

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**IN THE MATTER OF PROPOSED
AMENDMENTS TO 19.15.2, 19.15.5,
19.15.8, 19.15.9, AND 19.15.25 NMAC**

CASE NO. 24683

INTERVENORS' NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED HEARING SCHEDULING ORDER

Intervenors New Mexico Oil and Gas Association (“NMOGA”) and Independent Petroleum Association of New Mexico (“IPANM”), hereby provide notice of submission of its Proposed Hearing Scheduling Order in advance of the Scheduling Conference set for Tuesday, October 14, 2025 at 2:00 pm, for consideration and discussion, attached hereto as Exhibit “A”, and showing proposed changes to Applicants’ October 6, 2025 filing.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: October 10, 2025.

BEATTY & WOZNIAK, P.C.

By: /s/ Miguel A. Suazo

Miguel A. Suazo

James P. Parrot

James Martin

Jacob L. Everhart

500 Don Gaspar Ave.,

Santa Fe, NM 87505

(505) 946-2090

msuazo@bwenergylaw.com

jparrot@bwenergylaw.com

jmartin@bwenergylaw.com

jeverhart@bwenergylaw.com

*Attorneys for New Mexico Oil and Gas
Association*

HINKLE SHANOR LLP

By: /s/ Ann Cox Tripp

Andrew J. Cloutier

Ann Cox Tripp

P.O. Box 10

Roswell, NM 88202-0010

acloutier@hinklelawfirm.com

atripp@hinklelawfirm.com

Attorneys for Independent Petroleum

Association of New Mexico

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served to counsel of record by electronic mail this 10th day of October, 2025, as follows:

Tannis Fox
Senior Attorney
Morgan O'Grady
Staff Attorney
Western Environmental Law Center
409 East Palace Avenue, #2
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
505.629.0732
fox@westernlaw.org
ogradey@westernlaw.org

Kyle Tisdell
Managing Attorney
Western Environmental Law Center
208 Paseo del Pueblo Sur, #602
Taos, New Mexico 87571
575.613.8050
tisdell@westernlaw.org

Matt Nykiel
Staff Attorney
Western Environmental Law Center

Jesse Tremaine
Chris Moander
Assistant General Counsels
New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural
Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov
chris.moander@emnrd.nm.gov
Attorneys for Oil Conservation Division

Michael H. Feldewert
Adam G. Rankin
Paula M. Vance
P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
mfeldewert@hollandhart.com
agrarkin@hollandhart.com
pmvance@hollandhart.com

Aaron B. Tucker
555 17th Street, Suite 3200,

224 West Rainbow Boulevard, #247
 Salida, Colorado 81201
 720.778.1902
 nykiel@westenlaw.org
*Attorneys for Applicants Western
 Environmental Law Center, Citizens Caring
 for the Future, Conservation Voters New
 Mexico Education Fund, Diné C.A.R.E.,
 Earthworks, Naeva, New Mexico Interfaith
 Power and Light, San Juan Citizens Alliance,
 and Sierra Club.*

Denver, CO 80202
 (303) 295-8369
 abtucker@hollandhart.com
Attorneys for OXY USA Inc.

Andrew J. Cloutier
 Ann Cox Tripp
 Hinkle Shanor LLP
 P.O. Box 10
 Roswell, New Mexico 88202-0010
 acloutier@hinklelawfirm.com
 atripp@hinklelawfirm.com
*Attorneys for Independent Petroleum
 Association of New Mexico*

Felicia Orth
 Hearing Officer
 New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural
 Resources Department
 Wendell Chino Building
 1220 South St. Francis Drive
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
 Felicia.l.orth@gmail.com
*Oil Conservation Commission Hearing
 Officer*

Bradfute Sayer P.C.
 P.O. Box 90233
 Jennifer L. Bradfute
 Matthias Sayer
 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87199
 jennifer@bradfutelaw.com
 matthias@bradfutelaw.com

Zachary A. Shandler
 Assistant Attorney General
 New Mexico Department of Justice
 P.O. Box 1508
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
 zshandler@nmdoj.gov
Oil Conservation Commission Counsel

Jordan L. Kessler
 EOG Resources, Inc.
 125 Lincoln Avenue, Suite 213
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
 Jordan_kessler@eogresources.com
Attorneys for EOG Resources, Inc.

Mariel Nanasi
 Lead Attorney and Executive Director
 New Energy Economy
 422 Old Santa Fe Trail
 Santa Fe, NM 87501
 mnanasi@newenergyeconomy.org
Attorney for New Energy Economy

Sheila Apodaca
 New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural
 Resources Department
 Wendell Chino Building
 1220 South St. Francis Drive
 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
 occ.hearings@emnrd.nm.gov
Oil Conservation Commission Clerk

/s/ Ann Cox Tripp

 Ann Cox Tripp

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**IN THE MATTER OF PROPOSED
AMENDMENTS TO 19.15.2, 19.15.5,
19.15.8, 19.15.9, AND 19.15.25 NMAC**

CASE NO. 24683

[PROPOSED] HEARING SCHEDULING ORDER

This matter is scheduled for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission (“Commission”) beginning October 20, 2025. The Commission has reserved three weeks for the hearing. The Amended Prehearing Procedural Order, ¶ 11, provided that a full schedule of testimony will be issued in this matter.

1. Demonstrative Exhibits. In accordance with the Amended Prehearing Procedural Order, ¶ 8, all demonstrative exhibits, including PowerPoint presentations, shall be filed and served by October 15, 2025 at 5:00 pm. All parties shall file any such exhibits in their shared folder in the EMNRD CentreStack platform and shall give notice to the other parties of such filing. Demonstrative exhibits shall reflect the direct or rebuttal evidence filed by the parties and shall not include technical evidence not otherwise introduced in the filed testimony.

2. Dispositive Motion. Prior to taking evidence in the hearing, the Commission shall entertain the motion to dismiss filed by the New Mexico Oil and Gas Association (“NMOGA”) and Independent Producers Association of New Mexico (“IPANM”). If the Hearing Officer has considered and issued a recommendation on the motion, the Commission may still request to hear argument on the motion. NMOGA/IPANM shall have up to 10 minutes to argue the motion. Any party who filed a response in opposition to the motion may have up to 10 minutes to respond. NMOGA/IPANM shall have up to five minutes to rebut.

3. Opening Statements. Parties that have filed direct testimony and exhibits may give an opening statement setting forth the evidence they intend to present at hearing. Opening

statements shall be limited to ~~10-30~~ minutes per party and shall be given prior to opening the hearing for evidence.

4. Order of Testimony, Cross-Examination, and Surrebuttal. Recognizing that there are ~~three weeks allotted for almost three dozen witnesses to be presented in~~ this complex proceeding and acknowledging that the Commission and all parties desire ~~not~~ to comply with that schedule, exceed the three weeks allotted for this hearing, the following hearing procedures are adopted:

A. Consistent with the Amended Prehearing Procedural Order, ¶ 12, all technical witnesses who filed direct testimony may provide a summary of that testimony at the hearing not to exceed 60 minutes, and a summary of any rebuttal testimony not to exceed 30 minutes, unless otherwise authorized by the Hearing Office. ~~A~~any witness who filed rebuttal testimony may provide a summary of that testimony not to exceed 30 minutes. Witnesses are not required to present a summary of testimony, but may submit and adopt their testimony under oath and stand for cross-examination from the parties and questions from the Commission. Summaries of direct and rebuttal testimony shall be given by each witness consecutively, beginning with the summary of direct testimony and followed by the summary of rebuttal testimony. Cross-examination and questions from the Commission shall follow the witness's summary of direct and rebuttal testimony or, if a summary is not offered, after a witness's testimony is adopted and submitted. Witnesses may appear in person or virtually.

B. The order to present summaries of direct and rebuttal testimony is as follows:

1. Applicants
2. The Oil Conservation Division ("OCD")

3. State Land Office
4. OXY USA, Inc.
5. NMOGA
6. IPANM

C. Attached to this Hearing Scheduling Order is Appendix A, which is a list of all direct and rebuttal witnesses to be called, their anticipated order of presentation, whether they are technical or fact witnesses, and the anticipated length of summaries of their direct and rebuttal testimony (exclusive of cross-examination and questions from the Commission). The order of presentation may be adjusted by the Hearing Officer to accommodate witness schedules and other appropriate considerations. Pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Amended Prehearing Procedural Order, the full Schedule of Testimony is issued as a guide, and the Hearing Officer retains discretion to deviate from the schedule as the need so arises.

D. Cross examination of “friendly” witnesses, that is witnesses aligned with a party’s position, shall ~~not~~ be permitted, not to exceed 15 minutes. Cross-examination of adverse technical witnesses shall be limited to 60 minutes per party, and cross-examination of adverse fact witnesses shall be limited to 30 minutes per party.

E. Cross-examination shall proceedbe in ~~reverse~~—order of the degree of alignment with a party. For example, cross-examination of Applicants’ witnesses shall be in the following order:

1. IPANMOCD
2. NMOGASLO
3. EOG Resources, Inc. New Energy Economy.
4. OXY USA, Inc. Nick Maxwell

5. ~~Nick Maxwell~~HEOG Resources, Inc.
6. ~~New Energy Economy~~OXY USA, Inc.
7. ~~The State Land Office~~NMOGA
8. ~~OCD~~IPANM

Parties of equal alignment, at the Hearing Officer's discretion, may proceed in alternate order to expedite cross-examination and increase efficiency of questioning and testimony.

F. Appropriate redirect examination may be allowed by the Hearing Officer.

G. In accordance with the Amended Prehearing Procedural Order, ¶ 5, a party ~~that filed direct testimony and exhibits~~ may request to introduce surrebuttal testimony and exhibits at hearing, which may be allowed at the discretion of the Hearing Officer. Surrebuttal evidence responds to rebuttal evidence and is limited to directly addressing points raised in the rebuttal. Surrebuttal testimony shall identify the rebuttal testimony to which it intends to respond. Surrebuttal testimony shall be presented after conclusion of parties' direct and rebuttal cases in the following order:

1. IPANM
2. NMOGA
3. OXY USA, INC.
4. The State Land Office
5. OCD
6. Applicants

5. Hearing Officer Discretion to Adjust. The Hearing Officer has discretion to adjust the hearing schedule to accommodate schedules of witnesses and other appropriate considerations.

6. Public Comment. Consistent with the Amended Prehearing Procedural Order, ¶ 14, the Hearing Officer shall provide an opportunity for nontechnical public comment each day of the hearing in a manner that accommodates the public. This may require interrupting the technical testimony from time to time. Public comment sessions will be reserved at 4:00 pm on October 20, 2025, and at 9:00 am and 4:00 pm on October 21, 2025, and each day thereafter that the hearing continues, each session not to exceed an hour. Members of the public wishing to offer nontechnical public comment may do so once either in person or on the virtual platform with access provided and managed by OCD. Those wishing to comment may be invited but will not be required to sign up for a time slot in which they wish to speak. Oral public comment is limited to three minutes.

7. Post-hearing Submittals. At the close of hearing, parties may present closing statements not to exceed 30 minutes. ~~there will not be oral closing argument.~~ Parties may submit post-hearing submittals following the receipt of the transcripts in this matter. The post-hearing submittals may include, at the discretion of each party, ~~closing argument addressing the law and evidence,~~ written proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law for the Commission's consideration, and a final proposed draft of the rules recommended for adoption by the Commission. The Commission may ask the Hearing Officer to prepare a report; the report shall not include recommendations from the Hearing Officer. Discussion of deadlines for post-hearing briefs shall not be held prior to close of the hearing.

Felicia Orth
Hearing Officer

Date

APPENDIX A**Anticipated Order of Witnesses, Identification as Technical or Fact Witnesses, Estimated Time to Present Direct and Rebuttal Summaries**

Party	Witness	Technical/Fact	Est. Time Direct Summary	Est. Time Rebuttal Summary
Applicants	T. Alexander	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	D. Purvis	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	P. Morgan	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	A. Peltz	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
OCD	J. Garcia	Technical		
	L. Diede	Technical		
	J. Wrinkle	Technical		
	R. Romero	Technical		
	B. Powell	Technical		
SLO	A.Marks	Technical		
OXY	T. Wallace	Technical		
	K. Montgomery	Technical		
NMOGA				
	D. Arthur	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	D. Emerick	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	H. McGowen	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	C. Sporich	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	A. Felix	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
IPANM	R. Arscott	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	C. Ezzell	Technical	1 hr.	30 min.
	T. Gilstrap	Technical	30 min	30 min
	D. Mitchell	Fact	30 min	
	J. McHugh	Fact	30 min	
	J. Harvard	Fact	30 min	
	K. Armstrong	Technical	30 min	
	M. Murphy	Technical	1 hr	
	M. Hanagan	Fact – Rebuttal		30 min
	S. Bradley	Fact	30 min	
	V. Andrews	Fact	30 min	
	C. Padgett	Fact-Rebuttal		Submit

EXHIBIT A

	G. Sharpe	Fact	30 min	
	J. Nabors	Fact-Rebuttal		30 min
	M. Cantrell	Fact -Rebuttal		Submit
	J. Winchester	Fact	30 min	30 min