speer, Jr.
Area Superintendent

FWF:ep

cc: Mr. E. C. Arnold
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Aztec, New Mexico



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

Washington, D. C.

June 1, 1908

Mr. J. H. Smith

Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

I have the honor to acknowledge

the receipt of your letter of

May 28, 1908, in relation to

the proposed extension of the

boundary of the

Washington Monument

and to

inform you that the same has been referred to the proper authorities for their consideration. The Department is of the opinion that the proposed extension of the boundary of the Washington Monument is not warranted.

The Department is of the opinion that the proposed extension of the boundary of the Washington Monument is not warranted. The Department is of the opinion that the proposed extension of the boundary of the Washington Monument is not warranted. The Department is of the opinion that the proposed extension of the boundary of the Washington Monument is not warranted.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
John D. Foster,
Chief of the Geological Survey.

Very truly yours,

John D. Foster

Enclosed for you are two copies of a report of the Geological Survey, dated June 1, 1908, in relation to the proposed extension of the boundary of the Washington Monument. The report is of the opinion that the proposed extension of the boundary of the Washington Monument is not warranted.