SITE INFORMATION

| | Re | eport Type: | Closure Re | eport 1 | RP-429 | 6 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|--|
| General Site Info | ormation: | | | | | | |
| Site: | | Double X 25 Fe | deral #4H | | | | |
| Company: | | Cimarex Energ | у | | | | |
| Section, Towns | hip and Range | Unit C | Sec. 25 | T 24S | R 32E | | |
| Lease Number: | | API No. 30-025 | -40690 | | | | |
| County: | | Lea County | | | | | |
| GPS: | | | 32.195124º N | | | 103.63 | 0256º W |
| Surface Owner: | | Federal | | | | | |
| Mineral Owner: | | | | | | | |
| Directions: | | | H onto lease road f | | | | 6 for approximately 3.0 d for 0.60 mi to location |
| Release Data: | | | | | | | |
| Date Released: | | 5/28/2016 | | | | | |
| Type Release: | | Produced Wate | r | | | | |
| Source of Contar | mination: | Well | | | | | |
| Fluid Released: | | 10 bbls | | | | | |
| Fluids Recovered | d: | 5 bbls | | | | | |
| Official Commu | nication: | | | | | | |
| Name: | Christine Alderman | | | | Ike Tavarez | Z | |
| Company: | Cimarex Energy | | | | Tetra Tech | | |
| Address: | 600 N. Marienfield S | St. | | | 4000 N. Big | g Spring | |
| | Ste 600 | | | | Ste 401 | | |
| City: | Midland Texas, 797 | 01 | | | Midland, Te | 2225 | |
| Phone number: | (432) 853-7059 | | | | (432) 687-8 | | |
| Fax: | (432) 833-7039 | | | | (432) 007-0 | 5110 | |
| rax. Email: | calderman@cima | | | | lko Tavaro | ez@tetratec | h com |
| Linali. | <u>calderman@cima</u> | | | | IKC. I avaid | | <u>n.com</u> |
| Ranking Criteria | | | | | | | |
| Depth to Ground | water: | | Ranking Score | | | Site Data | |
| <50 ft | | | 20 | | | | |
| 50-99 ft | | | 10 | | | 1001 | |
| >100 ft. | | | 0 | | | 100' | |
| WellHead Protect | ion: | | Ranking Score | | | Site Data | |
| | 000 ft., Private <200 ft | | 20 | | | One Data | |
| | 000 ft., Private >200 ft | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Surface Body of | Water: | | Ranking Score | | | Site Data | |
| <200 ft. | | | 20 | | | | |
| 200 ft - 1,000 ft. >1,000 ft. | | | 10 0 | | | 0 | |
| ~1,000 ll. | | | | | | 0 | |
| | otal Ranking Score | • | 0 | | | | |
| | otal Manking Scole | • | | | | | |
| | | Accentab | le Soil RRAL (m | a/ka) | | | |
| | | Benzene | Total BTEX | TPH | | | |
| | | 10 | 50 | 5,000 | | | |





April 25, 2018

Christine Alderman ESH Supervisor – Permian Basin Cimarex Energy 600 N. Marienfeld St. Midland, Texas 79701

Re: Closure Report for the Cimarex Energy, Double X 25 Federal #4H, Unit C, Section 25, Township 24 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico. 1RP-4296.

Ms. Alderman:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) was contacted by Cimarex Energy (Cimarex) to prepare a closure report for a spill at the Double X 25 Federal #4H, Unit C, Section 25, Township 24 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico (site). The spill site coordinates are N 32.195124 °, W 103.630256 °. The site location is shown on Figures 1 and 2.

Background

According to the State of New Mexico C-141 Initial Report, the release was discovered on May 28, 2016, and released approximately ten (10) barrels of produced water due to a failed float switch causing a release at the stuffing box. Approximately five (5) barrels of produced water was recovered. The release occurred and remained on the pad area measuring approximately 70'x75'. The initial C-141 Form is included in Appendix A.

Groundwater

No water wells were listed within Section 25 on the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer's website, the USGS National Water Information System, or the Geology and Ground-Water Conditions in Southern Lea County, New Mexico (Report 6). The nearest well is listed on the USGS National Water Information System in Township 24 South, Range 33 East, Section 33, approximately 3.6 miles southeast of the site, and has a reported depth to groundwater of 93.2 feet below ground surface. According to the Chevron Texaco Groundwater Trend map, the average depth to groundwater in this area is approximately 100' below surface. The groundwater data is shown in Appendix B.



Regulatory

A risk-based evaluation was performed for the Site in accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases, dated August 13, 1993. The guidelines require a risk-based evaluation of the site to determine recommended remedial action levels (RRAL) for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (collectively referred to as BTEX) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in soil. The proposed RRAL for benzene was determined to be 10 parts per million (ppm) or milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and 50 ppm for total BTEX (sum of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene). Based upon the depth to groundwater, the proposed RRAL for TPH is 5,000 mg/kg.

Soil Assessment and Analytical Results

On June 19, 2017, Tetra Tech personnel were onsite to evaluate and sample the release area. Two (2) auger holes (AH-1 and AH-2) were installed in the spill foot print to total depths of 2.0'-2.5' and 0'-1.0' below surface, respectively. Deeper samples were not collected due to a dense caliche formation in the area. A stainless steel hand auger was used to manually collect discrete soil samples from selected depth intervals. Selected samples were analyzed for TPH analysis by EPA Method 8015 modified, BTEX by EPA Method 8021B and chloride by EPA method 300.0. Copies of laboratory analysis and chain-of-custody documentation are included in Appendix C. The results of the sampling are summarized in Table 1. The sample locations are shown in Figure 3.

Referring to Table 1, the samples collected at 0-1' below surface in the areas of auger holes (AH-1 and AH-2) showed benzene and total BTEX concentrations below the laboratory reporting limits and total TPH concentrations of <15.0 mg/kg and 196 mg/kg, respectively. Minimal chloride concentrations were detected in the shallow soils, with chloride highs of 24.1 mg/kg at 2.0'-2.5' (AH-1) and 18.2 mg/kg at 0'-1' (AH-2).

Based on the laboratory data, Tetra Tech personnel returned to the site to collect deeper samples in order to vertically define the release to the NMOCD directives. Two (2) sample trenches, T-1 (AH-1) and T-2 (AH-2), were installed in the release area to total depths of 5.0' and 4.0' below surface, respectively. Deeper samples were not collected due to a dense caliche formation in the area. Selected samples were analyzed for TPH analysis by EPA Method 8015 modified, BTEX by EPA Method 8021B and chloride by EPA method 300.0. Copies of laboratory analysis and chain-of-custody documentation are included in Appendix C. The results of the sampling are summarized in Table 1. The sample locations are shown in Figure 3.

Referring to Table 1, the bottom trench samples in the areas of trenches (T-1 and T-2) showed TPH, benzene, and total BTEX concentrations below the laboratory reporting limits. Additionally, the area of trench (T-1) did not show any significant chloride concentrations, with a chloride high of 331 mg/kg at 5.0' below surface. The area of trench (T-2) showed a chloride high of 717 mg/kg at 2.0', which declined with depth and showed a bottom trench concentration of 506 mg/kg.



Conclusion and Recommendations

All of the soil samples were below the RRAL's for TPH, benzene, and total BTEX. Additionally, the chloride concentration were not significant in the subsurface soils. Based on the results, Cimarex requests closure of this spill issue. The final C-141 is enclosed in Appendix A. If you have any questions or comments concerning the assessment activities for this site, please call at (432) 682-4559.

Respectfully submitted, TETRA TECH

h'

Ike Tavarez, PG Senior Project Manager

ais Clongalos

Clair Gonzales, Geologist I

cc: Shelly Tucker – BLM

Figures



Mapped By: Isabel Marmolejo



Mapped By: Isabel Marmolejo



Tables

Table 1CimarexDouble X25 Federal #4HLea County, New Mexico

| Sample ID | Cample Cample Sample | | Soil | Status | | TPH (| mg/kg) | | Benzene | Toluene | Ethlybenzene | Xylene | Total BTEX | Chloride | |
|-----------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|----------|--------------|----------|------------|----------|---------|
| Sample ID | Date | Depth (ft) | Sample Depth (ft) | In-Situ | Removed | GRO | DRO | ORO | Total | (mg/kg) | (mg/kg) | (mg/kg) | (mg/kg) | (mg/kg) | (mg/kg) |
| AH-1 | 6/19/2017 | 0-1 | - | Х | | <15.0 | <15.0 | <15.0 | <15.0 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | 8.51 |
| | " | 1-1.5 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.12 |
| | II | 2-2.5 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24.1 |
| T-1 | 8/31/2017 | 0-1 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | <4.99 |
| | " | 1 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | <4.93 |
| | " | 2 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 81.7 |
| | " | 3 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 102 |
| | " | 4 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 292 |
| | " | 5 | - | Х | | <14.9 | <14.9 | <14.9 | <14.9 | <0.00344 | <0.00344 | <0.00344 | <0.00344 | <0.00344 | 331 |
| AH-2 | 6/19/2017 | 0-1 | - | Х | | <15.0 | 168 | 27.5 | 196 | <0.00199 | <0.00199 | <0.00199 | <0.00199 | <0.00199 | 18.2 |
| T-2 | 8/31/2017 | 0-1 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24.5 |
| | " | 1 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | <4.96 |
| | " | 2 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 717 |
| | " | 3 | - | Х | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 305 |
| | " | 4 | - | Х | | <15.0 | <15.0 | <15.0 | <15.0 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | <0.00201 | 506 |

Appendix A

e

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

| | iela Di., Sam | 11 C, 14141 87.50. | | · · · · · | | e, NM 875 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | Rel | ease Notifi | catio | and Co | orrective A | ction | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | OPERA | | \square | Initi | ial Report 🔲 Final Rep | | | |
| | | Cimarex Ene | | | | Contact: G | | | | | | | |
| | | enfeld Ste 60 | | | | Telephone No. 432-234-3204 | | | | | | | |
| Facility Na | me: Doubl | e X 25 Fede | ral No. 4 | H | | Facility Typ | e: Well | | | | | | |
| Surface Ow | ner: Feder | al | | Mineral C | Owner | | | AI | PI No | o.: 30-025-40690 | | | |
| | | | | LOCA | ATIO | N OF RE | LEASE | | | | | | |
| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | Feet from the | - | South Line | Feet from the | East/West I | line | County | | | |
| С | 25 | 245 | 32E | 330 | FNL | | 2055 | FWL | | Lea | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | La | titude: 32.11' 4 | 2" N | Longitude | -103. 37' 48" V | v | | | | | |
| | | | | NAT | URE | OF REL | EASE | | | | | | |
| Type of Rela | | | | | | | Release: 10 BBI. | | | Recovered: 5 BBLS | | | |
| Source of Ro | elease: Well | | | | | | lour of Occurrence | | | Hour of Discovery: | | | |
| Was Immedi | ate Notice (| Given? | | | | 5/28/2016 If YES, To | | 5/28 | 201 | 6 8:30 AM | | | |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | Yes [|] No 🔲 Not R | equired | | ker/Jamie Keyes | | | | | | |
| By Whom? (| Gloria Garz | a | | | | Date and F | lour: 5/29/2016 4 | :00 PM | | | | | |
| Was a Water | course Rea | _ | NZ N | 2 | | If YES, Vo | olume Impacting t | the Watercour | se. | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| If a Waterco | urse was Im | pacted, Descr | ibe Fully. ⁴ | * | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | em and Reme | | | Ala | | hant flaming a | | | un han de la constante de la co | | | |
| | | ox containme | | switch ala Kill | the wei | i but the uni | t kept nowing c | ausing the s | turr | ng box to burn up releasing | | | |
| india out of | starring of | ox containin | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Docoribo Ar | a Affastad | and Cleanup / | Action Tol | ran # | | | | | | ,, | | | |
| We will rem | | | Action Tai | Ken.* | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I hereby cert | ify that the i | information gi | ven abovo | • is true and comr | slete to th | he hest of my | knowledge and u | nderstand the | t nur | suant to NMOCD rules and | | | |
| regulations a | ll operators | are required t | o report a | nd/or file certain i | release n | otifications a | nd perform correct | tive actions f | or rel | eases which may endanger | | | |
| public health | or the envi | ronment. The | acceptan | ce of a C-141 repo | ort by the | 2 NMOCD m | arked as "Final R | eport" does n | ot rel | ieve the operator of liability | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | r, surface water, human health | | | |
| | | ws and/or regu | | brance of a C-141 | report d | oes not reliev | e the operator of | responsibility | tor c | compliance with any other | | | |
| Toucial, State | | the und of rege | inactority. | | | | OIL CON | SFRVATI | ON | DIVISION | | | |
| . (| JOOH | 101.1 | nha | Dr a | | | | 001011111 | | DIVIDIOI | | | |
| Signature: (| <u>MAN U</u> | and of | <u>XVYF</u> | γer | | | | | | | | | |
| Printed Nam | () e: Gloria G | iarza (|) (|) | · · | Approved by | Environmental S | pecialist: | | | | | |
| | 22 - GEOLEGI G | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Title: ESH S | specialist | | | | | Approval Dat | e: | Expire | ation | Date: | | | |
| E-mail Addr | uss: eearza | a.cimarex.cor | n | | | Conditions of | Approval: | | | | | | |
| | ppm:// | | | | | eonannyiib Ui | - ipprovin | | | Attached | | | |
| Date: 5/29/10 | | | | 32-234-3204 | | | | | | | | | |
| Attach Addi | tional She | ets If Necess | arv | | | | | | | | | | |

5 JUL- 1900-2100 295- 2000 REDUCTIONS \$1400 Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

API No. 30-025-40690

Release Notification and Corrective Action

| | OPERATOR | Initial Report | Final Report |
|--|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Name of Company Cimarex Energy C | Contact Gloria Garza | | |
| Address 600 N. Marienfeld Ste 600, Midland, TX 7 | Telephone No. (432) 234-3204 | | |
| Facility Name: Double X 25 Federal #4HF | Facility Type Well | | |

| Surface Owner: Federal | Mineral Owner: |
|------------------------|----------------|

LOCATION OF RELEASE

| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | Feet from the | North/South Line | Feet from the | East/West Line | County |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------|
| С | 25 | 24S | 32E | 330 | FNL | 2055 | FWL | Lea |
| | | | | | | | | |

Latitude N 32.195124° Longitude W 103.630256°

NATURE OF RELEASE

| Source of Release: Well Date and Hour of Occurrence 05/28/16 Date and Hour of Occurrence 05/28/16 Date and Hour of Discovery 05/28/16 Was Immediate Notice Given? If YES, To Whom? Shelly Tucker / Jamie Keyes By Whom? Gloria Garza Date and Hour 05/29/16 4:00 PM Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, No If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. N/A If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for release which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health | Type of Release: Produced Water | Volume of Release 10 bbls | Volume Re | ecovered: 5 bbls |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required If YES, To Whom? Shelly Tucker / Jamie Keyes Shelly Tucker / Jamie Keyes By Whom? Gloria Garza Date and Hour 05/29/16 4:00 PM Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | Source of Release: Well | Date and Hour of Occurrence | Date and H | Iour of Discovery |
| Yes No Not Required Shelly Tucker / Jamie Keyes By Whom? Gloria Garza Date and Hour 05/29/16 4:00 PM Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. N/A N/A If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A N/A N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | | 05/28/16 8:30AM | 05/28/16 | 8:30AM |
| By Whom? Gloria Garza Date and Hour 05/29/16 4:00 PM Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. N/A N/A If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | Was Immediate Notice Given? | If YES, To Whom? | | |
| Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. N/A N/A If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | Yes No Not Required | Shelly Tucker / Jamie Keyes | | |
| Yes No N/A If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | | | | |
| If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | | | tercourse. | |
| N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | Yes X No | N/A | | |
| Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* | | | |
| The float switch at the well killed the well, however the unit continued to flow causing the stuffing box to burn up and released fluid outside of the stuffing box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | N/A | | | |
| box containment. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* | | | |
| The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills extent. None of the samples collected showed elevated benzene, total BTEX, TPH or chloride concentrations. Tetra Tech prepared a closure report and submitted to NMOCD for review. | | flow causing the stuffing box to burn | up and releas | sed fluid outside of the stuffing |
| regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability | The site was inspected and soil samples were collected to define the spills | | d showed elev | vated benzene, total BTEX, |
| or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. | regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d | notifications and perform corrective ac ne NMOCD marked as "Final Report" te contamination that pose a threat to a | ctions for relea does not relie ground water, | ases which may endanger we the operator of liability surface water, human health |
| Signature: OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION | Signature: | <u>OIL CONSER'</u> | VATION I | DIVISION |
| Printed Name: Ike Tavarez Approved by District Supervisor: | Printed Name: Ike Tavarez | Approved by District Supervisor: | | |
| Title: Project Manager Approval Date: Expiration Date: | Title: Project Manager | Approval Date: | Expiration D | Date: |
| E-mail Address: Ike.Tavarez@TetraTech.com Conditions of Approval: Attached Attached | | Conditions of Approval: | | Attached |

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

Appendix B

Water Well Data Average Depth to Groundwater (ft) Cimarex - Double X 25 Federal \$4H Lea County, New Mexico

| | 23 S | outh | ; | 31 East | t 🛛 | | 23 \$ | South | : | 32 Eas | t | | 23 \$ | South | 3 | 3 East | : |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|-----------------|-----|----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------|----|----|------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 6 85 | 5 354 | 4 168 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 140 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 400 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 |
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |
| | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | outh | | 31 East | | | | South | | 32 Eas | | 0 | | South | | 3 East | |
| 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 192 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 20 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 24.6 | 11 | 12 |
| 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 208 | 24 16.9 |
| 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 |
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | 290 | | | | | | 93.2 | | | |
| C | | outh | | 31 East | | 0 | | South | | 32 Eas | | C | | South | | 3 East | |
| 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 17 | | 1 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 140 | 12 200 |
| 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| 19 | 20 | 21 390 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 20 200 | 21 120 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 30 | 29 | 290 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 |
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 31 | 32 290 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 31 | 32 | 33 | <mark>125</mark> 34 | 35 | 36 |

88 New Mexico State Engineers Well Reports

- 105 USGS Well Reports
- 90 Geology and Groundwater Conditions in Southern Lea, County, NM (Report 6) Geology and Groundwater Resources of Eddy County, NM (Report 3)
- 34 NMOCD Groundwater Data
- 123 Tetra Tech installed temporary wells and field water level
- 143 NMOCD Groundwater map well location

| A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a vater right file.) | (R=POD replaced, O=orphan C=the file closed) | ned, | (գւ | | | | | | E 3=SW argest) | , | 3 UTM in meters | , | (In feet) | |
|--|--|------|--------|--------|-------|------|-----|----------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|------|
| | ciosea) | POD | (qi | 141 (6 | 218 8 | ne s | man | 251 10 1 | aigest) | (INAD8 | 5 0 I WI III IIIeters |) | (m leet) | |
| | | Sub- | | ~ | Q | ~ | | | | | | | W | ater |
| OD Number | Code | | County | 64 | | | | | 0 | X | | DepthWellDep | thWater Co | lumn |
| <u>c 01932</u> | | С | ED | | 3 | 1 | 12 | 24S | 32E | 628633 | 3567188* 🢽 | 492 | | |
| <u>c 02350</u> | | | ED | | 4 | 3 | 10 | 24S | 32E | 625826 | 3566333* 🦲 | 60 | | |
| <u>03527 POD1</u> | | С | LE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 03 | 24S | 32E | 625770 | 3568487 🦲 | 500 | | |
| <u>03528 POD1</u> | | С | LE | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 24S | 32E | 626040 | 3566129 🧧 | 541 | | |
| <u>03530 POD1</u> | | С | LE | 3 | 4 | 3 | 07 | 24S | 32E | 620886 | 3566156 🦲 | 550 | | |
| <u>03555 POD1</u> | | С | LE | 2 | 2 | 1 | 05 | 24S | 32E | 622709 | 3569231 🦲 | 600 | 380 | 220 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Average Depth to | Water: | 380 feet | t |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Minimur | n Depth: | 380 feet | t |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Maximun | n Depth: | 380 feet | t |
| Record Count: 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PLSS Search: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

10/5/17 12:22 PM

WATER COLUMN/ AVERAGE DEPTH TO WATER



USGS Home Contact USGS Search USGS

V

National Water Information System: Web Interface

USGS Water Resources

Data Category: Groundwater Geographic Area: New Mexico

GO

Click to hideNews Bulletins

- <u>Please see news on new formats</u>
- Full News 🔊

Groundwater levels for New Mexico

Click to hide state-specific text

Search Results -- 1 sites found

site_no list =

• 321017103343201

Minimum number of levels = 1

Save file of selected sites to local disk for future upload

USGS 321017103343201 24S.33E.33.23231

Available data for this site Groundwater: Fie

Groundwater: Field measurements

GO

V

Lea County, New Mexico Hydrologic Unit Code 13070007 Latitude 32°10'17", Longitude 103°34'32" NAD27 Land-surface elevation 3,475 feet above NAVD88 This well is completed in the Ogallala Formation (1210GLL) local aquifer.

Output formats

| Table of data | |
|--------------------|--|
| Tab-separated data | |
| Graph of data | |
| Reselect period | |



Breaks in the plot represent a gap of at least one year between field measurements.

Download a presentation-quality graph

Questions about sites/data? Feedback on this web site Automated retrievals Help Data Tips Explanation of terms Subscribe for system changes News

Accessibility Plug-Ins FOIA Privacy Policies and Notices
U.S. Department of the Interior | U.S. Geological Survey
Title: Groundwater for New Maxico: Water Levels



<u>U.S. Department of the Interior</u> | <u>U.S. Geological Survey</u> Title: Groundwater for New Mexico: Water Levels URL: https://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/nm/nwis/gwlevels?

Page Contact Information: <u>New Mexico Water Data Maintainer</u> Page Last Modified: 2018-04-25 12:25:25 EDT 1.15 1.02 nadww01

Appendix C

Analytical Report 555866

for Tetra Tech- Midland

Project Manager: Ike Tavarez

Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

212C-MD-00861

29-JUN-17

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (9218)

Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295) Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400) Xenco-San Antonio: Texas (T104704534) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona(AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757)



29-JUN-17



Project Manager: **Ike Tavarez Tetra Tech- Midland** 4000 N. Big Spring Suite 401 Midland, TX 79705

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **555866** Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H Project Address: Lea Co, NM

Ike Tavarez:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 555866. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 555866 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Huns hoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



Sample Id

| AH #1 | (0-1') |
|-------|----------|
| AH #1 | (1-1.5') |
| AH #1 | (2-2.5') |
| AH #2 | (0-1') |

Sample Cross Reference 555866



Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

| Matrix | Date Collected | Sample Depth | Lab Sample Id |
|--------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| S | 06-19-17 00:00 | 0 - 1 ft | 555866-001 |
| S | 06-19-17 00:00 | 1 - 1.5 ft | 555866-002 |
| S | 06-19-17 00:00 | 2 - 2.5 ft | 555866-003 |
| S | 06-19-17 00:00 | 0 - 1 ft | 555866-004 |





CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: Tetra Tech- Midland Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

Project ID: 212C-MD-00861 Work Order Number(s): 555866
 Report Date:
 29-JUN-17

 Date Received:
 06/21/2017

Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None

Analytical non conformances and comments: Batch: LBA-3020665 BTEX by EPA 8021B Soil samples were not received in Terracore kits and therefore were prepared by method 5030.

Batch: LBA-3021020 BTEX by EPA 8021B Soil samples were not received in Terracore kits and therefore were prepared by method 5030.



Ike Tavarez

Lea Co, NM

Contact:

Project Location:

Certificate of Analysis Summary 555866

Tetra Tech- Midland, Midland, TX Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H



Date Received in Lab:Wed Jun-21-17 12:00 pmReport Date:29-JUN-17Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

| | | 5550444 | 0.1 | 555044.0 | 00 | 5550444 | | 555044 | 004 | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------|---------|--|--|
| | Lab Id: | 555866-0 | 100 | 555866-0 | 02 | 555866-0 | 03 | 555866- | 004 | | |
| Analysis Requested | Field Id: | AH #1 (0 | -1') | AH #1 (1-1 | 1.5') | AH #1 (2-1 | 2.5') | AH #2 (0 |)-1') | | |
| Inulysis Requested | Depth: | 0-1 ft | | 1-1.5 ft | | 2-2.5 f | t | 0-1 f | : | | |
| | Matrix: | SOIL | | SOIL | | SOIL | | SOIL | | | |
| | Sampled: | Jun-19-17 (| 00:00 | Jun-19-17 0 | 0:00 | Jun-19-17 (| 00:00 | Jun-19-17 | 00:00 | | |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B | Extracted: | Jun-24-17 | 11:30 | | | | | Jun-27-17 | 17:45 | | |
| | Analyzed: | Jun-25-17 (| 07:25 | | | | | Jun-28-17 | 12:39 | | |
| | Units/RL: | mg/kg | RL | | | | | mg/kg | RL | | |
| Benzene | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | | | | | < 0.00199 | 0.00199 | | |
| Toluene | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | | | | | < 0.00199 | 0.00199 | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | | 0.00201 | | | | | < 0.00199 | 0.00199 | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | | < 0.00402 | 0.00402 | | | | | < 0.00398 | 0.00398 | | |
| o-Xylene | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | | | | | < 0.00199 | 0.00199 | | |
| Total Xylenes | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | | | | | < 0.00199 | 0.00199 | | |
| Total BTEX | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | | | | | < 0.00199 | 0.00199 | | |
| Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Extracted: | Jun-28-17 | 15:30 | Jun-28-17 1 | 5:30 | Jun-28-17 1 | 5:30 | Jun-28-17 | 15:30 | | |
| | Analyzed: | Jun-28-17 | 17:38 | Jun-28-17 1 | 8:01 | Jun-28-17 1 | 8:09 | Jun-28-17 | 18:16 | | |
| | Units/RL: | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL | | |
| Chloride | | 8.51 | 4.92 | 7.12 | 4.98 | 24.1 | 4.96 | 18.2 | 4.97 | | |
| TPH By SW8015 Mod | Extracted: | Jun-24-17 | 16:00 | | | | | Jun-24-17 | 16:00 | | |
| | Analyzed: | Jun-25-17 (| 07:58 | | | | | Jun-25-17 | 08:18 | | |
| | Units/RL: | mg/kg | RL | | | | | mg/kg | RL | | |
| Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons | | <15.0 | 15.0 | | | | | <15.0 | 15.0 | | |
| Diesel Range Organics | | <15.0 | 15.0 | | | | | 168 | 15.0 | | |
| Oil Range Hydrocarbons | | <15.0 | 15.0 | | | | | 27.5 | 15.0 | | |
| Total TPH | | <15.0 | 15.0 | | | | | 196 | 15.0 | | |

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Huns Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager



Flagging Criteria



- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- K Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- ** Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit

| MDL Method Detection Limit | SDL Sample Detection Limit | LOD Limit of Detection |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| PQL Practical Quantitation Limit | MQL Method Quantitation Limit | LOQ Limit of Quantitation |

- **DL** Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable
- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- * (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Midland/Odessa - Tampa/Lakeland - Phoenix - Latin America

| | Phone | гах |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| 4147 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, TX 77477 | (281) 240-4200 | (281) 240-4280 |
| 9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220 | (214) 902 0300 | (214) 351-9139 |
| 5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238 | (210) 509-3334 | (210) 509-3335 |
| 1211 W Florida Ave, Midland, TX 79701 | (432) 563-1800 | (432) 563-1713 |
| 2525 W. Huntington Dr Suite 102, Tempe AZ 85282 | (602) 437-0330 | |
| | | |



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

| Work Ore Lab Batch # | ders : 55586 #: 3020665 | 6, 555866 Sample: 555866-001 / SMP | Batch | - | 212C-MD-0 | 00861 | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 07:25 | SUI | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | втех | X by EPA 8021B Analytes | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| 1,4-Difluorol | benzene | | 0.0293 | 0.0300 | 98 | 80-120 | |
| 4-Bromofluo | orobenzene | | 0.0288 | 0.0300 | 96 | 80-120 | |
| Lab Batch # | #: 3020771 | Sample: 555866-001 / SMP | Batch | : 1 Matrix: | : Soil | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 07:58 | SUI | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | TPH] | By SW8015 Mod Analytes | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| 1-Chloroocta | ane | | 101 | 99.7 | 101 | 70-135 | |
| o-Terphenyl | | | 51.5 | 49.9 | 103 | 70-135 | |
| Lab Batch # | #: 3020771 | Sample: 555866-004 / SMP | Batch | : 1 Matrix: | : Soil | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 08:18 | SUI | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | TPH] | By SW8015 Mod | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | 1.0.1 | | | | |
| 1-Chloroocta | ine | | 101 | 100 | 101 | 70-135 | |
| o-Terphenyl | # 2021020 | G | 51.5 | 50.0 | 103 | 70-135 | |
| Lab Batch # Units: | #: 3021020 mg/kg | Sample: 555866-004 / SMP Date Analyzed: 06/28/17 12:39 | Batch | : 1 Matrix: RROGATE R | | STUDY | |
| | BTEX | X by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1,4-Difluoro | benzene | | 0.0298 | 0.0300 | 99 | 80-120 | |
| 4-Bromofluo | orobenzene | | 0.0328 | 0.0300 | 109 | 80-120 | |
| Lab Batch # | #: 3020771 | Sample: 726685-1-BLK / Bl | LK Batch | : 1 Matrix: | Solid | | |
| | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 00:34 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| Units: | 8 8 | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Units: | | By SW8015 Mod | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| Units: | TPH | By SW8015 Mod Analytes | Found | Amount | %R | Limits | Flags |

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

| U nits: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 05:32 | CI | RROGATE R | ECOVERV | STUDY | |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| | | - | | 1 | | | |
| | BTEX | K by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | obenzene | | 0.0296 | 0.0300 | 99 | 80-120 | |
| 4-Bromoflu | orobenzene | | 0.0293 | 0.0300 | 98 | 80-120 | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3021020 | Sample: 726890-1-BLK / B | LK Batc | h: 1 Matrix | : Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/28/17 07:31 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | | L by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | | | 0.0293 | 0.0300 | 98 | 80-120 | |
| | orobenzene | | 0.0322 | 0.0300 | 107 | 80-120 | |
| | #: 3020771 | Sample: 726685-1-BKS / B | KS Batel | h: 1 Matrix | : Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 00:55 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | TPH I | By SW8015 Mod | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | [] | [2] | [D] | , | |
| 1-Chlorooc | tane | | 108 | 100 | 108 | 70-135 | |
| o-Terpheny | 1 | | 50.1 | 50.0 | 100 | 70-135 | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3020665 | Sample: 726706-1-BKS / B | KS Batc | h: 1 Matrix | : Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 03:55 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | BTEX | K by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| 1,4-Difluor | -1 | Analytes | 0.0250 | 0.0200 | | 00.100 | |
| | orobenzene | | 0.0270 | 0.0300 | 90 | 80-120 | |
| | #: 3021020 | Sample: 726890-1-BKS / B | 0.0280 KS Batcl | 0.0300 | 93 :: Solid | 80-120 | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/28/17 04:19 | | | | | |
| omis: | mg/Kg | Date Milaly2cu: 00/20/17 04.19 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY S | STUDY | |
| | | K by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | obenzene | | 0.0311 | 0.0300 | 104 | 80-120 | |
| | orobenzene | | 0.0329 | 0.0300 | 110 | 80-120 | |

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 01:16 | ~ | | ECOLEDY | | |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 01:16 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | TPH E | Sy SW8015 Mod | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1-Chlorooc | tane | | 114 | 100 | 114 | 70-135 | |
| o-Terpheny | | | 53.7 | 50.0 | 107 | 70-135 | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3020665 | Sample: 726706-1-BSD / BS | D Bate | h: 1 Matrix | : Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 04:11 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | | by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| 1.4 Diffuor | | Analytes | 0.0200 | 0.0200 | | 00.120 | |
| 1,4-Difluor | orobenzene | | 0.0280 | 0.0300 | 93 | 80-120 | |
| | #: 3021020 | Sample: 726890-1-BSD / BS | 0.0277 D Batc | 0.0300 h: 1 Matrix | 92 | 80-120 | |
| | | - | | | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/28/17 04:35 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | BTEX | by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | obenzene | | 0.0279 | 0.0300 | 93 | 80-120 | |
| 4-Bromoflu | orobenzene | | 0.0344 | 0.0300 | 115 | 80-120 | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3020771 | Sample: 555795-001 S / MS | Batc | h: 1 Matrix | : Soil | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 01:58 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | | Sy SW8015 Mod Analytes | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| 1-Chlorooc | | | 107 | 99.7 | 107 | 70-135 | |
| o-Terpheny | | | 50.3 | 49.9 | 107 | 70-135 | |
| | #: 3020665 | Sample: 556138-002 S / MS | Batc | | | 10 155 | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 04:27 | | RROGATE R | | STUDY | |
| | | by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | obenzene | | 0.0336 | 0.0300 | 112 | 80-120 | |
| 4 D | orobenzene | | 0.0349 | 0.0300 | 116 | 80-120 | |

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

| Work Orders : 55586 Lab Batch #: 3021020 | Sample: 556211-002 S / MS | S Bate | - | : 212C-MD-0 | /0801 | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Units: mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/28/17 11:01 | SU | JRROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | | | |
| втех | K by EPA 8021B Analytes | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags | | |
| 1,4-Difluorobenzene | | 0.0346 | 0.0300 | 115 | 80-120 | | | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | | 0.0344 | 0.0300 | 115 | 80-120 | | | |
| Lab Batch #: 3020771 | Sample: 555795-001 SD / M | ASD Bate | h: 1 Matrix | : Soil | 1 | | | |
| Units: mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 02:19 | St | JRROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | | | |
| TPH I | By SW8015 Mod Analytes | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags | | |
| 1-Chlorooctane | Analytes | 99.4 | 99.8 | 100 | 70-135 | | | |
| o-Terphenyl | | 49.0 | 49.9 | 98 | 70-135 | | | |
| Cab Batch #: 3020665 | Sample: 556138-002 SD / N | | | | /0-155 | | | |
| Units: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 06/25/17 04:44 SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | | | |
| втех | X by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags | | |
| | Analytes | | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Difluorobenzene | | 0.0335 | 0.0300 | 112 | 80-120 | | | |

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

- ** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis
- *** Poor recoveries due to dilution
- Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

| Work Order #: 555866, 555866 | Project ID: 212C-MD-00861 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Analyst: ALJ | Da | ate Prepar | red: 06/24/201 | 7 | | | Date A | nalyzed: (| 06/25/2017 | | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID: 3020665 Sample: 726706-1-I | BKS | Batc | h #: 1 | | | | | Matrix: S | Solid | | | | | | | |
| Units: mg/kg | | BLAN | K /BLANK S | SPIKE / 1 | BLANK S | SPIKE DUP | LICATE | ATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | | | |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Blank Spike Result [C] | Blank Spike %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag | | | | | |
| Benzene | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 107 | 0.0994 | 0.0950 | 96 | 12 | 70-130 | 35 | | | | | | |
| Toluene | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.101 | 101 | 0.0994 | 0.0876 | 88 | 14 | 70-130 | 35 | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.111 | 111 | 0.0994 | 0.0966 | 97 | 14 | 71-129 | 35 | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | <0.00401 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 100 | 0.199 | 0.173 | 87 | 14 | 70-135 | 35 | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.106 | 106 | 0.0994 | 0.0914 | 92 | 15 | 71-133 | 35 | | | | | | |
| Analyst: ALJ | D | ate Prepar | red: 06/27/201 | 7 | • | | Date A | nalyzed: (|)6/28/2017 | ł | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID: 3021020 Sample: 726890-1-H | BKS | Batc | h #: 1 | | | | | Matrix: S | Solid | | | | | | | |
| Units: mg/kg | | BLAN | K /BLANK | SPIKE / I | BLANK S | SPIKE DUP | LICATE | RECOV | ERY STUI | DY | | | | | | |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Blank Spike Result [C] | Blank Spike %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag | | | | | |
| Benzene | < 0.00201 | 0.101 | 0.0952 | 94 | 0.101 | 0.104 | 103 | 9 | 70-130 | 35 | | | | | | |
| Toluene | <0.00201 | 0.101 | 0.0831 | 82 | 0.101 | 0.0935 | 93 | 12 | 70-130 | 35 | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.00201 | 0.101 | 0.0885 | 88 | 0.101 | 0.100 | 99 | 12 | 71-129 | 35 | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | <0.00402 | 0.201 | 0.151 | 75 | 0.201 | 0.174 | 87 | 14 | 70-135 | 35 | | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | < 0.00201 | 0.101 | 0.0854 | 85 | 0.101 | 0.100 | 99 | 16 | 71-133 | 35 | | | | | | |

Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] = $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H

| Work Order | r #: 555866, 555866 | | | | | | Pro | ject ID: | 212C-MD-0 | 00861 | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Analyst: | MGO | D | ate Prepar | ed: 06/28/20 | 17 | | | Date A | nalyzed: (| 06/28/2017 | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID | Sample: 72689 | 8-1-BKS | Batcl | h#: 1 | | Matrix: Solid | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | | BLAN | K/BLANK | SPIKE /] | BLANK S | SPIKE DUP | LICATE | RECOV | ERY STUI | JDY | | | | | | | |
| | anic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added | Blank Spike Result | Blank Spike %R | Spike Added | Blank Spike Duplicate | Blk. Spk Dup. %R | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag | | | | | | |
| Analy | ytes | | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | Result [F] | [G] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | | <5.00 | 250 | 245 | 98 | 250 | 243 | 97 | 1 | 90-110 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyst: | ARM | D | ate Prepar | ed: 06/24/20 | 17 | | | Date A | nalyzed: (| 06/25/2017 | .017 | | | | | | | |
| Analyst: Lab Batch ID | | | - | ed: 06/24/202 h #: 1 | 17 | | | Date A | nalyzed: (Matrix: S | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | Batcl | | | BLANKS | SPIKE DUP | | Matrix: S | Solid | DY | | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID | D: 3020771 Sample: 72668 mg/kg TPH By SW8015 Mod | | Batcl | h #: 1 | | BLANK S Spike Added [E] | SPIKE DUP Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | | Matrix: S | Solid | DY Control Limits %RPD | Flag | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID Units: Analy | D: 3020771 Sample: 72668 mg/kg TPH By SW8015 Mod | 5-1-BKS Blank Sample Result | Batcl BLAN Spike Added | h #: 1 K /BLANK Blank Spike Result | SPIKE /] Blank Spike %R | Spike Added | Blank Spike Duplicate | LICATE Blk. Spk Dup. %R | Matrix: S RECOVI | Solid ERY STUI Control Limits | Control Limits | Flag | | | | | | |

Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] = $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



Form 3 - MS Recoveries Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H



 Work Order #:
 555866

 Lab Batch #:
 3021020

 Date Analyzed:
 06/28/2017

 QC- Sample ID:
 556211-002 S

 Reporting Units:
 mg/kg

Project ID: 212C-MD-00861

| Date Analyzed: 06/28/2017 D | ate Prepared: 06/2 | 7/2017 | Analyst: ALJ | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------|--|
| QC- Sample ID: 556211-002 S | Batch #: 1 | | I | | | | |
| Reporting Units: mg/kg | MATE | RIX / MA | TRIX SPIKE | RECO | VERY STU | DY | |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes | Parent Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Spiked Sample Result [C] | %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flag | |
| Benzene | <0.00201 | 0.100 | 0.0827 | 83 | 70-130 | | |
| Toluene | < 0.00201 | 0.100 | 0.0753 | 75 | 70-130 | | |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.00201 | 0.100 | 0.0795 | 80 | 71-129 | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | < 0.00402 | 0.201 | 0.143 | 71 | 70-135 | | |
| o-Xylene | < 0.00201 | 0.100 | 0.0774 | 77 | 71-133 | | |

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/BRelative Percent Difference [E] = 200*(C-A)/(C+B)All Results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

BRL - Below Reporting Limit



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Cimarex- Double X25 Fed #4H



| Work Order # : | 555866 | | | | | | Project II |): 212C-l | MD-0086 | 1 | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--------------|
| Lab Batch ID: | 3020665 | QC- Sample ID: | 556138 | -002 S | Ba | tch #: | 1 Matrix | k: Soil | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: | 06/25/2017 | Date Prepared: | 06/24/2 | 017 | An | alyst: A | ALJ | | | | | |
| Reporting Units: | mg/kg | | Μ | ATRIX SPIK | E / MAT | RIX SPI | KE DUPLICA' | TE REC | OVERY | STUDY | | |
| | BTEX by EPA 8021B | Parent Sample Result | Spike Added | Spiked Sample Result [C] | Spiked Sample %R | Spike Added | Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] | Spiked Dup. %R | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| | Analytes | [A] | [B] | | [D] | [E] | | [G] | | | | |
| Benzene | | <0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.0785 | 79 | 0.100 | 0.0898 | 90 | 13 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Toluene | | <0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.0785 | 79 | 0.100 | 0.0795 | 80 | 1 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Ethylbenzene | | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.0770 | 77 | 0.100 | 0.0764 | 76 | 1 | 71-129 | 35 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | | 0.00688 | 0.200 | 0.144 | 69 | 0.200 | 0.135 | 64 | 6 | 70-135 | 35 | Х |
| o-Xylene | | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.0771 | 77 | 0.100 | 0.0762 | 76 | 1 | 71-133 | 35 | |
| Lab Batch ID: | 3021044 | QC- Sample ID: | 555066 | 001.0 | Da | tch #: | 1 Motrix | k: Soil | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID: | 5021044 | QC- Sample ID: | 222800 | -001 S | Ба | ten #: | | . 501 | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID: Date Analyzed: | 06/28/2017 | Date Prepared: | | | | alyst: N | | . 501 | | | | |
| | | | 06/28/2 | 017 | An | alyst: N | | | OVERY | STUDY | | |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: | 06/28/2017 | Date Prepared: Parent Sample | 06/28/2 M Spike | 017 IATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result | An E / MAT Spiked Sample | alyst: M RIX SPI | MGO KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample | TE REC Spiked Dup. | RPD | Control Limits | Control Limits | Flag |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: | 06/28/2017 mg/kg | Date Prepared: Parent | 06/28/2 M | 017 IATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample | An E / MAT Spiked | alyst: M RIX SPI | MGO KE DUPLICA' Duplicate | TE REC Spiked | | Control | | Flag |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: | 06/28/2017 mg/kg nic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result | 06/28/2 M Spike Added | 017 IATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result | An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R | alyst: M RIX SPI Spike Added | MGO KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample | TE REC Spiked Dup. %R | RPD | Control Limits | Limits | Flag |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: | 06/28/2017 mg/kg nic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] | 06/28/2 M Spike Added [B] 246 | 017 IATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 251 | An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 99 | alyst: M RIX SPI Spike Added [E] | MGO KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] | TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 100 | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Limits %RPD | Flag |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Inorga Chloride | 06/28/2017 mg/kg nic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 Analytes | Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] 8.51 | 06/28/2 M Spike Added [B] 246 555795 | 017 IATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 251 -001 S | An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 99 Ba | alyst: M RIX SPI Spike Added [E] 246 | MGO KE DUPLICA Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 255 1 Matrix | TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 100 | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Limits %RPD | Flag |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Inorga Chloride Lab Batch ID: | 06/28/2017 mg/kg nic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 Analytes 3020771 | Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] 8.51 QC- Sample ID: | 06/28/2 M Spike Added [B] 246 555795 06/24/2 | 017 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 251 -001 S 017 | An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 99 Ba An | alyst: N RIX SPI Spike Added [E] 246 tch #: alyst: A | MGO KE DUPLICA Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 255 1 Matrix | TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 100 k: Soil | RPD % | Control Limits %R 90-110 | Limits %RPD | Flag |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Inorgat Chloride Lab Batch ID: Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: | 06/28/2017 mg/kg mic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 Analytes 3020771 06/25/2017 | Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] 8.51 QC- Sample ID: | 06/28/2 M Spike Added [B] 246 555795 06/24/2 | 017 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 251 -001 S 017 | An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 99 Ba An E / MAT | alyst: N RIX SPI Spike Added [E] 246 tch #: alyst: A | MGO KE DUPLICA Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 255 1 Matrix ARM | TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 100 k: Soil | RPD % | Control Limits %R 90-110 | Limits %RPD | Flag Flag |
| Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Inorgat Chloride Lab Batch ID: Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: | 06/28/2017 mg/kg mic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 Analytes 3020771 06/25/2017 mg/kg TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes | Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] 8.51 QC- Sample ID: Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result | 06/28/2 M Spike Added [B] 246 555795 06/24/2 M Spike Added | 017 IATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 251 -001 S 017 IATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result | An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 99 Ba An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R | alyst: M RIX SPI Spike Added [E] 246 tch #: alyst: A RIX SPI Spike Added | MGO KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 255 1 Matrix ARM KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample | TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 100 x: Soil x: Soil TE REC Spiked Dup. %R | RPD % 2 OVERY RPD | Control Limits %R 90-110 STUDY Control Limits | Limits %RPD 20 Control Limits | |

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery $[D] = 100^{\circ}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{\circ}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

| Image: String of Custody Record Image: String of Custody Record <t< th=""><th>RECEIVED: fill out all cop</th><th>ADDRESS UNATION FRUCA</th><th>HELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) Time:</th><th></th><th>RELINOUISHED BY: (Signature) Date: Time:</th><th></th><th>HUA S AU</th><th></th><th>1 (</th><th>GANT S X AL</th><th>PROJECT NO.: PROJECT NAME: ALAB I.D. DATE NUMBER DATE TIME MATRIX COMP. GRAB</th><th>imarex</th><th>5</th><th></th><th>Analysis Reques</th></t<> | RECEIVED: fill out all cop | ADDRESS UNATION FRUCA | HELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) Time: | | RELINOUISHED BY: (Signature) Date: Time: | | HUA S AU | | 1 (| GANT S X AL | PROJECT NO.: PROJECT NAME: ALAB I.D. DATE NUMBER DATE TIME MATRIX COMP. GRAB | imarex | 5 | | Analysis Reques |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| Image: Second | MAKS: Leper Dan | ZIP: RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE: | | | 6-21-17 | | # | AH# (2-2.5') | AH#1 (1-1.5.) | AH# 1 (0-1'] | Dubie X 25 Fed Lea COINM SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION | SITE MANAGER: TKC T | TETRA TECH 1910 N. Big Spring St. Midland, Texas 79705 (432) 682-4559 • Fax (432) 682-3946 | | Request of Chain of Custoc |
| H K FIRA TECH CON PERIOD EL CON PARTICIPATION CO | Project Mar | TIME: | Date: Time: | Date: Time: | 16 | | 2 | 5 | 2 | | FILTERED (Y/N) HCL HNO3 ICE | - | 5558up | | ly Record |
| | 1,00 | 1 | TETRA TECH CONTACT PERSON: | FEDEX FEDEX BUS | J SAMPLED BY: (Print & Initial) | Temp: 2 9 CF:(0-6: -0.2°C) (6-23: +0.2°C) Corrected Temp: 1 | | × | | - | TPH 8015 MOD PAH 8270 RCRA Metals Ag / TCLP Metals Ag / TCLP Volatiles TCLP Semi Volatile RCI GC.MS Vol. 8240/6 GC.MS Semi. Vol. PCB's 8080/608 | As Ba C As Ba C es 260/624 | Cd Cr Pb Hg Se Cd Vr Pd Hg Se | ANALYSIS REQUEST (Circle or Specify Method No.) | PAGE |

Final 1.001



XENCO Laboratories Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In



| Client: Tetra Tech- Midland | Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC |
|--|---|
| Date/ Time Received: 06/21/2017 12:00:00 PM | Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient |
| Work Order #: 555866 | Temperature Measuring device used : r8 |
| Sample Rece | ipt Checklist Comments |
| #1 *Temperature of cooler(s)? | 2.7 |
| #2 *Shipping container in good condition? | Yes |
| #3 *Samples received on ice? | Yes |
| #4 *Custody Seal present on shipping container/ cooler? | N/A |
| #5 *Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? | N/A |
| #6 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? | N/A |
| #7 *Custody Seals Signed and dated? | N/A |
| #8 *Chain of Custody present? | Yes |
| #9 Sample instructions complete on Chain of Custody? | Yes |
| #10 Any missing/extra samples? | Νο |
| #11 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? | Yes |
| #12 Chain of Custody agrees with sample label(s)? | Yes |
| #13 Container label(s) legible and intact? | Yes |
| #14 Sample matrix/ properties agree with Chain of Custody? | Yes |
| #15 Samples in proper container/ bottle? | Yes |
| #16 Samples properly preserved? | Yes |
| #17 Sample container(s) intact? | Yes |
| #18 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? | Yes |
| #19 All samples received within hold time? | Yes |
| #20 Subcontract of sample(s)? | N/A |
| #21 VOC samples have zero headspace? | N/A |

* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 06/21/2017

 Checklist completed by:
 Mathaga

 Marithza Anaya

 Checklist reviewed by:
 Marithza Anaya

 Kelsey Brooks

Date: 06/22/2017

Analytical Report 561984

for Tetra Tech- Midland

Project Manager: Clair Gonzales

Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H

212C-MD-00861

14-SEP-17

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (9218)

Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295) Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400) Xenco-San Antonio: Texas (T104704534) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona(AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757)


14-SEP-17



Project Manager: **Clair Gonzales Tetra Tech- Midland** 4000 N. Big Spring Suite 401 Midland, TX 79705

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): 561984 Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H Project Address: Lea Co,NM

Clair Gonzales:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 561984. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 561984 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Huns hoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



Sample Id T1 (0-1) T1 (1') T1 (2') T1 (3') T1 (4') T1 (5') T2 (0-1) T2 (1') T2 (2') T2 (2') T2 (3') T2 (4')

Sample Cross Reference 561984



Tetra Tech- Midland, Midland, TX

Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H

| Matrix | Date Collected | Sample Depth | Lab Sample Id |
|--------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-001 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-002 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-003 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-004 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-005 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-006 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-007 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-008 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-009 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-010 |
| S | 08-31-17 00:00 | | 561984-011 |
| | | | |



CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: Tetra Tech- Midland Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H

Project ID: 212C-MD-00861 Work Order Number(s): 561984 Report Date:14-SEP-17Date Received:09/05/2017

Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None

Analytical non conformances and comments: Batch: LBA-3027028 BTEX by EPA 8021B Soil samples were not received in Terracore kits and therefore were prepared by method 5030.

Batch: LBA-3027189 BTEX by EPA 8021B Soil samples were not received in Terracore kits and therefore were prepared by method 5030.



Project Id:212C-MD-00861Contact:Clair GonzalesProject Location:Lea Co,NM

Certificate of Analysis Summary 561984

Tetra Tech- Midland, Midland, TX Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H RUP ACCREONES

Date Received in Lab:Tue Sep-05-17 04:30 pmReport Date:14-SEP-17Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

| | Lab Id: | 561984-0 | 01 | 561984-0 | 02 | 561984-0 | 03 | 561984-0 | 04 | 561984-0 | 05 | 561984-0 | 006 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| | Field Id: | T1 (0-1 |) | T1 (1') | | T1 (2') | | T1 (3') | | T1 (4') | | T1 (5" |) |
| Analysis Requested | Depth: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Matrix: | SOIL | | SOIL | , |
| | Sampled: | Aug-31-17 (| 00:00 | Aug-31-17 | 00:00 |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B | Extracted: | | | | | | | | | | | Sep-08-17 | 08:30 |
| | Analyzed: | | | | | | | | | | | Sep-08-17 | 11:24 |
| | Units/RL: | | | | | | | | | | | mg/kg | RL |
| Benzene | | | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00344 | 0.00344 |
| Toluene | | | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00344 | 0.00344 |
| Ethylbenzene | | | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00344 | 0.00344 |
| m,p-Xylenes | | | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00687 | 0.00687 |
| o-Xylene | | | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00344 | 0.00344 |
| Total Xylenes | | | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00344 | 0.00344 |
| Total BTEX | | | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00344 | 0.00344 |
| Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Extracted: | Sep-11-17 1 | 5:15 | Sep-11-17 | 15:15 |
| | Analyzed: | Sep-11-17 1 | 8:37 | Sep-11-17 1 | 19:01 | Sep-11-17 1 | 9:09 | Sep-11-17 1 | 9:34 | Sep-11-17 1 | 9:42 | Sep-11-17 | 19:50 |
| | Units/RL: | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL |
| Chloride | | <4.99 | 4.99 | <4.93 | 4.93 | 81.7 | 4.90 | 102 | 4.95 | 292 | 4.96 | 331 | 4.95 |
| TPH By SW8015 Mod | Extracted: | | | | | | | | | | | Sep-09-17 | 18:00 |
| | Analyzed: | | | | | | | | | | | Sep-10-17 | 14:26 |
| | Units/RL: | | | | | | | | | | | mg/kg | RL |
| Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO) | | | | | | | | | | | | <14.9 | 14.9 |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | | | | | | | | | | | | <14.9 | 14.9 |
| Oil Range Hydrocarbons (ORO) | | | | | | | | | | | | <14.9 | 14.9 |
| Total TPH | | | | | | | | | | | | <14.9 | 14.9 |

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Huns Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Final 1.000



Project Id:212C-MD-00861Contact:Clair GonzalesProject Location:Lea Co,NM

Certificate of Analysis Summary 561984

Tetra Tech- Midland, Midland, TX Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H



Date Received in Lab:Tue Sep-05-17 04:30 pmReport Date:14-SEP-17Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

| | Lab Id: | 561984-0 | 007 | 561984-0 | 08 | 561984-0 | 09 | 561984-0 | 10 | 561984-0 |)11 | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|---------|--|
| An alugia Boaucated | Field Id: | T2 (0-1 |) | T2 (1') | | T2 (2') | | T2 (3') | | T2 (4') | | |
| Analysis Requested | Depth: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Matrix: | SOIL | | SOIL | | SOIL | | SOIL | | SOIL | | |
| | Sampled: | Aug-31-17 | 00:00 | Aug-31-17 (| 00:00 | Aug-31-17 (| 00:00 | Aug-31-17 | 00:00 | Aug-31-17 | 00:00 | |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B | Extracted: | | | | | | | | | Sep-07-17 (| 08:00 | |
| | Analyzed: | | | | | | | | | Sep-07-17 | 19:14 | |
| | Units/RL: | | | | | | | | | mg/kg | RL | |
| Benzene | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | |
| Toluene | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | |
| Ethylbenzene | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00402 | 0.00402 | |
| o-Xylene | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | |
| Total Xylenes | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | |
| Total BTEX | | | | | | | | | | < 0.00201 | 0.00201 | |
| Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Extracted: | Sep-11-17 | 15:15 | Sep-11-17 1 | 5:15 | Sep-11-17 1 | 5:15 | Sep-11-17 | 5:15 | Sep-12-17 | 15:30 | |
| | Analyzed: | Sep-11-17 | 19:58 | Sep-11-17 2 | 0:07 | Sep-11-17 2 | 20:15 | Sep-11-17 2 | 20:23 | Sep-12-17 2 | 21:13 | |
| | Units/RL: | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL | mg/kg | RL | |
| Chloride | | 24.5 | 4.94 | <4.96 | 4.96 | 717 | 4.92 | 305 | 4.91 | 506 | 4.97 | |
| TPH By SW8015 Mod | Extracted: | | | | ĺ | | | | | Sep-09-17 | 18:00 | |
| | Analyzed: | | | | | | | | | Sep-10-17 | 4:46 | |
| | Units/RL: | | | | | | | | | mg/kg | RL | |
| Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO) | ' | | | | | | | | | <15.0 | 15.0 | |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | | | | | | | | | | <15.0 | 15.0 | |
| Oil Range Hydrocarbons (ORO) | | | | | | | | | | <15.0 | 15.0 | |
| Total TPH | | | | | | | | | | <15.0 | 15.0 | |

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Huns Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager



Flagging Criteria



- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- ** Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit

| MDL Method Detection Limit | SDL Sample Detection Limit | LOD Limit of Detection |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| PQL Practical Quantitation Limit | MQL Method Quantitation Limit | LOQ Limit of Quantitation |

- **DL** Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable
- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- * (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Midland/Odessa - Tampa/Lakeland - Phoenix - Latin America

| | Phone | гах |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| 4147 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, TX 77477 | (281) 240-4200 | (281) 240-4280 |
| 9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220 | (214) 902 0300 | (214) 351-9139 |
| 5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238 | (210) 509-3334 | (210) 509-3335 |
| 1211 W Florida Ave, Midland, TX 79701 | (432) 563-1800 | (432) 563-1713 |
| 2525 W. Huntington Dr Suite 102, Tempe AZ 85282 | (602) 437-0330 | |
| | | |



| | ders : 36198 #: 3027028 | +, Sample: 561984-011 / SMP | Batch: | | 212C-MD-0 Soil | 0801 | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 19:14 | SUR | ROGATE R | ECOVERY S | STUDY | | | | | | |
| | mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 19 BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes benzene robenzene #: 3027189 Sample: 561984-000 mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 11 BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes benzene robenzene #: 3027224 Sample: 561984-000 mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 14 TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes ane #: 3027224 Sample: 561984-011 mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 14 TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes ane #: 3027028 Sample: 730538-1-E mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 10 BTEX by EPA 8021B | | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags | | | | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | | | 0.0288 | 0.0300 | 96 | 80-120 | | | | | | |
| | orobenzene | | 0.0259 | 0.0300 | 86 | | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3027189 | Sample: 561984-006 / SMP | Batch: | | | | | | | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 11:24 | SUR | ROGATE R | ECOVERY S | STUDY | | | | | | |
| | BTEX | X by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags | | | | | |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | obenzene | | 0.0285 | 0.0300 | 95 | 80-120 | | | | | | |
| 4-Bromoflu | orobenzene | | 0.0247 | 0.0300 | 82 | 80-120 | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3027224 | Sample: 561984-006 / SMP | Batch: | 1 Matrix | Soil | | | | | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 14:26 | SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | | | | |
| | TPH I | By SW8015 Mod | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | STUDY Control Limits %R 80-120 80-120 STUDY Control Limits %R 80-120 STUDY Control Limits %R 70-135 70-135 STUDY Control Limits %R 70-135 STUDY Control Limits %R 70-135 STUDY Control Limits %R 70-135 70-135 70-135 | Flags | | | | | |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | | | | | | |
| 1-Chlorooc | tane | | 108 | 99.6 | 108 | 70-135 | | | | | | |
| o-Terpheny | 1 | | 54.2 | 49.8 | 109 | 70-135 | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3027224 | Sample: 561984-011 / SMP | Batch: | 1 Matrix | : Soil | | | | | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 14:46 | SUR | ROGATE R | ECOVERY S | STUDY | | | | | | |
| | TPH I | | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Limits | Flags | | | | | |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | | | | | | |
| 1-Chlorooc | | | 105 | 99.9 | 105 | | | | | | | |
| o-Terpheny | | | 52.9 | 50.0 | 106 | 70-135 | | | | | | |
| | #: 3027028 | Sample: 730538-1-BLK / BLI | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Units: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 10:21 SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | | | | |
| | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 10:21 | SUR | KOGATE K | | | | | | | | |
| | | X by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits | Flags | | | | | |
| | втех | X by EPA 8021B | Amount Found | True Amount | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags | | | | | |

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



Work Orders: 561984, Project ID: 212C-MD-00861 Lab Batch #: 3027189 Matrix: Solid Sample: 730642-1-BLK / BLK Batch: 1 Units: Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 09:26 mg/kg SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY True Amount Control BTEX by EPA 8021B Found Amount Recovery Limits Flags [A] [B] %R %R [D] Analytes 1.4-Difluorobenzene 0.0281 0.0300 80-120 94 4-Bromofluorobenzene 0.0241 0.0300 80 80-120 Lab Batch #: 3027224 Sample: 730691-1-BLK / BLK Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid Units: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 12:27 SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY Amount True Control TPH By SW8015 Mod Found Limits Amount Recovery Flags [A] [B] %R %R [**D**] Analytes 1-Chlorooctane 103 100 103 70-135 o-Terphenyl 53.5 50.0 107 70-135 Lab Batch #: 3027028 Sample: 730538-1-BKS / BKS Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid Units: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 07:48 SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY Amount True Control BTEX by EPA 8021B Limits Flags Found Amount Recovery [A] [**B**] %R %R [D] Analytes 1.4-Difluorobenzene 0.0283 0.0300 94 80-120 4-Bromofluorobenzene 0.0286 0.0300 95 80-120 Lab Batch #: 3027189 Sample: 730642-1-BKS / BKS Batch: Matrix: Solid 1 Units: Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 07:50 mg/kg SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY Amount True Control BTEX by EPA 8021B Found Amount Recovery Limits Flags [**B**] %R %R [A] [D] Analytes 1,4-Difluorobenzene 94 0.0281 0.0300 80-120 4-Bromofluorobenzene 0.0249 0.0300 83 80-120 Lab Batch #: 3027224 Sample: 730691-1-BKS / BKS Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid Units: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 12:47 SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY Amount True Control TPH By SW8015 Mod Found Amount Recovery Limits Flags [A] [B] %R %R [D] Analytes 1-Chlorooctane 113 100 113 70-135 o-Terphenyl 55.6 50.0 111 70-135

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



| [] | ···· - /] | Data Analanada 00/07/17 00 00 | | | _ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ | | |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|-------|
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 08:08 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERYS | STUDY | |
| | BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes -Difluorobenzene Batch #: 3027189 Sample: 730642-1-BSD ts: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 08:09 BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes -Difluorobenzene Batch #: 3027224 Sample: 730691-1-BSD ts: mg/kg Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 13:07 TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes Chlorooctane Cerphenyl Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 08:27 BTEX by EPA 8021B Chlorooctane Cerphenyl Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 08:27 BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes -Difluorobenzene BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes | X by EPA 8021B | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flag |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1,4-Difluorobe | enzene | | 0.0293 | 0.0300 | 98 | 80-120 | |
| | | | 0.0295 | 0.0300 | 98 | 80-120 | |
| Lab Batch #: | 3027189 | Sample: 730642-1-BSD / BS | D Bate | h: 1 Matrix | : Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 08:09 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY S | STUDY | |
| | | | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flage |
| 1,4-Difluorobe | | | 0.0295 | 0.0300 | 98 | 80-120 | |
| 4-Bromofluoro | benzene | | 0.0263 | 0.0300 | 88 | 80-120 | |
| Lab Batch #: | 3027224 | Sample: 730691-1-BSD / BS | | | : Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 13:07 | su | RROGATE R | ECOVERYS | STUDY | |
| | TPH F | By SW8015 Mod | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flage |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| 1-Chlorooctane | e | | 102 | 100 | 102 | 70-135 | |
| o-Terphenyl | | | 48.9 | 50.0 | 98 | 70-135 | |
| Lab Batch #: | 3027028 | Sample: 561863-002 S / MS | Batc | h: 1 Matrix | : Soil | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 08:27 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY S | STUDY | |
| | | | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flage |
| 1,4-Difluorobe | | | 0.0316 | 0.0300 | 105 | 80-120 | |
| · * | | | 0.0271 | 0.0300 | 90 | 80-120 | |
| | | Sample: 562130-006 S / MS | Batc | | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 08:28 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | |
| | | | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flag |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | |
| | | | 0.0292 | 0.0300 | 97 | 80-120 | |
| | | | 0.0265 | 0.0300 | 88 | 80-120 | |

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



| | r ders : 56198 #: 3027224 | 4, Sample: 562162-001 S / MS | 5 Batcl | | : 212C-MD-0 | 0861 | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 13:47 | | RROGATE R | | STUDY | | | |
| | TPH I | By SW8015 Mod | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags | | |
| | | Analytes | | | [D] | | | | |
| 1-Chlorooc | | | 97.9 | 99.7 | 98 | 70-135 | | | |
| o-Terpheny | 1 | | 44.4 | 49.9 | 89 | 70-135 | | | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3027028 | Sample: 561863-002 SD / N | ASD Batcl | h: 1 Matrix | : Soil | | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/07/17 08:46 | 3:46 SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | |
| | | K by EPA 8021B Analytes | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | | | 0.0292 | 0.0300 | 97 | 80-120 | | | |
| | orobenzene | | 0.0315 | 0.0300 | 105 | 80-120 | | | |
| | #: 3027189 | Sample: 562130-006 SD / N | | | | 00 120 | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/08/17 08:47 | | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | | | |
| | BTEX | K by EPA 8021B | Amount Found | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R | Control Limits %R | Flags | | |
| | | Analytes | [A] | [D] | [D] | 70K | | | |
| 1,4-Difluor | obenzene | | 0.0288 | 0.0300 | 96 | 80-120 | | | |
| 4-Bromoflu | orobenzene | | 0.0265 | 0.0300 | 88 | 80-120 | | | |
| Lab Batch | #: 3027224 | Sample: 562162-001 SD / N | MSD Batel | h: 1 Matrix | : Soil | 1 | | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | Date Analyzed: 09/10/17 14:07 | SU | RROGATE R | ECOVERY | STUDY | | | |
| | TPH I | By SW8015 Mod Analytes | Amount Found [A] | True Amount [B] | Recovery %R [D] | Control Limits %R | Flags | | |
| 1-Chlorooc | tane | - | 109 | 99.9 | 109 | 70-135 | | | |
| o-Terpheny | 1 | | 43.3 | 50.0 | 87 | 70-135 | | | |

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

- ** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis
- *** Poor recoveries due to dilution
- Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H

| Work Order | #: 561984 | | | | | | | Proj | ject ID: | 212C-MD-0 | 00861 | |
|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Analyst: | ALJ | D | ate Prepai | red: 09/07/201 | 7 | | | Date A | nalyzed: (| 09/07/2017 | | |
| Lab Batch ID: | : 3027028 Sample: 730538-1-1 | BKS | Bate | h #: 1 | | | | | Matrix: S | Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | | BLAN | K /BLANK S | SPIKE / 1 | BLANK S | SPIKE DUP | LICATE | RECOVI | ERY STUI | DY | |
| Analy | BTEX by EPA 8021B | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Blank Spike Result [C] | Blank Spike %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| Benzene | | <0.00202 | 0.101 | 0.106 | 105 | 0.0998 | 0.106 | 106 | 0 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Toluene | | < 0.00202 | 0.101 | 0.102 | 101 | 0.0998 | 0.101 | 101 | 1 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Ethylbenze | ene | < 0.00202 | 0.101 | 0.0994 | 98 | 0.0998 | 0.0992 | 99 | 0 | 71-129 | 35 | |
| m,p-Xylen | es | < 0.00403 | 0.202 | 0.194 | 96 | 0.200 | 0.193 | 97 | 1 | 70-135 | 35 | |
| o-Xylene | | < 0.00202 | 0.101 | 0.0929 | 92 | 0.0998 | 0.0931 | 93 | 0 | 71-133 | 35 | |
| Analyst: | ALJ | D | ate Prepai | red: 09/08/201 | 7 | | | Date A | nalyzed: (|)9/08/2017 | | |
| Lab Batch ID: | Sample: 730642-1-1 | BKS | Bate | h #: 1 | | | | | Matrix: S | Solid | | |
| Units: | mg/kg | | BLAN | K /BLANK S | SPIKE / I | BLANK S | SPIKE DUP | LICATE | RECOV | ERY STUI | DY | |
| Analy | BTEX by EPA 8021B | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Blank Spike Result [C] | Blank Spike %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| Benzene | | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.119 | 119 | 0.101 | 0.108 | 107 | 10 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Toluene | | <0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.110 | 110 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 100 | 9 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Ethylbenzo | ene | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 107 | 0.101 | 0.0984 | 97 | 8 | 71-129 | 35 | |
| m,p-Xylen | les | <0.00401 | 0.200 | 0.208 | 104 | 0.202 | 0.191 | 95 | 9 | 70-135 | 35 | |
| o-Xylene | | < 0.00200 | 0.100 | 0.0995 | 100 | 0.101 | 0.0920 | 91 | 8 | 71-133 | 35 | |

Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] = $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H

| Work Order #: 561984 | | | | | | | Proj | ject ID: | 212C-MD-(| 00861 | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------|--|
| Analyst: MNV | D | ate Prepar | ed: 09/11/201 | 7 | | | Date A | nalyzed: (| 9/11/2017 | | | |
| Lab Batch ID: 3027427 Sample: 730722-1-B | KS | Batcl | n #: 1 | | | | | Matrix: S | Solid | | | |
| Units: mg/kg | | BLAN | K /BLANK S | SPIKE /] | BLANK S | SPIKE DUP | LICATE | RECOVI | ERY STUI | DY | | |
| Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 Analytes | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Blank Spike Result [C] | Blank Spike %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag | |
| Chloride | <5.00 | 250 | 253 | 101 | 250 | 252 | 101 | 0 | 90-110 | 20 | | |
| Analyst: MNV | D | Date Prepared: 09/12/2017 Date Analyzed: 09/12/2017 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lab Batch ID: 3027515 Sample: 730869-1-B | BKSBatch #: 1Matrix: Solid | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Units: mg/kg | BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 Analytes | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Blank Spike Result [C] | Blank Spike %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag | |
| Chloride | <5.00 | 250 | 249 | 100 | 250 | 251 | 100 | 1 | 90-110 | 20 | | |
| Analyst: ARM | D | ate Prepar | ed: 09/09/201 | .7 | 1 | | Date A | nalyzed: (| 9/10/2017 | | ļI | |
| Lab Batch ID: 3027224 Sample: 730691-1-B | KS | Batcl | n#: 1 | | | | | Matrix: S | Solid | | | |
| Units: mg/kg | | BLAN | K /BLANK S | SPIKE /] | BLANK S | SPIKE DUP | LICATE | RECOVI | ERY STUI | DY | | |
| TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes | Blank Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Blank Spike Result [C] | Blank Spike %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F] | Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag | |
| Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO) | <15.0 | 1000 | 1110 | 111 | 1000 | 1110 | 111 | 0 | 70-135 | 35 | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | <15.0 | 1000 | 1190 | | | 1 | | | | | | |

Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] = $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H



| Work Order #: 561984 | | | | | | Project II |): 212C-1 | MD-0086 | 1 | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Lab Batch ID: 3027028 | QC- Sample ID: | 561863 | -002 S | Ba | tch #: | 1 Matrix | k: Soil | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: 09/07/2017 | Date Prepared: | 09/07/2 | 017 | An | alyst: A | ALJ | | | | | |
| Reporting Units: mg/kg | | Μ | ATRIX SPIK | E / MAT | RIX SPI | KE DUPLICA | TE REC | OVERY | STUDY | | |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B | Parent Sample Result | Spike Added | Spiked Sample Result [C] | Spiked Sample %R | Spike Added | Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] | %R | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| Analytes | [A] | [B] | | [D] | [E] | | [G] | | | | |
| Benzene | < 0.00351 | 0.175 | 0.211 | 121 | 0.173 | 0.148 | 86 | 35 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Toluene | < 0.00351 | 0.175 | 0.166 | 95 | 0.173 | 0.136 | 79 | 20 | 70-130 | 35 | |
| Ethylbenzene | < 0.00351 | 0.175 | 0.127 | 73 | 0.173 | 0.129 | 75 | 2 | 71-129 | 35 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | < 0.00702 | 0.351 | 0.239 | 68 | 0.346 | 0.251 | 73 | 5 | 70-135 | 35 | X |
| o-Xylene | < 0.00351 | 0.175 | 0.134 | 77 | 0.173 | 0.126 | 73 | 6 | 71-133 | 35 | |
| Lab Batch ID: 3027189 | QC- Sample ID: | 562130 | -006 S | Ba | tch #: | 1 Matrix | k: Soil | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: 09/08/2017 | Date Prepared: | 09/08/2 | 017 | An | alyst: A | ALJ | | | | | |
| Reporting Units: mg/kg | | Μ | IATRIX SPIK | E / MAT | RIX SPI | KE DUPLICA | TE REC | OVERY | STUDY | | |
| BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes | Parent Sample Result [A] | Spike Added [B] | Spiked Sample Result [C] | Spiked Sample %R [D] | Spike Added [E] | Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] | Spiked Dup. %R [G] | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| Benzene | <0.00200 | 0.0998 | 0.0668 | 67 | 0.0994 | 0.0830 | 84 | 22 | 70-130 | 35 | X |
| Toluene | < 0.00200 | 0.0998 | 0.0614 | 62 | 0.0994 | 0.0756 | 76 | 21 | 70-130 | 35 | X |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.00200 | 0.0998 | 0.0581 | 58 | 0.0994 | 0.0702 | 71 | 19 | 71-129 | 35 | X |
| m,p-Xylenes | < 0.00399 | 0.200 | 0.114 | 57 | 0.199 | 0.137 | 69 | 18 | 70-135 | 35 | X |
| o-Xylene | < 0.00200 | 0.0998 | 0.0559 | 56 | 0.0994 | 0.0669 | 67 | 18 | 71-133 | 35 | X |

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H



| Work Order # : | 561984 | | | | | | Project II |): 212C-1 | MD-0086 | 1 | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Lab Batch ID: | 3027427 | QC- Sample ID: | 561862 | -001 S | Ba | tch #: | 1 Matrix | : Soil | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: | 09/11/2017 | Date Prepared | : 09/11/2 | 017 | An | alyst: N | MNV | | | | | |
| Reporting Units: | mg/kg | | Μ | IATRIX SPIK | E / MAT | RIX SPI | KE DUPLICA' | TE REC | OVERY | STUDY | | |
| Inorga | nic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Parent Sample Result | Spike Added | Spiked Sample Result [C] | Spiked Sample %R | Spike Added | Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] | Spiked Dup. %R | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| | Analytes | [A] | [B] | [0] | [D] | [E] | Kesut [F] | [G] | 70 | | 70KI D | |
| Chloride | | 76.6 | 249 | 341 | 106 | 249 | 342 | 107 | 0 | 90-110 | 20 | |
| Lab Batch ID: | 3027427 | QC- Sample ID: | 561984 | -001 S | Ba | tch #: | 1 Matrix | : Soil | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: | 09/11/2017 | Date Prepared | : 09/11/2 | 017 | An | alyst: N | MNV | | | | | |
| Reporting Units: | mg/kg | MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inorgai | nic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Parent Sample Result | Spike Added | Spiked Sample Result [C] | Spiked Sample %R | Spike Added | Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] | Spiked Dup. %R | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| | Analytes | [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | Kesun [F] | 76K [G] | 70 | 70K | 70KI D | |
| Chloride | | <4.99 | 250 | 267 | 107 | 250 | 268 | 107 | 0 | 90-110 | 20 | |
| Lab Batch ID: | 3027515 | QC- Sample ID: | 562132 | -001 S | Ba | tch #: | 1 Matrix | : Soil | | | - | • |
| Date Analyzed: | 09/12/2017 | Date Prepared | : 09/12/2 | 017 | An | alyst: N | MNV | | | | | |
| Reporting Units: | mg/kg | | Μ | IATRIX SPIK | E / MAT | RIX SPI | KE DUPLICA' | TE REC | OVERY | STUDY | | |
| Inorgai | nic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 | Parent Sample Result | Spike Added | Spiked Sample Result [C] | Spiked Sample %R | Spike Added | Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] | Spiked Dup. %R | RPD % | Control Limits %R | Control Limits %RPD | Flag |
| | Analytes | [A] | [B] | [0] | [D] | [E] | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | [G] | | | | |
| Chloride | | 19.8 | 249 | 286 | 107 | 249 | 285 | 107 | 0 | 90-110 | 20 | |

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery $[D] = 100^{\circ}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{\circ}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Cimarex- Double X 25 Fed #4H



| Work Order # : | 561984 Project ID: 212C-MD-00861 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| Lab Batch ID: | Batch ID: 3027224 QC- Sample ID: | | 562162 | 62162-001 S Batch #: 1 Matrix: Soil | | | | | | | | |
| Date Analyzed: | 09/10/2017 | Date Prepared: | | 017 | An | alyst: A | RM | | | | | |
| Reporting Units: | mg/kg | MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY | | | | | | | | | | |
| TPH By SW8015 Mod | | Parent Sample | Spike | Spiked Sample Result | Sample | Spike | Duplicate Spiked Sample | Spiked Dup. | RPD | Control Limits | Control Limits | Flag |
| Analytes | | Result [A] | Added [B] | [C] | %R [D] | Added [E] | Result [F] | %R [G] | % | %R | %RPD | |
| Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO) | | <15.0 | 997 | 1080 | 108 | 999 | 972 | 97 | 11 | 70-135 | 35 | |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | | <15.0 | 997 | 1050 | 105 | 999 | 1050 | 105 | 0 | 70-135 | 35 | |

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/BRelative Percent Difference RPD = 200*|(C-F)/(C+F)| Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Page 16 of 19

| Image: Construct Tech, Inc. environment intervention of the state of |
|--|
| Monte Standing Standing Monte Standing <t< th=""></t<> |
| Anno Page SUL (V) (Second Conserved on Second Conserved Conserv |
| Signal |
| ANALYSIS REQUEST Incle or Specify Method No. ANALYSIS REQUEST Incle or Specify Method No. ANALYSIS REQUEST Incle or Specify Method No. ANALYSIS REQUEST Incle or Specify Method No. Incle of Specify Method No. In |
| 48 Chloride Sulfate TDS 48 General Water Chemistry (see attached list) O |
| |



Analysis Request of Chain of Custody Record



XENCO Laboratories Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In



Client: Tetra Tech- Midland Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 09/05/2017 04:30:00 PM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 561984 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist #1 *Temperature of cooler(s)? 5.4 #2 *Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 *Samples received on ice? Yes #4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A

| #0 Custody Seals Signed and dated? | IN/A |
|---|------|
| #7 *Chain of Custody present? | Yes |
| #8 Any missing/extra samples? | No |
| #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? | Yes |
| #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? | Yes |
| #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? | Yes |
| #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? | Yes |
| #13 Samples properly preserved? | Yes |
| #14 Sample container(s) intact? | Yes |
| #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? | Yes |
| #16 All samples received within hold time? | Yes |
| #17 Subcontract of sample(s)? | No |
| #18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace? | N/A |
| | |

* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 09/06/2017

Checklist completed by: Shawnee Smith Checklist reviewed by: Mark Moak Kelsey Brooks

Date: 09/06/2017