

COSDEN PETROLEUM CORPORATION
BIG SPRING, TEXAS

NOV 7 PM 2 23

November 4, 1958

**New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico**

**Re: Application to Dually Complete
Cosden Petroleum Corporation's
Edith Butler No. 2 and No. 3,
Drinkard Pool, S/2 NW/4 Section
18, T-22-S, Range 30E, Lea Co.,
New Mexico.**

Gentlemen:

Cosden Petroleum Corporation respectfully requests permission to dually complete its Edith Butler No. 2 and No. 3, located in Section 18-22S-30E, Lea County, New Mexico. Both wells produce from the Drinkard pay at present.

Well No. 2 would be completed so that Tubbs gas would be produced through the casing-tubing annulus and the Drinkard oil zone would continue to be produced through the tubing.

Well No. 3 would be completed so that Blinsbry gas would be produced through the casing-tubing annulus and the Drinkard zone would continue to be produced through the tubing.

Cosden would install efficient packers and other subsurface devices, and perform the completions in such a manner as to prevent communication between reservoirs, and also to prevent waste. A schematic diagram showing the proposed method for dual completion and an electric log of each well are attached, as well as a map showing the location of Cosden's Edith Butler lease and offset operators' leases. By a copy of this letter, all offset operators are notified of this application.

Cosden also requests administrative approval for an NSR for both of these duals, in exception to Rule 5A of R-610 as provided for in Rule 5B of Order R-610. As may be seen from the attached plat of our lease, there are 80 acres which are available to be assigned to each of these gas zones from Wells No. 2 and No. 3; that is the S/2 of the NW/4 of Section 18. Approximately three years ago, we formed a 160-acre unit with Ohio Oil Company on the SW/4 of Section 18. About one year ago we approached The Texas Company concerning formation of a 160-acre gas unit for the NW/4 of Section 18,

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

HEARING REPORT

Case No. 100-10000

Page 10

1. The Commission has received information that the respondent has

been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act.

2. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has

been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act.

3. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has

been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act.

4. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act.

5. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act.

6. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act.

7. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act. The Commission has also received information that the respondent has been found to be in violation of the provisions of the Act.

New Mexico Oil Conservation
Commission

-2-

November 4, 1938

but they were not interested. Therefore, we wish to proceed with our plans to deal these wells on the basis of 80-acre spacing.

Subsequent to completion of this work, Coeden would present data showing progress during these completions, and would comply with all the rules and regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

Very truly yours,

L. M. Thompson

JTH:FT

ENC:

CC: New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission (2)
Box 2045
Hobbs, New Mexico

The Texas Company
Box 1270
Midland, Texas

Gulf Oil Corporation
P. O. Drawer 669
Roswell, New Mexico

Ohio Oil Company
Box 2107
Hobbs, New Mexico

Humble Oil & Refining Co.
Box 2347
Hobbs, New Mexico

R. Olsen Oil Co.
Box 2
Jal, New Mexico

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

...

...

(S)
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..