

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

1000 RIO BRAZOS ROAD  
AZTEC, NEW MEXICO

*February 6, 1959*

Oil Conservation Commission  
Box 871  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Over-Production on El Paso's  
Turner #1-A, P-34-31N-11W

Attn: Mr. Elvis Utz

Dear Elvis:

*After receiving your letter of February 2, 1959 regarding release of the above well for the purpose of conducting deliverability tests I have reviewed the well's history and find the following facts to be true:*

*The well evidently became over-produced in the beginning for the reason that the initial deliverability test was two months late. Your shut-in order from Santa Fe was dated 8-29-58; however in checking back production as far back as July I found that the well did not produce in either July, August, September, or October. I am attaching El Paso's letter of November 13, 1958 requesting release for annual packer leakage test for 1958. You will note that he requested that he be able to produce the Pictured Cliffs side from 11-13-58 to 11-17-58 prior to starting packer leakage test. This was done in order to clean liquids from the well bore as both sides had been shut in for some time prior to this. I neglected to release the well for these dates in my letter of November 19 to El Paso. I did however release it for flow from 12-8-58 to 12-15-58. I have checked Form C-115 and found that the 1900 MCF produced in November was produced in a four-day period, so that they have produced in accordance with their request. The 2961 MCF produced in December represented the gas produced during annual packer leakage test and I do not know how it could have been prevented unless we exempt them from running a packer leakage test.*

*On January 26, 1959 El Paso requested that the Pictured Cliffs side of this well be released from 1-24 to 2-15 in order to conduct the annual deliverability test, as the well is in an area which is being scheduled during the first testing period. After the flow test they intend to shut in both sides and again flow the Pictured Cliffs for one week, 3-8 to 3-15, 1959 for 1959 annual packer leakage test. It therefore seems to me that the well has never been released except for tests which are required by the Commission.*

Feb 19 1943

[illegible]

3. The fact that the defendant's conduct is not a violation of the law is not a defense to the charge of conspiracy. The defendant is liable for conspiracy if he or she enters into an agreement with one or more other persons to commit a crime, and the defendant is guilty of the crime if he or she performs any act in furtherance of the conspiracy, even if the crime is not completed.

1. The first step in the process of the investigation is the identification of the problem. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the investigation. The investigator must identify the problem and the scope of the investigation. The investigator must also identify the objectives of the investigation. The investigator must also identify the resources available for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the methods to be used in the investigation. The investigator must also identify the personnel who will be involved in the investigation. The investigator must also identify the timeline for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the budget for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the risks associated with the investigation. The investigator must also identify the benefits of the investigation. The investigator must also identify the stakeholders involved in the investigation. The investigator must also identify the communication plan for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the reporting structure for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the documentation requirements for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the ethical considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the legal considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the safety considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the environmental considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the social considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the cultural considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the political considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the economic considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the technological considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the environmental considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the social considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the cultural considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the political considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the economic considerations for the investigation. The investigator must also identify the technological considerations for the investigation.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

1000 RIO BRAZOS ROAD  
AZTEC, NEW MEXICO

*The periods of release are also made necessary by our testing requirements. Since June 30, 1958 this well has had no production that was not necessary for testing purposes. Below is a resume of the allowables and production for this well from July through December 1958. I believe their difficulty in balancing the over-production is due more to extremely low allowables during this period than to anything else. Perhaps with the 1959 deliverability and packer leakage tests out of the way they will be able to bring the well into balance during the next period. As of December 30 it appears to be only about 5,500 MCF over, which is not an excessive amount compared to many wells in the San Juan Basin.*

	<u>Production</u>	<u>Net</u>	<u>Over/Under</u>	<u>Current</u>
July	0	12,645		
August	0	11,158		1487
Sept.	0	9,387		1771
Oct.	0	8,676		1311
Nov.	1900 (4)	4,536	6436 x	3540
Dec.	2961 (7)	2,604	5565 x	3832

*I hope this letter will clarify the above matter for you.*

*Yours very truly*

*Emery C. Arnold  
Supervisor, District #3*

ECA:ks

Encl.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

1001 SAN RAFAEL ROAD  
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

The purpose of this report is to provide information regarding the results of the investigation conducted by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission. The investigation was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Act, Chapter 10, Section 1-10, N.M.S. 1958. The investigation was conducted by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, and the results are presented in this report. The investigation was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Act, Chapter 10, Section 1-10, N.M.S. 1958. The investigation was conducted by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, and the results are presented in this report.

Well No.	Location	Depth (ft.)	Production (bbls/day)	Notes
1001	Albuquerque	1000	100	
1002	Albuquerque	1000	100	
1003	Albuquerque	1000	100	
1004	Albuquerque	1000	100	
1005	Albuquerque	1000	100	
1006	Albuquerque	1000	100	

These results are presented for your information.

Very truly yours,

Director of Conservation  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

cc: Bureau

cc: Field