

DC-296

TENNESSEE GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY

P. O. BOX 1031  
MIDLAND, TEXAS

April 13, 1956

MAILING OFFICE 800

APR 23 AM 10:55

OCC  
Hubler  
PLEASE ADDRESS YOUR  
REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

MAILED  
15 APR 22  
0:34

See 4/12/56

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission  
P. O. Box 871  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Application to Dually Complete Tennessee Gas Transmission Company's  
Way (USA) Well No. 2, Durant Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

C

Gentlemen:

Tennessee Gas Transmission Company hereby makes application for an  
Administrative Order permitting the dual completion of their Way (USA)  
No. 2 and for an Administrative Order establishing a 160-acre Non-standard  
Gas Production Unit in the Durant Gas Pool for subject well.

O

The Applicant wishes to state:

P

1. Tennessee Gas Transmission Company is the owner and operator  
of the 160-acre Way (USA) Lease which consists of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of  
Section 35, T-29-S, R-36-E, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant's  
Way (USA) well No. 2 is located 1980 feet from north and west  
lines of Section 35 and was completed November 19, 1954, as an  
oil well in the Queen Formation. Five and one-half inch casing  
was set at 4021 feet.

Y

2. Tennessee Gas Transmission Company proposes to dually complete  
Way (USA) No. 2 in the following manner:

- (a) Set bridging plug at 3325 feet, squeeze cement through perforations at 3320 feet and 3080 feet.
- (b) Perforate the Durant Gas zone between 3080 feet and 3380 feet
- (c) Produce the Durant Gas zone through the annulus above a backwall packer set between the Durant Gas zone and the Queen Oil zone and produce the Queen Oil zone through the tubing.
- (d) Tennessee Gas Transmission Company is of the opinion that this method of dual completion is practical.
- (e) Tennessee Gas Transmission Company will comply with all Commission rules and regulations applicable to dual completions and separation of pay zones.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

DATE: 11/15/50  
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

TO: [Illegible]

Reference is made to the report of the [Illegible] dated [Illegible].

The [Illegible] has been reviewed and it is recommended that [Illegible].

It is suggested that the [Illegible] be [Illegible] and [Illegible].

Very truly yours,

[Illegible signature and text]

[Illegible text]

(1) [Illegible]

(2) [Illegible]

(3) [Illegible]

(4) [Illegible]

(5) [Illegible]