

CASE 2306. Application of EL PASO
for an exception to ORDER NO.
R-333-C and D, as amended.

2306

-asa // o.

2306

Application, Transcript,
and Exhibits, Etc.

GOVERNOR
EDWIN L. MECHEM
CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER
E. S. JOHNNY WALKER
MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

P. O. BOX 871
SANTA FE

June 22, 1961

Mr. Garrett Whitworth
El Paso Natural Gas Company
P. O. Box 1492
El Paso, Texas

Re: CASE NO. 2306
ORDER NO. R-2007
APPLICANT:
El Paso Natural Gas Co.

Dear Sir:

one copy
Enclosed herewith are ~~two~~ copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. Porter, Jr.
A. L. PORTER, JR.
Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC X
Artesia OCC
Aztec OCC X

OTHER Mr. Dave Rainey

**BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:**

**CASE No. 2306
Order No. R-2007**

**APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL
GAS COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO
ORDER NO. R-333-C AND D, AS
AMENDED.**

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on June 14, 1961, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 22nd day of June, 1961, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That in Townships 26, 27, 28, and 29 North, Ranges 11, 12, and 13 West, NEWM, San Juan County, New Mexico, there are wells producing from the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool that are connected to the same low-pressure pipeline facility as wells in the same area producing from the Pictured Cliffs Gas Pool.
- (3) That inasmuch as the Pictured Cliffs wells produce with a pressure relatively low in comparison with the Dakota wells connected to the same pipeline facility, the Pictured Cliffs wells are prevented from producing their full allowable to the extent of the production from the Dakota wells.
- (4) That the production from the Pictured Cliffs wells would be substantially curtailed if initial deliverability tests in accordance with Order No. R-333-C and D were required on the Dakota wells presently connected to the pipeline facility, or to be connected prior to October 1, 1961.
- (5) That a high-pressure gathering system to service Dakota wells in the area is being constructed and should be completed by October 1, 1961.

CASE No. 2306
Order No. R-2007

(6) That, accordingly, the applicant, El Paso Natural Gas Company, seeks an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended, to provide for an administrative procedure whereby the time may be extended for conducting and reporting initial deliverability tests on Dakota wells which prior to October 1, 1961, are connected to a low-pressure pipeline facility servicing Pictured Cliffs wells when the taking of such tests would prevent the production of full allowable from the Pictured Cliffs wells.

(7) That it is further requested that allowables be assigned to Dakota wells based upon such delayed initial deliverability tests, effective retroactively to the date of connection to the low-pressure pipeline facility.

(8) That in the interest of protecting the rights of the operators of the Pictured Cliffs wells, the subject application should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That upon written application to the District Supervisor of the Artec District Office of the Commission, any operator of a well completed in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in Townships 26, 27, 28, and 29 North, Ranges 11, 12, and 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, may be granted an exception to the provisions of Paragraph (B), Subsection I, Section A of Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended, where the Dakota well, prior to October 1, 1961, is connected to El Paso Natural Gas Company's Pictured Cliffs Gathering System, and where the taking of an initial deliverability test would prevent Pictured Cliffs wells connected to the same pipeline facility from producing a full allowable.

(2) That when exception to Order No. R-333-C and D is obtained under the provisions of Paragraph (1) above, the operator shall take and report the results of an initial deliverability test on the subject well within sixty (60) days after such well is connected to a Dakota Gathering System.

(3) That when exception to Order No. R-333-C and D is obtained under the provisions of Paragraph (1) above, the subject well shall produce only such amounts of gas as may be necessary to protect leases and correlative rights.

(4) That when exception to Order No. R-333-C and D is obtained under the provisions of Paragraph (1) above, an allowable shall be assigned to the subject well based upon its delayed initial deliverability test, effective retroactively to the date of connection of the well to the Pictured Cliffs Gathering System.

(5) That this order and the exceptions granted hereunder shall be terminated ipso facto November 30, 1961, unless extended

-3-
CASE No. 2306
Order No. R-2007

prior to that time by order of the Secretary-Director of the Commission.

(6) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION



E. L. Nichols

EDWIN L. NICHOLS, Chairman

E. S. Walker

E. S. WALKER, Member

A. L. Porter, Jr.

A. L. PORTER, JR., Member & Secretary

EST/

El Paso Natural Gas Company
El Paso, Texas

June 16, 1961

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Post Office Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Dick Morris

Dear Dick:

Attached are three copies of El Paso Natural Gas Company's proposed addition to Subsection I of Section A of Order R-333-C and D pertaining to the Dakota wells which are now, or may be, connected to El Paso Natural Gas Company's Pictured Cliffs Gathering System prior to October 1, 1961.

If any additional information is needed, please write me.

Very truly yours,

PRORATION DEPARTMENT

G. A. Hickson
G. A. Hickson
Engineer

GAH:mm
attachments

DRAFT
May 24, 1961

An exception is hereby granted to Paragraph (B) of Subsection I of Section A of Order R-333-C and D for those Dakota wells which are now, or which may be connected to El Paso Natural Gas Company's Pictured Cliffs Gathering System prior to October 1, 1961. It is provided that administrative approval for an extension of time in excess of forty-five days in which to report the results of Initial Deliverability Tests may be granted after a request in writing to the Commission's Antec Office. This extension of time to be for a period not to exceed sixty days after such Dakota well is connected to a 'Dakota Gathering System'. Upon approval, and prior to the time that initial deliverability tests are taken, such Dakota wells will be permitted to produce as may be necessary to protect leases and to protect correlative rights.

After initial deliverability tests are reported on such Dakota wells, allowables shall be assigned effective the date of first connection of such Dakota well into the Pictured Cliffs Gathering System.

No. 17-61

DOCKET: REGULAR HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JUNE 14, 1961

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. MORGAN HALL, STATE LAND OFFICE
BUILDING, SANTA FE NEW MEXICO

ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the oil allowable for July, 1961.

(2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for July, 1961, for ten prorated pools in Lea County, New Mexico. Consideration of the allowable production of gas for nine prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico, for July, 1961 and also presentation of purchaser's nominations for the six-month period beginning August 1, 1961 for that area.

CASE 2304: Application of the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rules 1209 and 1216 to conform to Section 65-3-11.1 of the New Mexico Statutes Annotated, 1953 compilation, as amended.

CASE 2305: Application of Texaco Inc. for permission to market stock tank liquids approximately 11.5 percent in excess of top unit allowable plus such other liquids, including propane, which may be recovered by the installation of an extraction and stabilization plant on its Little Lucky Lake Unit, Little Lucky Lake-Devonian Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico.

CASE 2306: Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended, to provide for an administrative procedure whereby the time may be extended for conducting and reporting initial deliverability tests ^{on} ~~on gas wells in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico,~~ ^{such} ~~when~~ the taking of such tests would prevent the full production of allowable from Pictured Cliffs wells connected to the same pipeline facility. Applicant further seeks an extension of time for conducting and reporting such tests for all Dakota wells without initial deliverability tests which, prior to October 1, 1961, are connected to a low-pressure pipeline facility servicing Pictured Cliffs wells. It is further requested that provision be made for assigning allowables based upon such delayed initial deliverability tests, effective retroactively to the date of connection ~~of the Dakota well~~ to the low-pressure pipeline facility.]

CASE 2307:

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for the extension of certain existing pools in Eddy, Lea, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

- (a) Extend the Allison-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 10: SE/4

- (b) Extend the Culwin-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 36: NE/4 and SW/4

- (c) Extend the Double X-Delaware Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 15: SE/4

- (d) Extend the Drinkard Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 12: NW/4

- (e) Extend the North Hackberry-Yates Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 30: S/2 NE/4, SE/4, and E/2 SW/4

- (f) Extend the Justis Tubb-Drinkard Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 25 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 23: W/2 NE/4

- (g) Extend the Lea-Bone Springs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 11: NW/4

- (h) Extend the Paduca-Delaware Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 25 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 15: SE/4
SECTION 20: SE/4
SECTION 28: NW/4

- (i) Extend the Pearl-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 15: SW/4

- (j) Extend the South Prairie-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 21: N/2 NW/4

- (k) Extend the East Red Lake-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 11: N/2 SE/4
SECTION 12: W/2 NW/4

- (l) Extend the Saunders Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 21: SE/4

- (m) Extend the North Shugart-Queen-Grayburg Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 9: NE/4
SECTION 30: SE/4

- (n) Extend the Turkey Track Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 21: S/2 SE/4
SECTION 27: W/2 NW/4

- (o) Extend the East Vacuum-Abo Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 35: SE/4

CASE 2308:

Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order for the extension of existing pools in San Juan, Sandoval, and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico.

- (a) Extend the Ballard-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM,
SECTION 19: SW/4

- (b) Extend the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM,
SECTION 22: NW/4 & W/2 NE/4
SECTION 34: E/2 NE/4

- (c) Extend the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 2: NE/4 NW/4 & NW/4 NE/4

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 26: S/2 SW/4 & SW/4 SE/4

- (d) Extend the Shiprock-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 18 WEST, NMPM,
SECTION 16: NW/4 SW/4
SECTION 17: N/2 SE/4 & NE/4 SW/4

- (e) Extend the Totah-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 19: NW/4
SECTION 20: W/2 SE/4
SECTION 30: E/2 NE/4
SECTION 35: W/2 SW/4

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 24: E/2 NE/4

J. O. SETH
A. K. MONTGOMERY
OLIVER SETH
WM. FEDERICI
FRANK ANDREWS
FRED C. HANNAHS
GEORGE A. GRAHAM, JR.

SETH, MONTGOMERY, FEDERICI & ANDREWS

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

301 DON GASPAR AVENUE
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

POST OFFICE BOX 828
TELEPHONE YU 3-7315

June 14, 1961

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Case 2306
Application of El Paso Natural
Gas Company for an Exception
to Order No. R333-C and D, as
amended.

Gentlemen:

The undersigned hereby enter their appearance
as local attorneys for El Paso Natural Gas
Company in Case No. 2306 and state they are
associated in this hearing with Mr. Ben Howell,
Mr. Garrett C. Whitworth and other company
attorneys of El Paso Natural Gas Company.

Very truly yours,

SETH, MONTGOMERY, FEDERICI & ANDREWS

By:



OS:dd

No. 17-61

DOCKET: REGULAR HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JUNE 14, 1961

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. MORGAN HALL, STATE LAND OFFICE
BUILDING, SANTA FE NEW MEXICO

- ALLOWABLE:**
- (1) Consideration of the oil allowable for July, 1961.
 - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for July, 1961, for ten prorated pools in Lea County, New Mexico. Consideration of the allowable production of gas for nine prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico, for July, 1961 and also presentation of purchaser's nominations for the six-month period beginning August 1, 1961 for that area.

CASE 2304: Application of the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to amend Rules 1209 and 1216 to conform to Section 65-3-11.1 of the New Mexico Statutes Annotated, 1953 compilation, as amended.

CASE 2305: Application of Texaco Inc. for permission to market stock tank liquids approximately 11.5 percent in excess of top unit allowable plus such other liquids, including propane, which may be recovered by the installation of an extraction and stabilization plant on its Little Lucky Lake Unit, Little Lucky Lake-Devonian Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico.

CASE 2306: Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended, to provide for an administrative procedure whereby the time may be extended for conducting and reporting initial deliverability tests on gas wells in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, when the taking of such tests would prevent the full production of allowable from Pictured Cliffs wells connected to the same pipeline facility. Applicant further seeks an extension of time for conducting and reporting such tests for all Dakota wells without initial deliverability tests which, prior to October 1, 1961, are connected to a low-pressure pipeline facility servicing Pictured Cliffs wells. It is further requested that provision be made for assigning allowables based upon such delayed initial deliverability tests, effective retroactively to the date of connection of the Dakota well to the low-pressure pipeline facility.

CASE 2307:

Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for the extension of certain existing pools in Eddy, Lea, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

- (a) Extend the Allison-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 10: SE/4

- (b) Extend the Culwin-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 36: NE/4 and SW/4

- (c) Extend the Double X-Delaware Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 15: SE/4

- (d) Extend the Drinkard Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 12: NW/4

- (e) Extend the North Hackberry-Yates Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 30: S/2 NE/4, SE/4, and E/2 SW/4

- (f) Extend the Justis Tubb-Drinkard Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 25 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 23: W/2 NE/4

- (g) Extend the Lea-Bone Springs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 11: NW/4

- (h) Extend the Paduca-Delaware Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 25 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 15: SE/4
SECTION 20: SE/4
SECTION 28: NW/4

- (i) Extend the Pearl-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 15: SW/4

- (j) Extend the South Prairie-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 21: N/2 NW/4

- (k) Extend the East Red Lake-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 11: N/2 SE/4
SECTION 12: W/2 NW/4

- (l) Extend the Saunders Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 21: SE/4

- (m) Extend the North Shugart-Queen-Grayburg Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 9: NE/4
SECTION 30: SE/4

- (n) Extend the Turkey Track Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 21: S/2 SE/4
SECTION 27: W/2 NW/4

- (o) Extend the East Vacuum-Abo Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 35: SE/4

CASE 2308:

Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order for the extension of existing pools in San Juan, Sandoval, and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico.

- (a) Extend the Ballard-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM,
SECTION 19: SW/4

- (b) Extend the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM,
SECTION 22: NW/4 & W/2 NE/4
SECTION 34: E/2 NE/4

- (c) Extend the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 2: NE/4 NW/4 & NW/4 NE/4

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 26: S/2 SW/4 & SW/4 SE/4

- (d) Extend the Shiprock-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 18 WEST, NMPM,
SECTION 16: NW/4 SW/4
SECTION 17: N/2 SE/4 & NE/4 SW/4

- (e) Extend the Totah-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 19: NW/4
SECTION 20: W/2 SE/4
SECTION 30: E/2 NE/4
SECTION 35: W/2 SW/4

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 24: E/2 NE/4

El Paso Natural Gas Company

El Paso, Texas

May 24, 1961

May 23 61

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Post Office Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Gentlemen:

Shown below is the caption of an application which El Paso Natural Gas Company will file later this week requesting an order providing for extensions of time for testing Dakota gas wells under certain conditions.

Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for Amendment of Paragraph (B) of Subsection I of Section A of Order No. R-333-C and D to Permit Extension of Time in Which the Operator May Conduct and Report the Results of Initial Deliverability Tests of Gas Wells Completed in the Basin Dakota Gas Pool, the Testing of Which Would Result in Preventing Gas Wells Completed in the Pictured Cliffs Formation from Producing Their Allowables and to Permit Administrative Approval of Such Extension Upon Applications Describing Particular Dakota Wells for Which Extension is Requested Upon an Individual Well Basis.

In the interest of time, please set this matter for hearing at the Statewide Hearing on June 14, 1961. The application will outline in detail the fact situation and the relief sought.

Very truly yours,

PRORATION DEPARTMENT

D. H. Rainey

D. H. Rainey
Administrative Assistant

*checked
7/7/61
6-1-61*
DHR:mm

cc: New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Aztec, New Mexico

El Paso Natural Gas Company

El Paso, Texas

May 25, 1961

66-2366

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Post Office Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Gentlemen:

Re: Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company
for Amendment of Paragraph (B) of Subsection I
of Section A of Order No. R-333-C and D.

I have enclosed original and two (2) copies of
the captioned application for filing. Please set this
matter for hearing at the Statewide Hearing on June 14, 1961.

Yours very truly,

Garrett C. Whitworth
Garrett C. Whitworth
Attorney

GCW:ads
Enclosures (3)

*Reckert
27 May 1961
OK*

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO

100-2306

APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY
FOR AMENDMENT OF PARAGRAPH (B) OF SUBSEC-
TION I OF SECTION A OF ORDER NO. R-333-C
AND D TO PERMIT EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH
THE OPERATOR MAY CONDUCT AND REPORT THE
RESULTS OF INITIAL DELIVERABILITY TESTS OF
GAS WELLS COMPLETED IN THE BASIN DAKOTA GAS
POOL, THE TESTING OF WHICH WOULD RESULT IN
PREVENTING GAS WELLS COMPLETED IN THE
PICTURED CLIFFS FORMATION FROM PRODUCING
THEIR ALLOWABLES AND TO PERMIT ADMINISTRATIVE
APPROVAL OF SUCH EXTENSION UPON AN INDIVIDUAL
WELL BASIS

TO THE HONORABLE COMMISSION:

El Paso Natural Gas Company, a Delaware corporation, your
Applicant herein, represents to the Commission as follows:

Paragraph (B) of Subsection I of Section A of Order No.
R-333-C and D requires production into the gathering system to
which a well is connected for a period of twenty-one consecutive
days to determine the Initial Deliverability of such well and to
form the basis of an initial deliverability test upon which the
allowable of a gas well completed in the Basin Dakota Gas Pool may
be determined.

Recent Dakota development and current exploration is
being conducted in areas in which the only gas pipeline connection
available to Basin Dakota Gas wells is a connection with pipeline
gathering facilities to which many Pictured Cliffs wells are con-
nected, operating at a working pressure of approximately 250 pounds
per square inch gauge.

The reservoir pressure of the Dakota Formation is substan-
tially higher than the reservoir pressure of the Pictured Cliffs
Formation. The wellhead pressure of Basin Dakota wells and the
deliverability against a gathering line working pressure of approxi-
mately 250 pounds per square inch gauge of newly completed Dakota
wells is substantially greater than those of Pictured Cliffs wells
connected to the same system. The completion of initial deliver-
ability tests on Dakota wells and the production of such wells into

a 250 pounds per square inch gauge gathering system for a period of twenty-one days would result in overloading such gathering system, increasing the working pressure of such gathering system backing off of Pictured Cliffs wells with lower deliverability and impairing the correlative rights of the owners and operators of such low deliverability Pictured Cliffs wells.

There is proposed construction of facilities designed to gather gas from Dakota wells at gathering system working pressures of approximately 500 pounds per square inch gauge. Until completion of such facilities, the production of Dakota gas wells into the Pictured Cliffs low pressure system should be curtailed. Such curtailment will require an extension of the time for taking and reporting of initial deliverability tests of Dakota gas wells.

Therefore, your Applicant requests that the Commission enter an appropriate order granting a ^① general exception to the provisions of Paragraph (B) of Subsection I of Section A of Order No. R-333-C and D to those untested Dakota wells which, prior to October 1, 1961, may be connected to a gathering system servicing Pictured Cliffs wells at line pressures of approximately 250 pounds per square inch gauge or less and that such order make provision for the ^② filing of requests to postpone initial deliverability tests upon an individual well basis ^③ and for administrative approval by the Commission. Aztec office of such applications, extending the testing time for a period not to exceed sixty (60) days after connection of any such Dakota gas well with a gathering system operating at a pressure of approximately 500 pounds per square inch gauge or greater, ^④ and further providing for assigning allowables based upon such initial deliverability tests, effective as of the date of first connection of such Dakota well into the low pressure system.

Respectfully submitted,

EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY
Applicant

By Garrett C. Whitworth
Attorney

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
June 14, 1961

IN THE MATTER OF:)

Application of El Paso Natural
Gas Company for an exception to)
Order No. R-333-C and D, as)
amended.)

Case 2306

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691



1699-3 M3 EN04.1

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as amended, to provide for an administrative procedure whereby the time may be extended for conducting and reporting initial deliverability tests on gas wells in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, when the taking of such tests would prevent the full production of allowable from Pictured Cliffs wells connected to the same pipeline facility. Applicant further seeks an extension of time for conducting and reporting such tests for all Dakota wells without initial deliverability tests which, prior to October 1, 1961, are connected to a low-pressure pipeline facility servicing Pictured Cliffs wells. It is further requested that provision be made for assigning allowables based upon such delayed initial deliverability tests, effective retroactively to the date of connection of the Dakota well to the low-pressure pipeline facility.

Case 2306

BEFORE: Honorable Edwin L. Mechem
Mr. A. L. Porter
Mr. E. S. Walker

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. WALKER: The next case on the docket is Case 2306.

MR. MORRIS: Case 2306. Application of El Paso Natural Gas Company for an exception to Order No. R-333-C and D, as



amended.

MR. PORTER: How many witnesses do you have, Mr. Howell?

MR. HOWELL: Just one.

(Witness sworn.)

MR. WHITWORTH: The firm of Seth, Montgomery, Federici and Andrews of Santa Fe, and Ben R. Howell and Garrett Whitworth, representing El Paso Natural Gas Company. The law firm has made a written appearance in this case. El Paso's witness is Mr. David Rainey.

DAVID RAINEY

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WHITWORTH:

Q Would you please state your full name for the record, by whom and in what capacity are you employed?

A David H. Rainey, administrative assistant in the Pro-ration Department for El Paso Natural Gas Company.

Q Have you previously testified as an expert witness before this Commission?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q Have your qualifications been made a matter of record?

A Yes, sir.

MR. WHITWORTH: Are the witness's qualifications



acceptable?

MR. PORTER: They are acceptable.

Q What is El Paso's purpose in making this application?

A El Paso is seeking an exception to the provisions of Paragraph B of Subsection 1 of Section A of Order R-333-C and D, as amended, to provide for an extension of time in which to conduct initial deliverability tests on certain Dakota wells in the San Juan Basin area which are connected to El Paso's so-called Pictured Cliffs or low pressure system.

If I may at this time point, we want to go on record as being in complete accord with the suggested rule change made by the Commission Staff and would like to apologize to the Commission for having this hearing before the full Commission, but in the interest of time we thought it was necessary that we get it to hearing as soon as possible. We have a problem that time is of the essence.

Q What are the contents of Order R-333-C and D?

A Order R-333-C and D is the order that provides that initial deliverability tests and annual deliverability tests shall be taken on all gas wells in the San Juan Basin except the Gallup gas wells. At that time the order was written, the Gallup had not been developed. It further provides, that in the specific portion we are asking exception to, is that initial deliverability tests should be taken within forty-five days after connection to a



pipeline.

Q Do you have an exhibit showing the wells that are involved in this application?

(Whereupon, El Paso's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

A Yes, sir. El Paso's Exhibit No. 1 has been passed out. It is a portion of the El Paso's regular pipeline map for the San Juan Basin area covering the area from Township 24 North through 30 North and Range 10 West through 13 West, in the general area of the West Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool and the Fulcher Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool showing in red the proposed location of the Dakota gathering system which we intend to build in the immediate future.

This map also shows the location of our Blanco Gasoline Plant in Section 14 of 29 North, 11 West and our Chaco Gasoline Plant in Section 16 of 26 North and 12 West. It also shows the location of our Angel Peak Compressor Station in the Northeast Quarter of Section 8 of 27 North and 10 West, and of our Kutz Compressor Station in the Southwest Quarter of Section 15, 29 North, 12 West.

This map also shows the wells which are presently connected to, the Dakota wells which are presently connected to a Pictured Cliffs gathering system which will ultimately be connected to this Dakota gathering system or high-pressure gathering system.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CM 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CM 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

You'll notice this Township 28 North, Range 13 West, there's a portion of the pipeline system shown in blue rather than in red. That's an existing piece of pipeline which is connected at the present time into the 250 pound Pictured Cliffs system, but was designed and built with the idea in mind that it would ultimately be converted to a 500 pound system. It's a high-pressure pipeline although at the present time it's being offered on 250 pounds.

There's another section of pipeline in 27 and 28 North and 12 West shown in the same manner, shown in blue, which, as I say, is, at the present time, connected into a 250 pound system but designed and built to ultimately go into a 500 pound system.

In 28 North and 11 West there's a portion of pipeline shown there in green which was built as a gathering system by Pioneer Natural Gas Company and now connected to El Paso's system, and we're taking gas from Pioneer there on an exchange basis and the exchange of gas is made in another area entirely. That is actually gas going into El Paso's system. Also, at the present time, going into a 250, but can be converted to the 500 pound system at the time it's completed.

Q How many Dakota wells are involved?

A There are 32 Dakota wells which we're specifically concerned with in this application. They're the wells shown on this map colored in red. The wells in yellow are also Dakota



wells connected to the low pressure system. However, those wells have been tested on initial deliverability test in the year 1960. The wells shown in red have not had any kind of test at all taken on them at this time.

Q What happens, Mr. Rainey, when you tie a Dakota well into a Pictured Cliffs system?

A Well, the Dakota wells in this area have approximately 2,000 pounds shutin pressure, and because of our contractual arrangements are producing against a back pressure of about 500 pounds through back pressure regulator on the wells themselves.

The Pictured Cliffs wells in this area have an average shutin of between 350 and 450 pounds, and producing into the 250 pound system. Consequently, when you turn much Dakota gas on at 500 pounds, and with high shutin pressures and relatively high deliverabilities compared to the Pictured Cliffs wells, it tends to back the Pictured Cliffs wells completely off the line or reduces their ability to produce to such an extent that they are incapable of making their allowables.

Q Because of this, in your opinion, is it injurious to correlative rights?

A Yes, the operators of the Pictured Cliffs wells are in danger of having their correlative rights violated in that if we test, or attempt to test, the Pictured Cliffs wells will be backed off the line, and because in the West Kutz and Fulcher Kutz the

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

greatest bulk of the wells are in somewhat marginal status, even though they are not classified as marginal, and many of them are classified as marginal, and when they lose allowable and are backed off the line and not permitted to produce their allowable they're in danger of not being capable of making up that lost production.

Q How does the producing capacity of the Dakota wells compare with the Pictured Cliffs wells in this area?

A Well, as a specific example, there are 183 known Dakota wells connected to our Angel Peak, so-called Angel Peak gathering system. The Angel Peak Compressor Station is located in Section 8, 27 and 10. Of the 183 known Dakota wells, 159 are Pictured Cliffs, 17 Fruitland, 1 Charro well, 3 Farmington wells and 3 Gallup wells. The capacity at this Angel Peak Station is only 20,000,000 cubic feet per day. The actual producing ability, that's the ability of the well to actually produce against our pipeline pressures and not the State deliverability of these 183 known Dakota wells, is 29,000,323 cubic feet.

Whereas, there are 29 Dakota wells connected into that same system, that have had enough production that we have what we consider a relatively good producing ability figure on those wells, and against a 500 pound back pressure, those 29 wells are capable of making 47,000,809 cubic feet. 47,000,000 and 183 known can only make 29,000,000 cubic feet.



Q How is El Paso --

A Let me give the same point as to Kutz Station. It's in the Southwest Quarter of 15, 29, 12. It has a capacity of 60,000,000 cubic feet. There are 397 known Dakota wells connected to that station, or the gathering system that goes into that station, with a total producing ability of 77½ million cubic feet. There are 56 Dakota wells connected to that system that have had enough production to get a producing ability on those 56 wells and are capable of making over 80,000,000 cubic feet. So it can be seen that the capacity of these two stations is essentially loaded with just Pictured Cliffs gas even if we didn't have any of the Dakota wells connected to the systems.

Q How does El Paso propose to solve this problem?

A El Paso is proposing that an amendment or an exception be granted to Order R-333-C and D as amended, which would provide that these Dakota wells be granted an extension of time in which to conduct initial deliverability tests until such time as this Dakota gathering system is built. It's anticipated at this time that the system right-of-way and the construction of the system should be completed by October 1st, 1961. Consequently, we're proposing an amendment to the existing Order R-333-C and D which will provide that the District Supervisor in Aztec may grant administratively, exceptions to the provisions of this order for those operators who specifically request it on



~~individual wells until sixty days after October 1st, 1961.~~

I have an amendment, a proposed order, or wording of a proposed order which I'll read in a few minutes when we get to it.

Q How long would one of these Dakota wells be required to flow into the line in order to make one of these tests?

A Under the terms of the testing order to conduct a deliverability test in the San Juan Basin area, a well must flow unrestricted for a period of twenty-one days to complete a deliverability test, and it's obvious with the capacities of these systems essentially loaded with Pictured Cliffs gas that there is not sufficient capacity available to permit the operators to test Dakota wells with relatively high deliverability for a period of twenty-one days into this system without backing Pictured Cliffs wells off the line so they are incapable of making their allowables and probably wouldn't be able to make them up if they lose the allowables.

Q How many operators are the owners of the wells involved?

A There are approximately twenty-five individual operators involved. El Paso itself only has two wells of the wells connected to the entire system, and one of those was tested in 1960 and one of them has not yet had a test taken on them, but there are twenty-four other operators involved.

MR. PORTER: The Dakota wells?

A Yes, sir. It's El Paso's proposal, as I mentioned,



is that the operators should ask for the exception on specific wells. We are not asking for a blanket exception on a given list of wells. It will be up to the operators to ask the Aztec.

Q El Paso does not propose to compel anybody to extend the period of time for taking the test?

A That is correct. It would be my feeling that most of the operators would want to avail themselves of the opportunity if the Commission sees fit to grant the relief. If we can't test the wells, it will be impossible to test them because of the capacities of the system, the operators will lose allowables after forty-five days after they have been connected to the Pictured Cliffs system, which they are now connected to.

Our proposal is the allowables, when they are assigned and the wells have been tested in the Dakota system, that the allowables be assigned retroactive to the date that the wells were connected to the Pictured Cliffs system. We intend to produce them in the Pictured Cliffs system sufficiently to protect the leases in case there is a possibility of the lease being in jeopardy, and to protect correlative rights, but only to that extent, because we don't have the capacity to handle any more gas.

Q In other words, the failure to apply for an exception, in your opinion, would jeopardize the allowable of an operator?

A Yes, sir, very definitely. If they don't apply for the exception we are proposing here after the end of forty-five days

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



they become penalized for every day that they're late after that under the allowable provisions in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool.

Q Have you composed a rule that would obtain the procedures that would be involved to gain the administrative proposal that you have spoken of?

A Yes, sir, we drafted up the working of a proposed rule which could be issued as a separate order, or as possibly Order R-333-F, to be an amendment to 333-C and D, which would be worded as follows: "An exception is hereby granted to Paragraph B of Subsection 1 of Section A of Order R-333-C and D for those Dakota wells which are now, or which may be connected to El Paso Natural Gas Company's Pictured Cliffs Gathering System prior to October 1st, 1961. It is provided that administrative approval for an extension of time in excess of forty-five days in which to report the results of initial deliverability tests may be granted after a request in writing to the Commission's Aztec Office. This extension of time to be for a period not to exceed sixty days after such Dakota well is connected to a Dakota Gathering System. Upon approval and prior to the time that initial deliverability tests are taken, such Dakota wells will be permitted to produce as may be necessary to protect leases and to protect correlative rights. After initial deliverability tests are reported on such Dakota wells, allowables shall be assigned effective the date of first connection of such Dakota well into the Pictured Cliffs

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



Gathering System." That would prevent a penalty to accrue to the operator for failure to test the well because of the fact that we don't have the capacity to test the wells.

Q Was El Paso's Exhibit No. 1 prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?

A Yes, sir, this is a portion of our regular pipeline system map and we have superimposed on it the location of the proposed Dakota system and the wells which are presently connected to the Pictured Cliffs system which are proposed ultimately to be connected to that Dakota gathering system.

MR. WHITWORTH: We ask that El Paso's Exhibit No. 1 be admitted.

MR. PORTER: Without objection, the exhibit will be admitted to the record.

(Whereupon, El Paso's Exhibit No. 1 was admitted in evidence.)

MR. PORTER: Does anyone have any questions? Mr. Nutter.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q You are not asking for an exception for any specific wells here today?

A No, sir. We're leaving that entirely up to the operators.

Q You are just asking that the machinery be set up



whereby the owner of the well could ask for an exception?

A Yes, that's correct. It's El Paso's intention, if the Commission grants this relief, we will advise each of the operators concerned that they have the right, and I would say probably the obligation, to seek this relief.

Q How long a period of time would this machinery be in effect, indefinitely?

A In the wording that I have here in our proposed order, the way I intended it to read, this order would die of its own volition, as it were, after October 1st, 1961.

Q The order itself? A Yes.

Q The machinery would be gone?

A Yes. All we are asking for is relief until such time that the Dakota system can be built and put in operation. Our Engineering Department is of the opinion that we can have it ready before October 1st, 1961.

Q Does the construction of this pipeline require Federal Power Commission approval?

A No, sir.

Q Then you don't intend to produce any of these Dakota wells which are operating under this exception except in sufficient quantities to hold the leases and protect correlative rights?

A That's right. We have no capacity to produce them

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE (N 3-6591

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



any other way than that.

Q Are any Dakota wells in the area covered by this exhibit connected to any high pressure line?

A No, sir, that's the difficulty, there isn't any high pressure line in this area to my knowledge.

Q So, this matter of correlative rights, there wouldn't be any drainage by any wells which are producing in large quantities?

A That's correct. There are Dakota wells in this area that are not contracted to El Paso. Some of them, it's my understanding we are negotiating on contracts at the present time for some of these wells and it is possible that Southern Union Gas Company may have a system in their area. I don't know of my own knowledge.

Q In the event that such a system were installed somewhere in there, maybe some of these wells might have to produce to protect their correlative rights?

A They would have to produce some, that's quite possible, yes, sir.

Q With the assignment of the allowable retroactive after the tests had been made, then would the wells be permitted to produce large quantities of gas to make up the allowable which they had produced?

A That would be El Paso's intention to make every effort to take the allowable assigned to the wells.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691



Q When the new facilities are built, these wells are under produced at that time?

A That's correct.

MR. PORTER: But the status would be maintained on the wells all the time they were producing into the Pictured Cliffs line?

A Yes. In other words, the production in the Pictured Cliffs lines would, at the present time on these wells that don't have a test, be shown as overproduction on the schedule at the present time. When they get a test taken and an allowable can be assigned to the wells by virtue of a deliverability test, when the allowable is assigned retroactive, the small amount of production will result in being an underproduction on these wells.

MR. PORTER: But it would be applied to the accrued retroactive allowable?

A Yes, that's our intention.

MR. PORTER: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

Q (By Mr. Nutter) You are not seeking any provision for exception to the annual deliverability tests for these wells?

A No, sir, because it's our intention that this system will be completed by October 1st and we will go ahead and take the annual tests for 1961 on the wells that had 1960 tests taken in the remaining three months of the year.

Q You'll be able to schedule them and test them after the



facilities are completed?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: That's all.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Arnold.

BY MR. ARNOLD:

Q Mr. Rainey, are there any other areas where El Paso has Dakota wells tied into the Pictured Cliffs' system?

A Yes, there are quite a number of areas where we have Dakota wells connected to Pictured Cliffs' system, but this is the only area where we're short on capacity where the Pictured Cliffs' system is completely loaded with Pictured Cliffs' gas.

Q You don't recommend that operators in other areas be granted exceptions?

A We don't anticipate any problems in other areas. We don't anticipate, at this time, the necessity for building a separate Dakota system. It may become necessary at some future time, but right at the moment we don't believe it will become necessary.

Q Would it be necessary in the memorandum to specify the area where exceptions can be granted?

A That's true, and it might be well to add in there in the area from say 25 North through 30 North and 10 West through 13 West, or something like that. We intended it to be in the area where this proposed Dakota gathering system will be, and I

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



realize that it will probably be necessary in the order to identify that area.

MR. NUTTER: You haven't identified it in your proposed rule there?

A No, sir. Not as a specific description, we merely say the area of the Dakota gathering system.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Morris.

BY MR. MORRIS:

Q Mr. Rainey, in your proposed rule I believe it is stated that any well which gets administrative exception will have sixty days after connection to the Dakota gathering system in which to take the initial deliverability test?

A Yes.

Q Do you think there ought to be some cutoff date in the event some wells, in say some isolated area here, can't get connected soon after October 1st? In other words, we probably wouldn't want this running on indefinitely.

A Well, as I say, it was our intention that this order here would die of its own terms as of October 1st so that the provision for exception for further extensions of time would not be in there. I don't feel that there, any of the wells that we know about at the present time in this area that won't be connected fairly soon after we get the Dakota gathering system built.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-4691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Q In this administrative procedure that would be set up by this order, all that the operator would have to do would be to apply to the Aztec District Office?

A Yes, sir. We suggest that as a matter of simplicity.

Q Wouldn't have to give notice to the offsetting operators or any other requirements?

A I don't really feel that that's necessary, no, sir.

Q You can't imagine a situation where one of the offsets would object to having a well given administrative exception?

A I don't think so, because all the Dakota wells in this general area are going to be in the same boat, as it were.

MR. MORRIS: I believe that's all. Thank you.

MR. PORTER: Anyone else have a question of Mr. Rainey? Mr. Kendrick.

BY MR. KENDRICK:

Q Mr. Rainey, is it possible that part of this system can be put on stream prior to October 1st?

A That's quite possible. We put October 1st to give us enough lee-way to take care of the situation. The order, as provided, or as proposed, says that "this extension of time to be for a period not to exceed sixty days after such Dakota well is connected to a Dakota gathering system." So, if the well is connected to the Dakota gathering system some time prior to October 1st, he wouldn't be granted more than sixty days after that



time in which to get the test in.

Let me add something right there. The existing order provides that tests shall be taken within forty-five days with a fifteen-day extension to be granted by the District Supervisor upon application. What we're attempting to do is remove the need for asking for an extra fifteen-day extension in the order is why we make sixty days instead of forty-five.

Q Do you anticipate that compression facilities would be split so that possibly one wing of the gathering system can be completed maybe by September 1st and put on stream since those wells could then be separated from a total of thirty wells to be tested in the last sixty days of the year?

A I can't answer that, Mr. Kendrick. I don't know what the engineering plans are on the thing. It's my understanding that they intend to put the whole system in as soon as they can. As to the specific construction of it and whether or not portions of it could go into a certain plant or something ahead of another portion, I'm sure we'll turn it on as soon as possible so we can go ahead and get these tests out of the way.

MR. KENDRICK: That's all.

MR. PORTER: Anyone else have a question of the witness?
The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. PORTER: Does that conclude your testimony, Mr.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CM 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



June 19, 1963.

