CASE 4932: Appli. of ATLANTIC RICHTIELD FOR A NON-STANDARD PROBATION UNIT, EDDY COUNTY.


The supplies of the supplies o

CHSE 10. 43

Application,

Transcripts,

Small Ekhibts



MR. NUTTER: We will call next Case No. 4932. MR. CARR: Case 4932, Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a non-standard proration unit, Eddy County, New Mexico. MR. NUTTER: We have received correspondence from the Applicant in Case 4932 requesting dismissal of Atlantic Richfield's Case No. 4932. The latter also states, "We understand that Union Oil Company will dismiss Case No. 4928". Case No. 4932 will be dismissed.

| ! | |
|----|--|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss. |
| 2 | COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) |
| 3 | I, JOHN DE LA ROSA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do |
| 4 | hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of |
| 5 | Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was |
| 6 | reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record |
| 7 | of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and |
| 8 | ability. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER |
| 11 | CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REFORTER |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | i do normaly destrict the foregoing is a sough one sound of the proceedings in the likewing of sour No. 4932. |
| 24 | teard by as on 477 1973 |
| 25 | New Eaxion Coll Conservation Commission |
| | January Community of the Community of th |

| | | - | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | BEFORE THE | | | | | |
| 2 | NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM | | | | | |
| 3 | STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO | | | | | |
| 4 | Wednesday, April 11, 1973 | | | | | |
| 5 | EXAMINER HEARING | | | | | |
| 6 | , | | | | | |
| 7 | IN THE MATTER OF: | | | | | |
| 8 | Application of Atlantic Richfield) Company for a non-standard proration) Case No. 4932 | | | | | |
| 9 | unit, Eddy County, New Mexico.) | | | | | |
| 10 | , | | | | | |
| 11 | BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| | la de la companya de | | | | | |

1 MR. UTZ: Case 4932. MR. CARR: Case 4932, application of Atlantic 2 Richfield Company for a non-standard proration unit, 3 Eddy County, New Mexico. 4 MR. UTZ: We have a request by the applicant to 5 continue this case to May 9, 1973. The case will be 6 continued to that date. 7 8 STATE OF NEW MEXICO) 9 ss. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) 10 I, JANET RUSSELL, a Notary Public, in and for the 11 County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify 12 that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before 13 the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by 14 me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the 15 said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and 16 ability. 17 18 19 20 21 22 I do nereby certify that the foregoing is 23 a complete record of the proceeding 24 Examiner 25 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2068 - SANTA FE 87501

May 21, 1973

BRUCE KING CHAIRMAN LAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO

GOVERNOR

MEMBER
STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.

SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

| | Re: | Case No | 4932 | |
|--|-----|--------------|-----------------|--|
| Mr. Clarence E. Hinkle | | Order No. | R-4527 | |
| Hinkle, Bondurant, Cox & Eaton Attorneys at Law | | Applicant: | | |
| Post Office Box 10 Roswell, New Mexico 88201 | | Atlantic Ric | chfield Company | |

Dear Sir:

Aztec OCC

Other___

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr.

Secretary-Director

ALP/ir
Copy of order also sent to:
Hobbs OCC X
Artesia OCC X

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 4932 Order No. R-4527

APPLICATION OF ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY FOR A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on May 9, 1973, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this 17th day of May, 1973, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the record and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

That the applicant's request for dismissal should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case No. 4932 is hereby dismissed.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Ro TRUJILLO, Chairman

ORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

SEAL

dr/

LAW OFFICES

HINKLE, BONDURANT, COX & EATON

Taterhone 505 622-6510

W. E. BONOURANT, JR. LEWIS C.COX,JR. PAUL W EATON, JR CONRAD E.COFFIELD HAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR. STUART D. SHANOR C. D. MARTIN PAUL J. KELLY, JR.

600 HINKLE BUILDING

POST OFFICE BOX 10

ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88201

May 7, 1973

AND TOWER OIL CONSERVATION COMM Santa Fe

Oil Conservation Commission Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Case No. 4932

Gentlemen:

We represent Atlantic Richfield Company in connection with the captioned case which is an application for approval of a non-standard gas proration unit comprising the Wig Sec. 11, T. 21 S., R. 25 E. in the Catclaw Draw Morrow Gas pool, Eddy County. This is a companion case to Case No. 4928 filed by Union Oil Company of California for compulsory pooling involving said Section 11. Atlantic Richfield and Union have reached an agreement concerning this matter and you may consider this as a motion on behalf of Atlantic Richfield to dismiss Case No. 4932. We understand that Union Off Company will dismiss Case No. 4928.

Yours sincerely,

HINKLE, BONDURANT, COX & EATON

By Clarence & Sinkle

CEH:cs

cc: S. B. Christy IV cc: Horace N. Burton

cc: M. J. Walker

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MAY 9, 1973

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for June, 1973, from seventeen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, Roosevelt and Chaves Counties, New Mexico;
 - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas from nine prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico, for June, 1973.

CASE 4943: (Continued from the April 11, 1973 Examiner Hearing)

Application of M. W. Staples for an unorthodox oil well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to produce oil from his Vanderventer Well No. 2 located 1310 feet from the North line and 1330 feet from the East line of Section 20, Township 18 South, Range 28 East, Artesia Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Said well was drilled as an injection well at said location pursuant to authority granted by Order No. R-3341.

CASE 4928: (Continued from the April 11, 1973, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Union Oil Company of California for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Morrow formation underlying all of Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 25 East, Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to the Atlantic Richfield Oil Company Pure-Federal Well No. 11 located in Unit K of said Section 11. Applicant further seeks a provision for the payment of proper costs to be borne by applicant for such well and the related well equipment, a provision for allocation of actual operating costs, and the establishment of charges for supervision of such well and the designation of an operator thereof; and for such other relief as proper. Also to be considered will be the risk involved in drilling the subject well.

CASE 4932: (Continued from the April 11, 1973, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a non-standard proration unit, Eddy Count New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 340.94-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the W/2 of Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 25 East, Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Pure Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit K of said Section 11.

Cace 4932

MONTGOMERY, FEDERICI, ANDREWS, HANNAHS & MORRIS

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

350 EAST PALACE AVENUE SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

POST OFFICE BOX 2307 AREA CODE 505 TELEPHONE 982-3676

March 20, 1973

OIL CONSERVATION COMM Saute Fu

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

> Re: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for Administrative Approval of a 340.94 Acre Non-Standard Proration Unit, Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

J O. SETH (1883-1963)

A. K. MONTGOMERY

RICHARD S. MORRIS

FRANK ANDREWS III
OWEN M. LOPEZ
JEFFREY R. BRANNEN
JOHN BENNETT POUND

SUMNER G. BUELL SETH D. MONTGOMERY

WM. R. FEDERICI FRANK ANDREWS FRED C. HANNAHS

On behalf of Hanagan Petroleum Corporation we hereby object to the subject application of Atlantic Richfield Company for administrative approval of a 340.94 acre non-standard proration unit in the Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, as proposed by Atlantic Richfield Company's application to the Commission dated March 9, 1973.

Hanagan Petroleum Corporation objects to the formation of any non-standard gas proration unit for the subject well which would comprise less than all of Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, as contemplated by the Special Rules and Regulations for the Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool promulgated by Order No. R-4157.

It should be noted that any application for administrative approval should be made pursuant to the said Order No. R-4157 rather than under the general rules of the Commission as requested by Atlantic Richfield Company's application of March 9, 1973.

Very truly yours,

MONTGOMERY, FEDERICI, ANDREWS,

HANNAHS & MORRIS

DOCKET MAILED

₽ BY:

Attorneys for Hanagan Petroleum Corporation

RSM:sbw

cc: Atlantic Richfield Company Hanagan Petroleum Corporation DOCKET MAILED

Date 3/28/13

JENNINGS, CHRISTY & COPPLE

1012 SECURITY NATIONAL BANK BUILDING P. O. BOX 1180 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 89201

AREA CODE 505

JAMES T. JENNINGS SIM B. CHRISTY IV ROGER L. COPPLE BRIAN W. COPPLE

April 6, 1973

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Daniel S. Nutter Chief Engineer

> RE: Cases 4928 and 4932 Union-Atlantic Applications

Dear Mr. Nutter:

This letter will confirm our telephone conversation to you of April 5 wherein we requested, on behalf of our client Union Oil Company of California, with the consent of attorney Paul Eaton in behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company, to continue both of the captioned cases to the examiner hearing to be held May 9, 1973.

You are advised that our client reports that the overriding royalty ownership is common throughout all of Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 25 East, and since all of the lands involved are federal lands we do not believe there are any other interested parties to the Applications.

We appreciate your consideration in the foregoing respects.

Sincerely,

JENNINGS, CHRISTY & COPPLE

DOCKET MAILED

Date 4-26-17 By

S. B. Christy

SBC/mb

cc: Mr. Paul Eaton

Union Oil Company of California (William Petmecky)



PRODUCING DEPARTMENT CENTRAL CRITED STATES SHOEAND DIVISION

March 27, 1973

OIL CONSERVATION COMM Santa Fe

7,00 Care 4952

TEXACO INC. P. O. BOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

APPLICATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL 340.94-ACRE NON-STANDARD PROPATION UNIT ATLANTIC RICHFIELD PURE FEDERAL NO. 1 WELL CATCLAW DRAW-MORROW GAS POOL 2078' FSL & 1892' FWL, T-21S, R-25E EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Gentlemen:

Texaco Inc., as an operator in the Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, favors 640-acre spacing units in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells on 320-acre spacing units. Therefore, in compliance with Rule 3, Section D of Case No. 4838, Order No. R-4421, Texaco objects to the formation of a non-standard unit as requested by Atlantic Richfield Company.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

Assistant to Division Manager

GRW/s1

DOCKET MAILED

Date 4-26-23

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

March 14, 1973

Can 4932

Mr. Sim Christy
Jennings, Christy & Copple
Attorneys at Law
Post Office Box 1180
Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Mr. V. M. Hollrah Atlantic Richfield Company Post Office Box 1610 Midland, Texas 79701 DOCKET MAILED

Re: Cases 4928 and 4932

Gentlemen:

Case No. 4928, application of Union Oil Company of California, for compulsory pooling in Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 25 East, Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, is presently set for hearing on March 28, 1973.

We have this date docketed Case No. 4932, application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a non-standard proration unit comprising the W/2 of said Section 11 for hearing on April 11, 1973. The aforementioned Case 4928 will be continued to April 11, and both cases will be heard on that date.

Very truly yours,

DANIEL S. NUTTER Chief Engineer

DSM/ir

- CASE 4932
- Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a non-standard proration unit, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 340.94-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the W/2 of Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 25 East, Catclaw Draw-Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Pure Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit K of said Section 11.
- CASE 4933: Application of Texaco Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Delaware formation through the perforated interval from 4280 feet to 4288 feet in its R. T. Wilson Federal Well No. 5 located in Unit J of Section 24, Township 26 South, Range 31 East, North Mason-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4934: Application of Texaco Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Devonian formation in the open-hole interval from 12,172 feet to 12,581 feet of its U. D. Sawyer Well No. 3 located in Unit I of Section 34, Township 9 South, Range 36 East, Crossroads-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4935: Application of Texaco Inc. for dissolution of a unit and approval of another unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the dissolution of the State "JD" Unit Area approved by Commission Order No. R-3886 and the approval of the Rhodes Yates Unit Area comprising 520 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Township 26 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4936: Application of Texaco Inc. for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in its Rhodes Yates Unit Area by the injection of water into the Yates-Seven Rivers formations through seven injection wells located in Sections 21, 27 and 28, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, Rhodes-Yates Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 4923: (Continued and Readvertised)

Application of Continental Oil Company for a non-standard gas proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 360-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising Lots 14, 15, and 16 and the SE/4 and E/2 SW/4 of Section 4, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Meyer B-4 Well No. 4 located in Unit S of said Section 4.

AtlanticRichlieldCompany

North American Producing Division Permian District Post Office Box 1610 Midfand, Texas 79701 Telephone 915 682 8631



March 9, 1973

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission (3)
P. O. Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attn: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director

Re: Application for Administrative Approval 340.94-Acre Non-standard Proration Unit Atlantic Richfield Pure Federal No. 1 Well Catclaw Draw Morrow Gas Pool 2078' FS & 1892' FWL, T-21S, R-25E Eddy County, New Mexico

pet for hearing 4/11/13

Gentlemen:

Atlantic Richfield Company respectfully requests administrative approval under rule 104-D (II) of a 340.94-acre non-standard proration unit for the captioned well, said unit to comprise the W/2 of Section 11, Township 21 South, Range 25 East, Eddy County, New Nexico, as shown on the attached form C-102. In support of this request, Atlantic Richfield offers the following information:

- 1. The Pure Federal No. 1 well was completed 2-4-66 as an undesignated Morrow producer from an open-hole interval 10327-47'. A non-standard proration unit identical to the one requested above was granted 3-16-66 by Administrative Order NSP-750. The well was subsequently placed in Golden Eagle Morrow Gas Pool.
- 2. Golden Eagle Morrow Gas Pool was abolished by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission in Case No. 4838, Order No. R-4421, effective 11-1-72, and the Catclaw Draw Morrow Gas Pool was expanded to include the acreage formerly in Golden Eagle Pool, including Section 11, T-21S, R-25E.
- 3. Order No. R-4421 requires all operators within the expanded Catclaw Draw Pool to obtain acceptable standard or non-standard proration units.
- 4. The requested non-standard unit consists of quarter-quarter sections contiguous by a common bordering side.
- 5. The requested non-standard unit lies wholly within a single governmental half-section.

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission March 9, 1973 Page 2

- 6. A plat of the proposed unit showing offset well locations and ownership of adjoining acreage is attached. Also attached is an amended form C-102 describing the well and location and proposed unit.
- 7. All offset operators have been duly notified of intent to form a non-standard unit.

Very truly yours,

2.77. April 19

V. M. Hollrah

SWB/agp

cc: N. M. O. C. C. - Artesia (2)
Continental Oil Company, Box 431, Midland, Texas 79701
Cities Service Oil Co., 800 Vaughn Bldg., Midland, Texas
David Fasken, c/o R. S. Brooks, Gen. Mgr., 608 lst Nat'l Bank Bldg.,
Midland, Texas 79701

Hanagan Petroleum Corporation, Box 1737, Roswell, New Mexico Texaco, Inc., Box 728, Hobbs, New Mexico Union Oil of California, Box 3100, Midland, Texas 79701

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT AMENDED

Form C-102 Supersedes C-128 Effective 1-1-65


| All distances must be from the outer boundaries of the Section. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Operator | | | Lease | | | | Well No | | | | |
| Atlantic | Richfield | Company | Pure | Federal | <u>l</u> | | 1 | | | | |
| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Ræige | | Country | | | | | | |
| K | 11 | 21-S | | 25-E |] | Eddy | | | | | |
| Actual Feotage Lecation of Well: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2078 feet from the South line and 1892 feet from the West line | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ground Level Elevi | Producing Fo | _ | Poel | | | | Dedicated Acreage: | | | | |
| 3318' | Morr | ow (Penn) | Cat | claw Dra | ≅₩ | | 340.94 Acres | | | | |
| 1. Outline the acreage dedicated to the subject well by colored pencil or hachure marks on the plat below. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. If more than one lease is dedicated to the well, outline each and identify the ownership thereof (both as to working interest and royalty). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. If more than one lease of different ownership is dedicated to the well, have the interests of all owners been consolidated by communitization, unitization, force-pooling. etc? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes Yes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | is "no," list the f necessary.) | owners and tract de | scriptions wh | hich have ac | tually bee | n consolida | ted. (Use reverse side of | | | | |
| | - | ed to the well until | all interests | have beer o | onsolidate | ed (by co- | nunitization, unitization, | | | | |
| | | | | | | | approved by the Commis- | | | | |
| sion. ' | ing, or otherwise | for until a non-stand | iaid unit, enn | illiating suci | n interest | s, nas been | approved by the Commis- | | | | |
| 51011. | | R=25E | | | | | | | | | |
| 444 | | (() | 1 | | 11 | Ì | CERTIFICATION | | | | |
| l l | 1 | _] | 1 | | ** | | İ | | | | |
| | 1 | Y | 1 | | 1 | l hereby c | ertify that the information con- | | | | |
| | ı | | | | | | ein is true and complete to the | | | | |
| l | I | } | 1 | | | 1 | knowledge and belief. | | | | |
| | | Ŋ | 1 | | | | X. 40 | | | | |
| N | t | 1 | ; { | | | 1.1 | thillokis. | | | | |
| <u></u> | + | | | | | | Bretches | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | Position Dist. | Drlg. Supervisor | | | | |
| | ļ | | 1 | - | | Company | ic Richfield Co. | | | | |
| | i | | 1 | | | Date | Te Richiziera co. | | | | |
| | İ | | l i | | | March | 6, 1973 | | | | |
| | | | t | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | | | I hereby | certify that the well location | | | | |
| 18 | 192° 1 yy | ' | 1 | | . 1 | shown on | this plat was plotted from field | | | | |
| K | 1 7 | | i | | 1 | notes of a | ictual surveys made by me or | | | | |
| 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | under my : | supervision, and that the same | | | | |
| | 1 1 | \ | ι 1 | | j | is true ar | nd correct to the best of my | | | | |
| k | | | i | | Ì | knowledge | and belief. | | | | |
| | + + | | | | | 1 |] | | | | |
| | 1 82 | N | i | |] | L | | | | | |
| K | 2078 | J | 1 | | } | Date Surveye | ed · | | | | |
| 1 | | 1 | ļ | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | | V | | | Ì | 1 | j i dun mal Polinear | | | | |
| | | | f | | | and of Land | Stationary | | | | |
| 11 1 | C 070409 | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 117 1 | 1111 | i | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 0 | · _ | | | | |

T 21 S

190 1320 1650 1980 2310 2640

5000

1500 1000



(1)

DRAFT

dr/

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 4932

Order No. R- 4527

APPLICATION OF ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY FOR A NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

*

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on May 9, 1973 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter

NOW, on this day of May, 19^{73} , the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the record and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

That the applicant's request for dismissal should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case No. 4932 is hereby dismissed.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.