1	
1	PUBLIC HEARING
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
4	
5	Pecos Hall, 1st Floor, Wendell Chino Building
6	1220 S. Saint Francis Drive
7	Santa Fe, New Mexico
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	February 20, 2025
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
20	GERASIMOS ROZATOS, Chair
21	GREG BLOOM, Member
22	DR. WILLIAM AMPOMAH, Member
23	
24	HEARD BEFORE:
25	HEARING OFFICER RIPLEY HARWOOD
	Page 1

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIR ROZATOS: Good morning to everyone.
3	This is the official Oil Conservation Commission
4	meeting that is slated for today. I am Gerasimos
5	Rozatos. I am the acting director for the Oil
6	Conservation Division. I go by Gerry; makes it
7	easier for everybody.
8	And as I mentioned, this is our Oil
9	Conservation Commission meeting that we have normally
10	planned. Plus, we also have a hearing that we're
11	going to be doing.
12	So before we start, though, I wanted to
13	start with a roll call. As I said, I'm Gerasimos
14	Rozatos. I am the acting chair for the commission.
15	And I'll move to the commissioner to my
16	right.
17	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Morning, everyone. I'm
18	Greg Bloom, the assistant commissioner for Mineral
19	Resources at the New Mexico State Land Office. I'm
20	the designee of the land office.
21	And I will be stepping aside today for
22	Case 24123. Unfortunately, my duties up at the
23	legislature preclude me from being here most of this
24	week and next. So Baylen Lamkin will be stepping in
25	for just that case.

1 Baylen, you want to give us a wave so 2 people can see you? He'll introduce himself later. 3 He's a petroleum engineer at the land office. COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I'm Dr. William 4 5 Ampomah. I'm a professor at New Mexico Tech, a designee of the energy secretary. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. So that is the 8 commission. So before we start, we always want to do 9 the approval of our past agendas or the current 10 agenda. 11 So I'm asking for approval for the 12 February 20 through 28, 2025, agenda. 13 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I so move. 14 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I second. 15 CHAIR ROZATOS: All in favor. 16 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 17 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. So our agenda has been approved. 18 19 (Motion approved.) 20 CHAIR ROZATOS: We also need to approve the 21 meeting minutes for the January 16th and 17th and the February 3rd, 2025, meetings. If we could get a 22 23 motion for that. 24 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I so move. 25 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I second. Page 3

1 CHAIR ROZATOS: May I get a roll call. All 2 in favor. 3 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 4 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. So that has been 5 approved. 6 (Motion approved.) 7 CHAIR ROZATOS: We're going to move now on 8 to our pending cases. Our first case is Case Number 9 23580, application of Wild Earth Guardians to amend the commission's rules to address PFAS amendments to 10 11 19.15.2, 19.15.7, 19.15.4, 19.15.16, and 19.15.25 in 12 the New Mexico Administrative Code. 13 This is a status conference to schedule the date for the commission's deliberations. Are all 14 15 parties present for this? Yay? Nay? People? 16 MR. TREMAINE: Good morning, Mr. Chair. 17 Jesse Tremaine for the Oil Conservation Division. 18 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 19 MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Commissioner 20 Rozatos. Adam Rankin, appearing on behalf of the 21 New Mexico Oil and Gas Association. 22 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 23 And anybody from Wild Earth Guardians? 24 Maybe on the platform? No? Okay. Well, we can --25 qo ahead.

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 MR. SAYER: Matthias Sayer on behalf of EOG. 2 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Excellent. Thank 3 you. Well, we'll still proceed and we'll go 4 5 from there. As I stated, we have a status conference 6 that's scheduled for the commission's deliberations. 7 We did come up with a date for the deliberations. 8 Sheila, is Ms. Orth on the platform? 9 MS. APODACA: I don't see her on the 10 platform. 11 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. So the date that was 12 submitted was either March the 11th or March the 13 12th, correct, Sheila? MS. APODACA: Yes. That's the date we were 14 15 working with. 16 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Does that work for 17 everybody? 18 Mr. Rankin, we'll start with you. 19 MR. RANKIN: I believe so. I believe so. 20 Let me just double check my calendar. Thank you very 21 much. One moment. You said it was March 11th or March 12th? 22 23 CHAIR ROZATOS: Correct. 24 MR. RANKIN: That should work, Mr. Chair. 25 Thank you.

1 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Thank you. 2 Mr. Tremaine. MR. TREMAINE: No conflicts. We are 3 available. 4 5 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 6 Mr. Sayer. 7 MR. SAYER: Thank you. CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. So no conflicts for 8 9 Mr. Sayer. And we don't know about Wild Earth 10 11 Guardians, so we're going to set it for March the 12 11th. If they have an issue, they can definitely let 13 the commission know and we can tackle it at that 14 time. 15 So March 11th is the date that we will 16 set for that one, Sheila, if you could note that down 17 for us, please. 18 I do know that those were dates that 19 Ms. Orth -- that they did work with Ms. Orth, so she 20 is good with that as well. So we'll be able to go 21 that route. 22 Any other questions or comments on this 23 particular case? 24 Excellent. We'll move on to our next 25 case, Case Number 24683, application of Western Page 6

1 Environmental Law Center, Citizens Caring For the 2 Future, Conservation Voters of New Mexico Education Fund, Dine' C.A.R.E., Earthworks, Naeva, New Mexico 3 Interfaith Power and Light, San Juan Citizens 4 Alliance, and Sierra Club to amend 19.15.2, 19.15.8, 5 6 19.15.9 and 19.15.25 in the New Mexico Administrative 7 Code. 8 This is set for a status conference. 9 Are all parties present for that? We'll start with Western Environmental Law Center. 10 11 MR. TISDEL: Yeah. Kyle Tisdel for Western 12 Environmental Law Center and other co-petitioners. 13 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Chair, 14 15 Members of the Commission. Michael Feldewert of the 16 Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart for Oxy USA. 17 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Excellent. MR. SUAZO: Good morning. Miguel Suazo, 18 19 with Beatty & Wozniak, appearing on behalf of the New Mexico Oil and Gas Association. 20 21 CHAIR ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Suazo. MR. TREMAINE: Jesse Tremaine on behalf of 22 23 the Oil Conservation Division. 24 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you Mr. Tremaine. 25

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 Anybody on the platform? 2 MS. TRIPP: Good morning, Commission. This 3 is Ann Tripp, on behalf of Hinkle Shanor, appearing for interveners Independent Petroleum Association of 4 5 New Mexico. 6 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. And you said 7 Tripp, correct, Ms. Tripp, T-R-I-P-P? 8 MS. TRIPP: T-R-I-P-P. CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Excellent. 9 Thank 10 you. 11 Anybody else? 12 Okay we'll start with Western 13 Environmental Law Center, or anybody who would like to make a comment. 14 15 MR. TISDEL: Yeah, thank you, Commission. So our petition was filed in June 24th 16 17 The petition was granted by the commission of 2024. on July 18th, and a hearing date was set for April. 18 19 And that was done in September 23rd of 2024. 20 We had set up meetings in October with 21 all of the parties to work through the petition as it had been filed. The Oil Conservation Division was 22 23 also diligently working through their red-line 24 version of the filed petition. We were anticipating 25 that OCD's red-line would happen by the end of the Page 8

1	year. Due to, I think, workflow issues and a number
2	of constraints on OCD's side, we did not get that
3	red-line until February 12th.
4	Mr. Tremaine of OCD also did notify the
5	commission on January 28th that we would need to
6	continue and reschedule the hearing dates that were
7	set in April. So we do have that red-line. I think
8	all the parties at this point are working through
9	that red-line.
10	There is a need, I think, for us to come
11	back together and confer and see if we can come to
12	some agreement on different dates for the hearing.
13	CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. So we don't have any
14	dates suggested as of now?
15	MR. TISDEL: Not of now.
16	CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Tremaine.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Chair, good morning.
18	Thank you.
19	There have been a number of discussions
20	between the parties in advance of our sharing of the
21	revised red-line. However, it's going to take some
22	time for everyone to figure out the different that
23	conflicts, et cetera, and propose some dates to the
24	commission.
25	I think that there are some elements to
	Page 9

1 OCD's red-line that will require a different 2 examination of evidence at the hearing, and so we 3 have moved the needle a little bit in terms of what 4 witnesses and what experts might need to be available 5 for all the parties, and absolutely respect that they 6 will need some additional time.

7 So with the eight days since we've 8 circulated the petition, we haven't reached a 9 particular proposed date. But what I would suggest 10 to the commission is that we can communicate between 11 the parties over the next week or two and submit a 12 revised proposed scheduling order for the 13 commission's review.

14 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Suazo.
 15 MR. SUAZO: Sure. Good morning, Mr. Chair
 16 and Commissioners.

17 I concur with the parties that, you know, we need to get together and really assess OCD's 18 19 proposed changes. I think, you know, since the association is made up of members, we just got this 20 21 last Wednesday, you know, we haven't even had the 22 chance to confer as an industry about what these 23 changes mean and what types of witnesses we're going 24 to require, whether or not this warrants the 25 association proposing their own red lines and so on

1 and so forth.

21

-	
2	So I think that because the new changes
3	by OCD were received so recently, we are going to
4	need additional time. This is essentially a reset to
5	a degree of the initial proceedings. And so we're
6	not even scheduled to meet as an industry until next
7	week. After that point, they would need to confirm
8	amongst themselves, provide the attorney's feedback,
9	probably have another follow-up meeting.
10	So I think realistically, we're not
11	going to be in a position to, you know, look at
12	dates, you know, that makes sense for us for, I would
13	say, at least 30 to 60 days. Probably more, closer
14	to 30.
15	CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Thank you.
16	Mr. Feldewert.
17	MR. FELDEWERT: I concur. I think we've
18	kind of had a reset here, and it's going to take some
19	time to get the parties together and then determine
20	what evidence is going to be required with the

changes have been proposed and what additional changes may be needed by my client or other clients. 22 So I think, we're in a position where it's going to 23 take a little time to get this set up again for a 24 hearing. 25

1 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Thank you. 2 Ms. Tripp, did you have anything you 3 wanted to add? MS. TRIPP: Commissioner, thank you. 4 IPNM 5 is in a very similar situation, in the sense that we 6 are a member organization, over 350. And we've not 7 yet set a time to meet to discuss the changes and 8 whether a proposed red-line would be necessary. 9 So concurring with what everyone has said here today, that the parties need to get 10 11 together and that the timeline for that is likely in 12 the next 30 days or so. 13 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Excellent. So if we need probably another 30 14 15 days -- Mr. Tremaine, go ahead. MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Chair, hearing that the 16 17 parties will require, I understand it, somewhat 18 longer than I had anticipated, my suggestion would to 19 set this for a status conference at the next meeting. 20 CHAIR ROZATOS: And that was what I was just 21 going to say. You beat me to it. 22 MR. TREMAINE: I just wanted to be on the 23 record as stating my position. Thank you. 24 Okay. Excellent. CHAIR ROZATOS: 25 So why don't we set this for a status Page 12

1 conference at the next OCC meeting, the March 2 meeting. 3 Sheila, what date is the March meeting. MS. APODACA: March 20th. 4 CHAIR ROZATOS: March 20th. So we'll set it 5 6 as a status conference for March 20th. Hopefully, 7 you all will have been able to get together and come 8 to some consensus for days. Go ahead, Commissioner Bloom. 9 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, I can't 10 11 remember if this is something we set during your 12 tenure, but we did set some tentative dates and held, based on our calendar, the weeks of the 14th through 13 the 21st. I'm going to assume that those are freed 14 15 up now and open up my schedule for other meetings. 16 CHAIR ROZATOS: I believe that that's the 17 consensus, we are opening up the April. So April now is open. Those dates will be released. We will meet 18 19 back again in March for this topic, as a conference, status conference. And as I've mentioned, hopefully, 20 21 you all will have come to some conclusions and some 22 decisions, and we can probably set a date March 20th. 23 If you need more time, definitely we can discuss it 24 at that point. But maybe we can set that. 25 Just remember everybody, we're going

1 into the summertime here, so schedules will get 2 tighter with summertime. So please be cognizant of 3 that as we're scheduling that out. 4 Excellent. Any other points or 5 questions, comments for this particular case? Great. We'll see you all on March the 6 7 20th. Thank you. 8 We're going to be moving into our consolidated cases, but before we do that, let's take 9 a few minutes so the parties can have time to change 10 11 tables and everything. So let's take a 10-minute 12 break. 13 (Off the record.) CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Let's start up again. 14 15 If I could get everybody's attention. We will start 16 out with our next case. 17 Our next case is the consolidated cases by Goodnight Midstream and Empire New Mexico. The 18 19 case numbers are, as I said, consolidated, so we have Case Numbers 24123, 23614 through 17, Case Number 20 21 23775, and Case Numbers 24018 through 24020 and 24025. 22 23 This is a matter to be heard by the 24 It's motions and opening statements commission. 25 today and tomorrow, and actual evidentiary Page 14

1 evidentiary hearing starting Monday, the 24th through 2 the 28th. 3 Are all parties present? I'm going to start to my right and move across the room. 4 5 Mr. Rankin. 6 MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 7 Commissioners. May it please the commission. Adam 8 Rankin with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart, 9 appearing on behalf of Goodnight Midstream in these 10 cases. 11 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 12 MR. MOANDER: Chris Moander, counsel 13 appearing on behalf of the Oil Conservation Division. 14 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 15 MS. HARDY: Good morning, Commissioners. 16 Dana Hardy appearing on behalf of Empire New Mexico, 17 LLC. 18 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 19 MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning. Sharon Shaheen, also appearing on behalf of Empire New Mexico. 20 21 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 22 We'll move to the back table, right behind Ms. Shaheen. 23 24 MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Commissioners 25 Miquel Suazo, with Beatty & Wozniak, appearing on Page 15

1	behalf of Pilot Water.
2	CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent.
3	MR. PADILLA: Members of the Commission,
4	Ernest L. Padilla for Empire New Mexico, LLC.
5	CHAIR ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Padilla.
6	MR. BECK: Matt Beck on behalf of
7	interveners, Rice Operating Company and Permian Line
8	Service, LLC.
9	CHAIR ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Beck.
10	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Chair, I neglected to
11	introduce my colleague, Julia Broggi, also with
12	Holland & Hart, who will be assisting me in these
13	cases.
14	CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you,
15	Ms. Broggi.
16	As we stated, that this is going to be
17	motions and opening statements today. It is a matter
18	to be heard in front of the commission. We do have a
19	hearing officer with us, Mr. Rip Harwood. So I will
20	transfer it over to Mr. Harwood to begin the
21	proceedings.
22	Mr. Harwood. Mr. Harwood, if you can
23	click on your microphone, the right button. There
24	you go.
25	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Just going to ask
	Page 16

1 what illuminates the green light. Okay, good. 2 Good morning, everybody. I have a list of things here I want to go through, but first of 3 all, I have a sheet here of motions that were filed. 4 5 And I believe that all the prehearing motions have been resolved, but I'd like to hear from the parties 6 7 if there's any disagreement. 8 And I guess I'll start with you, 9 Mr. Rankin. MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Harwood. 10 My 11 understanding at this time is that all the 12 preliminary motions that have been filed in advance 13 of the hearing, have been addressed and disposed of. So there is nothing pending at this time, is my 14 15 understanding. 16 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Yes sir. 17 MR. MOANDER: Mr. Hearing Officer, Chris Moander, OCD. My understanding is, all the 18 19 prehearing motions that had been filed that were 20 pending, have been resolved. 21 However, OCD will likely be submitting a motion for reconsideration on an order that was 22 23 entered yesterday. And I anticipate we'll be filing 24 that by the close of business today. So that will be 25 outstanding, I won't speak for the other parties, but

1	I'm not anticipating that there will be any party
2	response to that because it's very specific to a
3	particular order. So that will be forthcoming.
4	I'm not clear who will be addressing
5	that, but I'll ensure that that's filed today.
6	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Moander, I
7	look forward to your outstanding motion. Thank you.
8	Ms. Hardy.
9	MS. HARDY: Good morning, Hearing Examiner.
10	From Empire's perspective, all of the outstanding
11	motions have been resolved.
12	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right. And
13	let's see, Ms. Shaheen.
14	MS. SHAHEEN: Ms. Hardy is speaking for
15	Empire today.
16	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: I'm sure by the
17	end of next week, I'll know everybody's name.
18	I know you, Mr. Beck. Go ahead.
19	MR. BECK: Yeah, same for Rice and Permian.
20	We understand all the motions are decided.
21	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. And for
22	Empire. Oh, I'm sorry, you already spoke for Empire.
23	Let's see. Okay. So there are three of you for
24	Empire. All right. Fair enough.
25	CHAIR ROZATOS: All right. So, Mr. Hearing
	Page 18

1 Examiner, did you ask for Pilot, Mr. Suazo with 2 Pilot. HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Oh, I'm sorry, 3 Mr. Suazo. Didn't mean to overlook you. 4 5 MR. SUAZO: No, no problem. Pilot considers the outstanding motion resolved, as well. 6 7 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right. Thank 8 you very much. 9 Okay. So while we were on the break, I spoke informally with Mr. Rankin because my 10 11 understanding is that we have five days for this 12 hearing, and in speaking with him, my further 13 understanding is that we have approximately 22 witnesses to get through. 14 15 So I don't know if the rest of you share 16 Mr. Rankin's pessimism, but he's thinking that five 17 days is probably not going to be enough for this hearing, which was news to me. It may not be news to 18 19 the commission. But the reason I raise it is because one 20 21 of the first things I like to go through is the order 22 of presentations and time allotments. My 23 understanding, again, from a sidebar conversation 24 with Mr. Rankin, is that you all have agreed on the 25 order of presentations. Empire could go first, Page 19

1 Goodnight to go second. And then OCD to go third. 2 Is that correct? 3 MR. RANKIN: I believe so, Mr. Hearing Officer. 4 5 CHAIR ROZATOS: Mr. Hearing Officer, was an order, that last paragraph there, that was dated, 6 states the order that we had decided for the actual 7 8 case. I forget the date of the order. 9 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. I'm not sure when this was dated, but I quess I should have 10 11 read it more carefully. So that solves that issue. 12 So that's the order of presentations. 13 Let me hear from you, Empire, Ms. Hardy or Ms. -- I'm sorry, I want to get the pronunciation 14 15 correct -- Shaheen? 16 MS. SHAHEEN: That's correct. 17 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Let me hear from Empire. How long do you think that you will need to 18 19 present your case and your witnesses. 20 MS. HARDY: I think that, of course, it 21 depends on the amount of cross-examination time and questions from the commission. But based on the 22 number of witnesses, it seems that it would likely 23 24 take several days, possibly the first week. But it 25 depends on the time of cross, of course.

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: You know, I used to do a lot of jury trial work and I had judges tell me, "Okay. We have a week for trial. You've got till Wednesday at noon, and then you turn it over to the other side."

6 So I'm assuming that that's not going to 7 be the protocol here and that the five days can 8 stretch into 10 days, 12 days, whatever it takes. I 9 quess the concern there, given the number of people involved, including, you know, the time constraints 10 11 of all the parties and all the commission members, is 12 that if we go more than five days, there may be some 13 break between the first part of this, quote, unquote, trial and the rest of the proceeding. 14

15 It's very unlikely, I suspect, and the 16 commission can tell me if I'm wrong, that we'll be 17 able to reconvene the week following next week to 18 continue this hearing immediately.

19 CHAIR ROZATOS: I think you're correct, 20 Mr. Hearing Officer. I think that we're all going 21 into this knowing that we're dedicating the five days 22 next week, and then as of Friday of next week, we'll 23 see where we're at. And then we're going to have to 24 look at schedules.

HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.

25

1 CHAIR ROZATOS: So I think the goal is to 2 try to get it as soon as we possibly can, to 3 reconvene. But, again, there's a large number of people that are involved, so schedules will 4 5 definitely be of the utmost concern by next Friday. 6 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. So the 7 downside of going beyond five days, obviously, is 8 that there's going to be -- this proceeding is going 9 to end up being an extended hearing over a period of probably a month or more, would be my quess. 10 11 Okay. So I don't think it makes any sense at this point, then, to even talk about time 12 13 allotments. We will assume that on Monday, Empire will start with its first witnesses. We're going to 14 15 have opening statements today from everyone, correct? 16 So at least we'll get that out of the way. 17 MR. MOANDER: I believe that's correct Mr. Hearing Officer. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Any estimate on 20 the length of time you all need for opening 21 statements? Is this the last item on the agenda, 22 Mr. Rozatos. 23 CHAIR ROZATOS: This is the last item on the 24 agenda, and we are slated to potentially go into 25 tomorrow, if need be, for opening statements. This Page 22

is a very lengthy calendar. So today and tomorrow
 are slated for opening statements. Evidentiary
 hearing starts on Monday. So however long it takes
 once we start.

5 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Well, I almost 6 hate to hear that because it encourages people to go 7 on and on. I'll just remind everybody that, you 8 know, opening statements are just to give everybody 9 an overview of what they expect their witnesses to 10 say and what they expect to prove.

We don't need to hear the whole case since we're going to hear the whole case from the witnesses. So just bear that in mind. It would be nice if we don't have to go into tomorrow.

In fact, if we have tomorrow, and I'm just floating this idea for everybody, since we only have five days for trial, is there any chance if we get through openings today, that we can start the hearing on the merits with witnesses tomorrow?

20 CHAIR ROZATOS: Mr. Hearing Officer, I 21 think -- and I apologize, these were all issues we 22 did kind of tackle. The witnesses are only going to 23 be set starting Monday. Schedules for the witnesses 24 were also kind of set with that. So we are kind of 25 on a time crunch.

1 I think all the parties, and correct me 2 if I'm wrong, parties, everybody agreed, especially at the last meeting that we had, that we were going 3 to be as expeditious as we possibly could be. 4 5 On this particular case, I think everybody was aware that this was going to take more 6 7 than the five days. And I will actually -- I 8 apologize for taking this from you, Mr. Hearing 9 Officer, but I'll just start from one side of the 10 room and move my way across. 11 Is that how you understood it, 12 Mr. Rankin. 13 MR. RANKIN: Yes, Mr. Chair. And I think part of the problem with starting on Friday, as we 14 15 just discussed, is that lots of people are traveling 16 from lots of different places and having to go back 17 on the weekend just didn't make sense. So we just decided to start on Monday. 18 19 CHAIR ROZATOS: Excellent. 20 Mr. Moander. MR. MOANDER: Mr. Chair, that is OCD's 21 22 understanding. And we have prepared under that 23 understanding. 24 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Ms. Hardy or Ms. Shaheen. 25 Page 24

1 Yes, same for Empire. MS. HARDY: 2 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Suazo. 3 MR. SUAZO: Same for Pilot. CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Beck? 4 5 MR. BECK: Yes. CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. So we all have gone 6 7 into this knowing that starting Monday, witnesses, 8 and then however long it takes. 9 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right. Well, I didn't mean to open a can of worms, but, you know, 10 11 time is of the essence in these things. 12 All right. So the next thing on my list 13 here, Goodnight had mentioned that it's expert, Larry Lake, has limited availability at the end of the 14 15 week. Given the fact that Empire is going to take 16 most of the week, is that even still an issue? 17 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I think if Mr. Lake -- if we're not able to get to our case by 18 19 the end of the week, then he would just have to 20 appear remotely. I think that will probably be the situation for him. 21 22 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: So you'll be able 23 to work around it. 24 MR. RANKIN: I believe so. 25 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. Fair Page 25

enough.

1

23

I don't know if this is something that happens here or not, or whether it even applies in these cases. It's on my list. You know, in all the Civil trials I did, I routinely excluded non-expert witnesses from being present to overhear the testimony of other witnesses.

8 I don't know if in these cases there are 9 any witnesses other than experts. I'd like to hear 10 from the parties if the rule of exclusion is even an 11 issue here. Mr. Rankin.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I don't believe so. I appreciate you raising the question, but I believe in every instance all the experts -all the witnesses in these consolidated cases are all experts across various different overlapping fields.

17 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Can you speak up a18 little?

MR. RANKIN: Sure. No. I believe that the rule of exclusion wouldn't apply here. In every case, all the witnesses who be testifying are experts in various overlapping fields.

HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.

24 MR. MOANDER: Mr. Hearing Officer, OCD would 25 agree. I don't think I've seen a witness in this

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

1 case that would be considered a lay witness under the 2 rules of evidence, so I don't think the exclusionary 3 rule matters at this point. HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: 4 Okay. I thought 5 maybe there were principals for Empire or Goodnight 6 that might be testifying, but no. 7 Ms. Hardy. 8 MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, thank you. Ι 9 agree that the witnesses are experts and the exclusionary rule wouldn't apply. 10 11 And with respect to the presentation, I 12 just wanted to go back for a minute and mention that 13 the parties have agreed to spend approximately 15 minutes with each expert on direct because they have 14 15 filed direct and rebuttal testimony in writing, so we 16 are working to expedite the hearing in that regard. 17 So I think the amount of time that each witness will take will depend really on 18 19 cross-examination and questions from the commission. So it's possible we could get through Empire's 20 witnesses more quickly, just depending on how that 21 22 qoes. 23 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Well, I appreciate 24 that. If that weren't the case, we'd be looking at a 25 month-long trial, right?

1 MS. HARDY: Yes. I agree. 2 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Anyone else on this rule of exclusion issue? If I hear nothing, 3 then I'll assume everybody's in agreement. 4 5 And of course it doesn't apply to expert 6 witnesses, you all understand that, because experts can change their opinions all the way through trial 7 8 based on what they hear. So they're welcome to sit 9 in and listen if the parties want to pay for that. 10 Okay. So the other thing is, I'm 11 assuming that this hearing will go from 9:00 to 5:00 12 every day next week, or does the commission break earlier? 13 CHAIR ROZATOS: I think we were slated for 14 15 9:00 to 5:00. HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: 9:00 to 5:00, and 16 17 then we'll have a lunch break. 18 CHAIR ROZATOS: Correct. 19 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: And I'm assuming we'll have a mid-morning and mid-afternoon break. 20 21 CHAIR ROZATOS: Correct. 22 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. Fair 23 enough. 24 Let's see. So this is just for 25 everybody's -- this is mainly for my benefit, I Page 28

1 should say. And I'm sure, you know, the commission 2 members are more familiar than I am, but with abbreviations and acronyms, when you guys present 3 your cases, if you could do your best to at least 4 5 explain that stuff the first time it comes up so 6 that, you know, technical ignoramuses, like myself, 7 can start picking up the jargon. Okay? 8 It's prevalent in government work in general. And there's a whole set of acronyms that 9 apply in the oil and gas field. So these guys may 10 11 know it, but it would help me if you guys can try and 12 remember that. 13 Okay. The last thing, I just want to make it clear, you know, based on the research that's 14 15 been done, your substantive presentations in this 16 case are to the commission. They're not to me. 17 Given Mr. Rankin's comprehensive research results, my only role here basically is to 18 19 resolve procedural issues and, you know, to at least make provisional rulings on evidentiary issues, 20 21 subject to, you know, the commission's review and 22 possible overruling of my views on the subject. 23 As a hearing officer for other state 24 agencies and most of the hearings for the City of 25 Albuquerque, I'm used to being the complete decision

1	maker. So my role here is a bit of an oddity for me.
2	I feel like I'm half master of ceremonies and half
3	law clerk. So it doesn't really matter to me in this
4	day and age of my semi-retirement. I basically work
5	for boat parts. I spend half the year in Maine and
6	my boat is a typical jealous mistress. It doesn't
7	care what I do so long as I keep feeding it.
8	Anyway, so that's what I will be doing.
9	I will not be making any substantive recommendations
10	to the commission. And at the end of this, whenever
11	that may be, it's a quorum of the commission that
12	will decide all substantive issues raised at this
13	hearing. All right.
14	Okay. Anything further from the
15	commission?
16	Mr. Rubin, anything you'd like to add.
17	MR. RUBIN: Just that everyone else should
18	silence their cell phones.
19	CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Excuse me. By the
20	way, I caught a cold coming back from Miami last
21	week, but I want you to know, Doctor, that I don't
22	think it I know it's not COVID, and I don't think
23	it's still contagious. But I apologize for that.
24	So, does anybody need a quick break
25	before we go right into openings? Are you all
	Page 30

1 prepared at this point to begin with your opening 2 statements? All right. Then let's hear from whoever for Empire will be making their opening remarks. 3 4 MS. HARDY: Thank you, Mr. Examiner, 5 Commissioners. Dana Hardy on behalf of Empire. And 6 I am going to try to share my screen here and 7 hopefully this will work. 8 Okay. So to give you a brief factual 9 background on these matters, these cases involve Goodnight's continuing and proposed additional 10 11 injection of foreign and incompatible produced 12 saltwater into the San Andres Formation underlying 13 the Eunice Monument South Unit, which we will refer to as the EMSU. 14 15 The commission's orders approving the 16 unit, that were issued approximately 40 years ago, 17 included the San Andres Formation within the unitized 18 interval. Goodnight's injection causes waste and 19 impairs the correlative rights of each mineral interest owner in the unit, including the majority 20 21 owners of the minerals, the State of New Mexico, which owns over 58 percent, and the United States 22 23 which owns over 19 percent, thereby interfering with 24 Empire's operations of the unit. In addition to the continuing injection 25

1	that is ongoing, Goodnight proposes additional wells
2	and to increase the injection rate into one well,
3	which will only exacerbate the problem.
4	Here you'll see a map of the EMSU
5	boundary. Empire also operates the EMSU-B to the
6	northwest and the AGU unit to the southeast. And as
7	we know, in these cases we are looking at the EMSU
8	specifically.
9	For some background on the unit, the
10	subject field was discovered in 1929. In 1984, the
11	commission approved the unitization of the EMSU and
12	included both the San Andres and Grayburg formations
13	in the unitized interval. At that time, it was
14	estimated that an additional 64.2 million barrels of
15	oil could be recovered by water flooding the
16	reservoir. Since that time, the EMSU has produced
17	approximately 25 million barrels of oil.
18	The unit was first operated by Gulf Oil
19	Corporation, which was subsequently rebranded as
20	Chevron. In 2004, XTO acquired Chevron's interest,
21	and XTO operated the unit from 2004 to 2021.
22	Empire acquired XTO's interest and
23	became the successor operator in March of 2021.
24	Empire acquired the EMSU from XTO because of the
25	significant potential for enhanced oil recovery in

1	the San Andres ROZ, which is the residual oil zone,
2	and the Grayburg. XTO's literature on the unit
3	valued the ROZ at approximately \$100 million.
4	With respect to Goodnight's injection,
5	Goodnight first began injecting water into the
6	San Andres unitized interval of the EMSU in July of
7	2020, and as of January 1st, 2025, has injected
8	approximately 63 million barrels of water.
9	Goodnight's injection far exceeds any other injection
10	of produced water into the unitized interval of the
11	EMSU.
12	Here you will see a map of Goodnight's
13	proposed SWDs within the EMSU. They are shown in the
14	red stars and there are four of them here. And you
15	can see the injection rates and pressures listed
16	there, and the rates are significant that are
17	proposed.
18	And as you can also see, these wells are
19	included within sort of a it's a concentrated
20	small area. And these are only the five proposed
21	wells that are at issue here.
22	If you look at this map you can see all
23	of the existing wells as well as the proposed wells
24	within the EMSU there are nine of them, and we've
25	also listed the injection rates there, and they are
	Page 33
	rage 55

,	
1	significant. And, again, as you can see, this is a
2	large amount of injection going into this area of the
3	EMSU and into the unitized interval.
4	Goodnight also operates an additional
5	five SWD wells within approximately one mile of the
б	EMSU, and another SWD well in the San Andres within
7	two and a half miles of the EMSU.
8	Goodnight has permitted an additional
9	two wells, with an approximately 1.25 miles of the
10	unit, which have not yet been drilled. And those are
11	the Rocket and Verlander SWDs.
12	As of January 2025, the total amount of
13	incompatible saltwater injected by Goodnight within
14	or near Empire's operations is at least 126 million
15	barrels of water.
16	Empire's evidence demonstrates that
17	Goodnight's injection to date and proposed injection
18	into the future adversely impacts Empire's ability to
19	recover hydrocarbons in the unitized interval,
20	including both the Grayburg and the San Andres, by,
21	among other things, pressuring up the San Andres
22	Reservoir to levels above the original pressure,
23	requiring Empire to operate its CO2 tertiary recovery
24	project at a higher pressure than necessary, and
25	requiring Empire to inject the produced water into

1 another zone to make room for the CO2 to avoid 2 fracturing the formation. 3 Further re-pressurization of the San Andres increases water influx into the Grayburg 4 5 Formation through natural fractures, and that is 6 prematurely watering out Empire's Grayburg producers. 7 Let's talk for a minute about the 8 applicable law and the burden of proof, as well as the issues to be decided. And I think all of my 9 slides are important. This might be the most 10 11 important slide, from my perspective. The New Mexico oil and Gas Act requires 12 13 the commission to prevent waste of hydrocarbons and protect correlative rights. Under the act, the 14 15 commission is charged with issuing orders, and this 16 is a quote, "...to prevent the drowning by water of 17 any stratum or part thereof capable of producing oil or gas or both oil and gas in paying quantities and 18 19 to prevent the premature and irregular encroachment of water or any other kind of water encroachment that 20 21 reduces or tends to reduce the total ultimate 22 recovery of crude oil petroleum or gas or both oil 23 and gas from any pool." 24 Goodnight has incorrectly focused on the 25 first phrase of this statute, which refers to paying Page 35

quantities, and ignores the rest. This argument violates New Mexico's rules of statutory construction, which require that statutes be construed in their entirety. In addition, the provision is included in the commission's enumeration of powers and must be read broadly.

Goodnight also misconstrues the term
"production in paying quantities." Under New Mexico
Jaw, that term means only that income generated from
oil and gas production exceeds operating costs. This
analysis does not include capital cost or investment.

To the extent that Goodnight's witnesses focus on the economic aspects of a proposed tertiary recovery project, that focus is failing to comply with the statute and ignores the actual definition under New Mexico law of production in paying quantities.

18 With respect to the burden of proof, I 19 think we should all agree that administrative proceedings are subject to the common law rule that 20 21 the moving party bears the burden of proof. The 22 party bringing the application before the commission 23 therefore bears the burden of proving by a 24 preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to 25 the relief requested in the application.
Here, Goodnight bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that its proposed injection will not result in waste or impair correlative rights. And Empire bears the burden of proving on its applications to revoke Goodnight's permits that the injection is resulting in waste or impairing correlative rights.

8 And I'm raising that issue here because 9 Goodnight has argued in various motions that Empire 10 bears the burden of proof somehow on all of the 11 applications, and that's not correct. It's not a 12 correct statement of the law.

13 With respect to the issues to be decided here, those have been addressed already by the 14 15 commission in its joint order on the scope of the 16 hearing. And that order states: At said hearing, 17 the parties shall submit all evidence, testimony and 18 legal argument on the issue of the existence, extent 19 of and possible interference with a residual oil zone 20 underlying the Eunice Monument South Unit, the EMSU, 21 by produced water injection activities undertaken by 22 Goodnight.

23 So that is what we are all here to 24 address and that the commission will decide. 25 Empire's witness testimony will

Page 37

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 demonstrate that a ROZ underlines the EMSU and that 2 Goodnight's injection is interfering with it. Empire relies on voluminous geological and engineering data 3 that supports its position, while Goodnight 4 5 disregards fundamental geology. 6 Goodnight's spends the majority of its 7 time trying to explain away evidence rather than 8 supporting its case with affirmative evidence. 9 Likely because Goodnight's evidence is weak and flawed, as Empire's witnesses will explain. 10 11 And to introduce those witnesses, these 12 are the folks you'll be hearing from during the 13 hearing. You'll hear from Jack Wheeler. He is 14 15 the Empire senior vice president of Land and Legal. 16 He will testify regarding the creation and history of 17 the EMSU, Empire's acquisition of the EMSU and its operations, Division and commission orders relating 18 19 to the unit, and the location of Goodnight's proposed 20 and currently active or permitted SWDs within the 21 EMSU. 22 Dr. Robert Lindsay is a consulting 23 geologist. Dr. Lindsay wrote his PhD dissertation on 24 the EMSU, and he is the foremost authority on it. He 25 will testify to his characterization of the geology

1 of the San Andres and Grayburg Reservoir, including 2 selection of the top of the San Andres, the presence of a residual oil zone within the San Andres, 3 identifiable vertical fractures within the San Andres 4 5 and Grayburg that allow for vertical migration of 6 injected saltwater from the San Andres into the Grayburg, and the lack of an effective geologic seal 7 8 between the Grayburg and San Andres.

You'll hear from Galen Dillewyn, a 9 consulting log analyst with NuTech. He will testify 10 11 on the procedures that NuTech used to determine oil 12 saturations of the Grayburg waterflooded interval in the San Andres ROZ in seven key wells at the EMSU. 13 He will address the NULOOK process for determining 14 15 rock properties and oil saturation and carbonate reservoirs sensitivities run on the model, and 16 17 determination that a ROZ interval exists at the EMSU. 18 Joseph McShane is a geologist, petroleum 19 geologist with Empire. He will testify regarding his experience reviewing and studying the unitized 20 21 Grayburg and San Andres interval in the EMSU, including a geologic overview, cross-sections 22

23 proposed and active Goodnight wells injecting into 24 the interval, subsea structure maps of the Grayburg 25 in San Andres, NuTech's log analysis of oil-in-place,

proof of the ROZ in the San Andres, and the lack of a
 geologic barrier between the Grayburg and San Andres.

3 Ryan Bailey, a consulting geologist with Ops Geologic will testify in rebuttal to Mr. Preston 4 5 McGuire that Goodnight's selection of a deeper top 6 for the San Andres reduces Goodnight's estimate of the oil-in-place for the San Andres ROZ. Goodnight 7 8 does not recognize the Lovington Sand as a marker 9 within the Upper San Andres, and many of their picks for top of San Andres are at this sand. And there is 10 11 considerable oil-in-place in both the Upper and Lower 12 San Andres based on Ops' log interpretation and 13 mapping.

14 Stanley Birkhead is a consulting 15 geologist with Ops Geologic as well. He will testify 16 that Goodnight's estimate of oil saturation is 17 pessimistic due to the log parameters and rock facies 18 utilized in the interpretation, Goodnight's 19 oil-in-place estimate is low due to the use of a San Andres structure top provided to expert witness 20 21 Davidson by Goodnight, and that there are high oil 22 saturation intervals in both the Upper and Lower 23 San Andres, which Goodnight failed to identify due to 24 its interpretation techniques.

25

Dr. Bob Trentham is a geologist as well.

1 He will testify about the ROZ fairways that developed 2 in New Mexico and Texas, leaving large volumes of 3 residual oil beneath main pay zones, those are brownfields, and isolated with no main pay, which are 4 5 greenfields. He will talk about CO2 and enhanced oil 6 recovery success at the Seminole ROZ interval, which is a brownfield and has produced 20,000 barrels of 7 8 oil per day for over 10 years. He will talk about similarities and the success of the CO2 enhanced oil 9 recovery project at Tall Cotton, which is a 10 11 greenfield, where no commercial oil production had 12 been established prior to CO2 injection. And he will 13 address core and log information and state that that 14 confirms the presence of a ROZ at the EMSU, the 15 EMSU-B and the AGU.

16 Laurence Melzer is a geological 17 engineer. He will testify about the use of enhanced 18 oil recovery techniques, including CO2, to recover 19 previously unproduced residual oil zones around the world, including in the Permian Basin. He will 20 21 provide estimates of the recoverable ROZ resources at 22 the EMSU, explain how SWD injection into those 23 reservoirs will severely impair the ROZ for both oil 24 exploration and CO2 storage, thereby creating waste. 25 Frank Marek is a consulting engineer.

1 He will testify to his evaluation of the impact of 2 existing SWD operations on waterflood projects in the EMSU, including his analysis of cross-sections across 3 the unit that show oil saturations, the ways in which 4 5 injection and further injection of produced water 6 into the unitized interval detrimentally impacts 7 Empire's ability to recover hydrocarbons from the ROZ 8 and, therefore, results in waste.

Dr. James Buchwalter is a consulting 9 reservoir engineer. He will testify regarding his 10 11 reservoir model that he constructed for the EMSU, 12 EMSU-B and AGU waterflood units in the San Andres ROZ 13 interval, to obtain pressure in production history match required the water influx from the San Andres 14 15 occur with the start of production in the 1930s, and 16 he will explain that Goodnight is pressuring up the San Andres at a rate of at least 4 psi's for every 17 million barrels of water injected, and that this 18 19 would result in 50,000 barrels of water per day entering the Grayburg producing interval within the 20 21 next two years due to higher San Andres pressure.

And last, but not least, Mr. William West is Empire's senior vice president of operations. He will testify about the volumes of Goodnight's SWD injections to date, their quantifiable impacts on the

1 EMSU secondary recovery operations. He will explain that there's evidence of communication between the 2 San Andres and Grayburg formations. He will provide 3 evidence that there was a ROZ in the San Andres, 4 5 discuss the estimated area of SWD exposure due to the 6 saltwater within the EMSU, describe SWD impacts on secondary and tertiary recovery projects going 7 8 forward, and explain how Goodnight's downdip disposal will impact the updip portions of the San Andres and 9 ultimately enter the Grayburg. 10

I am going to attempt some technical wizardry here and show you a simulation that is pretty short. It's about two minutes. The Eunice Monument South Unit is composed of stacked sequences of carbonate material that have been naturally fractured during structure uplift of the San Andres and Grayburg formations.

Goodnight's SWDs are impacting the residual oil zone within the San Andres and water is moving through those natural fractures to the Grayburg. So the simulation will give you an idea of the fluid movement in the reservoir.

23 So this is showing you the map, the line 24 of cross-section. And this is a cross-section 25 through the reservoir, showing the San Andres is

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

structurally high to Goodnight's Ryno SWD as we move
 to the northeast. And it was during this uplift of
 the structure that natural fractures formed in the
 Grayburg and San Andres.

5 You can see here that Goodnight 6 saltwater injection is moving updip to Empire's water 7 supply wells and through natural fractures into the 8 Grayburg. And here we see a geologic slice of the 9 reservoir showing the Grayburg and a major portion of 10 the San Andres filled with oil.

The Grayburg and San Andres intervals were concentrated with oil until tectonic forces millions of years ago caused the oil from the San Andres to be displaced with water, leaving a residual oil zone.

16 Production began in the Grayburg 17 interval in the 1930s, and in 1986, a waterflood was implemented in the Grayburg. The waterflood in the 18 19 Grayburg displaces the oil and leaves a residual oil saturation in the Grayburg. Here we see the 20 21 pressures in the San Andres and Grayburg with 22 pressure in the San Andres currently being higher, as 23 Goodnight continues to inject saltwater the pressure 24 and the San Andres increases and builds up. And as 25 the pressure builds up the water moves through the

1 natural fractures into the Grayburg.

The CO2 flood begins to recover oil from the residual oil zone in the San Andres while continuing to waterflood the Grayburg. And since it's likely that CO2 will move through the natural fractures into the Grayburg, the Grayburg area above the CO2 flood will also be prepared for CO2 breakthrough.

9 Empire's evidence proves that a ROZ 10 exists within the San Andres Formation in the EMSU. 11 The selection of the tops of the San Andres Formation 12 here is key. Goodnight concedes there is a ROZ, but 13 claims it is in the Grayburg, based on its flawed 14 selection of the top of the San Andres.

15 This is a high level summary of Empire's 16 evidence that will be addressed by our witnesses the 17 existence. The existence of ROZ within San Andres 18 underlying the EMSU and the surrounding area is 19 confirmed by core on the EMSU 679 and RR Bell Number 4 wells within the unit and the north Monument 20 21 Grayburg/San Andres Unit 522 well, previously 22 operated by Amerada Hiss, which is located near the 23 EMSU-B.

24 So Empire's witnesses and the analysis 25 in this case is based on the actual core from those

Page 45

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 wells.

1

The self-affirmed statements of engineer Laurence Melzer, which is our Exhibit C, states, "The evidence from the cores taken at depth in the San Andres clearly demonstrates residual oil zone of at least 250 feet beneath the two units."

Oil saturations obtained in the EMSU 679
conventional core and shown in Preston McGuire's
B-32, show oil saturations greater than 20 percent in
the San Andres down to 4,252 measured depth, or minus
652 feet subsea.

12 Goodnight uses an incorrect San Andres 13 top of minus 672 subsea, whereas Empire's corrected top of the San Andres is minus 548 subsea. 14 This 15 demonstrates the problem with Goodnight picking the 16 top of the San Andres deep to avoid saltwater 17 disposal into the Grayburg interval. By selecting a 18 proper San Andres depth for this downdip well, a 104 19 ROZ column exists.

And you can see that on this exhibit. This is one of Goodnight's exhibits. It's Preston McGuire's B-32. Goodnight Empire's notes are shown in yellow, as it's also one of our rebuttal exhibits to Mr. William West's testimony. And it shows that Goodnight uses a San Andres top of minus 672, but the

1 actual core showed a higher top of minus 548. So 2 that's 124 feet higher than Goodnight's pick. And if we use Goodnight's estimate of where the oil 3 saturation is greater than 20 percent, this shows 4 5 there is 104 feet of ROZ, which Goodnight has excluded from its estimates of oil-in-place. So this 6 7 really shows the fundamental problem with their 8 analysis.

9 Goodnight indicated during the Piazza 10 hearing on that well, in its Exhibit C-18, which is 11 our Rebuttal Exhibit N-2, which I'm going to show 12 you, the wall selecting the top of the San Andres for 13 water disposal, Goodnight was asked by OCD to use the 14 deeper pick because it would give greater offset to 15 the Grayburg production.

16 So this is that exhibit from the Piazza 17 hearing and it's exhibit N-2 to our rebuttal. In the 18 yellow notes, our, Empire's, and it states that 19 Goodnight confirmed in their SWD application on Piazza, they had selected a deeper pick than what 20 21 could have been normal to provide greater offset to 22 the Grayburg production. And they indicate that they 23 continue to use these deeper picks. So that shows 24 the reason for their picks rather than the actual 25 geology.

1 XTO confirmed that both the San Andres 2 and Grayburg have ROZ intervals when it owned the 3 This is one of our exhibits that shows the unit. information that XTO had provided in a cross-section 4 5 regarding the wells, and it demonstrates the 6 existence of the ROZ. We have colored it in our 7 notes. Empire's notes are in yellow. Those are 8 Mr. West's notes. And it shows the Grayburg and San Andres ROZ intervals. The coloration shows the 9 Grayburg transition zone, an area where San Andres 10 11 has moved hydrocarb. Removable hydrocarbons are also 12 shown.

13 And Goodnight's witness, Mr. William Knights, confirmed that both the EMSU-658 and 660 14 15 wells both tested oil in this updip portion of the 16 San Andres. The existence of a ROZ in the San Andres 17 is further confirmed by openhole logs and mudlogs, 18 which will be discussed by Empire's witnesses. I've 19 provided citations for some of those exhibits here. We will show them during the hearing. 20

For example, the EMSU-660 drilling mud log shows good to yellow fluorescence with regions of good cut and strong gas shows across 150 feet of the San Andres. These other wells also that I've identified here show the existence of a ROZ in the

San Andres.

1

2 Now, for a summary of Goodnight's evidence, Preston McGuire is the only Goodnight 3 witness who picked formation tops. All of the other 4 5 Goodnight witnesses relied on his picks and did not 6 do any independent evaluation Mr. McGuire is a geologist, but his formation top picks rely entirely 7 8 on reservoir engineering principles, including 9 pressure data. He ignores voluminous geological data. 10

11 As Dr. Lindsay states in his rebuttal, you should never, ever pick formation tops using 12 13 engineering data, especially when geological data is available. And this area is very well studied 14 15 geologically. Dr. Lindsay discusses that extensively 16 in his testimony. Mr. McGuire's rebuttal does not 17 address Empire's evidence on the this issue. He 18 instead focuses on other matters.

With respect to the oil saturations in the San Andres, Empire witness, Dr. Lindsay, explains the core analysis from the Empire-679 well and the RR Bell Number 4 show sufficient oil saturations to reach a conclusion that the San Andres has a ROZ, irrespective of whether it was termed as nonproductive in 1984.

Similarly, Empire's witness from NuTech, 1 2 Galen Dillewyn, opines. Based on wireline logs for ten wells, there is oil saturation in the San Andres. 3 And I provided a quote from his testimony there. 4 Не 5 states the San Andres and Grayburg are primarily a dolomitic rock, with some dispersed limestones, and 6 that both formations show evidence of hydrocarbon 7 8 saturation. 9 With respect to Goodnight's evidence on oil saturation, they rely primarily on the lack of 10 11 oil produced by water supply wells within the EMSU. 12 But as Empire's witnesses extensively explain, a 13 residual oil zone can only be produced with CO2 tertiary recovery. So the lack of production of oil 14 15 through water supply wells is irrelevant, essentially. 16 17 Goodnight concedes that two wells have tested oil in the San Andres, the EMSU-658 and the 18 19 EMSU-660. This demonstrates the existence of movable 20 oil, which Goodnight saltwater disposal operations 21 are pushing off the lease and outside of the unit. Goodnight's contention that the ROZ 22 23 exists only in the Grayburg rests on the 24 misidentification of the top of the San Andres 25 Formation. And as I mentioned earlier and showed you

1 the slide, Goodnight admits that it picked the top 2 lower at the Oil Conservation Division's 3 recommendation to increase separation for purposes of 4 water disposal.

5 Empire will present extensive evidence 6 that Goodnight's existing and proposed injection 7 within the EMSU is damaging the ROZ and impairing 8 correlative rights. There is no impermeable barrier 9 between the Grayburg, the San Andres ROZ and 10 Goodnight's injection.

With respect to Empire's evidence, as explained by Dr. Lindsay in his direct and rebuttal, natural fractures exist in both the Grayburg and San Andres formations and promote communication between the two intervals. And Dr. Lindsay has provided the actual fracture studies that confirm this conclusion.

18 The San Andres Reservoir pressure 19 dropped from 1747 psi's at minus 430 feet subsea to 20 1245 psi's, which is over a 28 percent depletion by 21 April 1986, with limited production from the San Andres. And this was measured in the EMSU-211 22 23 well. And this is important because it shows prior 24 to waterflooding, that production from the Grayburg 25 caused a pressure drop in the San Andres. So that

confirms that there was not an impermeable barrier
 between the two intervals.

Empire has also provided water chemistry results that confirm there is no impermeable barrier between the injection interval and the San Andres and the Grayburg.

7 Dr. Lindsay discusses those studies. He 8 explains that plumes of water came from the San Andres which contains low salinity water that is 9 10 The presence of San Andres sulfate sulfate rich. 11 water mixing with the Grayburg barium ions and 12 forming barium sulfate scale prior to the waterflood 13 shows that San Andres water entered the Grayburg prior to the waterflood and, therefore, there's no 14 15 impermeable barrier and there is communication 16 between the zones. This interference is also shown by Dr. Buchwalter's model. 17

Dr. Trentham, another of Empire's experts, similarly concludes that core and log information confirms the presence of ROZ and that Goodnight's continued injection of off lease water will greatly diminish or destroy Empire's ability to use tertiary recovery in the unit.

24 Empire has seen an increase chlorides in 25 four wells near the Goodnight SWD wells, which also

indicates that San Andres water is entering the
 Grayburg Formation.

3 With respect to Goodnight's evidence, Goodnight contends that a 200-foot barrier exists 4 5 across the EMSU, separating The zone in which 6 Goodnight injects incompatible water from the ROZ that exists in the Grayburg in San Andres. 7 8 Goodnight's witness, Mr. McGuire, states that additional engineering evidence addressed in their a 9 testimony confirms his assessment. 10

The problem with this representation is that the cross-sections provided by Mr. McGuire do not show a continuous 200-foot barrier. And each of Goodnight's witnesses relied on Mr. McGuire's representations that a 200-foot barrier divides Goodnight's disposal zone from the existing residual oil zones.

18 And I'm going to show you here. I need 19 to, I think, escape from this for one moment. I'm going to show you Mr. McGuire's cross-section that he 20 21 relies on and that all of Goodnight's witnesses rely on. And they claim that and -- I know this is small, 22 23 so it's a little bit difficult to read. But the main 24 point here, for my purpose right now, is that they 25 claim the solid colored zones constitute impermeable

1 barriers between the injection zones. 2 But as you can see -- unfortunately, I 3 just lost it. There it is -- they are not impermeable barriers. You can see a lot of white 4 5 space there. The white space would allow for flow. So I'm sure Goodnight's witnesses, they 6 7 rely on that and it's not a correct assessment. 8 Back to my slide. Goodnight's injection is also damaging 9 the ROZ and impairing correlative rights because it 10 11 is increasing formation pressure the injection causes 12 overpressured formations this. Overpressurization 13 exacerbates existing and causes additional fractures and collapse breccia. Injection of foreign water is 14 15 also damaging Empire's producing wells. 16 Goodnight is pressuring up the 17 San Andres at a rate of 4 to 7 psi's per 1 million barrels of water injected. By its disposal of over 18 19 200,000 barrels of water per day, Goodnight will pressure up the San Andres by 292 to 511 psi's in one 20 21 year's time. This will require that Empire use more CO2 for the San Andres CO2 flood than if the CO2 22 23 flood is operating at a lower pressure. 24 The disposed water is also known to 25 cause scaling and corrosion, as evidenced by a

Page 54

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 workover on Goodnight' Ryno SWD well in October of
2024. The disposal water causes scaling downhole due
to high levels of sodium and calcium in the disposal
water mixing with sulfates in the San Andres water.
So that's another problem that this injection is
causing.

7 Due to the San Andres pressure increase, 8 water influx into the Grayburg through natural 9 fractures will increase and impact Empire's Grayburg The areas where water entered from the 10 waterflood. 11 San Andres into the Grayburg was mapped at the AGU. 12 It can be estimated at the EMSU by the high water 13 production volumes seen in the crustal areas at the EMSU prior to the waterflood. There was an edge 14 15 water drive from the Goat Seep Aquifer/Grayburg prior 16 to the waterflood, but it was insufficient to cause 17 these high water volumes.

18 The petroleum geology using the correct 19 San Andres structure top geochemistry, which includes the San Andres sulfate water appearing in the 20 21 Grayburg, and petrophysics, which includes the core 22 and log analysis showing oil in the San Andres, all 23 show that there is a ROZ in the San Andres and that 24 there is communication between the San Andres and the 25 Grayburg.

1 Physics tells us that pressure increases 2 in the San Andres, and as that occurs, the water influx will increase and this problem will worsen. 3 To address OCD's concern about the 4 5 migration of injected water to the Capitan Reef, the 6 first point is that this issue is outside the scope of the hearing because the commission must first 7 8 determine if there is a ROZ within the San Andres and 9 if Goodnight's injection is interfering with correlative right. 10 11 Dr. Lindsay's direct testimony also 12 addresses OCD's concern. He states there is not a 13 migration pathway between the unitized interval in 14 the Capitan Reef. Empire's injection, including for 15 a CO2 project, is vastly different from Goodnight's 16 injection. Goodnight's injection involves high 17 18 volumes of water from other formations and areas into 19 the San Andres; whereas, Empire is only injecting water that was produced by the San Andres and 20 21 Grayburg within the EMSU back into the same 22 formations. Goodnight's injection is causing 23 formation damage, while Empire's is not. 24 OCD does not present any evidence that migration or contamination of the Capitan Reef is 25 Page 56

1 actually occurring. And at this time, the commission 2 should not require Empire to implement a monitoring 3 project. The request is unnecessary because OCD does 4 not provide any evidence of a migration pathway, and 5 voluminous geological evidence shows there is no such 6 pathway.

7 In conclusion, Empire's evidence 8 resoundingly demonstrates that there is a ROZ within 9 the San Andres underlying the EMSU, and that Goodnight's injection is interfering with Empire's 10 11 unitized interval and violating correlative rights. 12 Empire's applications to revoke 13 Goodnight's permits should be granted and Goodnight's applications for approval of additional wells and to 14 15 increase its injection rate should be denied. 16 Thank you very much. 17 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you very much, Ms. Hardy. Thank you, Ms. Hardy. 18 19 Let's see, I see it's 10:22. Why don't we take a ten-minute break. How about we come back 20 21 at 10:35, give everybody a little bit of a break. 22 And you can collect your thoughts, 23 Mr. Rankin. 24 (Off the record.) 25 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. All right, Page 57

1	folks. Let's get on with it. You've had an extra
2	four minutes, so just for the record.
3	All right. I take it, then, that
4	Goodnight will make their opening statement at this
5	point, and I suspect we'll hear something a little
6	bit different.
7	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Officer.
8	May I please the Commission, Chairman Rozatos,
9	Commissioner Bloom, who's an absentia, Commissioner
10	Ampomah.
11	In these consolidated cases, Goodnight
12	seeks approval for five new produced water disposal
13	wells, an authorization to increase the injection
14	rate in one existing well. All these wells target a
15	disposal zone in the San Andres Formation, and all
16	are within the exterior boundaries of the Eunice
17	Monument South Unit, or the EMSU, that is operated by
18	Empire.
19	For the existing well, which is called
20	the Andre Dawson in Case Number 23775, Goodnight
21	requests an increase in the injection rate from
22	25,000 barrels per day up to a maximum of 40,000
23	barrels per day.
24	As explained in the testimony, this
25	increase in the maximum rate is just to accommodate
	Page 58

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 long term peak loads. Goodnight has no intention of running its wells or any of its wells at that maximum rate for prolonged periods. Goodnight's approach is to distribute its load over multiple wells and over a large area.

Now, the testimony will show that
Goodnight has operated its wells in the EMSU at an
average injection rate of less than 15,000 barrels of
water per day, and that is the rate they expect to
maintain in all of its wells.

Four of Goodnight's cases request approval for new wells to dispose into the San Andres. Those are under Case Numbers 23614 through 23617. Now, all the details on those wells and the requests, the technical issues are in the testimony.

The fifth proposed new well is under Case Number 24123. Now, that's the de novo case in which the Division denied Goodnight its permit previously, back in November of '23. We believe the division's order was erroneous.

The evidentiary record simply does not support the order. There was no evidence that the proposed injection would interfere with EMSU operations, cause waste or impair correlative rights.

1	There just was no evidence then and there's still no
2	evidence today.
3	Now, as the testimony will show, all
4	five of Goodnight's proposed new wells and the Andre
5	Dawson rate increase should be improved in addition
6	to Goodnight's six cases, we also have Empire's four
7	applications to revoke Goodnight's injection
8	authority and its four existing SWDs in the unit.
9	What the commission must decide. Okay.
10	At issue, and what the commission ultimately needs to
11	decide, is whether Goodnight's existing and proposed
12	injection will cause waste or impair correlative
13	rights in the EMSU. It's that simple.
14	But there are threshold issues that
15	underlie those ultimate decisions that the commission
16	must evaluate and decide first, including whether
17	Goodnight's San Andres disposal zone in the EMSU
18	contains economic accumulations of oil that are
19	commercially recoverable through a CO2 flood; whether
20	any stratum within the EMSU that is capable of
21	producing oil in paying quantities is being drowned
22	out by water from Goodnight's disposal; and whether
23	there's a competent geologic seal or barrier that
24	effectively isolates Goodnight's disposal within the
25	San Andres.

Separately, the commission also must decide whether the San Andres is geologically or hydrologically connected to the Capitan Reef or to any other underground source of drinking water.

5 The testimony that you're going to be 6 reviewing or you have already started reviewing, 7 hopefully, and that you're going to hear next week 8 will establish that the San Andres and the EMSU is an 9 aquifer, not a hydrocarbon reservoir. And I'm 10 talking specifically about Goodnight's disposal zone. 11 Okay?

Because it is an aquifer, there are no commercial accumulations of oil in that zone. That means, disposal of produced water can't be causing waste or impairing correlative rights. Nor is it watering on any zone capable of producing oil or gas in paying quantities.

And because there is a competent and effective seal that isolates the disposal zone from the EMSU operations above, there's no impairment to correlative rights or to the production in the EMSU, and, therefore, no waste.

23 Much of the evidence supporting these 24 determinations is highly technical and complex, much 25 like the carbonate shelf system that makes up the

Page 61

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1	EMSU itself. But while the subject matter and
2	evidence at the core of this dispute are technical,
3	with a heavy focus on petrophysics, petroleum
4	geology, geochemistry and geology, fundamental tenets
5	of logic and reason ultimately carry the day. Okay?
6	So don't get too concerned or swept up
7	in all the technical issues here. Because,
8	ultimately, logic and reason carry the day.
9	Even without an advanced degree in
10	petroleum engineering and petrophysics, the weight
11	and force of the evidence is clear and supports
12	granting Goodnight's applications and denying
13	Empire's effort to revoke them.
14	Let me give you a little bit of
15	background and context on what we're talking about
16	here. Before I get into the details, I want to go
17	into this history in the background of the San Andres
18	in this area. And I'm going to start sharing my
19	screen and I'm going to show you on the screen here
20	actually something that Empire didn't show you in its
21	opening.
22	I want to point out first, before I get
23	into the details of this map, that in 1965, the
24	New Mexico State Engineer declared the San Andres in
25	this area to be an aquifer subject to permitting

1 requirements for beneficial use. The EMSU's operator 2 at the time, when it was first approved back in the 3 1980s, went to the state engineer and permitted six water supply wells in the San Andres through the 4 5 state engineer back in the 1980s as a source for 6 waterflood operations. In fact, the whole reason the San Andres was included in the EMSU was because it 7 8 was the only source of water capable of supplying the volumes needed for the waterflood in the EMSU. 9

Even though the San Andres had no history of primary production, was known to be nonproductive, it was included erroneously as part of the unitized interval. It should never have been included. The commission has no authority to unitize formations that are aquifers.

And the evidence presented to the commission was that the San Andres would be used as a water supply and that waterflood injection would be limited to the oil column in the Grayburg and the Lower Penrose above the San Andres.

But well before it was declared an underground water basin, the San Andres was, for years, a formation targeted for produced water disposal since at least the 1950s, more than three decades before the unit was created in 1984.

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

1 On this map all the wells that have been 2 approved and actively injecting into the San Andres in and around the three units that Empire operates. 3 The first San Andres disposal well in 4 5 the area that would become the EMSU started injecting produced water in 1960. And that's this well up 6 7 It's a little yellow triangle here. here. And 8 you'll see on each of these wells is a date of first 9 injection and the cumulative volumes as of today. Okay? For some of the wells, we don't have complete 10 11 records because they were injecting before the 12 Division started requiring reporting of all the 13 injectant volumes. Okay? So the first well there is the EME 14 15 Number 33-M. It's less than 200 feet from the 16 boundary of the unit. And is operated now by Rice 17 Operating Company, one of the interveners in this 18 case, and it has injected more than 60 million 19 barrels of produced water to date. 20 Then, nearest to the unit boundaries 21 today, in 1966 a saltwater disposal well was approved 22 and started injecting in what is now the EMSU. It's 23 actually within the boundaries, and that's this well 24 here in the southwest corner. It's the EME SWD 25 Number 21. And it's operated by Permian Line

Service, who also is an intervenor in this case and
 it has injected more than 43 million barrels of water
 to date.

As you can see, there are dozens of 4 5 additional SWDs that have been approved in and around 6 the EMSU and Empire's two other units going back to 7 Most of them are still active. Since the '50s 1952. 8 and every decade, numerous additional SWDs started 9 disposal operations within this five-mile area, within the five-mile area surrounding Empire's three 10 11 units.

In 1987 Gulf Oil, itself, who was the operator of the EMSU, converted one of its wells, that actually was the unit log well, to an active disposal well in the San Andres. And that well has continued to be operated by Empire as recently as late in 2024.

I'm showing this map because Empire's experts, including their reservoir modeler, says that all SWDs should be shut in and banned within a five-mile radius around Empire's three units.

In Empire's testimony, Mr. William West says all SWDs within two miles should be shut in and banned, and no SWDs should be allowed within a five-mile radius unless all the working interest

owners in these three units approve.

1

More than 60 SWDs are within that five-mile radius. That's a lot of SWDs. More than 90 have been approved for disposal in the San Andres in the area. In fact, in the early '90s, the Division even established a special pool code for San Andres disposal wells that it continues to use today.

9 The history and context is important to understand here because Empire and the EMSU before it 10 11 came to existing disposal in the San Andres within 12 the EMSU; that disposal was pre-existing. Empire knew or should have known about the San Andres 13 disposal when it bought the properties from XTO, but 14 15 remarkably, they somehow only discovered it 16 afterwards.

Based on the fact that the disposal in the San Andres existed before the EMSU was created and continued to be approved, even after the EMSU was formed, Goodnight Midstream acted in reliance on decades of authorized approvals for SWDs and filed applications for its own disposal wells, but only after the following.

First, Goodnight met with XTO, who was
then the operator of the EMSU, to discuss potential

Page 66

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 locations for their own SWDs in the unit. Second, 2 Rice Operating filed for, and the OCD approved, two additional SWDs in the EMSU in 2018. And that's over 3 here, this little pink well which has a date of first 4 5 injection of 11/20. This is the P-15 well and it's 6 now owned and operated by Pilot, one of the interveners in this case. And the other well is down 7 8 here in the maroon color, the darker maroon color. 9 That's the N-11. That is owned and operated now by Permian Line Service. 10

After Rice received its permits in 2018, Goodnight proceeded to file applications for four of its own SWDs in the unit between 2019 and 2020. The first was the Sosa SWD, which was filed in 2019. It's one of these four wells down here in that little grouping. It was approved by the OCD in March of 2020. XTO did not object.

18 Next was the Ryno SWD; again, one of 19 these four in this little grouping. It was converted from a Devonian injection well into a San Andres. 20 21 That application was filed in 2019 and approved by the Division in 2020. No objection from XTO. 22 23 Next, Goodnight filed two applications 24 for the Dawson and Banks wells. Those were filed in 25 2020 and approved by OCD in February of 2022. Again,

1 no objection from XTO.

The evidence will show that Goodnight's existing SWDs and its pending applications in the unit were filed in sequence and in reliance on the division's long history of approving SWDs in the San Andres, including within the EMSU, and in reliance on the long history that the San Andres was an aquifer and a water management source.

9 Now, what does that reliance look like?
10 It looks like this: Hundred million pipeline that
11 Goodnight built moving produced water from areas of
12 high intensity Delaware Basin development, near where
13 the OCD has set up seismic response areas, to
14 Goodnight's saltwater disposal field around the EMSU.
15 This is what's at stake in today's hearing.

Now, Empire's claims, given the history of the San Andres is, you know -- given the history here, what's the problem? Okay? What's the problem with Goodnight's operations.

20 Well, Empire is suddenly saying that 21 there's overlooked oil in the San Andres, and they 22 have a plan to produce it. They say that there's 900 23 million barrels of oil across their three units. 24 It's a remarkable claim, given that they bought the 25 three units from XTO for a final adjusted price of

just about \$16 million. The purchase price was \$17 million. After all adjustments were made, it was \$16 million.

According to Empire, injection of produced water into the San Andres is going to make it costlier for them to produce CO2 from their ROZ zone, and it's impacting their waterflood operations, and that the injection from Goodnight is causing water to migrate into the Grayburg, causing corrosion scale and encroaching on their waterflood operations.

11 Now, as the applicant is seeking to 12 revoke Goodnight's injection authority and to overturn decades of long-established regulatory 13 precedent, by converting the San Andres from a water 14 15 management zone for produced water disposal and water 16 production into an oil reservoir, it's Empire's 17 burden to bring forward sufficient facts to prove 18 those claims under their applications. There's no 19 dispute about that.

The problem is, they keep changing their story. They keep shifting their position, they keep revising their analysis and their models every time Goodnight's points out a fundamental flaw in their approach. And they keep trying to litigate their claims by ambush, starting with the very first

contest between these parties in 2022.

Back then, in the Piazza case, Goodnight made a very simple discovery request: Provide all the documents that reflect the presence or absence of oil in the San Andres within the EMSU. One request, just give us what you got.

7 Empire put up a big fight and resisted. 8 The Division agreed with us and issued an order 9 compelling the discovery. Empire gave us seven documents. Four documents were general papers and 10 11 presentations on ROZ and the public announcement of 12 Empire's purchase. The others were marginally 13 responsive to the discovery request, some details on some of their wells. Nothing about an ROZ in the 14 15 San Andres.

16 We show up to hearing and Empire 17 presents as part of their evidence and testimony 18 brochures from XTO that they withheld from discovery 19 in an effort to ambush us at the hearing. The hearing officer admitted the exhibits over 20 21 Goodnight's objections. The same thing happened at 22 the outset of these cases, these very cases before 23 the Division one year later in 2023, only on a much 24 bigger scale.

25

1

Just days before the hearing, Goodnight

1 was forced to compel production and continue that 2 hearing from the day it was set when it became 3 apparent that Empire failed to comply with its 4 discovery obligations, and the cases were eventually 5 referred to the commission.

6 Now at the commission, Empire is playing 7 the same game and still no consequences. After the 8 parties filed direct testimony and exhibits in August 9 2024, Empire saw Goodnight's petrophysics and oil-in-place analyses from its experts at Netherland, 10 11 Sewell and acted quickly to prepare a revised 12 petrophysics analysis, directing their witness, Mr. Galen Dillewyn, with NuTech, to undertake a 13 14 different analysis using different inputs and 15 different parameters based on measured water 16 saturation in his core logs, information that Empire 17 had all along from the beginning.

18 Now, based on that new analysis, Empire 19 submitted revised petrophysics testimony and revised geology testimony, with a new oil-in-place analysis, 20 21 in December, more than three months after the deadline to submit direct testimony, without seeking 22 23 leave from the commission to do so and without 24 conferring with counsel from the other parties beforehand. 25

Now, we reached an agreement to file the
 revised testimony and to file a notice, okay,
 explaining what was changed, why it was changed and
 the justification for the timing. So that is all
 part of the record.

6 Now that revision in December required 7 Goodnight's experts and counsel to throw away all the 8 work they had been doing the three months prior on the original analysis that they had done and start 9 over on a new one in December. Remarkably, when 10 11 asked which version of his testimony he stood behind, 12 Mr. Dillewyn testified in his deposition that he 13 stood behind his original analysis and testimony, not the revised one that Empire had instructed him to 14 15 prepare.

16 Then, a month after filing the revised 17 petrophysics and oil-in-place testimonies, Empire 18 disclosed in early January that they were going to 19 present two new witnesses, providing a different petrophysical analysis with different assumptions and 20 21 different inputs, resulting in a different oil-in-place estimate, based on a new stratigraph 22 23 analysis, with new tops, for which Empire's new 24 experts think that the -- where the San Andres is 25 actually located.
1 Now, we've had the underlying 2 petrophysical logs and digits for less than a week 3 at this point, so we have not had time to fully 4 evaluate them. But it's apparent that what they're 5 doing is that they're calibrating their new log interpretations to a different core log not in the 6 7 EMSU, and many of their San Andres top picks are 8 different. We evaluated the top picks that they'd 9 given us in discovery and that were used for their structure maps initially, and approximately half of 10 11 the tops in their cross-sections and their rebuttal 12 testimony are different than what we had initially. 13 So the fact that Empire has to keep changing their story, while Goodnight's analysis has 14 15 remained consistent and unwavering, says just about 16 all you need to know. 17 But what is Empire's story and how does 18 all this technical stuff fit into it? What it 19 appears is that Empire's goal here is to confuse and 20 obfuscate. Okay? That is most apparent in their 21 testimony around what is or is not the San Andres. 22 For example, all of Empire's evidence on 23 the alleged migration of produced water is limited to 24 what Empire calls the Upper San Andres. The zone 25 that Goodnight refers to as the Grayburg, not

Goodnight's disposal zone. That interval, which is highlighted here in yellow, okay, whatever you want to call it, the Upper San Andres or Lower Grayburg, is not in disputes in these cases, because it is above Goodnight's disposal zone and the perm barrier that we've identified.

7 The only dispute is what to call it. 8 But, you know, even that makes no difference. It's 9 just semantics. Okay? It has no bearing on the 10 analysis of the technical issues in these cases 11 whether there's oil in Goodnight's disposal zone.

12 And the evidence that Empire does 13 present on this purported communication of the San Andres is purely conjectural. For example, 14 15 Empire insists that the high sulfate San Andres water 16 that was migrating into the Grayburg before the EMSU 17 waterflood even started in 1986, thereby establishing a history of communication between the zones, okay, 18 19 but they have not presented any evidence of high sulfate San Andres water being produced from the 20 21 Grayburg Formation before waterflood operations 22 started. So there's no evidence that that's actually 23 the case.

And the evidence they do rely on to contend there is communication today, such as the

1 geochemistry data that they rely on heavily, it's not 2 diagnostic. It just doesn't provide the fingerprint 3 that Empire claims.

Looking for a San Andres fingerprint 4 5 makes no sense, considering that approximately 350 million barrels or more of San Andres water has been 6 injected into the Grayburg for more than 40 years, 7 8 completely mixing the chemistry. But setting aside 9 these evidentiary problems and the supposition that Empire makes and the conclusion Empire attempts to 10 11 draw, are all it related entirely to what is 12 essentially this Upper San Andres zone, not 13 Goodnight's disposal zone.

14 Empire has presented no direct evidence 15 showing communication between the disposal zone here 16 in blue and the Grayburg or even between the disposal 17 zone in the Upper San Andres. It's really important to understand this and to be clear about what depths 18 19 Empire or its witnesses are referring to when they discuss the San Andres. By constantly referring to 20 21 the interval above Goodnight's disposal zone as the San Andres, without specifying depths, Empire's zone 22 23 confusion about the two main issues in these cases, 24 whether there's communication between the disposal 25 zone and the producing interval, whether there is an

economic ROZ in Goodnight's disposal zone, those are the two main issues, and it's important to understand what depths we're talking about, this confusion shows up across every main category of evidence that Empire puts forward.

6 First in the alleged fractures between 7 the San Andres and Grayburg. Those are all premised 8 on Dr. Lindsay's fracture analysis and his testimony, 9 where Dr. Lindsay provides specific depths for his fracture study of a single oriented core, the 679 10 11 well. The fracture study does not even go to the 12 bottom of the Grayburg in his own analysis. It stops 13 well short of what Empire itself calls the base of the Grayburg. 14

15 Now, in his rebuttal testimony, 16 Dr. Lindsay supplies additional testimony on two new 17 fracture studies in the EMSU to the northwest and the AGU to the southeast, miles away, but nothing new on 18 19 the EMSU. But even then, he does not provide any depths for reference in either of his rebuttal 20 21 testimony or in his backup documentation that he 22 provided to us.

If you read it carefully, you'll see he does not specify where he says the fractures are, what zones. Now, these additional fracture studies

Page 76

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 actually documented fractures going into the
 stratigraphic equivalent of what is Goodnight's perm
 barrier. You would expect to have seen that in his
 testimony, what specific depths is he talking about.
 But he doesn't include it.

The second category of data where we see 6 7 this confusion is in the reservoir pressure 8 measurements from Mr. William West. He makes 9 assumptions about what the pressures are at certain 10 depths to show a purported pressure drawdown in the 11 San Andres as a result of primary production in the 12 Gravburg. He tries to show that there's 13 communication between the formations. But the depths that he uses are well above the top of the barrier 14 15 isolating Goodnight's disposal zone.

16 So even if his pressure assumptions and 17 calculations are correct, there's significant problems with those. The depths he says -- in fact, 18 19 you'll see in the testimony in our rebuttal that says it doesn't actually establish communication, it does 20 21 the opposite. It shows that the Grayburg is highly 22 compartmentalized and there's not even communication 23 within the vertical layers of the Grayburg, itself. But even if his calculations and 24

25 assumptions are right, the depths he says are in the

1 San Andres are not in Goodnight's disposal zone. So 2 his erroneous assumptions here on the pressures 3 infects not just his testimony but it infects and invalidates Empire's reservoir simulation that they 4 5 put forward, which requires having an accurate 6 starting reservoir pressure for Goodnight's disposal He's far above it and it's not an accurate 7 zone. 8 representation of actually what's happening in the disposal zone. 9

10 Third, we see this confusion continue 11 with Empire's ROZ petrophysics and oil-in-place calculations across the EMSU. And you heard it in 12 13 the opening, Empire complains that Goodnight has excluded roughly 200 vertical feet of the San Andres 14 15 from its ROZ calculations, resulting in a lower 16 oil-in-place calculation for the San Andres. But 17 that claim is just plain false. Goodnight did not do its petrophysics or its oil-in-place analysis based 18 19 on formations. And contrary to Empire's claims, Goodnight does not exclude the ROZ from its 20 21 calculations, not at all.

In fact, Goodnight's petrophysics and oil-in-place analysis are entirely agnostic with respect to what interval they're in, whether it's Grayburg or San Andres. Goodnight's petrophysics is

1 based on facies changes and the oil-in-place analysis 2 is simply divided into tiers based on depth and 3 reservoir quality, without regard to whether a 4 certain interval is called the Grayburg or 5 San Andres.

6 Under this approach, and this was at the 7 at the discretion of our experts, who said, "Look 8 there's a dispute over the tops, so we're not going 9 to get into the tops. We just want to know where the 10 oil is. What does the rock say?"

11 Under this approach the San Andres top does not matter. What matters is whether there is 12 13 any potential for economic oil and at what depths. Now, according to this analysis that Netherland, 14 15 Sewell conducted nothing below minus 500 feet, at a 16 maximum, subsea, warrants economic evaluation. Tt. 17 doesn't matter to them. It doesn't matter to the analysis whether that's the Grayburg or San Andres. 18 19 It's all about depth.

In contrast, Empire overinflates its oil saturations, calculating mobile oil where well tests produced 100 percent water, and calculating high oil saturations where there's no oil standing in the core. Empire also includes additional vertical intervals in its ROZ, calculations that are above

Empire's disposal zone and not part of the dispute in this case, because they're outside of the disposal zone. This interval should not be calculated as if it is in the disposal zone.

5 The bottom line, whatever you hear in 6 the testimony about the San Andres without specifying 7 depth, be aware whether the testimony relates to 8 Goodnight's disposal zone or shallower intervals, 9 because that's critical.

Now, I want to get into the two main
areas of dispute, okay, whether there's communication
and fractures, and whether there's oil in the ROZ.

13 On the issue of communication between the San Andres and the Grayburg, Empire has produced 14 15 no data to support their claim that massive volumes 16 of San Andres water are pluming into the Grayburg. 17 If they did, they would be able to show it in field-wide production, or at least in offsetting 18 19 wells through well production data. But they haven't and they can't. 20

Empire has no production data to support this claim, so they went out and they got a reservoir modeler to create a simulation that provided them the answer that they want. The problem is that Goodnight's disposal volumes are just not showing up

in the Grayburg, as Empire claims.

1

2 Empire's witness, Dr. Buchwalter, testified in his deposition that the EMSU was one of 3 the hardest reservoirs that he has ever attempted to 4 5 model. It took him more than 500 model runs to get 6 what he called a field-wide match. Well, in his 7 deposition he explained his approach was simply trial 8 and error. He started with a reservoir pressure and he started with the initial production volumes and he 9 tried to do a match, and it took him 500 model runs, 10 11 several months to do so. He said getting that match 12 was like hitting the lottery.

13 On the assumption that his field-wide match means that his model accurately reflects 14 15 reality, his written testimony states that the 16 San Andres must be currently contributing about 17 24,000 barrels of water per day from the San Andres into the Grayburg through fractures across the EMSU. 18 19 That's 720,000 barrels a month to account for the 20 water production in the EMSU.

Now over a nine-month period, that would be almost six and a half million barrels of water that he says is allegedly pluming up from the San Andres into the Grayburg. If his model were accurate, those volumes would be showing up in the

Grayburg in Empire field-wide production data. But we're just not seeing those volumes anywhere in production. In fact, Empire's actual field data shows something very different.

5 Here I'm showing you a slide from 6 Mr. West's direct testimony, and I've highlighted 7 some language. Empire's VP of operations, Mr. West, 8 testified that Empire is injecting 70,000 barrels of 9 water per day as part of its waterflood and producing 10 about 70,000 barrels per day as part of the 11 production from the Grayburg.

12 In other words, Empire is producing 13 about the same amount of water that they inject every That's current. Just looking at these numbers, 14 day. 15 Buchwalter is saying that nearly one-third of Dr. 16 the volumes that Empire is injecting into the 17 Grayburg every day is pluming up in -- I'm sorry. 18 Let me rephrase that.

Just looking at these numbers, Dr. Buchwalter says that nearly one-third of the volumes that Empire is injecting into the Grayburg every day is pluming up from the San Andres every day as well, 24,000 barrels a day. That means about 100,000 barrels of water actually is going into the Grayburg every day, 70,000 from Empire's waterflood

1	injection and 24,000 pluming up from the San Andres.
2	But we're just not seeing those numbers. Okay?
3	Let's look at some of the actual recent
4	numbers that Mr. West himself put in his testimony.
5	These are production figures for a nine-month period,
6	from November '23 to July '24. These are daily
7	average numbers. That means we have if you were
8	to, you know, calculate this out over that period of
9	nine months, there's about 19 million barrels of
10	water that Empire is injecting and producing over
11	that time. It's roughly the same in as going out.
12	But according to Dr. Buchwalter, there
13	should be more than six million barrels difference
14	over these nine months. There's not even a
15	200,000-barrel difference. Okay?
16	Now, is the injection somehow affecting
17	oil production? You know, that's their claim. But
18	here's a slide showing, from Empire's own data,
19	field-wide production data going back to 1970.
20	There's no impact. In fact, the most recent month
21	shows that it's the slowest or lowest decline over
22	the entire period, going back to 1970.
23	Zooming in on this period from March of
24	2021, when Empire acquired and started operating the
25	unit, we see that in interval that Mr. West

Г

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

identifies in his testimony, in Exhibit I-18, where he purports that there's an unreasonable decline in oil between November 2023 and July '24, all he did was he subtracted July '24 from November '23 and he sees a big drop. But he's not telling you that the months after, it rebounded.

He's also not telling you that during that same period there was a -- if you look at the well count in the field, the well count has been going down over time, but production's been holding pretty constant. So we're not seeing an impact in produced water and we're not seeing an impact in oil production or decline.

There's just no abnormal decline and no impact. The water's just not showing up and there's nothing indicating any problems with the EMSU operations. Empire's claim and its reliance on modeling and simulation that's not reflected in actual field data is just smoke and mirrors and does not match reality.

Now, rather than plumes of San Andres water coming up into the Grayburg, what's actually happening is that there's edge water encroachment from the Grayburg and the Goat Seep. That's the answer to the water mystery in the EMSU. Only it's

1 not a mystery. The encroachment from the Goat Seep 2 and edge water moving into the Grayburg has been known about and written about since the 1930s, almost 3 as long as the field has been producing. Throughout 4 5 its long history, there's never been any discussion 6 in the literature on the EMSU or EMSU well files of the Division or Division case files that there is 7 8 San Andres water pluming up into the Grayburg through extensive natural fracturing, as Empire describes. 9

Empire is trying to rewrite history here 10 11 and reframe the facts to suit their story. In 12 support, Empire points to a single 1996 Chevron paper 13 that addresses corrosion and scale problems that were encountered during the waterflood due to the known 14 15 incompatibility of the San Andres water and the 16 Grayburg. The authors speculate that apparently 17 San Andres water was finding its way into some EMSU Grayburg producers in the wells, and postulate that 18 19 water may have entered the well more directly, not that the water was going into the Grayburg Formation 20 21 through fractures.

The supposed bottom water also would not be water in communication with Grayburg's disposal zone. This, again, is that disputed area, the disputed interval that we say is above our

1	permeability. It is above our permeability barrier,
2	which is isolated from Goodnight's disposal.
3	In short, there's no statement in this
4	paper that the San Andres is pluming into the
5	Grayburg nor is there any data or documentation
6	attached or referenced to establish that.
7	Two years later, in 1998, another
8	Chevron team published a paper, an SPE paper, Society
9	of Professional Engineers, on a different topic
10	involving the EMSU: It's terrible waterflood
11	conformance issues.
12	Now, this paper's lead author was
13	Chevron's Tracy Love. Chevron was the operator at
14	the time. He was a petroleum engineer who was in
15	charge of the waterflood and production issues in the
16	unit.
17	The purpose of the paper was to diagnose
18	waterflood problems, design treatments, implement
19	process improvements. He explained that there was
20	poor waterflood conformance and it caused Chevron to
21	do a substantial project, starting in 1996, to
22	characterize the unit and to evaluate how they can
23	improve the waterflood.
24	Reservoir character characterization, he
25	said, included mapping high perm streaks, doing
	Page 86

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 1 material balance, tracking what goes in and what 2 comes out, and other engineering calculations, like 3 zonal processing. In that paper, he identifies that the San Andres has a source of water encroachment 4 5 into the Grayburg. He states that there are plumes 6 of unaccounted -- he does not state that there are plumes of unaccounted-for water coming into the 7 8 Grayburg from the San Andres.

9 He instead found all kinds of other
10 problems, including rapid breakthrough, high perm
11 streaks, injection into the gas cap and other issues.
12 But he didn't find any unaccounted-for water from the
13 San Andres.

Two years later, Mr. Love testified at 14 15 the Division, in 2000, over requested increases to 16 surface injection pressures within the waterflood. 17 In that case, there was a dispute about the EMSU water escaping from the waterflood into the Penrose 18 19 and Queen formations, impacting shallower formations. He was asked directly if Chevron had identified any 20 unaccounted-for water in the EMSU, and he testified 21 that Chevron had conducted and completed a full field 22 23 reservoir simulation for the EMSU and that the only 24 unaccounted-for water was downdip edge water coming 25 in from the from the Grayburg.

1 Four years after Chevron's corrosion 2 paper in 1996 speculated about potential San Andres water in the EMSU well waters, there was no further 3 discussion about San Andres water migrating into the 4 5 Grayburg, no discussions of fractures and no data or 6 documentation showing that the San Andres water ever plumed up into the Grayburg before the waterflood or 7 8 after. Finally, the definitive, tangible 9 evidence that there is an area of extensive competent 10 11 seal isolating its disposal zone is the pressure difference between the disposal zone and the 12 formations above. 13 14 When drilling each of its wells, 15 Goodnight has passed through a normally pressured 16 zone in the Grayburg into a substantially under-pressured interval in the disposal zone, where 17 Goodnight has lost circulation and returns. They had 18 19 the same experience at the same stratigraph interval when they drilled each of their wells. 20 21 The testimony and exhibits will show that there's just no data or documentation supporting 22 23 the claim that the San Andres water is migrating into 24 the Grayburg, as Empire contends. Now on to the ROZ claims. This is the 25

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

last main issue before the Division, whether there's 1 2 an ROZ in the EMSU. That's true that Goodnight's 3 experts have identified a potential ROZ in the EMSU between approximately minus 350 feet subsea and a 4 maximum of minus 500 feet subsea. That's about 200 5 6 feet above Goodnight's current injection disposal 7 interval, depending on where you are in the 8 structure.

9 But according to Netherland, Sewell, 10 nothing below that depth warrants further economic 11 evaluation. According to them, there is ample data 12 to make that assessment now. There's no need to do a 13 pilot CO2 at that depth to confirm what we already 14 know, especially not within the disposal zone.

15 And they say even the potential ROZ 16 identified in the main producing interval, the zone 17 above minus 350, is going to require significant 18 additional evaluation to determine if a CO2 project 19 could even be economically viable in that zone. Whv? Why is the success of even the shallower zones so 20 21 uncertain? Because the EMSU is effectively a failed 22 waterflood, and the same reasons the waterflood 23 failed are likely going to cause a CO2 flood to fail 24 as well, especially a CO2 that's a water alternating 25 qas flood.

1 Empire notes at the time the EMSU 2 waterflood was approved, it was projected to produce 64.2 million barrels through waterflood operations. 3 4 And Empire goes on to say that it has produced 5 approximately 25 million barrels of oil since 1984. But that 25 million barrels of oil includes 14 6 7 million barrels of primary production. So between 8 1984 and when the waterflood commenced, there was an additional 14 million barrels of primary production. 9 That means the EMSU has produced only about 11 10 11 million barrels under the waterflood. 12 In the 1983 technical committee report, 13 which is in evidence in this case, they projected that the optimum recovery case would produce 63.2 14 15 million barrels of oil over a 30-year flood life, 16 while the minimum recovery case would yield 23.7 million barrels, over the same period. Having 17 18 produced only 11 million barrels after nearly 40 19 years of waterflood is a colossal failure; that is less than half of what the minimum recovery case was 20 21 projected to be back in 1983. 2.2 Now, the EMSU is a complex carbonate 23 shelf system. It has dozens of sea level changes,

25 substantial compartmentalization and severe

has been sub aerially exposed, resulting in

24

1 conformance issues that have plaqued the water 2 flood's performance and effectiveness from the start, 3 and it would plaque a potential CO2 flood for exactly the same reasons. This complex carbonate system is a 4 5 problem for CO2 flood in intervals, even with the 6 highest oil concentrations far above Goodnight's 7 disposal zone. But for Goodnight's disposal zone, 8 where the uppermost 400 feet of aquifer contains an 9 average oil saturation of less than 11 percent, according to the petrophysical analysis that we've 10 11 done, which is far below the threshold oil 12 concentrations of any known pilot CO2 or commercial 13 CO2 project, there's no chance for economic ROZ.

How can I say that with such confidence. Well, let's look at what we know about ROZs. The definition of an ROZ comes from Goodnight's own experts. They've got two ROZ experts, Dr. Trentham and Mr. Steve Melzer. This is from one of their papers and actually from their testimony in this case.

It shows that the highest oil concentrations and saturations in the ROZ are the shallowest, and that oil saturations drop with depth. They also tell us that commercial oil saturations or rather commercial ROZs have oil saturations between

1 about 20 and 40 percent. That's directly from 2 numerous papers and presentations on record from Mr. Melzer and Dr. Trentham. And it's in the direct 3 testimony. And it's restated and adopted by Empire 4 5 in its previous statement, as well. This next slide here is from Goodnight's 6 witness Preston McGuire. It reflects Mr. Melzer and 7 8 Dr. Trentham's work on the Goldsmith-Landreth 9 San Andres Unit, which they refer to. And it shows the recorded oil saturations in that core and where 10 11 they place the base of the ROZ in that field right at 12 20 percent, where the oil saturations drop off. 13 I'm going to note here, okay, that they call the 20 percent oil saturation point the limit of 14 15 a commercial CO2 flood interval, because below that, 16 saturations drop off below economic levels. 17 Now, where you see that telltale drop off in the oil saturations at about 20 percent, that 18 19 generally represents what they call the "paleo-oil-water contact," according to them. 20 The 21 paleo-oil-water contact is the deepest point in the formation that was, at some point in the 22 23 paleogeologic time, previously saturated with oil 24 until Mother Nature's waterflood. Mother Nature's waterflood is when the 25

Permian Basin tilted, allowing massive volumes of
 meteoric water to flush through the Grayburg and
 San Andres, acting like a manmade waterflood,
 sweeping oil out of formations to the south and
 southeast, and updip, under substantial hydraulic
 pressure.

7 This next slide here shows the general 8 path from, Empire's testimony. Multiple volumes 9 swept through the Grayburg and San Andres over 10 millions of years, until there was a shift in the 11 Grand Rift, cutting that hydraulic head off and 12 essentially turning off Mother Nature's waterflood.

Note that the EMSU is right in the middle of the path of this Mother Nature's waterflood. And Dr. Trentham measured the permeability in the San Andres here as high as 100 millidarcy. It's also worth noting that they also identified a geologic seal in the San Andres at the top.

Now, before Mother Nature's waterflood was turned off, so much water came through, just like a manmade waterflood, that essentially all the mobile oil was swept out. All that was left behind in terms of oil was bound to the rocks and the pores and was essentially immobile at static and in situ reservoir

1 conditions.

2	Now, after that, according to Empire's
3	expert, Dr. Lindsay, some of the oil that had been
4	swept migrated back into the EMSU after that
5	hydraulic head was turned off, partially
6	re-saturating the Grayburg, but not the San Andres.
7	He testified in his deposition that the San Andres
8	never re-saturated. The only oil left in the
9	San Andres was immobile oil that had been swept and
10	at very low concentration saturations. That is what
11	residual oil is, oil that was left behind in the
12	residual oil zone.
13	Now, according to Empire's experts, what
14	you see after the Mother Nature's waterflood are oil
15	saturations that are very similar to what you see

16 after a manmade waterflood.

25

17 Now, Goodnight's experts mostly agree 18 with this story, except for one big thing. They 19 don't think that the evidence supports the conclusion 20 that the San Andres was ever saturated with oil. 21 They think the evidence instead supports concluding 22 that the San Andres was more likely a migratory 23 pathway for oil, moving through the San Andres updip from source rock in the Delaware Basin. 24

And why do they think that? Because the

residual oil saturations are too low in the San Andres to have been saturated with oil. And the sparse, intermittent oil accumulations that they see in their wrong interpretations are not mappable across the area.

That means that the San Andres at the 6 EMSU does not match the definition of an ROZ in the 7 8 literature or in practice. It doesn't have the oil 9 saturations necessary for a commercial development 10 because it was never saturated. It was only ever a 11 migratory pathway and it was never re-saturated at 12 the end of Mother Nature's waterflood, unlike the 13 overlying Grayburg.

What does that mean for the EMSU? It means that we would expect to see good residual oil saturations at or above 20 percent down to the base of the Grayburg, because the Grayburg was at one time saturated before Mother Nature's waterflood, and it re-saturated.

But before the Grayburg -- but below the Grayburg into the San Andres, we would expect to see oil saturations below 20 percent, because it was never saturated. It was only ever a migratory pathway for oil and was never re-saturated after Mother Nature's waterflood. And that's just what we

see. Okay?

1

2	This is a slide again from Goodnight's
3	exhibits that shows all the core data that we have
4	for the EMSU. And, again, it shows that Goodnight
5	has picked minus 652 subsea as the last point where
6	there are consecutive core oil saturations above 20
7	percent. Below that, oil saturations drop off. That
8	makes the base of the Grayburg ROZ at about minus 652
9	subsea, above where Goodnight's disposal zone starts
10	here, at about minus 700 feet subsea, where the core
11	oil saturations are all below 7 percent.
12	Empire's expert, Dr. Trentham, testified
13	in his deposition that he could not disagree with
14	that depth as the base of the ROZ, but he might put
15	it a few feet deeper. That would make the ROZ in the
16	EMSU a little more than 300 feet thick.
17	Based on what we know about the ROZ oil
18	saturations decreasing with depth, there's no basis
19	to believe that there will be any higher oil
20	saturations deeper in the San Andres than what has
21	already been revealed in more shallow zones,
22	especially not below what is depicted here to be the
23	paleo-oil-water contact.
24	How does his testimony support all this?
25	This is a table from Dr. Trentham's testimony.

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

Dr. Trentham says that the EMSU, in his estimation, based on what he understands from Dr. Lindsay, has a -- it all depends on where you put the oil-water contact or the producing oil-water contact. Trentham says that the EMSU has a 370-foot thick ROZ. That's almost already thicker than any ROZ interval that has been developed. Okay? You can see them all here.

8 XTO, in its brochures, in an effort to 9 sell the property, told Empire in its documents that they think there's a potential for a 300-foot thick 10 11 ROZ down to as deep as minus 700. In contrast, 12 Empire in his petrophysic analysis is essentially saying that there's a commercial ROZ in the Grayburg 13 and the San Andres that is at least 1200 feet thick 14 15 or more, with substantial oil saturations all the way 16 to the base of the San Andres.

17 That would be at least three times thicker than any known commercial or pilot ROZ 18 19 anywhere in the Permian Basin. That's extremely unlikely. Not only is it unlikely that the core oil 20 21 saturations, that average 7 percent at that depth, 22 for 100 feet, are suddenly going to increase over 20 23 percent, based on what we know about ROZs. But it's 24 also unlikely for at least the following reasons, 25 just based on Empire's own experts.

1 Dr. Lindsay testified in his deposition 2 that the only confirmed ROZ that he knew of in the EMSU is limited to the Grayburg, and it follows the 3 base of the Grayburg structure because there's a 4 5 composite sequence boundary that he says serves as a 6 barrier to flow. Here's a slide from Dr. Lindsay's testimony and he's identifying right here the ROZ 7 8 terminates at this base of the Grayburg because of this composite sequence boundary. And he says it's 9 mostly on the western half of the EMSU. 10 11 Asked whether he was aware of any ROZ 12 that spans a composite sequence boundary like the one 13 between the San Andres and the Grayburg, he testified no, it would be unique. 14 15 He also testified in his deposition that 16 there was only a potential ROZ in the Upper 17 San Andres, and that it is speculation that there's 18 an ROZ in the Lower San Andres. 19 What if we step back and look at this from a wider angle. Does the broader production 20 21 history align with this interpretation that I'm 22 proposing to you, that the San Andres in and around 23 the EMSU was never a saturated oil reservoir and 24 therefore would not be a potential ROZ? Yes. 25 Our expert, Mr. Bill Knights, reviewed

1 the production history in and around the margin of 2 the central basin platform, where the EMSU is 3 located. Unlike the fields around it, more than seven miles away, the EMSU does not have a productive 4 5 San Andres interval. To the South and to the north, 6 there are fields with production in both the Grayburg 7 and San Andres. Okay? When I look east, across the 8 top of the central basin platform and the northern 9 shelf, there are fields that also produce from deeper zones down into the San Andres. 10

This all has to do with the fact that each of these productive San Andres fields have unique characteristics for production that don't apply to the EMSU, and it all has to do with oil migratory pathways.

Does the same pattern show up in ROZ place? In fact, there are no ROZs on the west side of the central basin platform and south of the San Simon Channel, let alone any that target the San Andres.

21 Why is that? Well, there's a reason for 22 it. And it's not because the San Andres or ROZ have 23 been overlooked at the EMSU. It's because it does 24 not have the oil saturations necessary.

25

At the beginning of this opening, I

promised that despite all the technical testimony and all the technical issues, that logic and reason would ultimately carry the day. I'll leave you with three simple things to keep in mind as you review the exhibits and listen to the testimony.

First, remember to ask yourself is the testimony that you're reviewing and you're hearing on the San Andres specific to Goodnight's disposal zone, or is it something shallower?

Second, Goodnight has encountered a very real and substantial pressure differential below its perm barrier, at the same stratigraph level across all of its wells inside and outside the unit. That is a very real, tangible demonstration that there is an extensive and effective perm barrier between the disposal zone and everything above.

17 Third, there's no need to conduct any more tests, collect any more data or conduct any 18 19 pilot projects on the disposal zone. It has been subjected to a definitive ROZ test through a massive 20 21 depressurization over more than three decades. 380 million barrels of water has been withdrawn from that 22 23 same zone, and not a single barrel of oil or skim oil 24 has been produced or reported. If there was a 25 residual oil zone down there at the saturations that

1 Empire's experts are claiming, some of that oil would 2 have been mobilized and produced. It's not the case. 3 The testimony will show that Goodnight has painstakingly evaluated the San Andres in this 4 5 area. It's an ideal location for produced water 6 disposal. It's expansive and it's got lots of capacity, making it a critical resource for managing 7 8 disposed produced water from horizontal well 9 development in the Delaware Basin. It's under-pressured and has significant capacity because 10 11 it has been depleted after decades of massive water 12 withdrawals from across the area, not just in the 13 EMSU. There are 16, 17, 18 additional water 14 15 supply wells that have withdrawn massive barrels out 16 of the EMSU. Goodnight has calculated anywhere 17 between 850 million to 1 billion barrels of water 18 have been withdrawn out of the San Andres in this 19 area. It has substantial and effective 20 21 geological seals which prevent communication between 22 the injection zone and the overlying production 23 formation as well. 24 In short, the overwhelming -- not in 25 short anymore -- weight of the evidence will show

Page 101

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 1 that the proposed injection will not interfere and 2 has not interfered with unit operations, will not 3 cause waste and will be protective of correlative 4 rights and otherwise comply with the Oil and Gas Act.

5 Now, I want to make one additional Each of Goodnight's experts have 6 statement. 7 conducted an independent analysis. They've been 8 unbounded by my direction or Goodnight's direction. We did not direct them to an answer. Their direction 9 from me and Goodnight was, "What is the answer here? 10 11 Is there an ROZ? Can it be recovered? Is it 12 economic? Is the disposal water communicating with 13 any of the formations above? The EMSU waterflood operations, are they being impacted? Is there any 14 15 evidence of a geochemical fingerprint reflecting 16 Goodnight's disposal in EMSU operations?"

We told them what we think, but we wanted them to test our analysis. We asked them, "Tell us. Are we wrong? Why are we wrong? Or tell us we're right."

I cannot wait to get them in this room. Okay? I cannot wait to get them in this room and I want you to ask them all your questions. Okay? With that, Mr. Chair and Commissioners, after you hear all the evidence, we ask that you

Page 102

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 approve Goodnight's applications and that you deny 2 Empire's efforts to revoke their existing saltwater 3 disposal wells. Thank you. 4 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Rankin. 5 Mr. Moander, you have any idea how long 6 7 your opening will be? 8 MR. MOANDER: I'm anticipating 15 minutes probably, at the maximum. It will be abbreviated 9 relative to other openings today. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Well, if it's that 12 short, I quess my suggestion is that we simply 13 proceed and that'll bring this conveniently to the lunch hour. If it's longer than that, then we may 14 15 cut you off and bring you back. 16 MR. MOANDER: I will happily accept a break, 17 if that's required. But I'm pretty confident I can get through what I need to. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Is that okay with 20 everybody, then, that we just proceed? All right. 21 CHAIR ROZATOS: Yeah, I think it's good. 22 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right. OCD, 23 Mr. Moander, you're on. 24 Thank you, Mr. Hearing MR. MOANDER: 25 Officer, Commissioners, Mr. Chair.

1 Now for something completely different 2 than what you've heard this morning. OCD comes before the commission with an information problem. 3 Some of the commissioners may be familiar with this; 4 5 it comes from economics. It's the idea that when 6 information is imbalanced in a dynamic, usually more 7 than two parties, that somebody in that dynamic is 8 probably going to lose unfairly or will be shortened.

OCD looks at this issue as essentially 9 the problem is as follows: OCD doesn't know what it 10 11 doesn't know about potential impacts from San Andres 12 injection in the EMSU to the Capitan Reef via what we suspect is the Hobbs Channel. So OCD actually agrees 13 14 with Empire that we don't have evidence proving 15 something one way or the other, that's why we're here 16 because we want to find out.

17 OCD's hypothesis is simply that it suspects there's an underground hydrogeologic event 18 19 occurring that ties together the EMSU to the Hobbs Channel and the Capitan Reef. OCD does not know what 20 21 that event is, but it fears that injection of 22 produced water from oil and gas operations is a 23 contributing factor to the increased water volume in 24 the Capitan Reef, potentially leading to Reef contamination, violation of the Safe Drinking Water 25

Act, and ultimately a possible exemption of the
 Capitan Reef Aquifer.

OCD's proposed solution to resolve both the problem in its hypothesis is to obtain OCC authorization and implement an investigation and monitoring program to assess and characterize the relationship between the San Andres Formation in the EMSU and the Capitan Reef.

9 Probably not surprising, OCD lacks the 10 resources and labor pool to effectively conduct this 11 project on its own. This is a heady task before the 12 OCD and it's going to require assistance of Industry 13 to accomplish this.

OCD's evidence is laid out in four or five different groupings. OCD will start with what we're calling the lay of the land. We're going to provide -- and you'll notice I'm not putting up all these maps, because you've seen plenty of that today, so this is going -- you will see these. I believe you've already got them.

A current overview of all wells and all the UIC Class II permitted wells in the EMSU. Also, we'll narrow down a bit further to both Goodnight and Empire wells that are currently in this waterflood. OCD is also going to provide Department

1 of Interior New Mexico Tech reports on disposal 2 operations in the Permian. As both parties have provided today, the barrels -- and just as a 3 reminder, these are 42-gallon barrels -- the volume 4 5 of barrels injected in the EMSU is a lot. It is a 6 humongous number. I would say when we you start doing the math on 42 times any given number of 7 8 proposed assessments of water injected, it starts to 9 get astronomical in size.

10 Also, this particular document between 11 Department of Interior and Tech, also confirms that 12 the San Andres has largely been deemed a preferable 13 location for produced water disposal.

14 The second category of evidence that 15 will be presented to the OCC involves section 16 70-2-12.B of the New Mexico Statutes, which outlines 17 OCD powers, authority and so on. We'll walk through 18 those and show the various sections, which have been 19 argued at some length in the motions already, that OCD has authority to pursue this investigation and 20 21 monitoring project.

This will also include a brief discussion about the Unitization Act. Now why that's important is because the Unitization Act has as part of its foundation, or at least a contributing factor,

1	what's called the UIC program. It's the underground
2	injection control. This program has its roots in EPA
3	regulations tied to the Safe Drinking Water Act.
4	The hallmark exhibit of the law here
5	that governs what OCD is attempting to accomplish, is
6	what's called the Appendix 2. And what that document
7	does is it grants OCD primacy status to enforce the
8	Safe Drinking Water Act in New Mexico. But it also
9	obligated you should look at that as an
10	obligation. That's a requirement.
11	Specifically relevant to the aquifer
12	evaluation program, that falls underneath both the
13	UIC program at the state level and the Safe Drinking
14	Water Act at the federal, OCD is tasked was studying,
15	classifying, delineating and then protecting
16	potential potable water sources. This particular
17	program, as well as the EPA regulations, are
18	applicable to the San Andres Formation and the EMSU.
19	Turning to the expertise documents,
20	you're going to hear almost all of this testimony
21	from OCD witness Philip Goetze, who is the UIC
22	program manager, and has extensive experience in not
23	only managing the program, but produced water issues
24	generally when it comes to injection.
25	First you'll see a series of these
	Page 107

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 expert papers. Several of them are written by the 2 same author, and there's a theme running here. The first article that we'll have a talk about will be 3 one written by a fellow named Hiss. He has mapped 4 5 out a good -- the most of this work was done in the 6 '70s. We acknowledge openly and without question, 7 this is aged data. Unfortunately, OCD believes it's 8 the best data available in terms of a summary or 9 collection that one could look at to get a sense of 10 what's gone on with underground injection down in the 11 EMSU.

The first Hiss article has maps on it that are going to show where Hiss suspects, based on his chloride ion testing, there is a Hobbs Channel, or a form of an underground water pathway, that flows near or around the EMSU. And it generally shows the relationship between the Hobbs Channel and the Capitan Reef.

The next Hiss article that OCD will walk you through is what I have labeled the "notorious Hiss paper from 1975." It has caused quite a bit of an uproar. It's, at this point, arguably controversial. But it's the keystone document upon which OCD built its current UIC program. It was also influential in the Appendix 2 that granted from the
EPA to New Mexico the primacy to regulate underground
 injection and protect water, potable water.

This article also sets OCD standards for 3 addressing disposal, as well as its views on the 4 5 existence of the Hobbs Channel. Through all of the 6 presentation of this paper, there's going to be 7 multiple maps. They're going to be demonstrating 8 where this flow goes, and that's in relation not just 9 about the Hops Channel or the Capitan Reef, it's also going to address where some of these wells at issue 10 11 in this case are located.

The next article is the Rassenfoss article, which came out of an industry journal. It states that water production in the New Mexico portion of the Permian Basin is increasing during oil recovery processes. For example, the Delaware Basin experienced water cuts of 80 to 90 percent during production operations.

19 Next we'll shift to articles by
20 Mr. Lewis Land, who, interestingly, posits that the
21 reef is already too brackish for human consumption.
22 There's also an additional article to
23 that from 1984, the proposes the reef, because of
24 brackish, should be an exempt aquifer, based on the
25 Hiss documents.

1 Now, I'm going to point out something 2 One of the things that you will witness and here. 3 that OCD will present is there is a significant amount of conflicting authority at this point. And I 4 5 use authority from a legal sense. But I'll say more in a scientific sense, it's influential or 6 informative. 7 8 There's also another article by Land that maintains the reef is isolated from the Hobbs 9 Channel, but also notes again that there are rising 10 11 water levels being shown out of production. 12 The last, and I'll call them expert 13 articles, is from the Texas Water Development Board. I believe the commission is likely aware that Texas 14 15 has a very different view on how it regulates oil and 16 gas production, so, again, we're going to have a 17 contrasting, differing, distinct view. 18 This document shows that the rising 19 water level issue in the Permian Basin does exist, 20 but acknowledges there may be a hydraulic connection 21 between the reef and the San Andres. Once we've gone 22 through all this and Mr. Goetze has provided his 23 input on it, which is, it appears like there's 24 something going on, but we don't really know what it 25 is, and the solution, again, to that is to get an

investigation and monitoring program into place, OCD
 will walk you through OCD's analysis of the matter
 through the lens of some of the cases that now form
 the main case before you.

5 Some of these will involve documents 6 going back to 1954 reports that were submitted to OCD 7 per regulations and requirements, and will extend all 8 the way through 2022.

9 As noted, these are early filings, at a 10 time when, well, the unit didn't exist, but there had 11 been some pooling that had gone on, but, again, it'll 12 work up all the way past the unitization up to 13 effectively today.

OCD is also going to provide a selection 14 15 of its communications with various state and federal 16 regulations concerning protection from the Capitan 17 Reef. These documents address historical water level changes in the reef. It also reflects OCD's 18 19 investments in injection impact on the reef, which has, in OCD's view, largely validated OCD's concerns 20 21 as they've arisen through this case.

Talking about what will happen with a program, OCD can't speculate, won't speculate as to what it's going to learn. That's the whole purpose of having the program implemented. But what OCD can

1	speak to is going to be the consequences,
2	potentially, of a lack of a program.
3	OCD will present a chronology of what
4	happens to a state, in this case, the State of
5	California, that fails to protect drinking water by
6	allowing oil and gas operators to tap into a
7	protected aquifer, in violation of the Safe Drinking
8	Water Act. Spoiler alert, it goes very badly for
9	California.
10	Further consequences, though, more
11	specific to New Mexico if OCD does not obtain
12	direction and implement its program. And, again,
13	you'll hear this from Mr. Goetze. First will be
14	exemption of the Capitan Reef Aquifer. Now, that has
15	a special meaning under the Safe Drinking Water Act,
16	which we'll walk you through that. That means that
17	the aquifer may be used for oil and gas operations,
18	which is not currently the case. The other corollary
19	of that is there's a loss of potentially potable
20	drinking water source in southeast New Mexico.
21	On top of all that, violations of the
22	Safe Drinking Water Act include a whole panoply of
23	potential consequences, but a primary concern to OCD
24	is it would result in the OCD underground injection
25	control group being directly supervised by the EPA

out of Washington, DC. OCD would lose all local
 control of the program. This also would come along
 with increased and onerous reporting requirements
 that would already delay OCD action and paperwork.

5 And then, finally, the reputational 6 black eye for New Mexico that New Mexico managed to 7 end up with an exempted aquifer that, theoretically, 8 at this point, could have been drinking water in a 9 rather arid and water devoid location in the state.

Turning to the opposing evidence, the opinions from the -- from Empire and Goodnight at this point are just another opinion on top of another opinion on top of another opinion on top of another opinion.

15 The solution to that is to resolve all 16 doubt and to allow OCD to pursue its investigation 17 and monitoring program. Just through the documents I've walked through today, there is no consensus or 18 19 generally accepted understanding of the overall situation involving the Capitan Reef in relation to 20 21 the Hobbs Channel, the San Andres and the Permian 22 Basin.

OCD's proposed project should provide
insight and clarification on that and, hopefully,
drive towards a generally accepted understanding.

1 OCD is well positioned to take up the responsibility 2 of working towards that consensus or generally accepted understanding through its proposed plan. 3 4 So what is the proposed plan? On a 5 surface level, OCD wants to institute this program to 6 determine the hydrologic relationship between the Capitan Reef and the Hobbs Channel, determine any 7 8 impacts to water quality if commingling does exist between disposal fluids injected in the San Andres 9 and the Capitan Reef, and then, finally, characterize 10 11 the Capitan Reef in this area to determine the 12 current status as protectable, with the intent of 13 either establishing a monitoring plan for continued management, which would be continued protection, or 14 15 as an underground source of drinking water, or 16 considering the possibility for aquifer exemption for the portion of the Capitan Reef from the Hobbs 17 18 Channel to the New Mexico state line. 19 During the hearing, Mr. Goetze will go into the minutia and the detail on this plan, just 20 21 exactly what OCD seeks to require of operators. OCD 22 is aware that these sorts of plans are certainly not 23 popular, but in this instance, the loss of UIC 24 privacy would probably border on the catastrophic and

25

Page 114

it would reduce OCD's ability to continue protecting

1 underground sources of drinking water locally. 2 OCD looks forward to seeing everybody 3 next week and is eager to put Mr. Goetze on the stand to help provide more support for OCD's proposed 4 5 investigation and monitoring plan. 6 Thank you for your time today, 7 Commissioners and Hearing Officer. 8 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you, 9 Mr. Moander. I assume that you have Empire's 10 agreement to your proposed monitoring program and 11 they agree to split the cost of it? 12 MR. MOANDER: No, Mr. Hearing Officer. 13 Unfortunately, that is not in the cards in this case. But I appreciate your optimism that settlement 14 15 negotiation is ever ripe and evergreen. HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right. Well, 16 17 hope does spring eternal, even in these proceedings. All right. I'm thinking we don't need 18 19 this afternoon then. And is there is there other 20 item of business or anything else. 21 CHAIR ROZATOS: I think Mr. Rankin --MR. BECK: I think that we and Pilot have 22 23 brief opening statements. 24 Okay. So what's HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: 25 the commission's preference? Would you like to hear Page 115

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1 those now or adjourn and come back after lunch? We 2 have the luxury of the entire afternoon if we want it. 3 4 CHAIR ROZATOS: Mr. Beck and Mr. Suazo, how 5 long do you think yours are going to take? 6 MR. SUAZO: Mine will take five to ten 7 minutes, at most. 8 CHAIR ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Beck. 9 MR. BECK: Same. CHAIR ROZATOS: I say we kind of plow 10 11 through this and we then are done. Does that sound 12 okay with the commissioners? 13 Mr. Hearing Officer, is that okay with 14 you. 15 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: I defer to the 16 commission on all matters of any importance 17 whatsoever. So you guys want to flip a coin, or you 18 know who's going first. 19 MR. BECK: I defer to my friend, Mr. Suazo. MR. SUAZO: I'm glad to start. 20 21 All right. Good morning, Commissioners, 22 Mr. Chair, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Miguel Suazo on 23 behalf of Pilot Water Solutions, with the law firm 24 Beatty & Wozniak. 25 Pilot appreciates the opportunity to be Page 116

in part of this case on behalf of Pilot, and largely
Pilot's in support of Goodnight's position. Pilot
feels that this case presents a fundamental issue of
regulatory integrity, geologic reality and the fair
administration of longstanding orders governing the
Eunice Monument South, EMSU.

Now, Pilot has a small interest in the
EMSU and operates only a small, marginal well, as
Mr. Rankin mentioned, the P-15. But Pilot has
significant operations in the surrounding area that
would be impacted by a decision in favor of Empire in
this case.

Now Pilot's team has reviewed the evidence in this case and adamantly opposes the claims that injection into the San Andres migrates to the Hobbs Channel and then migrates to the Capitan Reef.

18 Pilot believes that the facts and 19 science are clear and that there's a lot of fundamental flaws in Empire's analysis and that the 20 21 San Andres Formation should be moved from that scope. The San Andres is not and has never been 22 23 a commercially viable hydrocarbon reservoir within 24 For more than 60 years, it has been the EMSU. 25 designated by OCD as a water management zone, a

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

formation for produced water disposal and reliable water supply for secondary recovery operations. The inclusion of the San Andres and the EMSU unitized interval was, we believe, and agree with Goodnight, a historical error, and one that must be corrected to reflect the true geologic and regulatory framework.

Now, there are lots of implications for a decision in favor of Empire in this case. As Mr. Rankin mentioned there's over 60 SWDs just in the vicinity, and there are a lot of operators that would be affected, as with the entire oil and gas industry.

12 Pilot believes that the San Andres is 13 geologically distinct from the Capitan Reef complex. And Goodnight's stratigraphic analysis confirms that 14 15 the San Andres Formation is not stratigraphically or 16 temporally equivalent to the Capitan Reef. The 17 Capitan Reef is laterally separated from the 18 San Andres by more than two miles, eliminating any 19 possibility of contamination. And OCD has repeatedly approved Goodnight's disposal wells in the San Andres 20 21 under this understanding, most recently in March of 2023. 22

Again, Pilot takes a position that this is not an oil-bearing reservoir in this region, so Empire's claims of waste and correlative rights

1	violations are essentially baseless. The Capitan
2	Reef does not play a significant role in domestic
3	water supplies in Lea County, as the primary
4	municipal water source is the Ogallala Aquifer.
5	The Capitan Reef is highly saline, with
б	a total TDS of over 10,000 milligrams per liter.
7	Pilot believes that Empire's claims are
8	based on misinterpretation and speculation. They
9	claim that the San Andres contains a residual oil
10	zone of commercially recoverable hydrocarbons. Pilot
11	does not believe that's the case.
12	They also claim that Goodnight's
13	injection wells are watering out the Grayburg
14	production and impairing secondary recovery.
15	Empire's ROZ argument is unsupported by the reliable
16	data and contradicts historical production records,
17	Mr. Rankin mentioned in his opening and as his
18	evidence will show in this case.
19	Now, it's important that operators in
20	this area have regulatory stability, and that's where
21	the role of the commission comes in. Beyond the
22	geological and technical facts, this case carries
23	significant regulatory implications. And OCD is
24	rightly concerned that amending decades' old orders
25	could destabilize established regulatory frameworks.

,	
1	And Pilot is sensitive to OCD's assertion about the
2	UIC program, however, we think that the facts and
3	evidence in this case, you know, does not warrant
4	those concerns.
5	So in conclusion, the evidence
6	overwhelmingly we believe supports Goodnight's
7	position and we respectfully request that the
8	commission recognize the fundamental flaws in
9	Empire's claims and reject its efforts to rewrite the
10	history of this area and grant the release on
11	Goodnight. Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you,
13	Mr. Suazo. I didn't mean to overlook you or Rice
14	Operating, so appreciate that.
15	MR. SUAZO: No problem.
16	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Beck, I'll
17	turn it over to you for Rice Operating.
18	MR. BECK: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, Hearing
19	Officer, Rice Operating Company and Permian Line
20	Service, LLC, want to state their position. They
21	want to underscore the OCD's concern for
22	institutional stability. All of that supports
23	denying Empire's applications to revoke Goodnight's
24	valid injection permits in these hearings.
25	You heard a little bit about Rice and
	Page 120

1 the Permian from Mr. Rankin. Rice began operating in 2 New Mexico in the 1950s. It currently operates three saltwater disposal systems, including the Eunice 3 Monument, EMont, EME Saltwater Disposal System. 4 5 The EM articles of agreement were executed in June 1958, almost 67 years ago. Gulf oil 6 7 Corporation, Empire's predecessor in interest, was an 8 original party to the articles of agreement for the 9 EME SWD system. In addition, over the last 60 years Rice has operated as many as nine saltwater disposal 10 11 systems in and around that area. 12 Permian Line Service was established in 13 Its predecessor in interest began in the early 2013. 1980s as a line servicer and roustabout company 14 15 primarily for Rice. 16 In addition, Permian Line Service 17 operates the N-11 well in the EMSU and the L-21. All 18 of Rice's and Permian Line Service's wells inject 19 into the San Andres what you heard referred to as the 20 Goodnight disposal zone. They all inject on vacuum 21 only. 22 Rice has operated wells including wells 23 dating back to the 1950s, including in the EME system 24 in the EMSU, as you heard. 25 This hearing raises concerns not only

1 for the commission, not only for the OCD, not only 2 for Empire and Goodnight, not only for the interveners Rice, Permian and Pilot. As the Division 3 points out in its direct testimony from Deputy 4 5 Director Powell, and I'll quote, "This action does, 6 however, have the potential to have not only regional 7 effects, but also more profound, far-reaching 8 consequences."

9 He goes on to point out that the OCD 10 would specifically point out, through the respective 11 applications, one of the remedies sought is adverse 12 actions regarding previously issued OCD, orders 13 pertinent to the operator's injection authority.

14 Deputy Director Powell wishes to convey 15 the importance of only doing so with an abundance of 16 caution and offers the following in support, that 17 when operators apply for OCD permits to inject, they do so through rules promulgated by the OCC. 18 Ιt 19 should be recognized that the rules promulgated by the OCC now allow an offset operator with concerns 20 21 the opportunity to contest a permit prior to 22 issuance.

Empire's predecessors and interest never did that, and as I mentioned Gulf Oil Corporation was part of the EME system, the same EME system in which

Page 122

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 Empire remains a party today.

1

2	A result of such permit approval leads
3	operators to invest money, time, equipment costs and
4	other expenses in both preparing to apply for the
5	permit, but also afterwards in the actual process of
6	implementing the permit by preparing a well site,
7	drilling a well and then subsequent injection. In
8	essence, operators rely on the injection permit as
9	the bedrock for the operator's investment in a given
10	well or wells.
11	The oil and gas industry is built on
12	nearly a century of regulations and statutes executed
13	through permits and orders, permits and orders that
14	were validly issued to Goodnight, which Empire now
15	seeks to revoke.
16	Direct testimony of Deputy Director
17	Powell goes on to say it is in the interest of OCD
18	and, therefore, New Mexicans and the regulated
19	community, for OCC to build and maintain a stable and
20	reliable regulatory structure that yields industry
21	compliance with OCD regulations and statutes. Such
22	consistency reduces uncertainty for operators working
23	in a dynamic interest industry. A dependable
24	regulatory regime likewise allows for operators to
25	act efficiently, which in turn generates tax revenues

for the state. Therefore, the risks of adversely
 affecting existing orders are significant and should
 only be done with cautions and only if there is an
 abundance of evidence.

5 OCD's position in this case is that the 6 burden lies with the operator moving for that adverse 7 modification to demonstrate to the commission the 8 level of information to meet such a threshold to 9 justify an order of modification. That threshold to 10 justify the order of modification is an abundance of 11 evidence.

This represents an existential threat to 12 13 the regulated industry's efficient operations. Empire has not and cannot meet that significant 14 15 threshold of an abundance of evidence to warrant 16 revocation of validly issued permits, permits which 17 had the ability and the opportunity itself and through its predecessor and interest to object to, 18 19 but as you heard from Mr. Rankin, it did not.

As you heard from the OCD, all operators in this area recognize that the San Andres is a commercially viable disposal target for permit operations throughout the area.

We all know disposal water is anecessary byproduct of oil and gas production. All

Page 124

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 parties here commercially disposed in the San Andres, 2 Goodnight, Rice, Permian, Pilot and even Empire. 3 In the OCD's prehearing statement, UIC Manager Philip Goetze says that he reported to the 4 5 EPA in 2020 that, quote, the industry is still interested in using the San Andres as a disposal 6 7 zone. 8 There's additional support for denying 9 Empire's applications found in the OCD's response to Goodnight's motion for partial summary judgment on 10 11 the EMSU unitization orders. The OCD points out it's 12 recognized facing relief-affecting validly issued 13 orders, there are legal principles, including stare decisis and laches that weigh in support of 14 15 precluding such relief. 16 In that filing, the OCD said, quote, Goodnight-sought relief will result in regulatory 17 instability and is well past ripe for consideration 18 19 to the point reconsideration would cause a mix of 20 harms. 21 It says that in relation to a 22 unitization order that was entered in 1984, 41 years 23 ago, it says that overturning that would result in 24 regulatory instability as well as entice operators to 25 challenge longstanding unitization and other orders. Page 125

1 The OCD points out that the doctrine of 2 laches should preclude that; that parties should not be permitted to grieve about orders it and other EMSU 3 operators could have addressed long ago. In the 4 5 OCD's words, and I'm sorry I'm not as good at Latin 6 as Mr. Moander is invokes the doctrine of ab assuetis 7 non fit injuria, and luckily right, in that pleading 8 after he says that, he says what it means in English, 9 which I can read, which is no injury is done by things long acquiesced. 10

While Rice and Permian disagrees with the application of that to the 1984 unitization order, it applies here for Rice and Permian specifically, where Rice has had validly issued Division permits to inject for over 60 years into the San Andres, or what you heard referred to as Goodnight's disposal zone.

18 Now, this isn't to stress a parade of 19 horribles or talk about concerns in the abstract. 20 Empire filed applications to revoke Rice's and Permian injection permits, including for the over 21 60-year-old well that Mr. Rankin described to you 22 23 earlier today. As part of these proceedings, the 24 commission stayed those applications. It lifted that 25 stay to dismiss all of those applications, but

1 without prejudice. And it did so because Empire 2 specifically reiterated in those dismissals that it wanted to have the opportunity to refile those cases 3 and seek to invalidate Rice's and Permian's 4 5 longstanding injection permits in the future. 6 So Rice and Permian stand behind 7 Goodnight, and they reiterate the far-reaching 8 implications to the regulated industry and Empire's requested relief here to revoke Goodnight's validly 9 issued injection permits. The commission should not 10 11 grant that relief. 12 To the extent that the commission 13 decides to grant relief, it should do so with these thoughts in mind. And it should do so in a narrowly 14 15 tailored manner, that recognizes the necessary 16 industry reliance on the institution of the 17 commission and the Division, including respecting 18 validly issued Division orders. Thank you. 19 HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Beck. I believe that that concludes all the 20 21 scheduled presentations for today. Is that the understanding of the commission? 22 23 MR. RUBIN: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Members of 24 the Commission, I was considering whether or not I 25 needed to make any initial comments, give any

1 additional advice to the commission.

2	Based upon what I've heard by the very
3	capable attorneys here and by the excellent
4	presentations, I don't really see too much in the way
5	of red herrings. I don't want to get in the way of
6	lunch, but there are, if I may and of course I
7	have the luxury of taking you all to of giving you
8	advice in closed session at the end of this, as well.
9	But if I may, I do have one point that I
10	would like to address to counsel, as it would be
11	helpful for me ultimately in advising the commission,
12	if I may.
13	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Don't let me
14	constrain you on time. We've got all afternoon for
15	lunch. Take the time you need, Mr. Rubin.
16	MR. RUBIN: I just have one comment I think
17	at this point, perhaps two, and then we'll break for
18	lunch.
19	My first issue that occurred to me that
20	might be helpful here, something from the parties, is
21	to the extent that there is an ROZ that's dependent
22	upon CO2 flooding, this goes back to my state
23	engineer days, to the extent that that is there's
24	an impairment argument to be made based upon
25	increased costs to Empire, I am not sure what the

1 impairment standard would be as to what would be more 2 than a de minimus cost, what is the cost that would constitute an impairment of correlative rights. 3 I don't know if I'm going to hear 4 5 testimony on that. We certainly had case law that 6 talks about how it's about variable costs, not fixed And the case law they talked to, that goes to 7 costs. 8 that point. That would be something that might be helpful for me to hear. 9 10 There's been a lot of -- there's been 11 some discussion about laches and delays, and I can 12 tell the parties here that I do have a concern about 13 equitable issues such as laches in what has come 14 before and stability coming into play, when we 15 have -- when this commission has a statutory 16 obligation, which as stated capable by the lawyers, 17 goes to protecting correlative rights, avoiding 18 waste, avoiding drowning, and also the standards that 19 govern produced water injections, as well. 20 Now, of course, like a district court 21 situation, Empire has a lawsuit against Goodnight, and the doctrine of laches in District Court might be 22 23 a good defense to that trespass case. But here, I am 24 concerned that this commission do anything based upon 25 upsetting what came before if it gets in the way of

1	honoring its statutory obligations now.
2	So I think that's probably helpful for
3	the parties to hear, as well. But that's all I have.
4	Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you,
6	Mr. Rubin.
7	Then is there anything further based on
8	Mr. Rubin's statements that the parties would like to
9	respond to or address at this time? I guess it falls
10	into the category of food for thought between now and
11	Monday morning.
12	And if there's nothing further, then
13	Mr. Rozatos, I'll leave it to you to, you know, do
14	the technicalities necessary to bring this meeting to
15	a close, with the understanding that we'll all be
16	back here Monday morning at 9 o'clock. All right?
17	CHAIR ROZATOS: Just like our hearing
18	officer said, we are now dismissed until Monday
19	morning at 9 o'clock.
20	All opening statements were made.
21	Evidentiary hearing will start on Monday, so we'll
22	see you all on Monday. Thank you.
23	(Proceedings adjourned.)
24	
25	
	Page 130

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1	RE: NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
2	
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4	I, PAUL BACA, CCR #112, DO HEREBY CERTIFY
5	that the foregoing transcript was prepared from a
6	provided audio recording, that the audio was reduced
7	to typewritten transcript by Kelli Gallegos, and that
8	the foregoing pages are a true and correct
9	transcription of the recorded proceedings, to the best
10	of our knowledge and hearing ability. The audio
11	quality was FAIR to POOR.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed
13	by nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted
14	by the rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this
15	matter, and that I have no interest whatsoever in the
16	final disposition of this matter.
17	Pour Bacq
18	
	PAUL BACA
19	NEW MEXICO CCR #112
	Commission Expires: 12/31/25
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 131

&	14th 13:13	1965 62:23	2013 121:13
& 7:16,19 15:8	15 27:13 67:5	1966 64:21	2018 67:3,11
15:25 16:12	103:8 117:9	1970 83:19,22	2019 67:13,14
116:24	15,000 59:8	1975 108:21	67:21
	150 48:23	1980s 63:3,5	2020 33:7
1	16 69:1,2	121:14	67:13,17,22,25
1 54:17 101:17	101:14	1983 90:12,21	125:5
1.25 34:9	16th 3:21	1984 32:10	2021 32:21,23
10 14:11 21:8	17 14:20 69:1	49:25 63:25	83:24
41:8	101:14	90:5,8 109:23	2022 67:25
10,000 119:6	1747 51:19	125:22 126:12	70:1 111:8
100 33:3 79:22	17th 3:21	1986 44:17	2023 70:23
93:16 97:22	18 47:10 84:1	51:21 74:17	84:3 118:22
100,000 82:24	101:14	1987 65:12	2024 8:17,19
104 46:18 47:5	18th 8:18	1996 85:12	55:2 65:17
10:22 57:19	19 31:23 83:9	86:21 88:2	71:9
10:35 57:21	19.15.16 4:11	1998 86:7	2025 1:14 3:12
11 67:9 90:10	19.15.2 4:11	1st 1:5 33:7	3:22 33:7
90:18 91:9	7:5	2	34:12
121:17	19.15.25 4:11	2 47:11,17	20th 13:4,5,6
11/20 67:5	7:6	107:6 108:25	13:22 14:7
112 131:4,19	19.15.4 4:11	20 1:14 3:12	21 64:25
11th 5:12,21	19.15.7 4:11	46:9 47:4 92:1	121:17
6:12,15	19.15.8 7:5	92:12,14,18	211 51:22
12 21:8	19.15.9 7:6	95:16,22 96:6	21st 13:14
12/31/25	1929 32:10	97:22	22 19:13
131:19	1930s 42:15	20,000 41:7	23 59:20 83:6
1200 97:14	44:17 85:3	20,000 41.7 200 53:4,13,15	84:4
1220 1:6	1950s 63:24	64:15 78:14	23.7 90:16
124 47:2	121:2,23	89:5	23580 4:9
1245 51:20	1952 65:7	200,000 54:19	23614 14:20
126 34:14	1954 111:6	83:15	59:13
12th 5:13,22	1958 121:6	2000 87:15	23617 59:14
9:3	1960 64:6	2000 87.15 2004 32:20,21	23775 14:21
14 90:6,9		2007 <i>32.20,21</i>	58:20

[23rd - accumulations]

23rd 8:19	4,252 46:10	672 46:13,25	able 6:20 13:7
24 83:6 84:3,4	40 31:16 75:7	679 45:19 46:7	21:17 25:18,22
24,000 81:17	90:18 92:1	49:21 76:10	80:17
82:23 83:1	40,000 58:22	7	abnormal
24018 14:21	400 91:8	7 54:17 96:11	84:14
24020 14:21	41 125:22	97:21	above 34:22
24025 14:22	42 106:4,7	70,000 82:8,10	45:6 61:20
24123 2:22	43 65:2	82:25	63:20 74:5
14:20 59:18	430 51:19	70-2-12.b	75:21 77:14
24683 6:25	5		78:7 79:25
24th 8:16 15:1		106:16	85:25 86:1
25 32:17 90:5,6	50,000 42:19	700 96:10	88:13 89:6,17
25,000 58:22	500 79:15 81:5	97:11	91:6 95:16
250 46:6	81:10 89:5	70s 108:6	96:6,9 100:16
28 3:12 51:20	50s 65:7	720,000 81:19	102:13
28813 131:18	511 54:20	8	absence 70:4
28th 9:5 15:2	522 45:21	80 109:17	absentia 58:9
292 54:20	548 46:14 47:1	850 101:17	absolutely 10:5
3	58 31:22	9	abstract 126:19
_	- 6	9 130:16,19	abundance
30 11:13,14	60 11:13 64:18	,	122:15 124:4
12:12,14 90:15			
200 06.16	66:2 117:24	90 66:4 109:17	124:10,15
300 96:16		900 68:22	124:10,15 accept 103:16
97:10	66:2 117:24	900 68:22 90s 66:5	accept 103:16
97:10 32 46:9,22	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9	90068:2290s66:59:0028:11,15	accept 103:16 accepted
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22	900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8	90068:2290s66:59:0028:11,15	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14	900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17 370 97:5	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14 64.2 32:14 90:3	900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16 a	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate 58:25
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17 370 97:5 380 100:21	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14 64.2 32:14 90:3 652 46:11 96:5	<pre>900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16 a ab 126:6</pre>	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate 58:25 accomplish
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17 370 97:5	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14 64.2 32:14 90:3 652 46:11 96:5 96:8	<pre>900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16 a ab 126:6 abbreviated</pre>	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate 58:25 accomplish 105:13 107:5
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17 370 97:5 380 100:21	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14 64.2 32:14 90:3 652 46:11 96:5 96:8 658 48:14 50:18	<pre>900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16 a a ab 126:6 abbreviated 103:9</pre>	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate 58:25 accomplish 105:13 107:5 account 81:19
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17 370 97:5 380 100:21 3rd 3:22	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14 64.2 32:14 90:3 652 46:11 96:5 96:8 658 48:14 50:18 660 48:14,21	 900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16 a ab 126:6 abbreviated 103:9 abbreviations 	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate 58:25 accomplish 105:13 107:5 account 81:19 accumulations
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17 370 97:5 380 100:21 3rd 3:22 4 4 42:17 45:20	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14 64.2 32:14 90:3 652 46:11 96:5 96:8 658 48:14 50:18 660 48:14,21 50:19	 900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16 a ab 126:6 abbreviated 103:9 abbreviations 29:3 	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate 58:25 accomplish 105:13 107:5 account 81:19 accumulations 60:18 61:13
97:10 32 46:9,22 33 64:15 350 12:6 75:5 89:4,17 370 97:5 380 100:21 3rd 3:22 4	66:2 117:24 118:9 121:9 126:15,22 63 33:8 63.2 90:14 64.2 32:14 90:3 652 46:11 96:5 96:8 658 48:14 50:18 660 48:14,21	 900 68:22 90s 66:5 9:00 28:11,15 28:16 a ab 126:6 abbreviated 103:9 abbreviations 29:3 ability 34:18 	accept 103:16 accepted 113:19,25 114:3 accommodate 58:25 accomplish 105:13 107:5 account 81:19 accumulations

[accurate - albuquerque]

	I	1	
accurate 78:5,7	45:25 47:1,24	addressed	affirmative
81:25	51:16 82:3	17:13 37:14	38:8
accurately	83:3 84:19	45:16 53:9	affirmed 46:2
81:14	123:5	126:4	afternoon
acknowledge	actually 24:7	addresses	28:20 115:19
108:6	57:1 62:20	56:12 85:13	116:2 128:14
acknowledges	64:23 65:14	addressing	age 30:4
110:20	72:25 74:22	18:4 109:4	aged 108:7
acquiesced	77:1,20 78:8	adjourn 116:1	agencies 29:24
126:10	82:24 84:22	adjourned	agenda 3:10,12
acquired 32:20	91:19 104:13	130:23	3:17 22:21,24
32:22,24 83:24	adam 4:20 15:7	adjusted 68:25	agendas 3:9
acquisition	adamantly	adjustments	agnostic 78:23
38:17	117:14	69:2	ago 31:16
acronyms 29:3	add 12:3 30:16	administration	44:13 121:6
29:9	addition 31:25	117:5	125:23 126:4
act 35:12,14	36:4 60:5	administrative	agree 26:25
102:4 105:1	121:9,16	4:12 7:6 36:19	27:9 28:1
106:23,24	additional 10:6	admits 51:1	36:19 94:17
107:3,8,14	11:4,21 31:10	admitted 70:20	115:11 118:4
112:8,15,22	32:1,14 34:4,8	adopted 92:4	agreed 19:24
123:25	53:9 54:13	advance 9:20	24:2 27:13
acted 66:20	57:14 65:5,8	17:12	70:8
71:11	67:3 76:16,25	advanced 62:9	agreement 9:12
acting 2:5,14	79:24 89:18	adverse 122:11	28:4 72:1
93:3	90:9 101:14	124:6	115:10 121:5,8
action 113:4	102:5 109:22	adversely	agrees 104:13
122:5	125:8 128:1	34:18 124:1	agu 32:6 41:15
actions 122:12	address 4:10	advice 128:1,8	42:12 55:11
active 38:20	37:24 39:14	advising	76:18
39:23 65:7,14	41:13 49:17	128:11	ahead 4:25
actively 64:2	56:4 109:10	aerially 90:24	12:15 13:9
activities 37:21	111:17 128:10	affected 118:11	18:18
actual 14:25	130:9	affecting 83:16	albuquerque
20:7 36:15		124:2 125:12	29:25

[alert - apodaca]

	1		
alert 112:8	49:21 55:22	51:25 52:5,9	96:20 97:14,16
align 98:21	69:22 71:12,14	52:10,13 53:1	98:13,17,18,22
alleged 73:23	71:18,20 72:9	53:7 54:17,20	99:5,7,10,12,20
76:6	72:13,20,23	54:22 55:4,7	99:22 100:8
allegedly 81:23	73:14 74:10	55:11,19,20,22	101:4,18
alliance 7:5	76:8,12 78:18	55:23,24 56:2	104:11 105:7
allotments	78:23 79:1,14	56:8,19,20	106:12 107:18
19:22 22:13	79:18 91:10	57:9 58:15	110:21 113:21
allow 39:5 54:5	97:12 102:7,18	59:13 60:17,25	114:9 117:15
113:16 122:20	111:2 117:20	61:2,8 62:17	117:21,22
allowed 65:24	118:14	62:24 63:4,7	118:3,12,15,18
allowing 93:1	analyst 39:10	63:10,17,20,22	118:20 119:9
112:6	andre 58:20	64:2,4 65:15	121:19 124:21
allows 123:24	60:4	66:4,7,11,13,18	125:1,6 126:16
alternating	andres 31:12	67:20 68:6,7	angle 98:20
89:24	31:17 32:12	68:17,21 69:5	ann 8:3
ambush 69:25	33:1,6 34:6,20	69:14 70:5,15	announcement
70:19	34:21 35:4	72:24 73:7,21	70:11
amend 4:9 7:5	39:1,2,3,4,6,8	73:24 74:3,14	answer 80:24
amending	39:13,21,25	74:15,20 75:4	84:25 102:9,10
119:24	40:1,2,6,7,9,10	75:6,12,17,20	anticipate
amendments	40:12,20,23	75:22 76:7	17:23
4:10	42:12,14,17,21	77:11 78:1,14	anticipated
amerada 45:22	43:3,4,9,16,19	78:16,25 79:5	12:18
amount 20:21	43:25 44:4,10	79:11,18 80:6	anticipating
27:17 34:2,12	44:11,14,21,22	80:14,16 81:16	8:24 18:1
82:13 110:4	44:24 45:3,10	81:17,24 82:22	103:8
ample 89:11	45:11,14,17,21	83:1 84:21	anybody 4:23
ampomah 1:22	46:5,10,12,14	85:8,15,17	8:1,11,13
3:4,5,14,25	46:16,18,25	86:4 87:4,8,13	30:24
58:10	47:12 48:1,9	88:2,4,6,23	anymore
analyses 71:10	48:10,16,16,24	92:9 93:3,9,16	101:25
analysis 36:11	49:1,20,23	93:18 94:6,7,9	anyway 30:8
39:25 42:3	50:3,5,18,24	94:20,22,23	apodaca 5:9,14
45:24 47:8	51:9,14,18,22	95:2,6,21	13:4

[apologize - assumptions]

apologize 23:21	29:10 99:14	aquifer 55:15	109:12,13,22
24:8 30:23	122:17 123:4	61:9,12 62:25	110:8
apparent 71:3	appreciate	68:8 91:8	articles 109:19
73:4,20	26:13 27:23	105:2 107:11	110:13 121:5,8
apparently	115:14 120:14	109:24 112:7	aside 2:21 75:8
85:16	appreciates	112:14,17	asked 47:13
appear 25:20	116:25	113:7 114:16	72:11 87:20
appearing 4:20	approach 59:3	119:4	98:11 102:18
7:19 8:3 15:9	69:24 79:6,11	aquifers 63:15	asking 3:11
15:13,16,20,25	81:7	area 33:20 34:2	aspects 36:13
55:20	approval 3:9	43:5 45:6,18	assertion 120:1
appears 73:19	3:11 57:14	48:10 49:14	assess 10:18
110:23	58:12 59:12	59:5 62:18,25	105:6
appendix 107:6	123:2	64:5 65:9,10	assessment
108:25	approvals	66:5 85:24	53:10 54:7
applicable 35:8	66:21	88:10 95:5	89:12
107:18	approve 3:20	101:5,12,19	assessments
applicant 69:11	66:1 103:1	114:11 117:10	106:8
application 4:9	approved 3:18	119:20 120:10	assistance
6:25 36:22,25	3:19 4:5,6	121:11 124:21	105:12
47:19 67:21	32:11 63:2	124:23	assistant 2:18
126:12	64:2,21 65:5	areas 55:10,13	assisting 16:12
applications	66:4,19 67:2	56:18 68:11,13	association
37:5,11 57:12	67:16,21,25	80:11	4:21 7:20 8:4
57:14 60:7	90:2 118:20	arguably	10:20,25
62:12 66:22	approving	108:22	assuetis 126:6
67:12,23 68:3	31:15 68:5	argued 37:9	assume 13:14
69:18 103:1	approximately	106:19	22:13 28:4
120:23 122:11	19:13 27:13	argument 36:1	115:9
125:9 126:20	31:16 32:17	37:18 119:15	assuming 21:6
126:24,25	33:3,8 34:5,9	128:24	28:11,19
applies 26:3	73:10 75:5	arid 113:9	assumption
126:13	89:4 90:5	arisen 111:21	81:13
apply 26:20	april 8:18 9:7	article 108:3,12	assumptions
27:10 28:5	13:17,17 51:21	108:19 109:3	72:20 77:9,16

[assumptions - beck]

	1	1	1
77:25 78:2	97:21	banned 65:20	45:13,25 50:2
astronomical	avoid 35:1	65:24	66:17 71:15,18
106:9	46:16	barium 52:11	72:22 78:18
attached 86:6	avoiding	52:12	79:1,2 96:17
attempt 43:11	129:17,18	barrel 83:15	97:2,23,25
attempted 81:4	aware 24:6	100:23	108:13 109:24
attempting	80:7 98:11	barrels 32:14	119:8 128:2,24
107:5	110:14 114:22	32:17 33:8	129:24 130:7
attempts 75:10	aye 3:16 4:3	34:15 41:7	baseless 119:1
attention 14:15	b	42:18,19 54:18	basically 29:18
attorney's 11:8	b 32:5 41:15	54:19 58:22,23	30:4
attorneys 128:3	42:12 45:23	59:8 64:19	basin 41:20
131:14	46:9,22	65:2 68:23	63:22 68:12
audio 131:6,6	baca 131:4,18	75:6 81:17,19	93:1 94:24
131:10	back 9:11	81:22 82:8,10	97:19 99:2,8
august 71:8	13:19 15:22	82:23,24 83:9	99:18 101:9
author 86:12	24:16 27:12	83:13 90:3,5,6	109:15,16
108:2	30:20 54:8	90:7,9,11,15,17	110:19 113:22
authority 38:24	56:21 57:20	90:18 100:22	basis 96:18
60:8 63:14	59:20 63:2,5	101:15,17	baylen 2:24 3:1
69:12 106:17	65:6 70:2	106:3,4,5	bear 23:13
106:20 110:4,5	83:19,22 90:21	barrier 40:2	bearing 74:9
122:13	94:4 98:19	51:8 52:1,4,15	118:24
authorization	103:15 111:6	53:4,13,15	bears 36:21,23
58:13 105:5	116:1 121:23	60:23 74:5	37:1,4,10
authorized	128:22 130:16	77:3,14 86:1	beat 12:21
66:21	background	98:6 100:12,15	beatty 7:19
authors 85:16	31:9 32:9	barriers 54:1,4	15:25 116:24
availability	62:15,17	base 76:13	beck 16:6,6,9
25:14	backup 76:21	92:11 95:16	18:18,19 25:4
available 6:4	badly 112:8	96:8,14 97:16	25:5 115:22
10:4 49:14	l i	98:4,8	116:4,8,9,19
108:8	bailey 40:3 balance 87:1	based 13:13	120:16,18
average 59:8		20:22 28:8	127:20
83:7 91:9	banks 67:24	29:14 40:12	

[bedrock - calls]

bedrock 123:9	bigger 70:24	103:16 128:17	business 17:24
began 33:5	bill 98:25	breakthrough	115:20
44:16 121:1,13	billion 101:17	45:8 87:10	button 16:23
beginning	birkhead 40:14	breccia 54:14	byproduct
71:17 99:25	bit 10:3 30:1	brief 31:8	124:25
begins 45:2	53:23 57:21	106:22 115:23	с
behalf 4:20 5:1	58:6 62:14	bring 69:17	c 46:3 47:10
7:19,22 8:3	105:23 108:21	103:13,15	c.a.r.e. 7:3
15:9,13,16,20	120:25	130:14	calcium 55:3
16:1,6 31:5	black 113:6	bringing 36:22	calculate 83:8
116:23 117:1	bloom 1:21	broader 98:20	calculated 80:3
believe 5:19,19	2:17,18 3:13	broadly 36:6	101:16
13:16 17:5	3:24 13:9,10	brochures	calculating
20:3 22:17	58:9	70:18 97:8	79:21,22
25:24 26:13,14	blue 75:16	broggi 16:11	calculation
26:19 59:20	board 110:13	16:15	78:16
96:19 105:19	boat 30:5,6	brownfield	calculations
110:14 118:4	bob 40:25	41:7	77:17,24 78:12
119:11 120:6	border 114:24	brownfields	78:15,21 79:25
127:20	bottom 76:12	41:4	87:2
believes 108:7	80:5 85:22	buchwalter	calendar 5:20
117:18 118:12	bought 66:14	42:9 81:2	13:13 23:1
119:7	68:24	82:15,20 83:12	calibrating
bell 45:19	bound 93:24	buchwalter's	73:5
49:22	boundaries	52:17	california
beneath 41:3	58:16 64:20,23	build 123:19	112:5,9
46:6	boundary 32:5	building 1:5	call 2:13 4:1
beneficial 63:1	64:16 98:5,9	builds 44:24,25	74:3,7 92:14
benefit 28:25	98:12	built 68:11	92:19 110:12
best 29:4 108:8	brackish	108:24 123:11	called 58:19
131:9	109:21,24	burden 35:8	79:4 81:6
beyond 22:7	break 14:12	36:18,21,23	107:1,6
119:21	19:9 21:13	37:1,4,10	calling 105:16
big 70:7 84:5	28:12,17,20	69:17 124:6	calls 73:24
94:18	30:24 57:20,21		76:13
			/0.13

[cap - changes]

cap 87:11	23:11,12 24:5	caught 30:20	4:18,22 5:2,11
capable 35:17	25:18 26:21	cause 54:25	5:16,23,24 6:1
60:20 61:16	27:1,24 29:16	55:16 59:25	6:5,8 7:13,14
63:8 128:3	38:8 45:25	60:12 89:23	7:17,21,24 8:6
129:16	58:20 59:13,18	102:3 125:19	8:9 9:13,16,17
capacity 101:7	59:18 64:18	caused 44:13	10:14,15 11:15
101:10	65:1 67:7 70:2	51:25 86:20	12:1,13,16,20
capital 36:11	74:23 80:2	108:21	12:24 13:5,10
capitan 56:5,14	85:7 87:17	causes 31:18	13:16 14:14
56:25 61:3	90:13,14,16,20	54:11,13 55:2	15:11,14,18,21
104:12,20,24	91:20 101:2	causing 55:6	16:2,5,9,10,14
105:2,8 108:18	109:11 111:4	56:22 61:14	18:25 20:5
109:9 111:16	111:21 112:4	69:8,9	21:19 22:1,23
112:14 113:20	112:18 115:13	caution 122:16	23:20 24:13,19
114:7,10,11,17	117:1,3,12,14	cautions 124:3	24:21,24 25:2
117:16 118:13	118:8 119:11	ccr 131:4,19	25:4,6 28:14
118:16,17	119:18,22	cell 30:18	28:18,21 30:19
119:1,5	120:3 124:5	center 7:1,10	102:24 103:21
carbonate	129:5,7,23	7:12 8:13	103:25 115:21
39:15 43:15	cases 4:8 14:9	central 99:2,8	116:4,8,10,22
61:25 90:22	14:17 15:10	99:18	120:18 130:17
91:4	16:13 26:4,8	century 123:12	chairman 15:6
cards 115:13	26:15 29:4	ceremonies	58:8
care 30:7	31:9 32:7	30:2	challenge
carefully 20:11	58:11 59:11	certain 77:9	125:25
76:23	60:6 70:22,22	79:4	chance 10:22
caring 7:1	71:4 74:4,10	certainly	23:17 91:13
carries 119:22	75:23 111:3	114:22 129:5	change 14:10
carry 62:5,8	127:3	certificate	28:7
100:3	catastrophic	131:3	changed 72:3,3
case 2:22,25	114:24	certify 131:4,12	changes 10:19
4:8,8 6:23,25	category 76:4	cetera 9:23	10:23 11:2,21
6:25 14:5,16	77:6 106:14	chair 1:20 2:2	11:22 12:7
14:17,19,20,20	130:10	2:14 3:7,15,17	79:1 90:23
14:21 20:8,19		3:20 4:1,4,7,16	111:18

[changing - commission]

changing 69:20	circulated 10:8	closed 128:8	comes 29:5
73:14	circulation	closer 11:13	87:2 91:16
channel 99:19	88:18	club 7:5	104:2,5 107:24
104:13,20	citations 48:19	co2 34:23 35:1	119:21
108:14,17	citizens 7:1,4	41:5,9,12,18,24	coming 30:20
109:5,9 110:10	city 29:24	45:2,5,7,7	84:22 87:7,24
113:21 114:7	civil 26:5	50:13 54:22,22	129:14
114:18 117:16	claim 53:22,25	54:22 56:15	commenced
character	68:24 78:17	60:19 69:6	90:8
86:24	80:15,22 83:17	89:13,18,23,24	comment 8:14
characteristics	84:17 88:23	91:3,5,12,13	128:16
99:13	119:9,12	92:15 128:22	comments 6:22
characterizati	claiming 101:1	code 4:12 7:7	14:5 127:25
38:25 86:24	claims 45:13	66:6	commercial
characterize	68:16 69:18,25	cognizant 14:2	41:11 61:13
86:22 105:6	75:3 78:19	coin 116:17	91:12,24,25
114:10	81:1 88:25	cold 30:20	92:15 95:9
charge 86:15	117:15 118:25	collapse 54:14	97:13,18
charged 35:15	119:7 120:9	colleague 16:11	commercially
check 5:20	clarification	collect 57:22	60:19 117:23
chemistry 52:3	113:24	100:18	119:10 124:22
75:8	class 105:22	collection	125:1
chevron 32:20	classifying	108:9	commingling
85:12 86:8,13	107:15	color 67:8,8	114:8
86:20 87:20,22	clear 18:4	coloration 48:9	commission 1:3
chevron's	29:14 62:11	colored 48:6	1:19 2:3,9,14
32:20 86:13	75:18 117:19	53:25	3:8 6:13 7:15
88:1	clearly 46:5	colossal 90:19	8:2,15,17 9:5
chino 1:5	clerk 30:3	column 46:19	9:24 10:10
chloride 108:14	click 16:23	63:19	14:24 15:7
chlorides 52:24	client 11:22	come 5:7 9:10	16:3,18 19:19
chris 15:12	clients 11:22	9:11 13:7,21	20:22 21:11,16
17:17	close 17:24	57:20 113:2	27:19 28:12
chronology	130:15	116:1 129:13	29:1,16 30:10
112:3			30:11,15 32:11

[commission - confident]

25.12 15 26.00	26.00		101.05 100.00
35:13,15 36:22	common 36:20	completed	121:25 122:20
37:15,24 38:18	communicate	87:22	126:19
56:7 57:1 58:8	10:10	completely	concludes
60:9,10,15	communicating	75:8 104:1	52:19 127:20
61:1 63:14,17	102:12	complex 61:24	concluding
71:5,6,23	communication	90:22 91:4	94:21
104:3 110:14	43:2 51:14	118:13	conclusion
116:16 119:21	52:15 55:24	compliance	49:23 51:17
120:8 122:1	74:13,18,25	123:21	57:7 75:10
124:7 126:24	75:15,24 77:13	comply 36:14	94:19 120:5
127:10,12,17	77:20,22 80:11	71:3 102:4	conclusions
127:22,24	80:13 85:23	composed	13:21
128:1,11	101:21	43:14	concur 10:17
129:15,24	communicati	composite 98:5	11:17
131:1,19	111:15	98:9,12	concurring
commission's	community	comprehensive	12:9
4:10,14 5:6	123:19	29:17	conditions 94:1
10:13 29:21	company 16:7	concedes 45:12	conduct 100:17
31:15 36:5	64:17 120:19	50:17	100:18 105:10
115:25	121:14	concentrated	conducted
commissioner	compartment	33:19 44:12	79:15 87:22
2:15,17,18 3:4	90:25	concentration	102:7
3:13,14,24,25	compartment	94:10	confer 9:11
4:19 12:4 13:9	77:22	concentrations	10:22
13:10 58:9,9	compel 71:1	91:6,12,22	conference
commissioners	compelling	concern 21:9	4:13 5:5 7:8
10:16 15:7,15	70:9	22:5 56:4,12	12:19 13:1,6
15:24 31:5	competent	112:23 120:21	13:19,20
102:24 103:25	60:23 61:18	129:12	conferring
104:4 115:7	88:10	concerned 62:6	71:24
116:12,21	complains	119:24 129:24	confidence
120:18	78:13	concerning	91:14
committee	complete 29:25	111:16	confident
90:12	64:10	concerns	103:17
		111:20 120:4	100117

[confirm - core]

	1		
confirm 11:7	4:17 7:2,23	consulting	continues
51:16 52:4	8:22 15:13	38:22 39:10	44:23 66:7
89:13	51:2 131:1	40:3,14 41:25	continuing
confirmed	considerable	42:9	31:10,25 45:4
45:19 47:19	40:11	consumption	continuous
48:1,14,17	consideration	109:21	53:13
98:2	125:18	contact 92:20	contracted
confirms 41:14	considered	92:21 96:23	131:13
52:1,20 53:10	27:1	97:4,4	contradicts
106:11 118:14	considering	contagious	119:16
conflicting	75:5 114:16	30:23	contrary 78:19
110:4	127:24	contains 52:9	contrast 79:20
conflicts 6:3,8	considers 19:5	60:18 91:8	97:11
9:23	consistency	119:9	contrasting
conformance	123:22	contamination	110:17
86:11,20 91:1	consistent	56:25 104:25	contributing
confuse 73:19	73:15	118:19	81:16 104:23
confusion	consolidated	contend 74:25	106:25
75:23 76:3	14:9,17,19	contends 53:4	control 107:2
77:7 78:10	26:15 58:11	88:24	112:25 113:2
conjectural	constant 84:11	contention	controversial
74:14	constantly	50:22	108:23
connected 61:3	75:20	contest 70:1	conveniently
connection	constitute	122:21	103:13
110:20	53:25 129:3	context 62:15	conventional
consecutive	constrain	66:9	46:8
96:6	128:14	continue 9:6	conversation
consensus 13:8	constraints 9:2	21:18 47:23	19:23
13:17 113:18	21:10	71:1 78:10	converted
114:2	constructed	114:25	65:13 67:19
consequences	42:11	continued	converting
71:7 112:1,10	construction	52:21 65:16	69:14
112:23 122:8	36:3	66:19 114:13	convey 122:14
conservation	construed 36:4	114:14	core 41:13
1:3 2:3,6,9			45:19,25 46:8

			1
47:1 49:21	cost 36:11	current 3:9	64:8,19 65:3
52:19 55:21	115:11 129:2,2	82:14 89:6	67:4
62:2 71:16	costlier 69:6	105:21 108:24	dated 20:6,10
73:6 76:10	costs 36:10	114:12	dates 6:18 9:6
79:24 92:10	123:3 128:25	currently 38:20	9:12,14,23
96:3,6,10	129:6,7	44:22 81:16	11:12 13:12,18
97:20	cotton 41:10	105:24 112:18	dating 121:23
cores 46:4	counsel 15:12	121:2	davidson 40:21
corner 64:24	71:24 72:7	cut 48:23	dawson 58:20
corollary	128:10	103:15	60:5 67:24
112:18	count 84:9,9	cuts 109:17	day 28:12 30:4
corporation	county 119:3	cutting 93:11	41:8 42:19
32:19 121:7	course 20:20,25	d	54:19 58:22,23
122:24	28:5 128:6	daily 83:6	59:9 62:5,8
correct 5:13,23	129:20	damage 56:23	71:2 81:17
8:7 20:2,15,16	court 129:20,22	damaging 51:7	82:9,10,14,17
21:19 22:15,17	covid 30:22	54:9,15	82:22,22,23,25
24:1 28:18,21	create 80:23	dana 15:16	100:3
37:11,12 54:7	created 63:25	31:5	days 10:7 11:13
55:18 77:17	66:18	darker 67:8	12:12,15 13:8
131:8	creating 41:24	data 38:3 49:9	19:11,17 20:24
corrected 46:13	creation 38:16	49:10,13,13	21:7,8,8,12,21
118:5	critical 80:9	75:1 77:6	22:7 23:17
correlative	101:7	80:15,19,21	24:7 70:25
31:19 35:14	cross 20:21,25	82:1,3 83:18	128:23
37:4,7 51:8	27:19 39:22	83:19 84:19	dc 113:1
54:10 56:10	42:3 43:24,24	86:5 88:5,22	de 59:18 129:2
57:11 59:25	48:4 53:12,20	89:11 96:3	deadline 71:22
60:12 61:15,21	73:11	100:18 108:7,8	decade 65:8
102:3 118:25	crude 35:22	119:16	decades 63:25
129:3,17	crunch 23:25	date 4:14 5:7	66:21 69:13
corrosion	crustal 55:13		100:21 101:11
54:25 69:9	cumulative	5:11,14 6:15 8:18 10:9 13:3	119:24
85:13 88:1	64:9		december
		13:22 20:8	71:21 72:6,10
		34:17 42:25	

[decide - different]

	1	1	
decide 30:12	definitive 88:9	depending	despite 100:1
37:24 60:9,11	100:20	27:21 89:7	destabilize
60:16 61:2	degree 11:5	depends 20:21	119:25
decided 18:20	62:9	20:25 97:3	destroy 52:22
20:7 24:18	delaware 68:12	depicted 96:22	detail 114:20
35:9 37:13	94:24 101:9	depleted	details 59:14
decides 127:13	109:16	101:11	62:16,23 70:13
decision 29:25	delay 113:4	depletion 51:20	determination
117:11 118:8	delays 129:11	deposition	39:17
decisions 13:22	deliberations	72:12 81:3,7	determinations
60:15	4:14 5:6,7	94:7 96:13	61:24
decisis 125:14	delineating	98:1,15	determine
declared 62:24	107:15	depressurizat	11:19 39:11
63:21	demonstrate	100:21	56:8 89:18
decline 83:21	38:1 124:7	depth 46:4,10	114:6,7,11
84:2,13,14	demonstrates	46:18 79:2,19	determining
decreasing	34:16 46:5,15	80:7 89:10,13	39:14
96:18	48:5 50:19	91:23 96:14,18	detrimentally
dedicating	57:8	97:21	42:6
21:21	demonstrating	depths 75:18	developed 41:1
deemed 106:12	109:7	75:22 76:3,9	97:7
deep 46:16	demonstration	76:20 77:4,10	development
97:11	100:14	77:13,18,25	68:12 95:9
deeper 40:5	denied 57:15	79:13	101:9 110:13
47:14,20,23	59:19	deputy 122:4	devoid 113:9
96:15,20 99:9	deny 103:1	122:14 123:16	devonian 67:20
deepest 92:21	denying 62:12	describe 43:6	diagnose 86:17
defense 129:23	120:23 125:8	described	diagnostic 75:2
defer 116:15,19	department	126:22	difference 74:8
definitely 6:12	105:25 106:11	describes 85:9	83:13,15 88:12
13:23 22:5	depend 27:18	design 86:18	different 9:12
definition	dependable	designated	9:22 10:1
36:15 91:16	123:23	117:25	24:16 26:16
95:7	dependent	designee 2:20	56:15 58:6
	128:21	3:6	71:14,14,15
[different - documented]

72:19,20,21,21	disagrees	60:24 61:10,14	disputed 85:24
73:6,8,12 82:4	126:11	61:19 63:24	85:25
86:9 104:1	disclosed 72:18	64:4,21 65:9	disputes 74:4
105:15 110:15	discovered	65:15 66:4,7	disregards 38:5
differential	32:10 66:15	66:11,12,14,17	dissertation
100:11	discovery 70:3	66:22 68:14	38:23
differing	70:9,13,18	69:15 74:1,5	distinct 110:17
110:17	71:4 73:9	74:11 75:13,15	118:13
difficult 53:23	discretion 79:7	75:16,21,24	distribute 59:4
digits 73:2	discuss 12:7	76:1 77:15	district 129:20
diligently 8:23	13:23 43:5	78:1,6,9 80:1,2	129:22
dillewyn 39:9	66:25 75:20	80:4,8,25	divided 79:2
50:2 71:13	discussed 24:15	85:23 86:2	divides 53:15
72:12	48:18	88:11,12,17	division 2:6
diminish 52:22	discusses 49:15	89:6,14 91:7,7	4:17 7:23 8:22
dine 7:3	52:7	96:9 100:8,16	15:13 38:18
direct 27:14,15	discussion 85:5	100:19 101:6	59:19 64:12
51:12 56:11	88:4 106:23	102:12,16	66:6 67:22
71:8,22 75:14	129:11	103:3 106:1,13	70:8,23 85:7,7
82:6 92:3	discussions	109:4 114:9	87:15 89:1
102:9 122:4	9:19 88:5	118:1,20 121:3	122:3 126:15
123:16	dismiss 126:25	121:4,10,20	127:17,18
directing 71:12	dismissals	124:22,24	division's 51:2
direction 102:8	127:2	125:6 126:17	59:21 68:5
102:8,9 112:12	dismissed	dispose 59:12	doctor 30:21
directly 85:19	130:18	disposed 17:13	doctrine 126:1
87:20 92:1	dispersed 50:6	54:24 101:8	126:6 129:22
112:25	displaced 44:14	125:1	document
director 2:5	displaces 44:19	disposition	106:10 107:6
122:5,14	disposal 43:8	131:16	108:23 110:18
123:16	46:17 47:13	dispute 62:2	documentation
disagree 96:13	50:20 51:4	69:19 74:7	76:21 86:5
disagreement	53:16 54:18	79:8 80:1,11	88:6,22
17:7	55:2,3 58:12	87:17	documented
	58:15 60:17,22		77:1

[documents - empire]

documents	drilled 34:10	earth 4:9,23	eight 10:7
70:4,10,10	88:20	6:10	either 5:12
97:9 107:19	drilling 48:21	earthworks 7:3	76:20 114:13
109:25 111:5	88:14 123:7	easier 2:7	elements 9:25
111:17 113:17	drinking 61:4	east 99:7	eliminating
doing 2:11 30:8	104:25 107:3,8	economic 36:13	118:18
72:8 73:5	107:13 112:5,7	60:18 76:1	em 121:5
86:25 106:7	112:15,20,22	79:13,16 89:10	eme 64:14,24
122:15	113:8 114:15	91:13 92:16	121:4,9,23
dolomitic 50:6	115:1	102:12	122:25,25
domestic 119:2	drive 1:6 55:15	economically	emont 121:4
double 5:20	113:25	89:19	empire 14:18
doubt 113:16	drop 51:25	economics	15:16,20 16:4
downdip 43:8	84:5 91:23	104:5	18:15,22,22,24
46:18 87:24	92:12,16,17	edge 55:14	19:25 20:13,18
downhole 55:2	96:7	84:23 85:2	22:13 25:1,15
downside 22:7	dropped 51:19	87:24	27:5 31:3,5
dozens 65:4	drowned 60:21	education 7:2	32:5,22,24
90:23	drowning	effective 39:7	34:23,25 37:4
dr 1:22 3:4	35:16 129:18	61:19 100:15	37:9 38:2,15
38:22,23 40:25	due 9:1 40:17	101:20	39:19 49:20,21
42:9 49:11,15	40:19,23 42:21	effectively	51:5 52:3,24
49:20 51:12,15	43:5 55:2,7	60:24 89:21	54:21 56:19
52:7,17,18	85:14	105:10 111:13	57:2 58:18
56:11 76:8,9	duties 2:22	effectiveness	62:20 64:3
76:16 81:2	dynamic 104:6	91:2	65:16 66:10,12
82:15,20 83:12	104:7 123:23	effects 122:7	68:20 69:4
91:17 92:3,8	e	efficient 124:13	70:7,9,16 71:3
93:15 94:3	eager 115:3	efficiently	71:6,9,16,18
96:12,25 97:1	earlier 28:13	123:25	72:14,17 73:13
97:2 98:1,6	50:25 126:23	effort 62:13	73:24 74:12,15
draw 75:11	early 66:5	70:19 97:8	75:3,10,10,14
drawdown	72:18 111:9	efforts 103:2	75:19 76:4,13
77:10	121:13	120:9	78:13 79:20,24
	121.13		80:14,21 81:1

82:1,8,12,16,21	97:25 101:1	81:20 84:16,25	128:23
83:10,24 85:9	103:2 115:9	85:6,6,17	engineering
85:10,12 88:24	117:20 118:25	86:10 87:17,21	38:3 49:8,13
90:1,4 92:4	119:7,15 120:9	87:23 88:3	53:9 62:10
97:9,12 104:14	120:23 121:7	89:2,3,21 90:1	87:2
105:24 113:11	122:23 125:9	90:10,22 93:13	engineers 86:9
117:11 118:8	127:8	94:4 95:7,14	english 126:8
122:2 123:1,14	employed	96:4,16 97:1,5	enhanced
124:14 125:2	131:12	98:3,10,23	32:25 41:5,9
126:20 127:1	emsu 31:14	99:2,4,14,23	41:17
128:25 129:21	32:4,5,7,11,16	101:13,16	ensure 18:5
empire's 18:10	32:24 33:6,11	102:13,16	enter 43:10
27:20 31:24	33:13,24 34:3	104:12,19	entered 17:23
34:14,16,18	34:6,7 37:20	105:8,22 106:5	52:13 55:10
35:6 37:25	38:1,17,17,21	107:18 108:11	85:19 125:22
38:10,17 42:7	38:24 39:13,17	108:16 117:6,8	entering 42:20
42:23 44:6	39:21 41:14,15	117:24 118:3	53:1
45:9,15,24	41:22 42:3,11	121:17,24	entice 125:24
46:13,22 47:18	42:12 43:1,6	125:11 126:3	entire 83:22
48:7,18 49:17	45:10,18,19,23	emsu's 63:1	116:2 118:11
50:1,12 51:11	46:7 48:14,21	encountered	entirely 49:7
52:18,22 54:15	50:11,18,19	85:14 100:10	75:11 78:23
55:9 56:14,23	51:7,22 53:5	encourages	entirety 36:4
57:7,10,12	55:12,14 56:21	23:6	entitled 36:24
60:6 62:13	57:9 58:17	encroaching	enumeration
65:6,10,18,21	59:7,24 60:13	69:10	36:5
65:22 68:16	60:17,20 61:8	encroachment	environmental
69:16 70:12	61:20,21 62:1	35:19,20 84:23	7:1,10,12 8:13
72:23 73:17,19	63:7,9 64:5,22	85:1 87:4	eog 5:1
73:22 75:22	65:6,13 66:10	energy 3:6	epa 107:2,17
78:4,11,19	66:12,18,19,25	enforce 107:7	109:1 112:25
80:1 81:2 82:3	67:3 68:6,14	engineer 3:3	125:5
82:7,25 83:18	70:5 73:7	41:17,25 42:10	equipment
84:17 93:8	74:16 76:17,19	46:2 62:24	123:3
94:2,13 96:12	78:12 81:3,18	63:3,5 86:14	

[equitable - exempted]

equitable	estimated	37:2,17 38:7,8	27:19
129:13	32:14 43:5	38:9 43:2,4	examiner 18:9
equivalent 77:2	55:12	45:9,16 46:4	19:1 25:17
118:16	estimates 41:21	49:3,17 50:7,9	27:8 31:4
ernest 16:4	47:6	51:5,11 53:3,9	116:22 127:23
erroneous	estimation 97:1	56:24 57:4,5,7	example 48:21
59:21 78:2	et 9:23	59:23 60:1,2	73:22 74:14
erroneously	eternal 115:17	61:23 62:2,11	109:16
63:12	eunice 31:13	63:16 68:2	exceeds 33:9
error 81:8	37:20 43:13	70:17 73:22	36:10
118:5	58:16 117:6	74:12,19,22,24	excellent 3:7
escape 53:19	121:3	75:14 76:4	4:18,22 5:2 6:5
escaping 87:18	evaluate 60:16	88:10 90:13	6:24 7:13,17
especially 24:2	73:4 86:22	94:19,21	7:24 8:6,9
49:13 89:14,24	evaluated 73:8	101:25 102:15	12:13,24 14:4
96:22	101:4	102:25 104:14	15:11,14,18,21
essence 25:11	evaluation 42:1	105:14 106:14	16:2,14 24:19
123:8	49:6 79:16	113:10 117:14	128:3
essentially 11:4	89:11,18	119:18 120:3,5	except 94:18
50:16 75:12	107:12	124:4,11,15	excepted
93:12,22,25	event 104:18,21	evidenced	131:13
97:12 104:9	eventually 71:4	54:25	exclude 78:20
119:1	evergreen	evidentiary	excluded 26:5
establish 61:8	115:15	14:25 15:1	47:6 78:14
77:20 86:6	everybody 2:7	23:2 29:20	exclusion 26:10
established	5:17 13:25	59:22 75:9	26:20 28:3
41:12 66:6	17:2 23:7,8,16	130:21	exclusionary
69:13 119:25	24:2,6 57:21	exacerbate	27:2,10
121:12	103:20 115:2	32:3	excuse 30:19
establishing	everybody's	exacerbates	executed 121:6
74:17 114:13	14:15 18:17	54:13	123:12
estimate 22:19	28:4,25	exactly 91:3	exempt 109:24
40:6,16,19	evidence 10:2	114:21	exempted
47:3 72:22	11:20 27:2	examination	113:7
	34:16 36:24	10:2 20:21	

[exemption - feel]

[T	[
exemption	95:15,21	explaining 72:3	facts 69:17
105:1 112:14	expedite 27:16	explains 49:20	85:11 117:18
114:16	expeditious	52:8	119:22 120:2
exhibit 46:3,20	24:4	exploration	factual 31:8
47:10,11,16,17	expenses 123:4	41:24	fail 89:23
84:1 107:4	experience	exposed 90:24	failed 40:23
exhibits 46:21	39:20 88:19	exposure 43:5	71:3 89:21,23
46:23 48:3,19	107:22	extend 111:7	failing 36:14
70:20 71:8	experienced	extended 22:9	fails 112:5
88:21 96:3	109:17	extensive 51:5	failure 90:19
100:5	expert 25:13	85:9 88:10	fair 18:24
exist 51:13	26:5 27:14	100:15 107:22	25:25 28:22
110:19 111:10	28:5 40:20	extensively	117:4 131:11
114:8	94:3 96:12	49:15 50:12	fairways 41:1
existed 66:18	98:25 108:1	extent 36:12	falls 107:12
existence 37:18	110:12	37:18 127:12	130:9
45:17,17 48:6	expertise	128:21,23	false 78:17
48:16,25 50:19	107:19	exterior 58:16	familiar 29:2
109:5	experts 10:4	extra 58:1	104:4
existential	26:9,14,16,21	extremely	far 33:9 78:7
124:12	27:9 28:6	97:19	91:6,11 122:7
existing 33:23	52:19 65:19	eye 113:6	127:7
42:2 51:6	71:10 72:7,24	f	favor 3:15 4:2
53:16 54:13	79:7 89:3	facies 40:17	117:11 118:8
58:14,19 60:8	91:17,17 94:13	79:1	fe 1:7 7:16 15:8
60:11 66:11,12	94:17 97:25	facing 125:12	fears 104:21
68:3 103:2	101:1 102:6	fact 23:15	february 1:14
124:2	expires 131:19	25:15 63:6	3:12,22 9:3
exists 39:17	explain 29:5	66:5,17 73:13	67:25
45:10 46:19	38:7,10 41:22	77:18 78:22	federal 107:14
50:23 53:4,7	42:16 43:1,8	82:3 83:20	111:15
expansive	50:12	99:11,17	feedback 11:8
101:6	explained	factor 104:23	feeding 30:7
expect 23:9,10	51:12 58:24		feel 30:2
59:9 77:3	81:7 86:19	106:25	

[feels - formations]

feels 117:3	filings 111:9	fixed 129:6	follows 98:3
feet 46:6,11	filled 44:10	flaw 69:23	104:10
47:2,5 48:23	final 68:25	flawed 38:10	food 130:10
51:19 64:15	131:16	45:13	foot 53:4,13,15
78:14 79:15	finally 88:9	flaws 117:20	97:5,10
89:4,5,6 91:8	113:5 114:10	120:8	force 62:11
96:10,15,16	find 87:12	flip 116:17	forced 71:1
97:14,22	104:16	floating 23:16	forces 44:12
feldewert 7:14	finding 85:17	flood 45:2,7	foregoing
7:15 11:16,17	fingerprint	54:22,23 60:19	131:5,8
fellow 108:4	75:2,4 102:15	89:23,25 90:15	foreign 31:11
field 29:10	firm 116:23	91:3,5 92:15	54:14
32:10 68:14	first 4:8 17:3	flood's 91:2	foremost 38:24
80:18 81:6,13	19:21,25 20:24	flooding 32:15	forget 20:8
82:1,3 83:19	21:13 22:14	128:22	form 108:15
84:9,19 85:4	29:5 32:18	floor 1:5	111:3
87:22 92:11	33:5 35:25	flow 54:5 98:6	formation
fields 26:16,22	56:6,7 60:16	109:8	31:12,17 35:2
99:3,6,9,12	62:22 63:2	flows 108:15	35:5 45:10,11
fifth 59:17	64:4,8,14	fluid 43:22	49:4,7,12
fight 70:7	66:24 67:4,14	fluids 114:9	50:25 53:2
figure 9:22	69:25 76:6	fluorescence	54:11 56:23
figures 83:5	100:6 107:25	48:22	58:15 63:23
file 67:12 72:1	108:3,12	flush 93:2	74:21 85:20
72:2	112:13 116:18	focus 36:13,14	92:22 101:23
filed 8:16,22,24	128:19	62:3	105:7 107:18
17:4,12,19	fit 73:18 126:7	focused 35:24	117:21 118:1
18:5 27:15	five 19:11,16	focuses 49:18	118:15
66:21 67:2,14	21:7,12,21	folks 38:12	formations
67:21,23,24	22:7 23:17	58:1	32:12 43:3,17
68:4 71:8	24:7 33:20	follow 11:9	50:7 51:14
126:20	34:5 58:12	following 21:17	54:12 56:18,22
files 85:6,7	60:4 65:9,10	66:23 97:24	63:15 77:13
filing 17:23	65:21,25 66:3	122:16	78:19 87:19,19
72:16 125:16	105:15 116:6		88:13 93:4

[formations - go]

102:13	fracturing 35:2	game 71:7	geologically
formed 44:3	85:9	gas 4:21 7:20	49:15 61:2
66:20	framework	29:10 35:12,18	118:13
forming 52:12	118:6	35:18,22,23	geologist 38:23
forth 11:1	frameworks	36:10 48:23	39:18,19 40:3
forthcoming	119:25	61:16 87:11	40:15,25 49:7
18:3	francis 1:6	89:25 102:4	geology 38:5,25
forward 18:7	frank 41:25	104:22 110:16	47:25 55:18
43:8 69:17	freed 13:14	112:6,17	62:4,4 71:20
76:5 78:5	friday 21:22	118:11 123:11	gerasimos 1:20
115:2	22:5 24:14	124:25	2:4,13
found 87:9	friend 116:19	general 29:9	gerry 2:6
125:9	front 16:18	70:10 93:7	getting 81:11
foundation	full 87:22	generally 92:19	give 3:1 23:8
106:25	fully 73:3	107:24 108:16	31:8 43:21
four 33:14	fund 7:3	113:19,25	47:14 57:21
52:25 58:2	fundamental	114:2	62:14 70:6
59:11 60:6,8	38:5 47:7 62:4	generated 36:9	127:25
67:12,15,19	69:23 117:3,20	generates	given 21:9
70:10 88:1	120:8	123:25	25:15 29:17
105:14	further 19:12	geochemical	68:16,17,24
fracture 51:16	30:14 35:3	102:15	73:9 106:7
76:8,10,11,17	42:5 48:17	geochemistry	123:9
76:25	88:3 89:10	55:19 62:4	giving 128:7
fractured	105:23 112:10	75:1	glad 116:20
43:16	130:7,12	geologic 39:7	go 2:6 4:25 5:4
fractures 35:5	131:12	39:22 40:2,4	6:20 12:15
39:4 43:20	future 7:2	40:15 44:8	13:9 16:24
44:3,7 45:1,6	34:18 127:5	60:23 93:18	17:3 18:18
51:13 54:13	g	117:4 118:6	19:21,25 20:1
55:9 76:6,24	galen 39:9 50:2	geological 38:3	20:1 21:12
77:1 80:12	71:13	41:16 49:9,13	22:24 23:6,14
81:18 85:21	gallegos 131:7	57:5 101:21	24:16 27:12
88:5	0 0	119:22	28:11 30:25
	gallon 106:4		62:16 76:11

[go - grayburg]

114:19	105:12,16,19	58:4,11,20	71:9 72:7
goal 22:1 73:19	105:25 107:20	59:1,7,19	73:14 74:1,5
goat 55:15	108:13 109:6,7	66:20,24 67:12	74:11 75:13,21
84:24 85:1	109:10 110:1	67:23 68:11	76:1 77:2,15
goes 27:22 87:1	110:16,24	69:8 70:2,25	78:1,6,22,25
90:4 109:8	111:6,14,24	73:25 78:13,17	80:8,25 86:2
112:8 122:9	112:1 116:5,18	78:20 88:15,18	89:2,6 91:6,7
123:17 128:22	129:4	96:4 100:10	91:16 92:6
129:7,17	goldsmith 92:8	101:3,16	94:17 96:2,9
goetze 107:21	good 2:2 4:16	102:10 105:23	100:8 102:6,8
110:22 112:13	4:19 6:20 7:14	113:11 118:4	102:16 103:1
114:19 115:3	7:18 8:2 9:17	120:11 121:20	117:2 118:14
125:4	10:15 15:6,15	122:2 123:14	118:20 119:12
going 2:11 4:7	15:19,24 17:1	125:2,17 127:7	120:6,23
6:11 9:21	17:2,10 18:9	129:21	125:10 126:17
10:23 11:3,11	48:22,23 95:15	goodnight's	127:9
11:18,20,23	103:21 108:5	31:10,18 33:4	govern 129:19
12:21 13:14,25	116:21 126:5	33:9,12 34:17	governing
14:8 15:3	129:23	36:12 37:5	117:5
16:16,25 19:17	goodnight	38:2,6,9,19	government
21:6,20,23	14:18 15:9	40:5,6,16,18	29:8
22:7,8,8,14	20:1 25:13	42:24 43:8,18	governs 107:5
23:12,22 24:3	27:5 32:1 33:5	44:1 46:21	grand 93:11
24:6 25:15	34:4,8,13	47:2,3 48:13	grant 120:10
31:6 34:2 43:7	35:24 36:7	49:2 50:9,22	127:11,13
43:11 47:11	37:1,9,22 38:4	51:6,10 52:21	granted 8:17
53:18,20 61:5	39:23 40:7,21	53:3,8,14,16,21	57:13 108:25
61:7 62:18,19	40:23 42:16	54:6,9 56:9,15	granting 62:12
65:6 69:5	44:5,23 45:12	56:17,22 57:10	grants 107:7
72:18 77:1	46:12,15,22,25	57:13,13 59:3	grayburg 32:12
79:8 82:24	47:5,9,13,19	59:11 60:4,6,7	33:2 34:20
83:11,19,22	49:3,5 50:17	60:11,17,22,24	35:4,6 39:1,5,7
84:10 85:20	50:20 51:1	61:10 62:12	39:8,12,21,24
89:17,23 92:13	52:25 53:4,6	68:2,14,19	40:2 42:20
97:22 104:8	54:16,19 55:1	69:12,23 70:21	43:3,10,17,21

[grayburg - hearing]

44:4,8,9,11,16	greatly 52:22	happening 78:8	head 93:11
44:18,19,20,21	green 17:1	84:23	94:5
45:1,4,6,6,13	greenfield	happens 26:3	heady 105:11
45:21 46:17	41:11	112:4	hear 17:6 20:13
47:15,22 48:2	greenfields	happily 103:16	20:17 23:6,11
48:8,10 50:5	41:5	hardest 81:4	23:12 26:9
50:23 51:9,13	greg 1:21 2:18	hardy 15:15,16	28:3,8 31:2
51:24 52:6,11	grieve 126:3	18:8,9,14	38:14 39:9
52:13 53:2,7	group 112:25	20:13,20 24:24	58:5 61:7 80:5
55:8,9,11,15,21	grouping 67:16	25:1 27:7,8	102:25 107:20
55:25 56:21	67:19	28:1 31:4,5	112:13 115:25
63:19 69:9	groupings	57:18,18	129:4,9 130:3
73:25 74:3,16	105:15	harms 125:20	heard 1:24
74:21 75:7,16	guardians 4:9	hart 7:16 15:8	14:23 16:18
76:7,12,14	4:23 6:11	16:12	78:12 104:2
77:12,21,23	guess 17:8	harwood 1:25	120:25 121:19
78:25 79:4,18	20:10 21:9	16:19,20,22,22	121:24 124:19
80:14,16 81:1	22:10 103:12	16:25 17:10,16	124:20 126:16
81:18,24 82:1	130:9	18:6,12,16,21	128:2
82:11,17,21,25	gulf 32:18	19:3,7 20:9,17	hearing 1:1,25
84:22,24 85:2	65:12 121:6	21:1,25 22:6	2:10 8:18 9:6
85:8,16,18,20	122:24	22:19 23:5	9:12 10:2
86:5 87:5,8,25	guys 29:3,10,11	25:9,22,25	11:25 12:16
88:5,7,16,24	116:17	26:17,23 27:4	15:1 16:19,25
93:2,9 94:6	h	27:23 28:2,16	17:13,16,17
95:13,17,17,20	half 30:2,2,5	28:19,22 57:17	18:6,9,12,16,21
95:21 96:8	34:7 73:10	57:25 103:4,11	18:25 19:3,7
97:13 98:3,4,8	81:22 90:20	103:19,22	19:12,18 20:3
98:13 99:6	98:10	115:8,16,24	20:5,9,17 21:1
119:13	hall 1:5	116:15 120:12	21:18,20,25
grayburg's	hallmark 107:4	120:16 127:19	22:6,9,18,19
85:23	happen 8:25	128:13 130:5	23:3,5,19,20
great 14:6	111:22	hate 23:6	24:8 25:9,22
greater 46:9	happened	he'll 3:2	25:25 26:12,17
47:4,14,21	70:21		26:23,24 27:4
	l		

27:16,23 28:2	87:10 93:16	hope 115:17	i
28:11,16,19,22	higher 34:24	hopefully 13:6	
29:23 30:13	42:21 44:22	13:20 31:7	idea 23:16
37:16,16 38:12	47:1,2 96:19	61:7 113:24	43:21 103:6
38:13 47:10,17	highest 91:6,21	hops 109:9	104:5
48:20 56:7	highlighted	horizontal	ideal 101:5
57:17,25 58:7	74:2 82:6	101:8	identifiable
68:15 70:16,19	highly 61:24	horribles	39:4 identified
70:20,25 71:2	77:21 119:5	126:19	48:25 74:6
100:7 103:4,11	hinkle 8:3	hour 103:14	
103:19,22,24	hiss 45:22	human 109:21	87:20 89:3,16 93:18
114:19 115:7,8	108:4,12,13,19	humongous	identifies 84:1
115:12,16,24	108:21 109:25	106:6	87:3
116:13,15,22	historical	hundred 68:10	identify 40:23
120:12,16,18	111:17 118:5	hydraulic 93:5	identifying
121:25 127:19	119:16	93:11 94:5	98:7
127:23 128:13	history 38:16	110:20	ignoramuses
130:5,17,21	42:13 62:17	hydrocarb	29:6
131:10	63:11 66:9	48:11	ignores 36:1,15
hearings 29:24	68:5,7,16,17	hydrocarbon	49:9
120:24	74:18 85:5,10	50:7 61:9	ii 105:22
heavily 75:1	98:21 99:1	117:23	illuminates
heavy 62:3	120:10	hydrocarbons	17:1
held 13:12	hitting 81:12	34:19 35:13	imbalanced
help 29:11	hobbs 104:13	42:7 48:11	104:6
115:4	104:19 108:14	119:10	immediately
helpful 128:11	108:17 109:5	hydrogeologic	21:18
128:20 129:9	110:9 113:21	104:18	immobile 93:25
130:2	114:7,17	hydrologic	94:9
herrings 128:5	117:16	114:6	impact 42:1
high 40:21 44:1	holding 84:10	hydrologically	43:9 55:9
45:15 55:3,12	holland 7:16	61:3	83:20 84:11,12
55:17 56:17	15:8 16:12	hypothesis	84:15 111:19
68:12 74:15,19	honoring 130:1	104:17 105:4	impacted
79:22 86:25			102:14 117:11

[impacting - injection]

impacting	119:19	increase 32:2	informally
43:18 69:7	improve 86:23	51:3 52:24	19:10
87:19	improved 60:5	55:7,9 56:3	information
impacts 34:18	improvements	57:15 58:13,21	41:13 48:4
42:6,25 43:6	86:19	58:25 60:5	52:20 71:16
104:11 114:8	include 36:11	97:22	104:3,6 124:8
impair 37:3	77:5 106:22	increased	informative
41:23 59:25	112:22	104:23 113:3	110:7
60:12	included 31:17	128:25	initial 11:5
impairing 37:7	32:12 33:19	increases 35:4	81:9 127:25
51:7 54:10	36:5 63:7,12	44:24 56:1	initially 73:10
61:15 119:14	63:14 86:25	87:15	73:12
impairment	includes 55:19	increasing	inject 34:25
61:20 128:24	55:21 79:24	54:11 109:15	44:23 82:13
129:1,3	90:6	independent	121:18,20
impairs 31:19	including 21:10	8:4 49:6 102:7	122:17 126:15
impermeable	31:20 34:20	indicate 47:22	injectant 64:13
51:8 52:1,4,15	39:1,22 41:18	indicated 47:9	injected 33:7
53:25 54:4	41:20 42:3	indicates 53:1	34:13 39:6
implement 57:2	49:8 56:14	indicating	42:18 54:18
86:18 105:5	60:16 65:19	84:16	56:5 64:18
112:12	68:6 87:10	industry 10:22	65:2 75:7
implemented	121:3,22,23	11:6 105:12	106:5,8 114:9
44:18 111:25	125:13 126:21	109:13 118:11	injecting 33:5
implementing	127:17	123:11,20,23	39:23 56:19
123:6	inclusion 118:3	125:5 127:8,16	64:2,5,11,22
implications	income 36:9	industry's	82:8,16,21
118:7 119:23	incompatibility	124:13	83:10
127:8	85:15	infects 78:3,3	injection 31:11
importance	incompatible	influential	31:18,25 32:2
116:16 122:15	31:11 34:13	108:25 110:6	33:4,9,9,15,25
important	53:6	influx 35:4	34:2,17,17
35:10,11 51:23	incorrect 46:12	42:14 55:8	37:3,6,21 38:2
66:9 75:17	incorrectly	56:3	41:12,22 42:5
76:2 106:24	35:24		42:5 44:6 51:6

51:10 52:5,21	institute 114:5	intermittent	invalidate
54:1,9,11,14	institution	95:3	127:4
55:5 56:9,14	127:16	interpretation	invalidates
56:16,17,22	institutional	40:12,18,24	78:4
57:10,15 58:13	120:22	98:21	invest 123:3
58:21 59:8,24	instructed	interpretations	investigation
60:7,12 63:18	72:14	73:6 95:4	105:5 106:20
64:9 67:5,20	insufficient	interval 31:18	111:1 113:16
69:4,8,12 83:1	55:16	32:13 33:6,10	115:5
83:16 87:11,16	integrity 117:4	34:3,19 39:12	investment
89:6 101:22	intensity 68:12	39:17,21,24	36:11 123:9
102:1 104:12	intent 114:12	41:6 42:6,13	investments
104:21 107:2	intention 59:1	42:20 44:17	111:19
107:24 108:10	interest 31:20	46:17 52:5	invokes 126:6
109:2 111:19	32:20,22 65:25	56:13 57:11	involve 31:9
112:24 117:15	117:7 121:7,13	63:13 74:1	111:5
119:13 120:24	122:23 123:17	75:21,25 78:24	involved 21:10
122:13 123:7,8	123:23 124:18	79:4 80:3	22:4
126:21 127:5	131:15	83:25 85:25	involves 56:17
127:10	interested	88:17,19 89:7	106:15
injections	125:6	89:16 92:15	involving 86:10
42:25 129:19	interestingly	97:6 99:5	113:20
injects 53:6	109:20	118:4	ion 108:14
injuria 126:7	interfaith 7:4	intervals 40:22	ions 52:11
injury 126:9	interfere 59:24	44:11 48:2,9	ipnm 12:4
input 110:23	102:1	51:15 52:2	irregular 35:19
inputs 71:14	interfered	79:25 80:8	irrelevant
72:21	102:2	91:5	50:15
inside 100:13	interference	interveners 8:4	irrespective
insight 113:24	37:19 52:16	16:7 64:17	49:24
insists 74:15	interfering	67:7 122:3	isolated 41:4
instability	31:23 38:2	intervenor 65:1	86:2 110:9
125:18,24	56:9 57:10	introduce 3:2	isolates 60:24
instance 26:14	interior 106:1	16:11 38:11	61:19
114:23	106:11		
		1	

[isolating - lea]

isolating 77:15	january 3:21	knights 48:14	129:13,22
88:11	9:5 33:7 34:12	98:25	lack 39:7 40:1
issuance	72:18	know 6:10,13	50:10,14 112:2
122:22	jargon 29:7	6:18 10:18,19	lacks 105:9
issue 6:12	jealous 30:6	10:21 11:11,12	laid 105:14
20:11 25:16	jesse 4:17 7:22	18:17,18 19:15	lake 25:14,18
26:11 28:3	joint 37:15	21:1,10 23:8	lamkin 2:24
33:21 37:8,18	joseph 39:18	25:10 26:2,4,8	land 2:19,20
49:17 56:6	journal 109:13	29:1,6,11,14,19	3:3 38:15
60:10 80:13	juan 7:4	29:21 30:21,22	105:16 109:20
89:1 104:9	judges 21:2	32:7 53:22	110:8
109:10 110:19	judgment	68:17 73:16	landreth 92:8
117:3 128:19	125:10	74:8 79:9 83:8	language 82:7
issued 31:16	julia 16:11	83:17 89:14	large 22:3 34:2
70:8 122:12	july 8:18 33:6	91:15 96:17	41:2 59:5
123:14 124:16	83:6 84:3,4	97:23 104:10	largely 106:12
125:12 126:14	june 8:16 121:6	104:11,20	111:20 117:1
127:10,18	jury 21:2	110:24 116:18	larry 25:13
issues 9:1 23:21	justification	120:3 124:24	late 65:17
29:19,20 30:12	72:4	129:4 130:13	laterally
35:9 37:13	justify 124:9,10	knowing 21:21	118:17
59:15 60:14	k	25:7	latin 126:5
62:7 74:10	keep 30:7 69:20	knowledge	laurence 41:16
75:23 76:2	69:21,21,24	131:10	46:3
86:11,15 87:11	73:13 100:4	known 54:24	law 7:1,10,12
91:1 100:2	kelli 131:7	63:11 66:13	8:13 30:3 35:8
107:23 129:13	key 39:13 45:12	85:3,14 91:12	36:9,16,20
issuing 35:15	keystone	97:18	37:12 107:4
it'll 111:11	108:23	kyle 7:11	116:23 129:5,7
item 22:21,23	kind 11:18	l	lawsuit 129:21
115:20	23:22,24,24	1 16:4 121:17	lawyers 129:16
j	35:20 116:10	labeled 108:20	lay 27:1 105:16
jack 38:14	kinds 87:9	labor 105:10	layers 77:23
james 42:9	knew 66:13	laches 125:14	lea 119:3
	98:2	126:2 129:11	

[lead - lower]

[1	I	1
lead 86:12	likely 12:11	litigate 69:24	longer 12:18
leading 104:24	17:21 20:23	little 10:3 11:24	103:14
leads 123:2	38:9 45:5	26:18 53:23	longstanding
learn 111:24	89:23 94:22	57:21 58:5	117:5 125:25
lease 50:21	110:14	62:14 64:7	127:5
52:21	likewise 123:24	67:4,15,19	look 11:11 18:7
leave 71:23	limestones 50:6	96:16 120:25	21:24 33:22
100:3 130:13	limit 92:14	llc 15:17 16:4,8	68:9 79:7 83:3
leaves 44:19	limited 25:14	120:20	84:8 91:15
leaving 41:2	51:21 63:19	load 59:4	98:19 99:7
44:14	73:23 98:3	loads 59:1	107:9 108:9
left 93:23 94:8	lindsay 38:22	local 113:1	looking 27:24
94:11	38:23 49:11,15	locally 115:1	32:7 75:4
legal 37:18	49:20 51:12,15	located 45:22	82:14,19
38:15 110:5	52:7 76:9,16	72:25 99:3	looks 68:10
125:13	94:3 97:2 98:1	109:11	104:9 115:2
legislature 2:23	lindsay's 56:11	location 38:19	lose 104:8
length 22:20	76:8 98:6	101:5 106:13	113:1
106:19	line 8:23,25 9:3	113:9	loss 112:19
lengthy 23:1	9:7,9,21 10:1	locations 67:1	114:23
lens 111:3	12:8 16:7	log 39:10,25	lost 54:3 88:18
level 45:15	43:23 64:25	40:12,17 41:13	lot 21:2 54:4
90:23 100:12	67:10 80:5	48:22 52:19	66:3 106:5
107:13 110:19	114:18 120:19	55:22 65:14	117:19 118:10
111:17 114:5	121:12,14,16	73:5,6	129:10
124:8	121:18	logic 62:5,8	lots 24:15,16
levels 34:22	lines 10:25	100:2	101:6 118:7
55:3 92:16	list 17:2 25:12	logs 48:17 50:2	lottery 81:12
110:11	26:4	71:16 73:2	love 86:13
lewis 109:20	listed 33:15,25	long 20:18 23:3	87:14
lies 124:6	listen 28:9	25:8 27:25	lovington 40:8
life 90:15	100:5	30:7 59:1 68:5	low 40:19 52:9
lifted 126:24	liter 119:6	68:7 69:13	94:10 95:1
light 7:4 17:1	literature 33:2	85:4,5 103:6	lower 40:11,22
	85:6 95:8	116:5 126:4,10	51:2 54:23

(2.20.74.2	75.5 10 77.0	l- 41.05	
63:20 74:3	75:5,10 77:8	marek 41:25	mcguire's 46:8
78:15 98:18	96:8	margin 99:1	46:22 49:16
lowest 83:21	making 30:9	marginal 117:8	53:14,20
luckily 126:7	31:3 101:7	marginally	mcshane 39:18
lunch 28:17	managed 113:6	70:12	mean 10:23
103:14 116:1	management	marker 40:8	19:4 25:10
128:6,15,18	68:8 69:15	maroon 67:8,8	95:14 120:13
luxury 116:2	114:14 117:25	massive 80:15	meaning
128:7	manager	93:1 100:20	112:15
m	107:22 125:4	101:11,15	means 36:9
m 64:15	managing	master 30:2	61:14 81:14
made 10:20	101:7 107:23	match 42:14	82:23 83:7
69:2 70:3	manmade 93:3	81:6,10,11,14	90:10 95:6,15
128:24 130:20	93:22 94:16	84:20 95:7	112:16 126:8
main 41:3,4	manner 127:15	material 43:15	measured
53:23 75:23	map 32:4 33:12	87:1	46:10 51:22
76:2,4 80:10	33:22 43:23	math 106:7	71:15 93:15
89:1,16 111:4	62:23 64:1	matt 16:6	measurements
maine 30:5	65:18	matter 14:23	77:8
maintain 59:10	mappable 95:4	16:17 30:3	meet 11:6 12:7
123:19	mapped 55:11	62:1 79:12,17	13:18 124:8,14
maintains	108:4	79:17 111:2	meeting 2:4,9
110:9	mapping 40:13	131:15,16	3:21 11:9
	86:25	matters 27:3	12:19 13:1,2,3
major 44:9	maps 39:24	31:9 49:18	24:3 130:14
majority 31:20	73:10 105:18	79:12 116:16	meetings 3:22
38:6	108:12 109:7	matthias 5:1	8:20 13:15
make 8:14	march 5:12,12	maximum	melzer 41:16
24:17 29:14,20	5:21,22 6:11	58:22,25 59:2	46:3 91:18
35:1 58:4 69:5	6:15 13:1,3,4,5	79:16 89:5	92:3,7
89:12 96:15	13:6,19,22	103:9	member 1:21
102:5 127:25	14:6 32:23	mcguire 40:5	1:22 12:6
maker 30:1	67:16 83:23	49:3,6 53:8,12	members 1:19
makes 2:6	118:21	92:7	3:16 4:3 7:15
11:12 22:11			10:20 16:3
61:25 74:8			

[members - month]

	1	1	
21:11 29:2	migrate 69:9	millions 44:13	moander 15:12
127:23	migrated 94:4	93:10	15:12 17:17,18
mention 27:12	migrates	mind 23:13	18:6 22:17
mentioned 2:8	117:15,16	100:4 127:14	24:20,21 26:24
13:20 25:13	migrating	mine 116:6	103:6,8,16,23
50:25 117:9	74:16 88:4,23	mineral 2:18	103:24 115:9
118:9 119:17	migration 39:5	31:19	115:12 126:6
122:24	56:5,13,25	minerals 31:21	mobile 79:21
merits 23:19	57:4 73:23	minimum	93:22
met 66:24	migratory	90:16,20	mobilized
meteoric 93:2	94:22 95:11,23	minimus 129:2	101:2
mexicans	99:15	minus 46:10,13	model 39:16
123:18	miguel 7:18	46:14,25 47:1	42:11 52:17
mexico 1:2,7	15:25 116:22	51:19 79:15	81:5,5,10,14,24
2:19 3:5 4:12	mile 34:5 65:9	89:4,5,17 96:5	modeler 65:19
4:21 7:2,3,6,20	65:10,21,25	96:8,10 97:11	80:23
8:5 14:18	66:3	minute 14:11	modeling 84:18
15:16,20 16:4	miles 34:7,9	27:12 35:7	models 69:22
31:21 35:12	65:23 76:18	57:20	modification
36:8,16 41:2	99:4 118:18	minutes 3:21	124:7,9,10
62:24 106:1,16	millidarcy	14:10 27:14	moment 5:21
107:8 109:1,14	93:17	43:13 58:2	53:19
112:11,20	milligrams	103:8 116:7	monday 15:1
113:6,6 114:18	119:6	minutia 114:20	22:13 23:3,23
121:2 131:1,19	million 32:14	mirrors 84:19	24:18 25:7
mexico's 36:2	32:17 33:3,8	misconstrues	130:11,16,18
miami 30:20	34:14 42:18	36:7	130:21,22
michael 7:15	54:17 64:18	misidentifica	money 123:3
microphone	65:2 68:10,23	50:24	monitoring
16:23	69:1,2,3 75:6	misinterpreta	57:2 105:6
mid 28:20,20	81:22 83:9,13	119:8	106:21 111:1
middle 93:14	90:3,5,6,7,9,11	mistress 30:6	113:17 114:13
midstream	90:15,17,18	mix 125:19	115:5,10
14:18 15:9	100:22 101:17	mixing 52:11	month 22:10
66:20		55:4 75:8	27:25 72:16

[month - normally]

01.10 01 02.5	11.1 15.5	02.20 04.14	novon 40.12
81:19,21 83:5 83:20	44:1 45:5	93:20 94:14	never 49:12
	moved 10:3	95:12,18,25	63:13 85:5
months 71:21	48:11 117:21	nay 4:15	94:8 95:10,11
72:8 81:11	movement	near 34:14	95:23,24 98:23
83:9,14 84:6	43:22	45:22 52:25	117:22 122:23
monument	moves 44:25	68:12 108:16	new 1:2,7 2:19
31:13 37:20	moving 14:8	nearest 64:20	3:5 4:12,21 7:2
43:14 45:20	36:21 43:20	nearly 82:15,20	7:3,6,20 8:5
58:17 117:6	44:6 68:11	90:18 123:12	11:2 14:18
121:4	85:2 94:23	necessary 12:8	15:16,20 16:4
morning 2:2,17	124:6	34:24 95:9	31:21 35:12
4:16,19 7:14	mud 48:21	99:24 124:25	36:2,8,16 41:2
7:18 8:2 9:17	mudlogs 48:17	127:15 130:14	58:12 59:12,17
10:15 15:6,15	multiple 59:4	need 3:20 9:5	60:4 62:24
15:19,24 17:2	93:8 109:7	9:10 10:4,6,18	71:18,20 72:10
17:10 18:9	municipal	11:4,7 12:10	72:19,22,23,23
28:20 104:2	119:4	12:14 13:23	73:5 76:16,18
116:21 130:11	mystery 84:25	20:18 22:20,25	106:1,16 107:8
130:16,19	85:1	23:11 30:24	109:1,14
mother 92:24	n	53:18 73:16	112:11,20
92:25 93:12,14	n 47:11,17 67:9	89:12 100:17	113:6,6 114:18
93:20 94:14	121:17	103:18 115:18	121:2 123:18
95:12,18,25	naeva 7:3	128:15	131:1,19
motion 3:19,23		needed 11:22	news 19:18,18
4:6 17:22 18:7	name 18:17 named 108:4	63:9 127:25	nice 23:14
19:6 125:10		needle 10:3	nine 33:24
motions 14:24		needs 60:10	81:21 83:5,9
16:17 17:4,5	narrowly 127:14	neglected 16:10	83:14 121:10
17:12,19 18:11		negotiation	non 26:5 126:7
18:20 37:9	natural 35:5	115:15	nonproductive
106:19	43:20 44:3,7	neither 131:12	49:25 63:12
movable 50:19	45:1,5 51:13	netherland	noon 21:4
move 2:15 3:13	55:8 85:9	71:10 79:14	normal 47:21
3:24 4:7 6:24	naturally 43:15	89:9	normally 2:9
15:4,22 24:10	nature's 92:24		88:15
	92:25 93:12,14		

[north - oh]

north 45:20	numerous 65:8	occurs 56:2	october 8:20
99:5	92:2	ocd 9:4 11:3	55:1
northeast 44:2	nutech 39:10	17:18,21 20:1	oddity 30:1
northern 99:8	39:11 50:1	26:24 47:13	offers 122:16
northwest 32:6	71:13	56:24 57:3	office 2:19,20
76:17	nutech's 39:25	67:2,16,25	3:3 7:16 15:8
note 6:16 92:13		68:13 103:22	officer 1:25
93:13	0	104:2,9,10,13	16:19,25 17:16
noted 111:9	o'clock 130:16	104:20 105:9	17:17 18:6,12
notes 46:22	130:19	104.20 103.9	18:16,21 19:3
47:18 48:7,7,8	obfuscate	105:12,15,25	19:7 20:4,5,9
90:1 110:10	73:20	107:5,7,14,21	20:17 21:1,20
notice 72:2	object 67:17	107.3,7,14,21	21:25 22:6,18
105:17	124:18	108.7,19,24	21:23 22:0,18
notify 9:4	objection 67:22	111:1,6,14,23	24:9 25:9,22
noting 93:17	68:1	111:25 112:3	25:25 26:12,17
notorious	objections	112:11,23,24	26:23,24 27:4
108:20	70:21	112:11,23,24	27:23 28:2,16
november	obligated 107:9	114:1,5,21,21	28:19,22 29:23
59:20 83:6	obligation	114.1,3,21,21	57:17,25 58:7
84:3,4	107:10 129:16	118:19 119:23	70:20 103:4,11
novo 59:18	obligations	122:1,9,12,17	103:19,22,25
nulook 39:14	71:4 130:1	123:17,21	115:7,8,12,16
number 4:8	obtain 42:13	124:20 125:11	115:24 116:13
6:25 9:1,19	105:4 112:11	124.20 125.11	116:15 120:12
14:20 20:23	obtained 46:7	ocd's 8:25 9:2	120:16,19
21:9 22:3	obviously 22:7	10:1,18 24:21	120:10,19
45:20 49:22	occ 13:1 105:4	56:4,12 104:17	130:5,18
58:20 59:18	106:15 122:18	105:3,14 111:2	official 2:3
64:15,25 106:6	122:20 123:19	111:18,20,20	offset 47:14,21
106:7	occur 42:15	113:23 114:25	122:20
numbers 14:19	occurred	115:4 120:1,21	offsetting 80:18
14:20,21 59:13	128:19	124:5 125:3,9	ogallala 119:4
82:14,19 83:2	occurring 57:1	124:5 125:5,5	oh 18:22 19:3
83:4,7	104:19	120.3	
0.5.7,7			

oil 1:3 2:3,5,8	92:14,18,20,21	62:5 64:10,13	67:6,9 121:10
4:17,21 7:20	92:23 93:4,23	68:18 72:2	121:22
7:23 8:22	93:24 94:3,8,9	73:20 74:2,9	operates 32:5
15:13 29:10	94:11,11,12,14	74:18 80:11	34:4 64:3
32:15,17,18,25	94:20,23 95:1	83:2,15 92:13	117:8 121:2,17
33:1 35:12,17	95:2,3,8,15,22	96:1 97:7 99:7	operating 16:7
35:18,22,22	95:24 96:6,7	102:22,23	36:10 54:23
36:10 37:19	96:11,17,19,23	103:4,19	64:17 67:2
39:3,11,15,25	97:3,4,15,20	115:24 116:8	83:24 120:14
40:7,11,16,19	98:23 99:14,24	116:12,13	120:17,19
40:21 41:3,5,8	100:23,23,25	old 119:24	121:1
41:9,11,18,19	101:1 102:4	126:22	operations
41:23 42:4	104:22 109:15	once 23:4	31:24 34:14
43:19 44:10,12	110:15 112:6	110:21	38:18 42:2,23
44:13,15,19,19	112:17 118:11	onerous 113:3	43:1 50:20
45:2,3 46:5,7,9	118:24 119:9	ongoing 32:1	59:25 61:20
47:3,6 48:15	121:6 122:24	open 13:15,18	63:6 65:9
49:19,22 50:3	123:11 124:25	25:10	68:19 69:7,10
50:10,11,13,14	131:1	openhole 48:17	74:21 82:7
50:18,20 51:2	okay 3:17 4:4	opening 13:17	84:17 90:3
53:17 55:22	4:24 5:2,11,16	14:24 16:17	102:2,14,16
60:18,21 61:13	6:1,8 7:17 8:9	22:15,20,25	104:22 106:2
61:16 63:19	8:12 9:13,16	23:2,8 31:1,3	109:18 112:17
65:12 68:21,23	10:14 11:15	58:4 62:21	117:10 118:2
69:16 70:5	12:1,13,24	78:13 99:25	124:13,23
71:10,20 72:17	14:14 17:1	103:7 115:23	operator 32:23
72:22 74:11	18:21,23 19:9	119:17 130:20	63:1 65:13
78:11,16,18,23	20:9 21:3,25	openings 23:18	66:25 86:13
79:1,10,13,20	22:6,11 24:24	30:25 103:10	122:20 124:6
79:21,22,23	25:2,4,6,25	openly 108:6	operator's
80:12 83:17	26:23 27:4	operate 34:23	122:13 123:9
84:3,12 90:5,6	28:10,22 29:7	operated 32:18	operators
90:15 91:6,9	29:13 30:14,19	32:21 45:22	112:6 114:21
91:11,21,23,24	31:8 57:25	58:17 59:7	118:10 119:19
91:25 92:10,12	60:9 61:11	64:16,25 65:16	122:17 123:3,8

[operators - parties]

123:22,24	organization	overturning	108:21 109:6
124:20 125:24	12:6	125:23	paper's 86:12
126:4	oriented 76:10	overview 23:9	papers 70:10
opines 50:2	original 34:22	39:22 105:21	91:19 92:2
opinion 113:12	72:9,13 121:8	overwhelming	108:1
113:13,13,14	orth 5:8 6:19	101:24	paperwork
opinions 28:7	6:19	overwhelmin	113:4
113:11	outlines 106:16	120:6	parade 126:18
opportunity	outset 70:22	own 10:25	paragraph
116:25 122:21	outside 50:21	66:22 67:1,13	20:6
124:17 127:3	56:6 80:2	76:12 83:18	parameters
opposes 117:14	100:13	91:16 97:25	40:17 71:15
opposing	outstanding	105:11	part 21:13
113:10	17:25 18:7,10	owned 48:2	24:14 35:17
opposite 77:21	19:6	67:6,9	63:12 70:17
ops 40:4,12,15	overall 113:19	owner 31:20	72:5 80:1 82:9
optimism	overhear 26:6	owners 31:21	82:10 106:24
115:14	overinflates	66:1	117:1 122:25
optimum 90:14	79:20	owns 31:22,23	126:23
order 10:12	overlapping	oxy 7:16	partial 125:10
17:22 18:3	26:16,22	р	partially 94:5
19:21,25 20:6	overlook 19:4	p 8:7,7,8,8 67:5	particular 6:23
20:7,8,12			-
	120:13	-	10:9 14:5 18:3
37:15,16 59:21	120:13 overlooked	117:9	-
37:15,16 59:21 59:23 70:8		117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5	10:9 14:5 18:3
,	overlooked	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10
59:23 70:8	overlooked 68:21 99:23	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16
59:23 70:8 124:9,10	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9
59:23 70:8 124:9,10 125:22 126:13	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13 101:22	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4 paleo 92:20,21	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9 8:21 9:8,20
59:23 70:8 124:9,10 125:22 126:13 orders 31:15	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13 101:22 overpressured	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4 paleo 92:20,21 96:23	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9 8:21 9:8,20 10:5,11,17
59:23 70:8 124:9,10 125:22 126:13 orders 31:15 35:15 38:18	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13 101:22 overpressured 54:12	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4 paleo 92:20,21 96:23 paleogeologic	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9 8:21 9:8,20 10:5,11,17 11:19 12:10,17
59:23 70:8 124:9,10 125:22 126:13 orders 31:15 35:15 38:18 117:5 119:24	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13 101:22 overpressured 54:12 overpressuriz	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4 paleo 92:20,21 96:23 paleogeologic 92:23	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9 8:21 9:8,20 10:5,11,17 11:19 12:10,17 14:10 15:3
59:23 70:8 124:9,10 125:22 126:13 orders 31:15 35:15 38:18 117:5 119:24 122:12 123:13	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13 101:22 overpressured 54:12 overpressuriz 54:12	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4 paleo 92:20,21 96:23 paleogeologic 92:23 panoply 112:22	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9 8:21 9:8,20 10:5,11,17 11:19 12:10,17 14:10 15:3 17:6,25 21:11
59:23 70:8 124:9,10 125:22 126:13 orders 31:15 35:15 38:18 117:5 119:24 122:12 123:13 123:13 124:2	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13 101:22 overpressured 54:12 overpressuriz 54:12 overruling	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4 paleo 92:20,21 96:23 paleogeologic 92:23 panoply 112:22 paper 85:12	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9 8:21 9:8,20 10:5,11,17 11:19 12:10,17 14:10 15:3 17:6,25 21:11 24:1,2 26:10
59:23 70:8 124:9,10 125:22 126:13 orders 31:15 35:15 38:18 117:5 119:24 122:12 123:13 123:13 124:2 125:11,13,25	overlooked 68:21 99:23 overlying 95:13 101:22 overpressured 54:12 overpressuriz 54:12 overruling 29:22	117:9 padilla 16:3,4,5 pages 131:8 painstakingly 101:4 paleo 92:20,21 96:23 paleogeologic 92:23 panoply 112:22	10:9 14:5 18:3 24:5 106:10 107:16 parties 4:15 7:9 8:21 9:8,20 10:5,11,17 11:19 12:10,17 14:10 15:3 17:6,25 21:11 24:1,2 26:10 27:13 28:9

106:2 125:1	47:4 51:20	permits 37:6	petrophysics
126:2 128:20	79:22 91:9	57:13 67:11	55:21 62:3,10
129:12 130:3,8	92:1,12,14,18	120:24 122:17	71:9,12,19
131:14	95:16,22 96:7	123:13,13	72:17 78:11,18
parts 30:5	96:11 97:21,23	124:16,16	78:22,25
party 18:1	109:17	126:15,21	pfas 4:10
36:21,22 121:8	performance	127:5,10	phd 38:23
123:1	91:2	permitted 34:8	philip 107:21
passed 88:15	period 22:9	38:20 63:3	125:4
past 3:9 111:12	81:21 83:5,8	105:22 126:3	phones 30:18
125:18	83:22,23 84:8	permitting	phrase 35:25
path 93:8,14	90:17	62:25	physics 56:1
pathway 56:13	periods 59:3	perspective	piazza 47:9,16
57:4,6 94:23	perm 74:5 77:2	18:10 35:11	47:20 70:2
95:11,24	86:25 87:10	pertinent	pick 47:2,14,20
108:15	100:12,15	122:13	49:12
pathways	permeability	pessimism	picked 49:4
99:15	86:1,1 93:16	19:16	51:1 96:5
pattern 99:16	permian 16:7	pessimistic	picking 29:7
paul 131:4,18	18:19 41:20	40:17	46:15
pay 28:9 41:3,4	64:25 67:10	petition 8:16	picks 40:9
paying 35:18	93:1 97:19	8:17,21,24	47:23,24 49:5
35:25 36:8,16	106:2 109:15	10:8	49:7 73:7,8
60:21 61:17	110:19 113:21	petitioners	pilot 16:1 19:1
peak 59:1	120:19 121:1	7:12	19:2,5 25:3
pecos 1:5	121:12,16,18	petroleum 3:3	67:6 89:13
pending 4:8	122:3 125:2	8:4 35:22	91:12 97:18
17:14,20 68:3	126:11,13,21	39:18 55:18	100:19 115:22
penrose 63:20	127:6	62:3,10 86:14	116:23,25
87:18	permian's	petrophysic	117:1,2,7,9,18
people 3:2 4:15	127:4	97:12	118:12,23
21:9 22:4 23:6	permit 59:19	petrophysical	119:7,10 120:1
24:15	122:21 123:2,5	72:20 73:2	122:3 125:2
percent 31:22	123:6,8 124:22	91:10	pilot's 117:2,13
31:23 46:9			

[pink - present]

pink 67:4	plus 2:10	posits 109:20	predecessor
pipeline 68:10	point 9:8 11:7	possibility	121:7,13
place 39:25	13:24 22:12	114:16 118:19	124:18
40:7,11,19	27:3 31:1	possible 27:20	predecessors
47:6 71:10,20	53:24 56:6	29:22 37:19	122:23
72:17,22 78:11	58:5 62:22	105:1	preferable
78:16,18,23	73:3 92:14,21	possibly 20:24	106:12
79:1 92:11	92:22 96:5	22:2 24:4	preference
99:17 111:1	108:22 110:1,4	postulate 85:18	115:25
places 24:16	113:8,12 122:9	potable 107:16	prehearing
plague 91:3	122:10 125:19	109:2 112:19	17:5,19 125:3
plagued 91:1	128:9,17 129:8	potential 32:25	prejudice
plain 78:17	points 14:4	66:25 79:13	127:1
plan 68:22	69:23 85:12	88:2 89:3,15	preliminary
114:3,4,13,20	122:4 125:11	91:3 97:10	17:12
115:5	126:1	98:16,24	premature
planned 2:10	pool 35:23 66:6	104:11 107:16	35:19
plans 114:22	105:10	112:23 122:6	prematurely
platform 4:24	pooling 111:11	potentially	35:6
5:8,10 8:1 99:2	poor 86:20	22:24 104:24	premised 76:7
99:8,18	131:11	112:2,19	prepare 71:11
play 119:2	popular 114:23	powell 122:5	72:15
129:14	pores 93:24	122:14 123:17	prepared 24:22
playing 71:6	portion 44:9	power 7:4	31:1 45:7
pleading 126:7	48:15 109:15	powers 36:6	131:5
please 6:17	114:17	106:17	preparing
14:2 15:7 58:8	portions 43:9	practice 95:8	123:4,6
plenty 105:18	position 11:11	pre 66:12	preponderance
plow 116:10	11:23 12:23	precedent	36:24 37:2
plumed 88:7	38:4 69:21	69:14	presence 39:2
plumes 52:8	117:2 118:23	preclude 2:23	41:14 52:10,20
84:21 87:5,7	120:7,20 124:5	126:2	70:4
pluming 80:16	positioned	precluding	present 4:15
81:23 82:17,22	114:1	125:15	7:9 15:3 20:19
83:1 85:8 86:4			26:6 29:3 51:5

[present - production]

56:24 72:19	54:16	probably 11:9	process 39:14
74:13 110:3	pressurization	11:13 12:14	86:19 123:5
112:3	35:3	13:22 19:17	processes
presentation	preston 40:4	22:10 25:20	109:16
27:11 109:6	46:8,21 49:3	103:9 104:8	processing 87:3
presentations	92:7	105:9 114:24	produce 68:22
19:22,25 20:12	pretty 43:13	130:2	69:6 90:2,14
29:15 70:11	84:11 103:17	problem 19:5	99:9
92:2 127:21	prevalent 29:8	24:14 32:3	produced
128:4	prevent 35:13	46:15 47:7	31:11 32:16
presented	35:16,19	53:11 55:5	33:10 34:25
63:16 74:19	101:21	56:3 68:18,18	37:21 41:7
75:14 106:15	previous 92:5	69:20 80:24	42:5 50:11,13
presents 70:17	previously	91:5 104:3,10	56:20 58:12
117:3	41:19 45:21	105:4 120:15	61:14 63:23
president 38:15	59:20 92:23	problems 75:9	64:6,19 68:11
42:23	122:12	77:18 84:16	69:5,15 73:23
pressure 34:22	price 68:25	85:13 86:18	74:20 79:22
34:24 42:13,21	69:1	87:10	80:14 84:12
44:22,23,25	primacy 107:7	procedural	90:4,10,18
49:9 51:18,25	109:1	29:19	100:24 101:2,5
54:11,20,23	primarily 50:5	procedures	101:8 104:22
55:7 56:1 77:7	50:10 121:15	39:11	106:13 107:23
77:10,16 78:6	primary 63:11	proceed 5:4	118:1 129:19
81:8 88:11	77:11 90:7,9	103:13,20	producers 35:6
93:6 100:11	112:23 119:3	proceeded	85:18
pressured	principals 27:5	67:12	producing
88:15,17	principles 49:8	proceeding	35:17 42:20
101:10	125:13	21:14 22:8	54:15 60:21
pressures	prior 41:12	proceedings	61:16 75:25
33:15 44:21	51:23 52:12,14	1:13 2:1 11:5	82:9,12 83:10
77:9 78:2	55:14,15 72:8	16:21 36:20	85:4 89:16
87:16	122:21	115:17 126:23	97:4
pressuring	privacy 114:24	130:23 131:9	production
34:21 42:16			36:8,10,16

[production - quality]

41:11 42:13,15	56:15 57:3	proposes 32:1	provides 76:9
44:16 47:15,22	86:21 89:18	109:23	providing
50:14 51:21,24	91:13 105:11	proposing	72:19
55:13 61:21	106:21 113:23	10:25 98:22	proving 36:23
63:11 69:16	projected 90:2	protect 35:14	37:2,5 104:14
71:1 77:11	90:13,21	109:2 112:5	provision 36:5
80:18,19,21	projects 42:2	protectable	provisional
81:9,20 82:1,3	43:7 100:19	114:12	29:20
82:11 83:5,17	prolonged 59:3	protected	psi's 42:17
83:19 84:13	promised 100:1	112:7	51:19,20 54:17
86:15 90:7,9	promote 51:14	protecting	54:20
98:20 99:1,6	promulgated	107:15 114:25	public 1:1
99:13 101:22	122:18,19	129:17	70:11
109:14,18	pronunciation	protection	published 86:8
110:11,16	20:14	111:16 114:14	purchase 69:1
119:14,16	proof 35:8	protective	70:12
124:25	36:18,21 37:10	102:3	purely 74:14
production's	40:1	protocol 21:7	purported
84:10	proper 46:18	prove 23:10	74:13 77:10
productive	properties	69:17	purports 84:2
99:4,12	39:15 66:14	proves 45:9	purpose 53:24
professional	property 97:9	provide 11:8	86:17 111:24
86:9	propose 9:23	41:21 43:3	purposes 51:3
professor 3:5	proposed 10:9	47:21 57:4	pursue 106:20
profound 122:7	10:12,19 11:21	70:3 75:2	113:16
program 105:6	12:8 31:10	76:19 105:17	pushing 50:21
107:1,2,12,13	33:13,17,20,23	105:25 111:14	put 70:7 78:5
107:17,22,23	34:17 36:13	113:23 115:4	83:4 96:14
108:24 111:1	37:3 38:19	provided 40:20	97:3 115:3
111:23,25	39:23 51:6	48:4,19 50:4	puts 76:5
112:2,12 113:2	59:17,24 60:4	51:16 52:3	putting 105:17
113:17 114:5	60:11 102:1	53:12 76:22	q
115:10 120:2	105:3 106:8	80:23 106:3	quality 79:3
project 34:24	113:23 114:3,4	110:22 131:6	114:8 131:11
36:14 41:10	115:4,10		114.0 131.11

[quantifiable - red]

			
quantifiable	25:24 26:11,12	really 10:18	reconsideration
42:25	26:19 57:23	27:18 30:3	17:22 125:19
quantities	58:7 103:5	47:7 75:17	reconvene
35:18 36:1,8	115:21 117:9	110:24 128:4	21:17 22:3
36:17 60:21	118:9 119:17	reason 19:20	record 12:23
61:17	121:1 124:19	47:24 62:5,8	14:13 57:24
queen 87:19	126:22	63:6 99:21	58:2 59:22
question 26:13	rankin's 19:16	100:2	72:5 92:2
108:6	29:17	reasons 89:22	recorded 92:10
questions 6:22	rapid 87:10	91:4 97:24	131:9
14:5 20:22	rassenfoss	rebounded	recording
27:19 102:23	109:12	84:6	131:6
quick 30:24	rate 32:2 42:17	rebranded	records 64:11
quickly 27:21	54:17 57:15	32:19	119:16
71:11	58:14,21,25	rebuttal 27:15	recover 34:19
quite 108:21	59:3,8,9 60:5	40:4 46:23	41:18 42:7
quorum 30:11	rates 33:15,16	47:11,17 49:11	45:2
quote 21:13	33:25	49:16 51:12	recoverable
35:16 50:4	rather 38:7	73:11 76:15,20	41:21 60:19
122:5 125:5,16	47:24 84:21	77:19	119:10
r	91:25 113:9	received 11:3	recovered
r 8:7,8	reach 49:23	67:11	32:15 102:11
radius 65:21,25	reached 10:8	recent 83:3,20	recovery 32:25
66:3	72:1	recently 11:3	34:23 35:22
raise 19:20	reaching 122:7	65:16 118:21	36:14 41:6,10
raised 30:12	127:7	recognize 40:8	41:18 43:1,7
raises 121:25	read 20:11 36:6	120:8 124:21	50:14 52:23
raising 26:13	53:23 76:23	recognized	90:14,16,20
37:8	126:9	122:19 125:12	109:16 118:2
rankin 4:19,20	real 100:11,14	recognizes	119:14
5:18,19,24	realistically	127:15	red 8:23,25 9:3
15:5,6,8 16:10	11:10	recommendat	9:7,9,21 10:1
17:9,10 19:10	reality 81:15	51:3	10:25 12:8
19:24 20:3	84:20 117:4	recommendat	33:14 128:5
		30:9	
24:12,13 25:17			

[reduce - requested]

	1		
reduce 35:21	reframe 85:11	relating 38:18	remember
114:25	regard 27:16	relation 109:8	13:11,25 29:12
reduced 131:6	79:3	113:20 125:21	100:6
reduces 35:21	regarding	relationship	remind 23:7
40:6 123:22	38:16 39:19	105:7 108:17	reminder 106:4
reef 56:5,14,25	42:10 48:5	114:6	remotely 25:20
61:3 104:12,20	122:12	relative 103:10	removable
104:24,24	regime 123:24	release 120:10	48:11
105:2,8 108:18	region 118:24	released 13:18	repeatedly
109:9,21,23	regional 122:6	relevant 107:11	118:19
110:9,21	regions 48:22	reliable 118:1	rephrase 82:18
111:17,18,19	regulate 109:1	119:15 123:20	report 90:12
112:14 113:20	regulated	reliance 66:20	reported
114:7,10,11,17	123:18 124:13	68:4,7,9 84:17	100:24 125:4
117:17 118:13	127:8	127:16	reporter's
118:16,17	regulates	relied 49:5	131:3
119:2,5	110:15	53:14	reporting
refer 31:13	regulations	relief 36:25	64:12 113:3
92:9	107:3,17 111:7	125:12,15,17	reports 106:1
reference 76:20	111:16 123:12	127:9,11,13	111:6
referenced 86:6	123:21	relies 38:3	representation
referred 71:5	regulatory	53:21	53:11 78:8
121:19 126:16	69:13 117:4	rely 49:7 50:10	representations
referring 75:19	118:6 119:20	53:21 54:7	53:15
75:20	119:23,25	74:24 75:1	represents
refers 35:25	123:20,24	123:8	92:19 124:12
73:25	125:17,24	remained 73:15	reputational
refile 127:3	reiterate 127:7	remains 123:1	113:5
reflect 70:4	reiterated	remarkable	request 57:3
118:6	127:2	68:24	59:11 70:3,5
reflected 84:18	reject 120:9	remarkably	70:13 120:7
reflecting	related 75:11	66:15 72:10	requested
102:15	131:13	remarks 31:3	36:25 87:15
reflects 81:14	relates 80:7	remedies	127:9
92:7 111:18		122:11	

requests 58:21	reservoirs	respond 130:9	72:16
59:15	39:16 41:23	response 18:2	revising 69:22
require 10:1,24	81:4	68:13 125:9	revision 72:6
12:17 36:3	reset 11:4,18	responsibility	revocation
54:21 57:2	residual 33:1	114:1	124:16
89:17 105:12	37:19 39:3	responsive	revoke 37:5
114:21	41:3,19 43:19	70:13	57:12 60:7
required 11:20	44:15,19 45:3	rest 19:15	62:13 69:12
42:14 72:6	46:5 50:13	21:14 36:1	103:2 120:23
103:17	53:16 94:11,12	restated 92:4	123:15 126:20
requirement	95:1,15 100:25	rests 50:23	127:9
107:10	119:9	result 37:3	rewrite 85:10
requirements	resisted 70:7	42:19 77:11	120:9
63:1 111:7	resolve 29:19	112:24 123:2	rice 16:7 18:19
113:3	105:3 113:15	125:17,23	64:16 67:2,11
requires 35:12	resolved 17:6	resulting 37:6	120:13,17,19
78:5	17:20 18:11	72:21 78:15	120:25 121:1
requiring	19:6	90:24	121:10,15,22
34:23,25 64:12	resoundingly	results 29:18	122:3 125:2
reschedule 9:6	57:8	42:8 52:4	126:11,13,14
research 29:14	resource 101:7	retirement 30:4	127:6
29:18	resources 2:19	returns 88:18	rice's 121:18
reservoir 32:16	41:21 105:10	revealed 96:21	126:20 127:4
34:22 39:1	respect 10:5	revenues	rich 52:10
42:10,11 43:22	27:11 33:4	123:25	rift 93:11
43:25 44:9	36:18 37:13	review 10:13	right 2:16 15:4
49:8 51:18	49:19 50:9	29:21 100:4	15:22 16:23
61:9 65:19	51:11 53:3	reviewed 98:25	18:12,24,25
69:16 77:7	78:24	117:13	19:7 25:9,12
78:4,6 79:3	respectfully	reviewing	27:25 30:13,25
80:22 81:8	120:7	39:20 61:6,6	31:2 53:24
86:24 87:23	respecting	100:7	56:10 57:25
93:25 98:23	127:17	revised 9:21	58:3 77:25
117:23 118:24	respective	10:12 71:11,19	92:11 93:13
	122:10	71:19 72:2,14	98:7 102:20

103:20,22	roustabout	6:5,8 7:13,17	runs 81:5,10
115:16,18	121:14	7:21,24 8:6,9	ryan 40:3
116:21 126:7	route 6:21	9:13,16 10:14	ryno 44:1 55:1
130:16	routinely 26:5	11:15 12:1,13	67:18
rightly 119:24	roz 33:1,3 38:1	12:20,24 13:5	S
rights 31:19	39:13,17 40:1	13:16 14:14	
35:14 37:4,7	40:7 41:1,6,14	15:11,14,18,21	s 1:6 safe 104:25
51:8 54:10	41:21,23 42:7	16:2,5,9,14	107:3,8,13
57:11 59:25	42:12 43:4	18:25 20:5	112:7,15,22
60:13 61:15,21	45:9,12,17	21:19 22:1,22	saint 1:6
102:4 118:25	46:19 47:5	22:23 23:20	saline 119:5
129:3,17	48:2,6,9,16,25	24:19,24 25:2	salinity 52:9
rip 16:19	49:23 50:22	25:4,6 28:14	saltwater 31:12
ripe 115:15	51:7,9 52:20	28:18,21 30:19	34:13 39:6
125:18	53:6 54:10	58:8 103:21	43:6 44:6,23
ripley 1:25	55:23 56:8	115:21 116:4,8	46:16 50:20
rising 110:10	57:8 69:6	116:10 130:13	64:21 68:14
110:18	70:11,14 76:1	130:17	103:2 121:3,4
risks 124:1	78:11,15,20	rozs 91:15,25	121:10
robert 38:22	79:25 80:12	97:23 99:17	san 7:4 31:12
rock 39:15	88:25 89:2,3	rr 45:19 49:21	31:17 32:12
40:17 50:6	89:15 91:13,16	rubin 30:16,17	33:1,6 34:6,20
79:10 94:24	91:17,22 92:11	127:23 128:15	34:21 35:4
rocket 34:11	95:7 96:8,14	128:16 130:6	39:1,2,3,4,6,8
rocks 93:24	96:15,17 97:5	rubin's 130:8	39:13,21,25
role 29:18 30:1	97:6,11,13,18	rule 26:10,20	40:1,2,6,7,9,10
119:2,21	98:2,7,11,16,18	27:3,10 28:3	40:12,20,23
roll 2:13 4:1	98:24 99:16,22	36:20	42:12,14,17,21
room 15:4	100:20 102:11	rules 4:10 27:2	43:3,4,9,16,19
24:10 35:1	119:15 128:21	36:2 122:18,19	43:25 44:4,10
102:21,22	rozatos 1:20	131:14	44:11,14,21,22
roots 107:2	2:2,5,14 3:7,15	rulings 29:20	44:24 45:3,10
roughly 78:14	3:17,20 4:1,4,7	run 39:16	45:11,14,17,21
83:11	4:18,20,22 5:2	running 59:2	46:5,10,12,14
	5:11,16,23 6:1	108:2	46:16,18,25

47:12 48:1,9	88:2,4,6,23	saturations	scheduling
48:10,16,16,24	92:9 93:3,9,16	39:12 42:4	10:12 14:3
49:1,20,23	93:18 94:6,7,9	46:7,9 49:19	science 117:19
50:3,5,18,24	94:20,22,23	49:22 79:21,23	scientific 110:6
51:9,14,18,22	95:2,6,21	91:22,23,24,25	scope 37:15
51:25 52:5,9	96:20 97:14,16	92:10,12,16,18	56:6 117:21
52:10,13 53:1	98:13,17,18,22	94:10,15 95:1	screen 31:6
53:7 54:17,20	99:5,7,10,12,19	95:9,16,22	62:19,19
54:22 55:4,7	99:20,22 100:8	96:6,7,11,18,20	sea 90:23
55:11,19,20,22	101:4,18	97:15,21 99:24	seal 39:7 60:23
55:23,24 56:2	104:11 105:7	100:25	61:19 88:11
56:8,19,20	106:12 107:18	saw 71:9	93:18
57:9 58:15	110:21 113:21	sayer 5:1,1 6:6	seals 101:21
59:13 60:17,25	114:9 117:15	6:7,9	second 3:14,25
61:2,8 62:17	117:21,22	saying 68:20	20:1 67:1 77:6
62:24 63:4,7	118:3,12,15,18	82:15 97:13	100:10 106:14
63:10,17,20,22	118:20 119:9	says 65:19,23	secondary 43:1
64:2,4 65:15	121:19 124:21	73:15 76:24	43:7 118:2
66:4,7,11,13,18	125:1,6 126:16	77:18,19,25	119:14
67:20 68:6,7	sand 40:8,10	81:23 82:20	secretary 3:6
68:17,21 69:5	santa 1:7 7:16	97:1,5 98:5,9	section 43:24
69:14 70:5,15	15:8	125:4,21,23	43:24 48:4
72:24 73:7,21	saturated	126:8,8	53:20 106:15
73:24 74:3,14	92:23 94:8,20	scale 52:12	sections 39:22
74:15,20 75:4	95:2,10,11,18	69:10 70:24	42:3 53:12
75:6,12,17,20	95:19,23,24	85:13	73:11 106:18
75:22 76:7	98:23	scaling 54:25	see 3:2 5:9 9:11
77:11 78:1,14	saturating 94:6	55:2	14:6 18:13,23
78:16,25 79:5	saturation	schedule 4:13	21:23 28:24
79:11,18 80:6	39:15 40:16,22	13:15	32:4 33:12,15
80:14,16 81:16	44:20 47:4	scheduled 5:6	33:18,22 34:1
81:17,24 82:22	50:3,8,10	11:6 127:21	44:5,8,20
83:1 84:21	71:16 91:9	schedules 14:1	46:20 54:2,4
85:8,15,17	92:14	21:24 22:4	57:19,19 64:8
86:4 87:4,8,13		23:23	65:4 76:23

	1	1	·
77:6,19 78:10	senior 38:15	68:13 71:2	shift 93:10
83:25 92:17	42:23	sets 109:3	109:19
94:14,15 95:3	sense 11:12	setting 75:8	shifting 69:21
95:15,21 96:1	12:5 22:12	settlement	short 43:13
97:7 105:19	24:17 75:5	115:14	76:13 86:3
107:25 128:4	108:9 110:5,6	seven 39:13	101:24,25
130:22	sensitive 120:1	70:9 99:4	103:12
seeing 82:2	sensitivities	several 20:24	shortened
83:2 84:11,12	39:16	81:11 108:1	104:8
115:2	separated	severe 90:25	show 42:4
seek 127:4	118:17	severely 41:23	43:12 46:9
seeking 69:11	separately 61:1	sewell 71:11	47:11 48:20,25
71:22	separating 53:5	79:15 89:9	49:22 50:7
seeks 58:12	separation 51:3	shaheen 15:19	53:13,18,20
114:21 123:15	september 8:19	15:19,23 18:13	55:23 59:6
seems 20:23	sequence 68:4	18:14 20:15,16	60:3 62:19,20
seen 26:25	98:5,9,12	24:25	68:2 70:16
52:24 55:13	sequences	shallow 96:21	77:10,12 80:17
77:3 105:18	43:14	shallower 80:8	88:21 99:16
seep 55:15	series 107:25	87:19 89:20	101:3,25
84:24 85:1	serves 98:5	100:9	106:18 108:13
sees 84:5	service 16:8	shallowest	119:18
seismic 68:13	65:1 67:10	91:23	showed 47:1
selected 47:20	120:20 121:12	shanor 8:3	50:25
selecting 46:17	121:16	share 19:15	showing 43:23
47:12	service's	31:6	43:25 44:9
selection 39:2	121:18	sharing 9:20	55:22 65:18
40:5 45:11,14	servicer 121:14	62:18	75:15 80:25
111:14	session 128:8	sharon 15:19	81:25 82:5
self 46:2	set 6:11,16 7:8	sheet 17:4	83:18 84:15
sell 97:9	8:18,20 9:7	sheila 5:8,13	88:6
semantics 74:9	11:24 12:7,19	6:16 13:3	shown 33:13
semi 30:4	12:25 13:5,11	shelf 61:25	46:8,22 48:12
seminole 41:6	13:12,22,24	90:23 99:9	52:16 110:11
	23:23,24 29:9		

	1		
shows 46:24	simply 59:22	sodium 55:3	southwest
47:4,7,23 48:3	79:2 81:7	solid 53:25	64:24
48:8,9,22,23	103:12 104:17	solution 105:3	space 54:5,5
51:23 52:13	simulation	110:25 113:15	spans 98:12
57:5 76:3	43:12,21 78:4	solutions	sparse 95:3
77:21 82:4	80:23 84:18	116:23	spe 86:8
83:21 91:21	87:23	solves 20:11	speak 17:25
92:9 93:7 96:3	single 76:10	somebody	26:17 112:1
96:4 108:16	85:12 100:23	104:7	speaking 18:14
110:18	sir 17:16	somewhat	19:12
shut 65:20,23	sit 28:8	12:17	special 66:6
side 9:2 21:5	site 123:6	soon 22:2	112:15
24:9 99:17	situ 93:25	sorry 18:22	specific 18:2
sidebar 19:23	situation 12:5	19:3 20:14	76:9 77:4
sierra 7:5	25:21 113:20	82:17 126:5	100:8 112:11
signature	129:21	sort 33:19	specifically
131:18	six 60:6 63:3	sorts 114:22	32:8 61:10
significant	81:22 83:13	sosa 67:14	107:11 122:10
32:25 33:16	size 106:9	sought 122:11	126:14 127:2
34:1 77:17	skim 100:23	125:17	specify 76:24
89:17 101:10	slated 2:4	sound 116:11	specifying
110:3 117:10	22:24 23:2	source 61:4	75:22 80:6
119:2,23 124:2	28:14	63:5,8 68:8	speculate 85:16
124:14	slice 44:8	87:4 94:24	111:23,23
silence 30:18	slide 35:11 51:1	112:20 114:15	speculated 88:2
similar 12:5	54:8 82:5	119:4	speculation
94:15	83:18 92:6	sources 107:16	98:17 119:8
similarities	93:7 96:2 98:6	115:1	spend 27:13
41:9	slides 35:10	south 31:13	30:5
similarly 50:1	slowest 83:21	37:20 43:14	spends 38:6
52:19	small 33:20	58:17 93:4	split 115:11
simon 99:19	53:22 117:7,8	99:5,18 117:6	spoiler 112:8
simple 60:13	smoke 84:19	southeast 32:6	spoke 18:22
70:3 100:4	society 86:8	76:18 93:5	19:10
		112:20	

[spring - subsea]

spring 115:17	state 1:2 2:19	statutes 36:3	44:3 55:19
stability 119:20	29:23 31:21	106:16 123:12	73:10 89:8
120:22 129:14	41:13 62:24	123:21	98:4 123:20
stable 123:19	63:3,5 87:6	statutory 36:2	studied 49:14
stacked 43:14	107:13 111:15	129:15 130:1	studies 51:16
stake 68:15	112:4,4 113:9	stay 126:25	52:7 76:17,25
stand 115:3	114:18 120:20	stayed 126:24	study 76:10,11
127:6	124:1 128:22	step 98:19	studying 39:20
standard 129:1	stated 5:5	stepping 2:21	107:14
standards	16:16 129:16	2:24	stuff 29:5 73:18
109:3 129:18	statement	steve 91:18	suazo 7:18,18
standing 79:23	37:12 58:4	stood 72:11,13	7:21 10:14,15
stanley 40:14	86:3 92:5	stops 76:12	15:24,25 19:1
stare 125:13	102:6 125:3	storage 41:24	19:4,5 25:2,3
stars 33:14	statements	story 69:21	116:4,6,19,20
start 2:12,13	14:24 16:17	73:14,17 85:11	116:22 120:13
3:8 5:18 7:9	22:15,21,25	94:18	120:15
8:12 14:14,15	23:2,8 31:2	stratigraph	sub 90:24
15:4 17:8	46:2 115:23	72:22 88:19	subject 29:21
22:14 23:4,18	130:8,20	100:12	29:22 32:10
24:9,18 29:7	states 20:7	stratigraphic	36:20 62:1,25
42:15 62:18	31:22 37:16	77:2 118:14	subjected
72:9 91:2	46:3 47:18	stratigraphica	100:20
105:15 106:6	49:11 50:5	118:15	submit 10:11
116:20 130:21	53:8 56:12	stratum 35:17	37:17 71:22
started 61:6	81:15 87:5	60:20	submitted 5:12
64:5,12,22	109:14	streaks 86:25	71:19 111:6
65:8 74:17,22	static 93:25	87:11	submitting
81:8,9 83:24	stating 12:23	stress 126:18	17:21
starting 15:1	status 4:13 5:5	stretch 21:8	subsea 39:24
23:23 24:14	7:8 12:19,25	strong 48:23	46:11,13,14
25:7 69:25	13:6,20 107:7	structurally	51:19 79:16
78:6 86:21	114:12	44:1	89:4,5 96:5,9
starts 23:3 96:9	statute 35:25	structure 39:24	96:10
106:8	36:15	40:20 43:16	

[subsequent - technical]

subsequent	supervised	suspect 21:15	take 9:21 11:18
123:7	112:25	58:5 104:13	11:24 14:9,11
subsequently	supplies 76:16	suspects 104:18	20:24 24:6
32:19	119:3	108:13	25:15 27:18
substantial	supply 44:7	swd 34:5,6	57:20 58:3
86:21 90:25	50:11,15 63:4	41:22 42:2,24	114:1 116:5,6
93:5 97:15	63:18 101:15	43:5,6 44:1	128:15
100:11 101:20	118:2	47:19 52:25	taken 46:4
substantially	supplying 63:8	55:1 64:24	takes 21:8 23:3
88:16	support 59:23	67:14,18 121:9	25:8 118:23
substantive	80:15,21 85:12	swds 33:13	talk 22:12 35:7
29:15 30:9,12	96:24 115:4	34:11 38:20	41:5,8 108:3
subtracted	117:2 122:16	43:18 60:8	126:19
84:4	125:8,14	65:5,8,20,23,24	talked 129:7
success 41:6,9	supporting	66:2,3,21 67:1	talking 61:10
89:20	38:8 61:23	67:3,13 68:3,5	62:15 76:3
successor 32:23	88:22	118:9	77:4 111:22
suddenly 68:20	supports 38:4	sweeping 93:4	talks 129:6
97:22	62:11 94:19,21	swept 62:6 93:9	tall 41:10
sufficient 49:22	120:6,22	93:23 94:4,9	tangible 88:9
69:17	supposed 85:22	system 61:25	100:14
suggest 10:9	supposition	90:23 91:4	tap 112:6
suggested 9:14	75:9	121:4,9,23	target 58:14
suggestion	sure 10:15	122:25,25	99:19 124:22
12:18 103:12	18:16 20:10	systems 121:3	targeted 63:23
suit 85:11	26:19 29:1	121:11	task 105:11
sulfate 52:10	54:6 128:25	t	tasked 107:14
52:10,12 55:20	surface 87:16	t 8:7,8	tax 123:25
74:15,20	114:5	table 15:22	tds 119:6
sulfates 55:4	surprising	96:25	team 86:8
summary 45:15	105:9	tables 14:11	117:13
49:2 108:8	surrounding	tackle 6:13	tech 3:5 106:1
125:10	45:18 65:10	23:22	106:11
summertime	117:10	tailored 127:15	technical 29:6
14:1,2			43:11 59:15

[technical - three]

61:24 62:2,7	tested 48:15	122:4 123:16	110:2 126:10
73:18 74:10	50:18	129:5	think 9:1,7,10
90:12 100:1,2	testified 72:12	testing 108:14	9:25 10:19
119:22	81:3 82:8	tests 79:21	11:2,10,17,23
technicalities	87:14,21 94:7	100:18	20:18,20 21:19
130:14	96:12 98:1,13	texas 41:2	21:20 22:1,11
techniques	98:15	110:13,14	23:21 24:1,5
40:24 41:18	testify 38:16,25	thank 3:6 4:18	24:13 25:17,20
tectonic 44:12	39:10,19 40:4	4:22 5:2,20,25	26:25 27:2,17
tell 21:2,16	40:15 41:1,17	6:1,5,7 7:13,21	28:14 30:22,22
91:24 102:19	42:1,10,24	7:24 8:9,15	35:9 36:19
102:19 129:12	testifying 26:21	9:18 11:15	53:19 72:24
telling 84:5,7	27:6	12:1,4,23 14:7	94:19,21,25
tells 56:1	testimonies	15:11,14,18,21	97:10 102:17
telltale 92:17	72:17	16:5,9,14 18:7	103:21 115:21
temporally	testimony 26:7	19:7 27:8 31:4	115:22 116:5
118:16	27:15 37:17,25	57:16,17,18	120:2 128:16
ten 50:3 57:20	46:24 49:16	58:7 103:3,4	130:2
116:6	50:4 53:10	103:24 115:6,8	thinking 19:16
tends 35:21	56:11 58:24	120:11,12	115:18
tenets 62:4	59:6,16 60:3	127:18,19	third 20:1
tentative 13:12	61:5 65:22	130:4,5,22	78:10 82:15,20
tenure 13:12	70:17 71:8,19	theme 108:2	100:17
term 36:7,9	71:20,22 72:2	theoretically	thought 27:4
59:1	72:11,13 73:12	113:7	130:10
termed 49:24	73:21 76:8,15	thereof 35:17	thoughts 57:22
terminates 98:8	76:16,21 77:4	thick 96:16	127:14
terms 10:3	77:19 78:3	97:5,10,14	threat 124:12
93:23 108:8	80:6,7 81:15	thicker 97:6,18	three 18:23
terrible 86:10	82:6 83:4 84:1	thing 25:12	63:24 64:3
tertiary 34:23	88:21 91:19	28:10 29:13	65:10,21 66:1
36:13 43:7	92:4 93:8	70:21 94:18	68:23,25 71:21
50:14 52:23	96:24,25 98:7	things 17:3	72:8 97:17
test 100:20	100:1,5,7	19:21 25:11	100:3,21 121:2
102:18	101:3 107:20	34:21 100:4	

[threshold - typical]

threshold	today 2:4,21	tops 45:11 49:4	trials 26:5
60:14 91:11	12:10 14:25	49:12 72:23	triangle 64:7
124:8,9,15	16:17 17:24	73:11 79:8,9	tried 81:10
throw 72:7	18:5,15 22:15	total 34:12	tries 77:12
tied 107:3	23:1,18 60:2	35:21 119:6	tripp 8:2,3,7,7
tiers 79:2	64:9,21 66:8	towards 113:25	8:8 12:2,4
ties 104:19	74:25 103:10	114:2	true 89:2 118:6
tighter 14:2	105:18 106:3	tracking 87:1	131:8
till 21:4	111:13 113:18	tracy 86:13	try 22:2 29:11
tilted 93:1	115:6 123:1	transcript 1:13	31:6
time 6:14 9:22	126:23 127:21	2:1 131:5,7	trying 38:7
10:6 11:4,19	today's 68:15	transcription	69:24 85:10
11:24 12:7	together 9:11	131:9	turn 21:4
13:23 14:10	10:18 11:19	transfer 16:20	120:17 123:25
17:11,14 19:22	12:11 13:7	transition	turned 93:21
20:21,25 21:10	104:19	48:10	94:5
22:12,20 23:25	told 97:9	traveling 24:15	turning 93:12
25:11 27:17	102:17	treatments	107:19 113:10
29:5 32:13,16	tomorrow	86:18	two 10:11 34:7
38:7 54:21	14:25 22:25	tremaine 4:16	34:9 42:21
57:1 63:2	23:1,14,15,19	4:17 6:2,3 7:22	43:13 46:6
69:22 73:3	took 81:5,10	7:22,25 9:4,16	50:17 51:15
83:11 84:10	top 39:2 40:5	9:17 12:15,16	52:2 65:6,23
86:14 90:1	40:10,20 45:14	12:22	67:2,23 72:19
92:23 95:17	46:13,14,16,25	trentham 40:25	75:23 76:2,16
111:10 115:6	47:1,12 49:7	52:18 91:17	80:10 86:7
123:3 128:14	50:24 51:1	92:3 93:15	87:14 91:17
128:15 130:9	55:19 73:7,8	96:12 97:1,4	104:7 118:18
timeline 12:11	77:14 79:11	trentham's	128:17
times 97:17	93:19 99:8	92:8 96:25	types 10:23
106:7	112:21 113:12	trespass 129:23	typewritten
timing 72:4	113:13,13	trial 21:2,3,14	131:7
tisdel 7:11,11	topic 13:19	23:17 27:25	typical 30:6
8:15 9:15	86:9	28:7 81:7	

u	underlines 38:1	unit 31:13,16	unproduced
uic 105:22	underlying	31:20,24 32:6	41:19
107:1,13,21	31:12 37:20	32:9,18,21	unquote 21:13
108:24 114:23	45:18 57:9	33:2 34:10	unreasonable
120:2 125:3	73:1	37:20 38:19	84:2
ultimate 35:21	underneath	42:4 43:14	unsupported
60:15	107:12	45:20,21 48:3	119:15
ultimately	underscore	50:21 52:23	unwavering
43:10 60:10	120:21	58:17 60:8	73:15
62:5,8 100:3	understand	63:25 64:16,20	updip 43:9
105:1 128:11	12:17 18:20	65:14 67:1,13	44:6 48:15
unaccounted	28:6 66:10	68:4 83:25	93:5 94:23
87:6,7,12,21,24	75:18 76:2	86:16,22 92:9	uplift 43:16
unbounded	understanding	100:13 102:2	44:2
102:8	17:11,15,18	111:10	upper 40:9,11
uncertain	19:11,13,23	united 31:22	40:22 73:24
89:21	24:22,23	unitization	74:3 75:12,17
uncertainty	113:19,25	32:11 106:23	98:16
123:22	114:3 118:21	106:24 111:12	uppermost
under 24:22	127:22 130:15	125:11,22,25	91:8
27:1 35:14	understands	126:12	uproar 108:22
36:8,16 59:13	97:2	unitize 63:14	upsetting
59:17 69:18	understood	unitized 31:17	129:25
79:6,11 88:17	24:11	32:13 33:6,10	usa 7:16
90:11 93:5	undertake	34:3,19 39:20	use 40:19 41:17
101:10 112:15	71:13	42:6 56:13	47:3,13,23
118:21	undertaken	57:11 63:13	52:23 54:21
underground	37:21	118:3	63:1 66:7
61:4 63:22	unfairly 104:8	units 42:12	110:5
104:18 107:1	unfortunately	46:6 64:3 65:6	used 21:1 29:25
108:10,15	2:22 54:2	65:11,21 66:1	39:11 63:17
109:1 112:24	108:7 115:13	68:23,25	73:9 112:17
114:15 115:1	unique 98:14	unnecessary	uses 46:12,25
underlie 60:15	99:13	57:3	77:14

[using - water]

using 49:12	views 29:22	116:17 120:20	63:4,8,18,22,23
55:18 71:14	109:4	120:21 128:5	64:6,19 65:2
125:6	violates 36:2	wanted 2:12	68:8,11 69:5,9
usually 104:6		12:3,22 27:12	69:14,15,15
utilized 40:18	violating 57:11 violation	102:18 127:3	71:15 73:23
		wants 114:5	
utmost 22:5	104:25 112:7		74:15,20 75:6 79:22 80:16
V	violations	warrant 120:3	
vacuum 121:20	112:21 119:1	124:15	81:17,20,22
valid 120:24	volume 104:23	warrants 10:24	82:9,13,24
validated	106:4	79:16 89:10	83:10 84:12,22
111:20	volumes 41:2	washington	84:23,25 85:2
validly 123:14	42:24 55:13,17	113:1	85:8,15,17,19
124:16 125:12	56:18 63:9	waste 31:18	85:20,22,23
126:14 127:9	64:9,13 80:15	35:13 37:3,6	87:4,7,12,18,21
127:18	80:25 81:9,25	41:24 42:8	87:24,24 88:3
valued 33:3	82:2,16,21	59:25 60:12	88:4,6,23
variable 129:6	93:1,8	61:15,22 102:3	89:24 91:1
various 26:16	voluminous	118:25 129:18	92:20,21 93:2
26:22 37:9	38:3 49:9 57:5	water 16:1	93:21 96:23
106:18 111:15	voters 7:2	32:15 33:5,8	97:3,4 100:22
vastly 56:15	vp 82:7	33:10 34:15,25	101:5,8,11,14
verlander	W	35:4,16,20,20	101:17 102:12
34:11	wait 102:21,22	37:21 42:5,14	104:22,23,25
version 8:24	walk 106:17	42:18,19 43:19	106:8,13 107:3
72:11	108:19 111:2	44:6,14,25	107:8,14,16,23
vertical 39:4,5	112:16	47:13 50:11,15	108:15 109:2,2
77:23 78:14	walked 113:18	51:4 52:3,8,9	109:14,17
79:24	wall 47:12	52:11,13,21	110:11,13,19
viable 89:19	want 3:1,8 17:3	53:1,6 54:14	111:17 112:5,8
117:23 124:22	20:14 28:9	54:18,19,24	112:15,20,22
vice 38:15	29:13 30:21	55:2,4,4,8,10	113:8,9 114:8
42:23	62:16,22 74:2	55:12,15,17,20	114:15 115:1
vicinity 118:10	79:9 80:10,24	56:2,5,18,20	116:23 117:25
view 110:15,17	102:5,23	58:12 59:9	118:1,2 119:3
111:20	104:16 116:2	60:22 61:4,14	119:4 124:24

129:19	we've 10:7	67:15,24 70:14	65:22 77:8
water's 84:15	11:17 12:6	80:19 85:18	wireline 50:2
waterflood	33:24 73:1	88:14,20	wishes 122:14
42:2,12 44:17	74:6 91:10	100:13 101:15	withdrawals
44:18 45:4	110:21 128:14	103:3 105:21	101:12
52:12,14 55:10	weak 38:9	105:22,24	withdrawn
55:14,16 63:6	wednesday	109:10 118:20	100:22 101:15
63:9,18 69:7	10:21 21:4	119:13 121:18	101:18
69:10 74:17,21	week 2:24	121:22,22	withheld 70:18
82:9,25 85:14	10:11 11:7	123:10	witness 26:25
86:10,15,18,20	18:17 20:24	wendell 1:5	27:1,18 37:25
86:23 87:16,18	21:3,17,17,22	went 63:3	40:20 48:13
88:7 89:22,22	21:22 25:15,16	80:22	49:4,20 50:1
90:2,3,8,11,19	25:19 28:12	west 42:23	53:8 71:12
92:24,25 93:3	30:21 61:7	65:22 77:8	81:2 92:7
93:12,15,20,22	73:2 115:3	82:7 83:4,25	107:21 110:2
94:14,16 95:12	weekend 24:17	99:17	witnesses 10:4
95:18,25	weeks 13:13	west's 46:24	10:23 19:14
102:13 105:24	weigh 125:14	48:8 82:6	20:19,23 22:14
waterflooded	weight 62:10	western 6:25	23:9,13,19,22
39:12	101:25	7:10,11 8:12	23:23 25:7
waterflooding	welcome 28:8	98:10	26:6,7,9,15,21
51:24	wells 32:1	whatsoever	27:9,21 28:6
watering 35:6	33:18,21,23,23	116:17 131:15	36:12 38:10,11
61:16 119:13	34:5,9 39:13	wheeler 38:14	45:16,24 48:18
waters 88:3	39:23 44:7	white 54:4,5	49:5 50:12
wave 3:1	45:20 46:1	wide 80:18	53:14,21 54:6
way 22:16	48:5,15,24	81:6,13 82:1	72:19 75:19
24:10 28:7	50:3,11,15,17	83:19	wizardry 43:12
30:20 85:17	52:25,25 54:15	wider 98:20	words 82:12
97:15 104:15	57:14 58:13,14	wild 4:9,23	126:5
111:8,12 128:4	59:2,2,4,7,10	6:10	work 5:16,24
128:5 129:25	59:12,14 60:4	william 1:22	6:19 8:21 21:2
ways 42:4	63:4 64:1,8,10	3:4 42:22	25:23 29:8
	65:13 66:7,22	46:24 48:13	30:4 31:7 72:8

92:8 108:5	year 9:1 30:5	80:4,8 85:24
111:12	70:23 90:15	88:11,12,16,17
workflow 9:1	126:22	89:14,16,19
working 5:15	year's 54:21	91:7,7 94:12
8:23 9:8 27:16	years 31:16	96:9 100:8,16
65:25 114:2	41:8 42:21	100:19,23,25
123:22	44:13 63:23	101:22 117:25
workover 55:1	75:7 86:7	119:10 121:20
world 41:20	87:14 88:1	125:7 126:17
worms 25:10	90:19 93:10	zones 41:3,19
worsen 56:3	117:24 121:6,9	52:16 53:17,25
worth 93:17	125:22 126:15	54:1 74:18
wozniak 7:19	yellow 46:23	76:25 89:20
15:25 116:24	47:18 48:7,22	96:21 99:10
writing 27:15	64:7 74:2	zooming 83:23
written 81:15	yesterday	
85:3 108:1,4	17:23	
wrong 21:16	yield 90:16	
24:2 95:4	yields 123:20	
102:19,19		
102.17,17	Z	
wrote 38:23		
,	z zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1	
wrote 38:23	zonal 87:3	
wrote 38:23 x	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22 68:1,25 70:18	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5 48:10 50:13	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22 68:1,25 70:18 97:8	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5 48:10 50:13 53:5,16 58:15	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22 68:1,25 70:18 97:8 xto's 32:22	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5 48:10 50:13 53:5,16 58:15 60:17 61:10,13 61:16,19 69:7 69:15 73:24	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22 68:1,25 70:18 97:8 xto's 32:22 33:2 y	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5 48:10 50:13 53:5,16 58:15 60:17 61:10,13 61:16,19 69:7 69:15 73:24 74:1,5,11	
<pre>wrote 38:23 x x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22 68:1,25 70:18 97:8 xto's 32:22 33:2 y yay 4:15</pre>	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5 48:10 50:13 53:5,16 58:15 60:17 61:10,13 61:16,19 69:7 69:15 73:24 74:1,5,11 75:12,13,15,17	
wrote 38:23 x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22 68:1,25 70:18 97:8 xto's 32:22 33:2 y	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5 48:10 50:13 53:5,16 58:15 60:17 61:10,13 61:16,19 69:7 69:15 73:24 74:1,5,11 75:12,13,15,17 75:21,22,25	
<pre>wrote 38:23 x x xto 32:20,21,24 48:1,4 66:14 66:24 67:17,22 68:1,25 70:18 97:8 xto's 32:22 33:2 y yay 4:15 yeah 7:11 8:15</pre>	zonal 87:3 zone 33:1 35:1 37:19 39:3 43:19 44:15 45:3 46:5 48:10 50:13 53:5,16 58:15 60:17 61:10,13 61:16,19 69:7 69:15 73:24 74:1,5,11 75:12,13,15,17	