FOI ()	m 9. Feb. 1	- 331 a 951)	 7
			-

(SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Budget Bureau No. 42-R358.4. Approval expires 12-31-60.								
Land C)ffice	C	76	114)			✓
Lease N	No		À				-	
	R		G	3		V	E	

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DRILL		SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF WATER SHUT-OFF-CANAGED COM-	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CHANGE P	LANS	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF SHOOTING OR ACIDIZING	IAEAA U
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TEST WAT	ER SHUT-OFF	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF ALTERING CASING	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RE-DRILL	OR REPAIR WELL	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF RE-DRILLING OR REPAIR	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SHOOT OF	R ACIDIZE	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF ABANDONMENT	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PULL OR		SUPPLEMENTARY WELL HISTORY	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ABANDON	WELL		
(INDICA	TE ABOVE BY CHECK MARK	K NATURE OF REPORT, NOTICE, OR OTHER DATA)	
M. Federal #7*		%ovember 10	19 59
2	790	(NI) (T)	•
ell Nois locat		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ line and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ft. from $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ line of sec.	
B/ha FB/ha Sec. 1	2911	LAW MAPH	
(1. Sec. and S.c. No.)	(Twp.)	(Meridian)	
(Field)	(County	or Subdivision) (State or Territory)	
	DETAI	ILS OF WORK	
	DETAI o objective sands; show siz ing points, and all o	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work)	
tate names of and expected depths to this wall was tosted .	DETAI objective sands; show size ing points, and all of the gas daliver. The average	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) ratiflity from 3-29-59 to 10-7-59 SIP of flowing pressure at the nature was 157	1 105 151.
This wall was tested SI taken on 10-11-59 The calculated flow r	DETAI objective sands; show size ing points, and all of the same distinct. The average at war 123 KA	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rability from 9-29-59 to 10-7-59 SIP of	1 105 151.
tate names of and expected depths to This wall was tested SI taken on 10-11-59 The calculated flow p	DETAI objective sands; show size ing points, and all of the same distinct. The average at war 123 KA	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) ratiflity from 3-29-59 to 10-7-59 SIP of flowing pressure at the nature was 157	1 105 151.
his wall was tested SI taken on 10-11-59	DETAI objective sands; show size ing points, and all of the same distinct. The average at war 123 KA	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) ratiflity from 3-29-59 to 10-7-59 SIP of flowing pressure at the nature was 157	1 105 151.
tate names of and expected depths to This well was tosted	DETAI objective sands; show size ing points, and all of the same distinct. The average at war 123 KA	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rehility from 9-29-59 to 10-7-59 5IP of flowing prossure at the nature was 57 Pro of 105 gravity gas. The calculate	1 105 151.
tate names of and expected depths to This wall was tested SI taken on 10-11-59 The calculated flow p	DETAI objective sands; show size ing points, and all of the same distinct. The average at war 123 KA	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rehility from 9-29-59 to 10-7-59 5IP of flowing prossure at the nature was 57 Pro of 105 gravity gas. The calculate	1 105 151.
This wall was tested SI taken on 10-11-59 The calculated flow r	DETAI objective sands; show size ing points, and all of the same distinct. The average at war 123 KA	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rability from 9-29-59 to 10-7-59 5IP of floring pressure at the actor was 157 FIRST ALSO FRONTLY CASE. The calculate	1 105 151.
ate names of and expected depths to his wall was tested 'SI taken on louis-59 he calculated flow p heliverability was 11	DETAI cobjective sands; show size ing points, and all colors for gas dislives The average at was 12, 3, 3, 3	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rehillity from \$-29-59 to 10-7-59 5 IP of floring prosessing at the mater was \$67 FPD of .585 gravity gas. The calculate	7 105 751.
his wall was tested of the sale and the sale and	DETAI cobjective sands; show size ing points, and all colors are disliked. The average at week 12, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rehillity from \$25.50 to 10-7-59 5 [P of the late of the lat	7 105 751.
te names of and expected depths to his wall was tosted. SI taken on lively was like calculated flow enliverability was like ca	DETAI cobjective sands; show size ing points, and all colors are delivered. The average at was 123 May 125 M	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rehillity from \$25.50 to 10-7-59 5 [P of the late of the lat	7 105 751.
his wall was tested in the wall was tested in the sale was an interest of the calculated flow was likely was lit was likely was likely was likely was likely was likely was like	DETAI cobjective sands; show size ing points, and all colors are delivered. The average at was 123 May 125 M	ILS OF WORK zes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs other important proposed work) rehility from 3-25-59 to 10-7-59 SIP of the later was 57 to 10-7-59 SIP of th	7 105 751.

GPO 862040