CASE 2838: Application of CONTINENTAL for approval of a waterflood project, Caprock Queen Pool, Chaves County.

tion, Transcript,

Charles Etc.

JMD/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2838

Order No. R-2330

APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR A WATERFLOOD PROJECT, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on June 26 , 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this day of July, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool by the injection of water into the Queen formation through 17 wells located in Sections 22, 27, 34, and 35, Township 14 south, Range 31 East, NMPM, Chaves County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the wells in the project area are in an advanced state of depletion and should properly be classified as "stripper" wells.

Townhip 14 Douth Range 31 Cast, NMPM, Detrois 33: Bill A. Shelton Hardin - Simmons well no. 1 located in unit M Dection 27: Cottage Bakers July State well no. 2 located in unit A gulf State " well ho & located in unit E Quel State "D" will no 11 located in unit G July Plate "D" well no. 4 a u pa u u u M July "D" well he I located in wint o Section 34! Conors State R-34 well to 8 Locall in const A Sepas Facific Coal & Oy (A Nank fort) State L well ho 2 located in unit C gulf State "BKC" well had located in unit of M Conoco State w-35 well he ! located guif State "OKC" well hos located in anit K

Conoco State R-34 well hot located in Conoco State R-34 well hot located in anit of Gonoco State R-34 well ho 3 located in Conoco State R-34 well ho 3 located in anit 0:

Dectron 36. Conoco State W-35 well ho I located in unit E

Described wells shall be in accordance with the following:

(a) Sujection through the Bile A. Shelfon Hardin Simmon were too. !, the Kulf State "D" well to 9, and the Cattage Baters fulf State Well to Z shall be through tubing and mules a pocker set at least 200 feet below the top of the speciality string (b) Dujection through into the buses the R-34 wells thos. 3, 6, and 8 may be through tubing or through saving and the personnel of the special tubing or through saving.

selur

(c) Injection into the remaining electer Wells may be strongh tubing and under a packer set at least 200 feet below the top of the production string coments or through casing; provided, however, that if injection is blrough plasing of any well, the clasing of such well shall be casing of such well shall be preserre-tested to 2000 per priori to sommercion of the wree to water injection and shace be tested annually thereafter to phi in exercise of the anticipated phi in exercise pressure pressure superfron pressure maximum superfron pressure the lawring the lawring the lawring the lawring the lawring shall be time when shall be pressure tests are to be conducted. a pressure of at least 200

see fer

- (4) That the proposed waterflood project is in the interest of conservation and should result in recovery of otherwise unrecoverable oil, Thereby preventing wast.
- (5) That the subject application should be approved and the project should be governed by the provisions of Rulc 701 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is hereby authorized to institute a waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool by the injection of water into the Queen formation through the following-described 17 wells in Township 14 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Chaves County, New Mexico:

- That the subject waterflood project shall be governed by the provisions of Rule 701 of the Commission Rules and Regulations, including the allowable provisions thereof, and including the provisions with respect to expansion of the waterflood project.
- tions. That monthly progress reports of the waterflood project berein authorized shall be submitted to the Commission in accordance with Rules 704 and 1119 or the Commission Rules and Regulations.
- That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JUNE 26, 1963

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM. STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz. as Alternate Examiner:

CASE 2821: (Continued from June 5, 1963 examiner hearing)

Application of D. W. Falls, Inc., for an extension of Order No. R-2213, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the extension of Order No. R-2213, which order assigned a temporary deliverability for allowable purposes to applicant's Federal Well No. 2-11, located in Unit O of Section 11, Township 28 North, Range 13 West, Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 2833: Application of Tenneco Oil Company for approval of a unit agreement, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Central Cha Cha Unit Area comprising 674.05 acres, more or less, of Federal land located in Sections 30 and 31, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 2834: Application of Tom Brown Drilling Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Runyan Ranch Unit Area comprising 10,890.12 acres of State and Federal lands in Township 19 South, Range 21 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2835: Application of Jake L. Hamon for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Southeast Bell Lake Unit Area comprising 9,597.09 acres of State, Federal and Fee lands in Townships 24 and 25 South, Ranges 34 and 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2836: Application of Union Oil Company of California for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the West McDonald Unit Area comprising 2,320 acres of State and Fee lands in Township 14 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2837: Application of Continental Oil Company for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit Area

compaint | 1680 cass of State and Fee lands, located in formship 14 (death, lange 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.

CASE 2838:

Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a water-flood project by the injection of water into the Queen formation, Caprock Queen Pool, through 17 wells located in Sections 22, 23, 27, 34, and 35, Township 14 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.

CASE 2839:

Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for a multiple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order No. R-1750-A to permit the multiple completion (tubingless), of its Wimberley Well No. 13, located in Unit M of Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to permit the production of gas from the Langlie Mattix Pool, the production of oil from the Justis-Blinebry Oil Pool, and the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation through parallel strings of casing cemented in a common well bore.

CASE 2840:

Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for a non-standard gas proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico.

Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of a 120-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the S/2 NE/4 and NW/4 SE/4 of Section 19, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Blinebry Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its L. G. Warlick "A" Well No. 2 located in Unit J of said Section 19.

CASE 2841:

Application of Shell Cil Company for an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to Grill its Middleton Tederal Well No. B-l at an unorthodox location 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 31, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2842:

Application of Compass Exploration, Inc. for an amendment of Commission Order No. R-2462, Rio Arriba County, Mew Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order No. R-2462 concerning the Largo Gallup Cas Pool to provide 320 acre gas well spacing and an increase in the maximum allowable for each spacing unit from 500 to 1,000 MCF par pay.

CASE 2843:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a special gas well test, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to produce and flare approximately 3000 MCF of gas per day for a period of not less than 6 nor more than 9 days from its Hackberry Hills Unit Well No. 1, located in Unit 0 of Section 1, Township 22 South, Range 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, to determine if the gas reserves in place justify the expense of a pipeline to the nearest market outlet.

CASE 2844:

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for the creation of the Teas Pennsylvanian Gas Pool and for special temporary pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Pennsylvanian Gas Pool for its Mahaffey-Federal (ARC) Well No. 1, located in Unit C of Section 14, Township 20 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and for the establishment of temporary pool rules therefor, including a provision for 640 acre spacing units.

CASE 2845:

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for an exception to Order No. R-1670, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order permitting its Barber Gas Unit Well No. 1, located in Unit E of Section 8, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Eumoni Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce 600 MCF of gas per month in exception to the shut-in provisions of Rule 15(A) of Order No. R-1670, said gas to be utilized in the oil well gas-lift system on applicant's B. J. Barber Lease.

CASE 2846:

Application of Ralph Lowe to create a new pool for Upper Pennsylvanian gas production, and for special pool rules, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new gas pool for Upper Pennsylvanian Gas production in Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 24 East, and the establishment of temporary pool rules therefor, including a provision for 640-acre spacing and a provision restricting well locations.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ESSIVED OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF THE EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT AGREEMENT EMBRACING 1480.00 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, LOCATED IN TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO; FOR PERMISSION TO INSTALL AND OPERATE A WATEK-FLOOD WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF SAID UNIT AREA: AND FOR PERMISSION TO PRODUCE THE UNIT WELLS INTO A CENTRAL TANK BATTERY

APPLICATION

Comes now Applicant, Continental Oil Company, and respectfully requests approval of the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit Agreement, permission to install and operate a waterflood within said unit, and permission to produce the unit wells into a central tank battery. The Eastcap Queen Pool Unit Agreement embraces the following described acreage:

New Mexico Prime Meridian

Township 14 South, Range 31 East

Section 22: E/2 SE/4 Section 23: W/2 SW/4 Section 27: All Section 34: NE/4 NW/4 S/2 NW/4 SW/4 E/2

Section 35: W/2 NW/4

Containing 1480.00 acres, more or less, in Chaves County, New Mexico, and in support thereof Applicant would show:

- That the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit Agreement is attached hereto and marked Exhibit I,
- 2. That application has been made to the State Land Office and approval of the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit Agreement was received on April 18, 1963.
- 3. That the attached lease plat marked Exhibit If shows the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit and surrounding area.

- 4. That production in the Eastcap Queen Pool is at an advanced stage of depletion and that recovery by primary methods is at or near the economic limit.
- 5. That engineering investigations indicate that waterflooding the Eastcap Queen Pool will be physically and economically feasible.
- 6. That agreement between the Working Interest
 Owners has proceeded to the extent that a
 logical and systematic secondary recovery
 operation is assured.
- 7. That the formation to be unitized and water-flooded is the Artesia Red sand section of the Queen formation which is specifically indicated on the radioactivity logs of the Continental Oil Company State R-34 No. 1 and No. 2 wells attached hereto and marked Exhibit IIIa and IIIb, respectively.
- 8. That all proposed injection wells are or will be completed in such a manner that injected water will be confined to the Artesia Red sand. The present status of all proposed injection wells is shown on the tabulation of injection well data attached hereto and marked Exhibit IV.
- 9. That applicant proposes to inject a total of approximately 2,900 barrels of water per day into the 17 proposed injection wells on an 80-acre five-spot pattern. Said water will be obtained from a water well located in the NW/C of SE/4 of Section 25, T-145, R-31E, Chaves County, New Mexico under provisions of Permit No. L-3615, Water Lease No. W-130, originally issued to Union Oil Company Of California.

- 10. That the said water clood will be installed and operated in conformance with Rule 7016.
- II. That the producing operations can be carried on more efficiently if all Unit wells are produced into a central tank battery, which will be served by automatic custody transfer equipment.
- 12. That the proposed unitization and secondary recovery will result in the recovery of hydrocarbons which would not be recovered by primary methods and is therefore in the interest of conservation and prevention of waste.

Wherefore, Applicant respectfully requests that this matter be set for hearing before the Commission's duly qualified Examiner and that upon hearing an order be entered approving the Eastcap Queen Unit Agreement, granting permission to install and operate a waterflood within the Unit Area and permitting the production of the Unit wells into a central tank battery as described herein above.


Respectfully Submitted,

A. B. SLAYBOUGH ()
Division Superintendent


of Production

New Mexico Division

•				R-	31-E				
Sinclair Pet. W.	71-62 24p 22 14330 H.B.P. Court 10."	20-9 ₄₃₀₋₁₂ JHo. H. Trigg	137 •3	66 (6)	11 101 05 Cities Service "B" "Ernment" 11 256 02 16 U.S.A. 3714	•4	701111 9	Sinclair Gu Sinclair Gu 3.20 4 2 3.20 70 11mm	56 /em
Gulf "A" oco812 "Hopp"	Sinclair 169p	026 018 010 110f 53P 118	• 1	79 3 N.G. Penro "Phillips P 2822-37	P. 13 Gulf 5 Gulf 60 Hop	77 77	Siella Zimme	4 60	Adami
11.111	5p. 5	Fure Gult Circle Service 318 1 132 0 1 123 0 1 "A" FRY AQ" Penros P.C. & O. Geror	96 Neviñe Parrose 163 C.E. Hinkle C/R	2871 2865 34 Disc. 6:23-53 Penrose New	70 N.G. Pe 1. A 3 1. 2 immer (Un	nrose 	65 65 N.G.Pe	Union Oil Inrose, et al	OSÓ2
74p 7- 46p 2	739 Tide Water	N.G. Penrose, et al sc ol union "Union" Idnion" Andersi	e Pitt Man. 120 ont intercoast 0. Gulf	Perirosk III	2 65 nion IR howall 6 Penrose to	●1 76	44 July 11 Kalif	- 14 52	
Malco	5 Gulf .4.	Gulf 2 A	2لم	Ada Oil Phi	llipid 1 01 120 120 J.I. ONeill,Jr.	antweil	7.0.5123 " E.E DIA10-22-54 E. 4423 QD. 3109	U.S.A. SA SS Queen	(Uni Fairt 0. 2390 T.D 11 2300 3790 3095 DJA
A. C. Holder 4.4.62	Byard- Byard- Bennett etal is, 61	Byard Bennett etal BSP B/R BAN Sanei	Penrose A	Sohio, 1-1-6	'A" Antwei!	102	Shelton4 Warren U	Abo. Wifep	5320 Jer 7480 Gur 8844 Gur 9587 Uni
M.R.Antweil - Op. Ab. "Martin Yates Jr." 2 10.1.5 0683	. to 3000 B	N.G. Penrose	Hanson 9-3-54 HBP	Gulf D" EAST CA	AR	98p UNITZ	5/03/18		BP 1
Gulf 5-1-61 "" E-8/55"	Union Oil 3 · 5 · 63	Union Union Sept. 184 Union BrosecoCo BrosecoCo H. 14 Heath	orp.1/31/19	6 5	44P27 605 8	E 4412 9 3107	7.0.3124 \$1 0/AII-4. 56	76 ((A) M. H. Medlin)-1-61 Un	Un 1.6
0.1. 0.Neill Gulf 11.15.63 12.16.63	indley, et al Honolulu	16 W.Baker M.R.	1 a ?	Ada Oil A Proposition of the Pro	201 B. 6 P.C. 6 0 1 Canti 2 Ac. 2 3 6 H	320p 459 nental 0-61396 5697 20 Ac.	ALEn	& Fair Oper.	illips 10-60
8-10-55	Pure 2.10 Nell HBP -5.3120 Table 6015 Gulf 133	MISE Runysn 50 Elizha Trice	2. •5 4 :98	• 2 200	34 5 1 2 705 1 252	588	Phillips . 9-10-61 E-5545	H.BP. Phillips 9-10-61	Ali Hes



1						A 4 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	*		1 60 25	40 63 3 4
1	State TY	40.58 11.40.72	4 40 83 3-46 32	Oic Pure	1112 13 4116 01 264		A Laton !!	48 89 2 48 83	Champlin 9-1-56	
5			420 Lion 288	E-866 1 - 13 - +	union-3	•Z	i Contine	ntal _	Spurck HBP	
	MALE ECMIC	4 /01	6 069832 80 Erwings	1.9.2064.2 T.P.C.50. 1.M.P/A 0.10-55 331 State 04p	sap 50	88p	7-10.6 55898	= = = Water	55698	
7	7.0.3165	1/Pure -	-5 •1	e Loo	Monneacee Gas		361.72	AC.	7-10-61 lagi.72	
	0145-10-13 185 Erwitt	13 069832	129 Purc (5	Bill A. Shelton	Reno	8 14:50 JE Stevens US Small.		Tide Water State 1:903	RWFair (4 8.10-6)	
	•3 1 0 11 ig	Vest 1 2 350	141 U.S A 272	350 U.3 A Union D/R		Tide R.W.	Fair Gulf	Mrs. Hatel L. R.R. Hin	Gentle irie	
	2 1:43 • 2·A	208 Union 3	1 178 1.1.0	43p 4 Neill Jr T.D.3473	Mumble 6-1-56	2.15.6518.10	0.61 8.10-59	01517 U.S.A 11.1.6	1 li	
	M.R. Jos. 1 Antwell Tp.313	Medlin	1 -2 0111	e vest (5): _SOU	TH CAPROCK	wat	1	8.40-61 HBP	1 1/1	2 2 2 2 2
	M.H Medilin	120 U.S.A.	1 103	. 9:	QUEEN UNIT	-10 L	Gulf	Tide Gulf Water a.m.	77	Hazel L.
:	Sinclair	Morris J.1. Antweil O'Neill	Malco Jone	3 UDDUU	05959	4.50	المستريد	Wm Unio	n	015
1	"Saner"	M H Meclin	M.H Redlin	11-1-68 Waller 2-1-60 #.S.A 1 09691	1-1-61	State		HBP SIPTE	Steven	s U.F. Ste
	U.S.A 356	132 T.O.31.0	<u> </u>		_1					



CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT


CAPROCK QUEEN FIELD AREA CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO


LEASE PLAT

— EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT BOUNDARY—

SCALE: 1" = 2000!

STATE R-34 NO. 1





Set 7 5/8" csg @ 307' w/200 sx (circ), using 2 centralizers.
Set 4 1/2" csg @ 3090' w/710 sx (cement to 1350'), using 10 centralizers, 90 scratchers.

TD 31081


Completed, thru open hole, for IP of 900 BOPD, no water, based on 4-hour test of 150 BO flowing thru 3/4" choke on 2" tubing with 59.9 MCF gas. GCR 66. Tr: 40# CP: 50#


Pay: Queen, from 30941 to 31081 (open hole).

Tops: Anhydrite 1365 Base Salt 2153 Seven Rivers 2544 Salt 1465 Yates 2307 Queen Pay 3094 (ARTES QUEEN)

Completed: 5/27/56. Tested: 5/28/56.

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY
STATE R-34 No. 2
ELEVATION 4414 D.F.





Set 7 5/8" csg. @ 314' m/200 sx (circ), using 2 centralizers.
Set 4 1/2" csg. @ 3086' m/700 sx (cement to 1540'), using 16 centralizers.

TD 3114'
Completed for IP of 252 BOPD, no water, based on 4-hour test of 42 BO
flowing thru 3/4" choke on 2" tubing with 51.3 MCF gas, GOR 196. TP:
150#.


Pay: Queen, 3098' to 3114' (open hole).

Tops: Anhydrite 1392 Base Salt 2150 Queen Pay (ARTESIA)3098 Salt 1475 Yates 2314 Seven Rivers 2549

Completed: 6-8-56. Tested: 6-8-56.

BILL A. CELLON. 150 sx. (P)	TEXAS PROTEIR COMI & OIL (ENANGEORT) 13 3/8" % 307' 300 sx. 5 1/2" 6 3077' 100 sx. (09 5 tate 1 76. 2	GULT State No. 2 3130: 8 5/8" 8 200' 125 cx. 4 1/2" 9 3128' 150 sx. 7 (8)	CULT CIT CORPORATION State "ENC" No. 1 State "ENC" No. 1 State "ENC" No. 1 State "D" No. 1 State "D" No. 5 State "D" No. 1 State "D" No	CONTINENT (15 CONTINE CONTIN CONTINE CONTINE CONTINE CONTINE CONTINE CONTINE CONTINE	Company, Lease Cotal Depth Curlace Owning Production Casing Pand Well No. Ano/Or PED Depth Coment Coment (OH
•	1.2" 6 3077"	1/2" @ 3128"		25000 20000	Depth
	077' 100 ex. (128' 150 sx. / (F	1000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1287 128 8x. (0 0907 800 8x. (0 0907 800 8x. (0	n Casing (O
91011 45 21051	0H)3077' to 3098'		H)30021 to 31131 E)30021 to 31131 E)30021 to 31131 E)30021 to 31061 Mr. Augndoned H)30001 to 31061 E)30001 to 31061 Formerly Man.Cone'd. E)30001 to 30061 Formerly Man.Cone'd.) 3105' to 3106' H)3090' to 3108' H)3090' to 3122' H)3087' to 3115'	Froducing Interval E)-Ogen Lole ([)-Peris.

. Miles



- CONTROL POINTS:

 1. Wetering Separator High Level
 Shut-in.

 2. ACT kun Tank High Level Shut-in.

 3. ACT Run Tank Low Level Shut-in.

 4. ACT Run Tank Working Level Run.


 5. High-Low Pressure Shut-in.

 - 5. High-Low Pressure Shut-in.

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATERFLOOD AWT & ACT CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO


4-22-63

 \overline{X}




R-31-E

		N-91-E	
Phillips Tide Neville Sinclair Pel. Water HBP 7-1-53 7-1-62 749 2 1 04330 N.B.P. Court 58	20-9 ¹ _{43pe} 12 • 4 JHo. H. Trigg 137 137 149 ¹ • 13	## 134 96 U.S.A 314 412	N.G. Penrose 3 (N.G. Penrose 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44
Gulf "A" 060812 Sinclair 169p "ARC"	9 11 10 01 110 6 53p 118 111	Proseco Corp. 10 3 92 78 35 N. G. Penrose "Phillips Pet" 7822-37	Stella Zimmer. 4
Paradox Mineralsi 83P05 1.F. Hodge 3! Phillips 424 "Malco" 84 1.75p05 1.75p05	25 17 "Trigg"9 146 120 U.S X. 196 OTE GUIL Service Pantose 18 01 132 01 123 01 163	2871 2865-70 N.G. Penrose	4 60 2140 0502 0502
889 UIS. 63 61 	PC.80. Geror Penrose Hinkle UK 174 1.87 Spurck 186 Penrose etal 1 Penrose Pittman	Sieila Zimmerman	N.G.Penz se,etal 0 (Unide) DRICKEY -2 SAND UN
U.S A.24 84 STATE 120	Thinips Feron T.P.C. (O. Guil	Ferrose 117 65 Union (Union // R N // // // // // // // // // // // // /	Apin Zimmerman
Malco Soulf 49P 100	5 Gulf 2 80 Ada 0/1 130 168 112 070336 04 B 33	070336 J.1. ONeill Jr. 2 'Medin' 1 02 96	E. 4423 //36173 // Fairb Qn. 3109 // To. 3129 / David Rhodeldy. 2300 // Carlotte
A. C. Holder 4.4.62 Byard- Bennett etal ia,	Gulf D/R 3 4 130 2 Byard Bennett etal SP S/R Saner ** FAManson	T0.3122 T.0.3121 TIAG- 21-55 T/3 0-11 Ada Oil 'A'' MR. I "Medlin" Sohio 7-1-68 Malco Ir	Drig. DA 9.26.55 23 Glor. 5330 Abo. 1480 Abo. 1480 Cur Wifep. 8844 Cur Penri. 9597 11.10 12.10 14.10 15.10 16.10 17.10 17.10 17.10 17.10 18.10 1
M.R.Antweil - Op. A	225 03 140p Stella Zimmerman 2 01 E A Hanson 89p 70p / Alguing 9:1-54	U.S.A.(L) PIAB-11-58 a Ker Gulf "D" 1 (2)	Timmerman-Sur, ar Lykes et al - Min 4 18 L.S.Harris et al 4 18 Dia 27 58 Lee Deig Sinclair Sinclair Sinclair
H.A.L. dley(s) Gulf 5.1.61	"Ballard" 4", "5" 84f 44f Union	A 124-57 44PZT COF B 64-59	772006 USA 048140 USA T.0.3124 Uni 1.6



E 9183' Unio Oil 3-5 3 3-5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	60p H 1/ ~	teath	288 /62 Sta	201 3201 B-8459	7975E 10-1-61 N.M. 06173-A	unionOil 9. 1. 67 U S A 033091	Unio '2 4
J.1. O Neill Gulf 11.13.61 12.16.63 Honolulu 2.18.68 Pure 0G-1993 B-10420 J. H BP 37	J. L. Neill (10.1910) 1 G W Baker (2000) 2010 Malco (20.3085) 1 Malco (20.3085) 2 Malco (20.3085) 2 Malco (20.3085) Mantwell	(M. Y. 1 tes 07 028370.7 (154) 76	Prilip PC. 601 Soulf A Sie BKC" 600	1 Continental 30 HO Glade 1 55897 1 320 Ac.	Ol Sip Since Control of Control o	Gulf 1-4-50 H.B.P	Tid Wat 5 17: 17 30
Tex Pac. C. & C. Co. Pure 10 NEW 8 10-55 HBP 120 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Morris O'Neill Antwell is 50 Z MISE, RUNYER Elizh Williams, 105 // Win	2 65	2 0 5 200 705	251 251 252 26410 201.3098	Phillips Phillips 9-10-6	î	Alice Heppr
70.3125 43 Ada Oil T/A\$ 29.55 120		2 41 62 4 1 11 11 Pure 0'Heill 404 E-8443 173"	90 264	J. O'Neilly Sahio	Linion	16 83 (1-16 75) (Champlin (9-1-56	4 40
069832 Erwin 64 E.4461 65 200 50	6063832 80 363	1. P. C. S.D. 1. P. C. S.D. 1. N. P'A '0 - 10 - 5 331 State 84p	4 "Stevens" 59p 50	●2 88p		Spurck de HBP ater	
T.D.3165 Lion Oil 4/Pure 4 0/A 5-10-65 185 33 062832	es of	8 A.Shelton 336	Tennessee Gas 25 'Reno"	5nif 8 14-58	361.72 Ac.	15-65 55698 7-10-61 361.75 11 AC.	· ·
560 94 // 312 350	.41 U.S A €12	Bill A. Shelton 350 U.S. A Union D/R	V : A	JE Stevens USSmen, Beforedin Co Year		7.25 N	
2 13 2 A 208 Union 3 A1 R. 109.1 Antwell 17 0 3131/ A" 19 0.5 A 112	2 Oile	EIII Jr T. J. 9 24.57	Mumble 6.1.56 068879 TH CAPROCK QUEEN UNIT	Tide R.W.Fair Water 2:15:65 8:10.61	8-10-59 J. 0:517 II. Tide Water R.W.Fair Uz	MINKE S.A. I I.GI	ش تشتید
Sinclair 2 Morris J.I. Antwell O'Neill	Mateo J.I.	7:1:67	Grace E. Van Hook 9-1-56 05959	Oulf Also	8-10-59 : Water 8.	Ulf NO.53	Haze!
4.7 4.7	M.H. Tedlin	(1-1-68 Waller 2-1-60 8.5.4 09691	Sinclair 062524 1-1-61 U.S.A.	State	Spurck U	nion IBP Sterens	JE



CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT

CAPROCK QUEEN FIELD AREA CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

LEASE PLAT

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT BOUNDARY

SCALE: 1'' = 2000'

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT
WATER INJECTION WELL - CONOCO STATE W-35 NO. 1

8 5/80.D. surface casing set at 3221 and cemented to surface with 150 sacks cement Cement Top - 2453! (By Temperature Survey Perforations 3106 to 3109 5 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3128 and cemented with 125 sacks cement TD and/or PBD _3120!

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER

OH CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXHIBIT NO. 8 - 2 - 9


CASE NO. 2537-38

VIII(a)


EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - CONOCO STATE R-34 NO. 1

7 5/8" O.D. surface casing set at 307: and cemented to surface with 200 sacks cement Cement Top - 1350! (By Temperature Survey or Calculated) 4 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3090' and cemented with 710 sacks cement Open Hole 3090 1 to 3108 1 TD - 3108 '


EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - QUIP STATE "BKC" NO. 1



EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - QULF STATE "BKC" NO. 3



EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - GULF STATE "BKC" NO. 5




EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - GULF STATE "D" NO. 1

	8 5/80.D. surface casing set
	at 2521 and cemented to
	surface with 175 sacks cement
	Cement Top - 2774' (By Temperature Survey or Calculated)
}	Perforations 3085 ' to 3002 '
	Perforations 3085 to 3002
1	4 1/2"O.D. production casing set @ 3100'
Δ	and cemented with 75 sacks cement
TD and	d/or PBD*


^{*}Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3098.

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - QULF STATE "D" NO. 4




EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - GULF STATE "D" NO. 5

8 5/8" O.D. surface casing set at 2671 and cemented to surface with 150 sacks cement Cement Top - 2769' (By Temperature Survey cr Calculated) 4 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3095! and cemented with 75 sacks cement Open Hole 3095 to 3106 TD - 3106 '




EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT


WATER INJECTION WELL - GULF STATE "D" NO. 11 (FORMERLY MAN. CONS'D.)




^{*}Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3101'.

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - TEXAS PACIFIC COAL & OIL (FRANKFORT) STATE L NO. 2







- 5. High-Low Pressure Shut-in.


EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATERFLOOD AWT & ACT CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

<u>4=22-63</u>

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER SHOCONSERVATION COMMISSION

X

ACT INSTALLATION



There 3 How caring they or three caring (at aption of operator) EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT Rasing and control

WATER INJECTION WELL - CONOCO STATE R-34 NO. 3 long Strong


Comer up

ouce Santa force 7 5/8" O.D. surface casing set at 3251 and cemented to surface with 150 sacks cement Cement Top - O (By Temperature Survey 4 1/2"0.D. production casing set @ 3090' and cemented with 800 sacks cement Open Hole 3090 ' to 3122 ' TD - 3122 '

7 5/8" O.D. surface casing set at 310' and cemented to surface with 200 sacks dement Cement Top - 1130' (By Temperature Survey er Calculated) 4 1/2°0.D. production casing set € 3107' and cemented with 800 sacks cement

Open Hole 3107 1 to 3122 1

TD - 3122 '



These 3 Kern Short surface Casing;

production casing

cent does nat cover

Surha Fora

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT

WATER INJECTION WELL - GULF "D" NO. 25 (FORMERLY MAN. CONS'D.)

8_5/8"O.D. surface casing set at 203! and cemented to surface with 150 sacks cement Cement Top - 2580: (By Temperature or Calculated) Perforations 3096 'to 3101 ' 5 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3120' and cemented with 100 sacks cement TD and/or PBD 3120! *

*Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3110'.


EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT

WATER INJECTION WELL - COTTAGE BAKERS GULF STATE NO. 2

	8_5/8"0.D. surface casing set at 200' and cemented to
	surface with 125 sacks cement
!	Cement Top - 2512: (By Temperature Survey or Calculated)
	Perforations 3102 ' to 3106 ' 4 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3128'
TD ar	and cemented with 150 sacks cement

*Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3108.

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - BILL A. SHELTON HARDIN-SIMMONS NO. 1



*Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3108'.

GOVERNOR EDWIN L. MECHEM CHAIRMAN

State of New Wexico Oil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER E. S. JOHNNY WALKER MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1713 Santa Fe, New Mexico

, 19

Gentlemen:

Enclosed herewith is Commission Order No. R-2550, entered in Case No. 2838, approving the Continuable Easting Queen Unit Water Flood Project.

According to our calculations, when all of the authorized injection wells have been placed on active injection, the maximum allowable which this project will be eligible to receive under the provisions of Rule 701-E-3 is 1386 barrels per day, after accept mother which was have been communitied to the must be accept mother which was have been communitied to the must be accept mother with the must be accepted.

Please report any error in this calculated maximum allowable immediately, both to the Santa Fe office of the Commission and the approximation office.

In order that the allowable assigned to the project may be kept current, and in order that the operator may fully benefit from the allowable provisions of Rule 701, it behooves him to propelly notify both of the aforementioned Commission offices by letter of any change in the status of wells in the project area, i.e., when active injection commences, when additional injection or producing wells are drilled, when additional wells are acquired through purchase or unitization, when wells have received a response to water injection, etc.

Your cooperation in keeping the Commission so informed as to the status of the project and the wells therein will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

cc: Hobbs OCC & Artesia OCC

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL COMSERVATION COMMISSION OF MEN MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF COMSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2838 order No. R-2550

APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR A WATERFLOOD PROJECT, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on June 26, 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Deniel 8. Mutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 14th day of August, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence address, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool by the injection of water into the Queen formation through 17 wells located in Sections 23, 27, 34, and 35, Township 14 South, Range 31 East, NMPM, Chaves County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the wells in the project area are in an advanced state of depletion and should properly be classified as "stripper" wells.
- (4) That the proposed waterflood project is in the interest of conservation and should result in recovery of otherwise unrecoverable oil, thereby preventing waste.
- (5) That the subject application should be approved and the project should be governed by the provisions of Rule 701 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

~2~ CASE No. 2838 Order No. R~2550

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is hereby authorized to institute a waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool by the injection of water into the Queen formation through the following-described 17 wells in Township 14 South, Range 31 East, MMPM, Chaves County, New Mexico:

Section 23:

Bill A. Shelton Hardin-Simmons Well Mo. 1, located in Unit M.

Section 27:

Cottage Bakers Gulf State Well No. 2, located in Unit A; Gulf State "D" Well No. 8, located in Unit E; Gulf State "D" Well No. 11, located in Unit G; Gulf State "D" Well No. 4, located in Unit K; Gulf State "D" Well No. 5, located in Unit I; Gulf State "D" Well No. 1, located in Unit N; Gulf "D" Well No. 9, located in Unit O.

Section 34:

Conoco State R-34 Well Mo. 8, located in Unit A;
Texas Pacific Coal & Oil (Frankfort) State L
Well Mo. 2, located in Unit C;
Gulf State "REC" Well Mo. 3, located in Unit E;
Gulf State "REC" Well Mo. 1, located in Unit M;
Gulf State "BEC" Well Mo. 5, located in Unit K;
Conoco State R-34 Well Mo. 6, located in Unit I;
Conoco State R-34 Well Mo. 1, located in Unit G;
Conoco State R-34 Well Mo. 3, located in Unit O.

Section 35:

Conoco State W-35 Well No. 1, located in Unit E.

- (2) That injection through the above-described wells shall be in accordance with the following:
 - (a) Injection into the Bill A. Shelton Hardin-Simmons Well No. 1, the Gulf State "D" Well No. 9, and the Cottage Bakers Gulf State Mell No. 2 shall be through tubing and under a packer set at least 200 feet below the top of the production string cement.
 - (b) Injection into the Conoco State R-34 Wells Nos. 3, 6, and 8 may be through tubing or

through casing, at the discretion of the operator.

- may be through tubing and under a packer set at least 200 feet below the top of the production string cement or through casing; provided, however, that if injection is through the casing of any well, the casing of such well shall be pressure-tested to 2000 psi prior to conversion of the well to water injection and shall be tested annually thereafter to a pressure of at least 200 psi in excess of the anticipated maximum injection pressure during the ensuing year. The Hobbs District Office of the Commission shall be notified of time when such pressure tests are to be conducted.
- (3) That the subject waterflood project shall be governed by the provisions of Rule 701 of the Commission Rules and Regulations, including the allowable provisions thereof, and including the provisions with respect to expansion of the waterflood project.
- (4) That monthly progress reports of the waterflood project herein authorized shall be submitted to the Commission in accordance with Rules 704 and 1119 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.
- (5) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DOME at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

P & WATERD Monhay

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

August 23, 1963

Continental Oil Company Box 1377 Roswell, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. B. Slaybaugh

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your letter of August 22, 1963, wherein you point out a possible discrepancy in our letter of August 14 regarding the maximum allowable which may be assigned to your Easteap Queen Unit Water Flood Project, Caprock Queen Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico.

According to your calculation the maximum permissable allowable would be 1,554 barrels per day, whereas our letter of the 14th states the maximum would be 1,386 barrels per day.

In making our computation of maximum allowable, we did not count the NE/4 of the SE/4 of Section 22, the ME/4 EM/4 and the NM/4 EM/4 of Section 27 or the SE/4 SE/4 of Section 34, inasmuch as these tracts do not have wells on them or the wells are abandoned. When the aforesaid four tracts do have wells either producing from or injecting into the Queen formation, we will be more than happy to include them for allowable purposes.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Secretary-Director

ALP/DSM/og cc: Oil Conservation Commission - Hobbs



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Case 2838

August 14, 1963

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Attorneys at Law Fost Office Box 1713 Santa Fe, New Maxico

Dear Sire

Enclosed herewith is Commission Order No. R-2550, entered in Case No. 2838, approving the Continental Eastcap Queen Unit Waterflood Project.

According to our calculations, when all of the authorised injection wells have been placed on active injection, the maximum allowable which this project will be eligible to receive under the provisions of Rule 701-E-3 is 1386 barrels per day, after all acreage in the unit area has been committed to the unit.

Please report any error in this calculated maximum allowable immediately, both to the Santa Pe Office of the Commission and the appropriate district proration office.

In order that the allowable assigned to the project may be kept current, and in order that the operator may fully benefit from the allowable provisions of Rule 701, it behooves him to promptly notify both of the aforementioned Commission offices by letter of any change in the status of wells in the project area, i.e., when active injection commences, when additional injection or producing wells are drilled, when additional wells are acquired through purchase or unitisation, when wells have received a response to water injection, etc.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO

-2-

Your cooperation in keeping the Commission so informed as to the status of the project and the wells therein will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

A. L. FORTER, Jr. Searctary-Director

ALP/DOM/LE


Enclosure

991

Oil Conservation Commission: Hobbs, New Mexico Artesia, New Mexico

Copy also send to

A





ONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

P O BOX 1377 ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT NEW MEXICO DIVISION A. B. SLAYBAUGH DIVISION SUPERINTENDENT V. C. EISSLER ASSISTANT DIVISION SUPERINTENDENT

August 22, 1963

A25 PETROLEUM BUILDING ELEPHONE: MAIN 2-4202

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Post Office Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director

Gentlemen:

Re: EASTCAP QUEEN UNIT WATERFLOOD PROJECT

Please refer to your letter addressed to Mr. Jason Kellahin dated August 14, 1963, which accompanied Order No. R-2550 approving the subject project. Your above mentioned letter stated that the maximum allowable which this project will be eligible to receive under Rule 701 is 1,386 barrels per day. Dividing the 42 barrels per day per 40-acre tract, authorized by Rule 701-E-3, into the stated 1,386 barrels, indicates that this calculation is based upon a unit area of 1,320 acres. This acreage represents the amount of state acreage within the proposed unit area whereas there are also 160 acres of fee land providing a total of 1,480 acres or a total of 37 normal 40-acre proration units. Our calculations indicate that the maximum permissible allowable under Rule 701-E-3 should be 1,554 barrels per day.

This apparent error is being reported to you in accordance with the request in your above mentioned letter dated August 14, 1963. We should appreciate your correction of the appropriate records in your office.


Yours very truly,


VTL-pr


New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, Hobbs, N. M. RGP GW JWK $V\mathrm{GM}$


PIONEERING PETROLEUM PROGRESS

\neg	
	8 5/8 0.D. surface casing set
	at 322! and cemented to
	surface with 150 sacks cement
	Cement Top - 2453' (By Temperature Survey or Saloulated)
	Perforations 3106 to 3109
	5 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3128'
TD and/or FBD	and cemented with 125 sacks cement












•	_
	8 5/8" O.D. surface casing set
	at 295! and cemented to
	surface with 175 sacks cement
·	
	Cement Top - 2701! (By Temperature Survey or Calculated)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	5 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3092"
4	and cemented with 75 sacks cement
	Open Hole 3092 to 3113
TD - 31	12 '




		8 5/8 0.D. surface casing set
رے	-	at 2521 and cemented to
		surface with 175 sacks cement
	*	
		Cement Top - 2774' (By Temporature Busines)
		Perforations 3085 to 3092
		4 1/2" O.D. production casing set @ 3100'
•		
1575 A	and/or PBD	and cemented with 75 sacks cement
TD 8	TUG/OL POD	31U3'


*Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3098.







EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - GULP "D" NO. 20 (FORMERLY MAN. CONS'D.)



^{*}Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3110'.

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT

WATER INJECTION WELL - GULF STATE "D" NO. 11 (FORMERLY MAN. CONS'D.)

	
	8_5/8"O.D. surface casing set
	at 318 and cemented to
	surface with 175 sacks cement
	Cement Top - 2571: (By Temperature Survey or Calculated)
. ~	Perforations 3094 to 3096 :
	5 1/2" U.D. production casing set # 31111
TD and/o	and cemented with 100 sacks cement r PBD 3111! #

*Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3101.

<u>VIII</u> (n)

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - COTTAGE BAKERS GULF STATE NO. 2

	,	
		8_5/8*0.D. surface casing set at 200! and cemented to surface with 125 sacks cement
		Cement Top - 2512' (By Temporature Survey or Calculated)
∠ TD ar	nd/or PBD	and cemented with 150 sacks cement


*Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3108.

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT

WATER INJECTION WELL - TEXAS PACIFIC COAL & OIL (FRANKFORT) STATE L NO. 2

	Γ	
-	·]	
	Ì	
	1	
		13 3/8" O.D. surface casing set
⊿		at 3071 and cemented to
i.	.*	surface with 300 sacks cement
		·
		A The Maria and the Survey
		Cement Top - 2707! (By Temperature Survey or Calculated)
		·
	1	
	1	
	ļ	A MO D anadouble academ act A
		5 1/2"O.D. production casing set @ 3077!
4	7	and cemented with 100 sacks cement
		Open Hole 3077 ' to 3098 '
7	TD3098	3_1

EASTCAP QUEEN POOL UNIT WATER INJECTION WELL - BILL A. SHELTON HARDIN-SIMMONS NO. 1



^{*}Records indicate above TD and/or PBD. It is believed that DOD is approximately 3108.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
June 26, 1963

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Continental Oil Company for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks approval of the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit Area comprising 1480 acres of State and Fee lands, located in Township 14 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.

Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Queen formation, Caprock Queen Pool, through 17 wells located in Sections 22, 23, 27, 34, and 35, Township 14 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.

Case 2837

Case 2838

BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. NUTTER: The hearing will come to order, please.

We will call Case 2837. Application of Continental Oil Company

for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. We will also

call Case 2838. Application of Continental Oil Company for a

waterflood project, Chaves County, New Mexico.



S. LTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325.1182

BUODEROUE, N. M. HONE 243-6691

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, Santa Fe, representing the applicant. I would like at this time to move that the two cases are consolidated for the purpose of receiving testimony only.

MR. NUTTER: Is there objection to consolidation of Cases 2837 and 2838 for the purposes of taking testimony? The cases will be consolidated.

MR. KELLAHIN: We have two witnesses we would like to have sworn, please.

(Witnesses sworn.)

Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1, 2 & 3-c were marked for identification.)

VICTOR T. LYON

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q Would you state your name, please?
- Victor T. Lyon.
- Q By whom are you employed and in what position, Mr.

Lyon?

Continental Oil Company, as Senior Engineer located in Roswell, New Mexico.



FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

Q Have you previously testified before the Oil Conservation Commission and made your qualifications a matter of record?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: They are.

- Q Mr. Lyon, are you familiar with the application of Continental Oil Company in Case No. 2837 pertaining to the East-cap Queen Pool unit agreement?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Are you familiar with the unit agreement?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, would you identify that exhibit, please?
- A Exhibit No. 1 is the unit agreement for the Eastcap

 Queen Pool Unit. The copy which I am submitting today differs

 slightly from the one which was forwarded with the application in
 that Exhibits A and B have been revised.
- Q What was the character of the revision of those two exhibits?
- A Several of the tracts have changed their designation due to the fact that Gulf Oil Corporation exercised a preferential



right that they had to take over some of the properties, and these changes in ownership have been shown.

- They amount only, then, to changes to reflect the change in ownership?
 - Yes.
- It didn't materially change the unit agreement itself. did it?
 - No, it did not. A
- Would you refer to what has been marked as Exhibit 2 A and identify that exhibit, please?
- A Exhibit 2-A is a copy of the plat which was submitted with the application with some slight additions.
- Q Was the exhibit attached to the application marked Exhibit 2 on the application, is that correct?
 - A Yes, it was.
- And 2-A, then, is a revision of Exhibit 2 submitted with the application?
- Yes, sir. Exhibit 2-A shows the unit area and the lands within two miles of the unit. The unit is outlined in red. There are two other units adjacent to the proposed unit, the Dricky Queen Sand Unit is shown outlined in yellow and the South Caprock Queen Unit is shown outlined in green.
 - ું. Then the proposed Eastcap Queen Unit fits in with the



BANTA PE, N. M. PHONE 983-397

other units in the immediate vicinity, is that correct?

Yes, sir. The Eastcap Queen Pool Unit occupies a portion of the area which was, by the wording of Order R-1728, I believe it is, which established the South Caprock Queen Unit. This unit which we propose was included in the lands described as a part of that unit, but the acreage which we are proposing to unitize here in this application are tracts which are non-qualified tracts for that unit and Union Oil Company of California, the operator of the South Caprock Queen Unit is preparing to reduce their unit area to conform with what we show on here.

Now, the Eastcap Queen Unit -- first, would you describe the unit area in general terms. Mr. Lyon?

Yes. The unit area is proposed to consist of the East Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 22, the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 23, all of Section 27, the East Half of Section 34, the Southwest Quarter of Section, let me describe ' ion 34 again, the East Half, the Southwest Quarter, the South Half of the Northwest Quarter and the Northeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 34, and the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 35, all in Township 14 South, Range 31 East.

Do you know what the total acreage is?



Now, none of this acreage has been actually committed to the unit operated by Union, is that correct?

A No, it has not.

Q What vertical interval is being unitized by this unit agreement?

found in the Continental Oil Company State R-34 Well No. 4 between the depth of 3,053 feet and 3,105 feet. This log we have
and would like to introduce as Exhibit No. 3-c. By inadvertence
we failed to include that log as one of the logs submitted with
the application; since it is the type log described in the unit
agreement we would like to offer it into evidence at this hearing.

MR. NUTTER: That's on the R-34 No. 4?

A Yes, sir.

Q The log submitted with the application was a different type log of the same well, was it not?

A No. It was a log we had submitted legs of two other wells which are injection wells.

MR. NUTTER: What is the top of the Artesia Red Sand?

A The top is 3,053 feet and the bottom is 3,105 feet.

MR MUTTER: Thank you.

Q. That is in the State R-34 Well No. 4 that you are

PARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

DUEROUE, N. M.

referring to?

- Yes, sir.
- What is the purpose of this unitization, Mr. Lyon?
- The purpose is to place the working interest and the operation of the area in such a position there we can conduct waterflood operations.
 - Who is the unit operator?
- Continental Oil Company has been designated the operator.
- Have all the working interest owners in the Eastcap Q Queen Unit been given an opportunity to join the unit?
 - Yes, they have.
 - Have they joined the unit?
- All operators have joined the unit with the exception Å of R. W. Fair, who owns the tract which is the Northwest Quarter, Northwest Quarter of Section 35, and Bill Sheldon, who operates the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 23.
- What percentage of the working interest owners have Q agreed to join the unit?
 - Approximately 91%. A
- Does the unit agreement provide for further expansion of the unit area?
 - Yes, it does. Article 11, pages 5 and 16 of the A



agreement provide for enlargement of the unit area.

Docs it also provide for subsequent joinder of working interest and royalty interest in the unit?

Yes, sir. Article 8.2 on page 13 provides for subsequent joinder of working interest and royalty owners to the

On what basis do the various tracts in the unit parunit. Q

Article 8 on pages 11 and 12 set out the means by ticipate? which the tract can qualify. The unit participation is based on a split formula, a primary phase and a secondary phase. The primary phase will extend from the formation of the unit until such time as 350,000 barrels of oil have been produced from the unit area subsequent to August 1st, 1960. The secondary phase will start from that point and continue until the dissolution of

Does the unit create an initial participating area the unit. Q which is different from the unit area?

No, sir. All tracts which elect to join the unit will be in the initial participating area.

When does the unit agreement become effective?

It becomes effective as of 7:00 A.M. on the first day Q of the month following the ratification of the agreement by



ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

working interest owners of 80% of the unit area and the approval of the agreement by the State Land Commissioner and the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

- What lands in the unit are Federal, State and Fee?
- The State lands comprise approximately 1320 acres or 89.19% of the unit, and two tracts having 160 acres or 10.18% of the unit area are Fee lands. There are no Federal lands in the unit.
- I believe you already stated that 91% of the working Q interest owners have tentatively approved the agreement, is that correct?
 - Yes, sir. Â
- Have you received approval as to form and content from Q the Commissioner of Public Lands?
 - Yes, sir, we have.
- Is the form of this unit agreement essentially the same as agreements previously approved by the Commission?
- It's my understanding that this form has been approved A by the Commission previously. This is essentially the API standard form and it has been submitted to the Land Commissioner and certain changes have been made at his request.
- I don't believe I asked you as to the approval of the royalty owners. What is the status of the agreement as to



SANTA ILE. N. M. PHONE 1983-3571

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1162

royalty ownership?

A Well, of course, we have approval by the State Land Commissioner as to form which comprises the large majority of the acreage. I do not believe that we have approval from any of the royalty interest other than the State.

Q In your opinion does this agreement contain the elements normally found in agreements affecting State lands?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Will it prevent waste in your opinion?

A Yes, sir. In my opinion it will prevent waste and improve efficiency, primarily due to the greater efficiency which is always possible by a compact area being operated by a single operator. Further, it will be more efficient in that we can collect the production into a central tank battery with the elimination of unnecessary equipment, the reduction of vapor losses and other savings which are possible by such an arrangement.

In addition to this it will permit us to waterflood this area, which will result in the recovery of a considerable amount of oil, which otherwise would not be recovered.

as it has been amended and were Exhibits 2-a and 3-c prepared by you or under your supervision?



A Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time I would like to offer in evidence Exhibits 1, 2-a and 3-c.

MR. NUTTER: Exhibits 1, 2-a and 3-c are admitted in evidence.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1, 2-a & 3-c were offered and admitted in evidence.)

MP. KELLAHIN: That to all the questions I have of this witness.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Lyon?

MR. DURRETT: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Durrett.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. DURRETT:

I want to get straight on the status as to this acreage formerly being approved as a part of a unit, am I correct it was part of the South Caprock Queen Unit as approved by the Commission?

- As described by the Commission's order.
- Q As described by the order?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Would you give me that order number?
- A I believe it was R-1728.



BANTA PE, N. M.
PHONE 983-3971

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

MR. KELLAHIN: That's correct.

Q 1728?

MR. KELLAHIN: Yes. sir.

- Q You stated that Union Oil is the operator?
- A Yes, sir.
- And that they will be willing not to include this acreage within their unit, is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q Have you requested from them a letter or anything,
 what I'm interested in, I want to get something in the Commission's official files to show that they will not go ahead and try
 to unitize this area and that you can go ahead and proceed with
 it. Do you have a letter or anything to that effect?
- A I have a letter which they have furnished us which is a proposed letter to the United States Geological Survey, which since they're Federal lands in their unit, the contraction of the unit must be approved by the United States Geological Survey.

 This has not been mailed as yet, but they have advised us that they will furnish you a copy at our request.
- Would you request that they furnish us a copy and also I imagine the State Land Office would like to have a copy of the letter showing that they're going to contract their unit?
 - A Yes, sir.



Q Would you have any objection from the standpoint of your company, for us to withhold approval of this unit until we do have such a letter in our file?

A That will be satisfactory.

BY MR. NUTTER:

- Q Mr. Lyon, the participation in this unit is going to be, in other words, the entire unit area is a participating area?
 - A Yes, sir.
- That is the acreage which is committed to the unit.

 You said that you had two tracts in which you haven't had agreement by the operators to join the unit?
 - A Yes.
- Q That would be Tract No. 1 in the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 23, that's the Shelton tract?
 - A Yes, sir.
- And Tract No. 5, the Fair tract in the Northwest,
 Northwest of 35?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Have those operators indicated that they wouldn't join the unit or they just simply haven't done it yet?
 - A They simply haven't done it yet.
 - Q Do you anticipate that they will come in, or do you



We think that they will, but of course, this unit provides if they do not, they can come in within six months after know about that? the effective date of the unit. On the same basis that they can at the present time, or until the effective date.

If they come in after the six months-period, then the

perimeters have to be renegotiated for them?

That's true. They would have to be negotiated into the As far as those tracts which are unitized within the effective date and six months thereafter, their participating interest will be proportional to that shown on Exhibit A.

Now, Exhibit A divides up the participation among the tracts both primary phase and secondary phase?

A

And it includes Tracts No. 1 and 5? Yes. Q

Would this participation have to be revised upward in the event that these two operators don't dedicate these two Yes.

Yes, sir, they would be revised up in proportion to their present participation so that the total interest of the tracts?

I see. The only thing that is being unitized is the unit would total 100%. Q



Artesia Red Sand between the marks on the log of the R-34 No. 4 at 3053 and 3105?

Right.

Referring to Exhibit No. 2-a, Mr. Lyon, this area to the Northwest of your proposed unit which lies between the Dricky Queen Unit and the South Caprock Queen Unit, is that contained in a unit at the present time?

Not at the present time. It is my understanding that a unit is being formed in there by Phillips. I couldn't say at what time they would be up here, but it is my understanding that it is actively forming at this time.

If that's the Phillips Unit, I think that has already Q been formed, if I can testify.

MR. KELLAHIN: I think it has.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of Mr. Lyon? He may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

(Whereupon Applican ts Exhibits 4 - 11, with 8 A through Q were marked for identification.)

DAVID L. BOWLER

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:



325-1182

SANTA PE, N. M. PHONE 983-397

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q Would you state your name, please?
- A David L. Bowler.
- Q By whom are you employed and in what position?
- A By Continental Oil Company as a production engineer in Hobbs, New Mexico.
- Q Have you ever testified before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico?
 - A No, sir.
- Q For the benefit of the Examiner would you outline your education and experience as a professional engineer?
- A I received a degree of Petroleum Engineer from Colorado School of Mines in May, 1958. Since that time I've worked for Continental Oil Company in production engineering, the last three and a half years of which have been in Southeast New Mexico.
- Q Have you been working in the area that is involved in the application in Cases 2837 and 2838?
 - A Yes, sir, for the last three and a half years I have.
- Q have you actively participated in the study of this area in connection with this case?
 - A Yes, sir.

PHONE 243



FAREINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

> 8.ANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

BANT NOHE able?

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness's qualifications accept-

MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir.

- Are you familiar with the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit area, Mr. Bowler?
- Yes, sir. I've had experience in the area for the last three and a half years.
- Now, referring back to what was marked as Exhibit No. 2-A as presented by Mr. Lyon, would you explain that exhibit a little further?
- Exhibit 2-A is a lease plat of the Eastcap Queen Pool A Unit area and surrounding areas. The outlines of the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit and the two adjacent units, the Dricky Queen Sand Unit and the South Caprock Queen Unit are shown also on this plat.
- Could you give us a brief history of the Eastcap Queen Q Pool Unit area?
- Referring to the area map marked Exhibit 2-A, the J. J. O'Neil No. 1 Midland A located 1980 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line of Section 8, Township 15 South, Range 31 East was completed November 19, 1954 as a discovery well in what was then called the South Dricky Queen Pool. This well was drilled to a total depth of 3131 feet and completed for an



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

initial potential of 461 barrels of oil per day flowing. This was after being fractured with about 8,000 pounds of sand and 8,000 gallons of refined oil.

In 1955 the Oil Conservation Commission combined the South Dricky, the Dricky and the Caprock and North Caprock Queen Pools and consolidated this as the Caprock Queen Pool. The Eastcap Queen Pool Unit is a portion of what was originally the South Dricky Queen Pool.

In the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit area itself the Gulf EKC
No. 1, located 660 feet from the South and West lines of
Section 34, Township 14 South, Range 31 East was the first well
drilled within this area. It was completed November 7, 1955 for
an initial potential of 100 barrels of oil per day. This well
was also fractured with 8,000 pounds of sand and 8,000 pounds of
crude oil. Since that time 32 producing wells have been completed within the unit area, also three non-commercial wells were
drilled within this area.

Development in the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit area was completed by July, 1958. Of the 32 producing wells within the unit area, 13 wells were cased through the pay and perforated, the remaining 19 wells were completed open hole by setting casing just above the Artesia Red Sand. Ten of these 32 producing wells were fractured upon completion with 6 to 15,000 gallons of sand



oil treatment, reported initial potential ranged from 41 to 900 barrels of oil per day. Most of these wells were completed flowing, but were put on pump early in their life due to rapid loss in bottom hole pressure.

What is the current daily average production in the unit area?

During April of 1963 the unit area averaged 148.5 barrels of oil per day with 27.4 barrels of water, and 81.8 MCF of gas per day. This gave an average GOR of 550 cubic feet per barrel. The per well average is 4.7 barrels of oil per day. Also the maximum daily production from any one well within the unit area was 14.8 barrels por day during the same month.

At what stage of production would you say this area Q is at the present time?

I would say that it is in the advanced stages of A primary.

Calling your attention to what has been marked as Q Exhibit 4, would you identify that exhibit and discuss it?

Exhibit No. 4 represents the primary producing history of the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit area. As can be seen on this decline curve, the decline has been rather rapid, approximating about 33% decline per year. The relatively low cumulative production in this rapid decline indicates that production within



11.1

this unit area is primarily by means of solution gas drive.

As is shown on the latest production shown on this cruve, which
was for February, I believe, of 1963, the monthly production
within the unit at that time was about 4600 barrels per month.

- Q Are the wells approaching their economic limit, in your opinion?
 - A Yes, sir, in my opinion they are.
- Q What's the cumulative production within the unit area, did you discuss that?
- As of May 1st, 1963, the unit area had produced 1,363,353 barrels of oil, an estimated 914,000 MCF of gas.
 - Q A thousand MCF?
 - A Yes, sir, and 69,669 barrels of water.
- Q What is the API gravity of the oil produced in this Eastcap Queen Unit area?
- A Gravities as reported to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission range from 36 degrees to 38 degrees API.
- Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 5, would you identify that exhibit and discuss it?
- A Exhibit No. 5 is a structure map contoured on top of the Artesia Red Sand section; as shown on this structure map, the structure is dipping to the east at approximately 40 to 50 feet per mile in the area of the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit.



Q

lying the unit area? Yes, sir. Exhibit No. 6 represents an isopach mar

contoured through the Artesia Red Sand and this represents a pay section in that area. By plenimetering this isopach map, a total acre feet of pay sand within the unit area of 5,491 acre feet of pay was obtained.

Have you made a volumetric study of the reservoir under

- What's the average reservoir thickness in the unit area?
 - Approximately 3.7 feet.
 - And on what do you base this estimate?
- This is the total acre feet as plenimetered divided by the number of acres within the unit area.
- What other information did you have, Mr. Bowler, in Œ. arriving at that?

The acre feet of pay, 5,491 acre feet, is stated; also average porosity core analysis of 21% was determined; the water saturation of 26.2% average from the log calculation, and initial formation volume factor of 1.125 was obtained from a reservoir fluid sample.

- What percent of the original oil in place has been produced in your opinion?
 - As of May 1st, 1963, approximately 23.3% of the A



original oil in place was produced.

- What's the average permeability of the Queen pay?
- The Queen sand reservoir averages approximately 230 millidarcies permeability. This average is obtained from an analysis of 89 cores from 89 wells in and immediately surrounding the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit.
 - What's the present reservoir oil saturation?
- The present saturation is calculated to be approxi-A mately 53.8% of the pore volume.
- Is waterflooding of the Artesian Queen sand in the Q Easteap Queen feasible in your opinion?
- Yes, in my opinion it is. Such factors as the oil saturation, at the present time the permeability, the porosity, and primary performance and water saturation and depth are generally favorable factors toward waterflooding.
- Are there other successful waterflood operations in the immediate vicinity of this unit?
- As I understand, there are several floods being conducted in the Queen sand that are successful.
- Will waterflooding tend to prevent waste and conserve natural resources, in your opinion?
- In my opinion it will, because by waterflooding reserves will be recovered that could not be produced economically



or by any other means in the primary production.

- You mean they just wouldn't be produced at all unless there is a secondary project, is that correct?
 - Yes, sir.
- How much oil will be recovered by waterflooding the Q Eastcap Queen Unit area?
- It's been estimated that approximately 1.1 million barrels of oil will be recovered by secondary recovery by waterflood and this approximates about 80% of that recovered by primary life.
 - What is the predicted life of this waterflood? Q
 - Approximately six and a half years.
- What type of injection pattern do you propose to Q utilize?
- A modified 80-acre five spot. This is shown on A Exhibit 7, the green triangles representing the injection wells in the area. As shown on here, there will be 16 producing and one temporarily shut-in well converted to water injection in this pattern.
 - What type of flood is this going to be? Q
 - We intend to put in a full-scale flood.
- Q There will be no pilot flood program in this area, is that correct?



- Å No. sir.
- Will there be adequate protection of all underground Q water sources from contamination by water injection?
 - In my opinion there will be.
- Q Now, referring to the exhibits marked as Exhibit No. 8-A through Q, would you identify those exhibits, please?
- Exhibits 8-A through 8-Q are schematic diagrams of the casing programs of all proposed injecti n wells in the Eastcap Queen Pool Unit. The size of the surface casing, the depth set and the amount of sacks of coment used are shown, the oil string, the depths set, the amount of cement used to cement it with, the total depth is shown, and also the cement top behind the production casing is shown.

These cement tops were obtained by temperature survey or calculated as indicated on each one of these exhibits. method crossed out, or the method not crossed out to determine the cement top is the one by which it was determined on those particular wells.

- In your opinion does the casing and cementing program of each of these wells protect adequately producing formations and fresh water zones encountered in this area?
 - In my opinion, yes, sir.
 - Q What facilities will be provided for meantity and



Meters to measure the volume of water going to each injection well will be installed, and also a system to measure the injection pressure, surface injection pressure to each injection well will be installed.

- What injection pressures do you anticipate will be Q necessary in this area?
 - We anticipate a maximum pressure of 1500 pounds.
 - What's the anticipated water injection rate for this À Q

A maximum water injection rate of approximately a flood? hundred and two thousand barrels per month, or 250 barrels of water per day per injection well is anticipated.

MR. NUTTER: What was that figure again, please?

- It's a hundred two thousand barrels per month for the unit, and 250 barrels of water per day per injection well average.
 - What is the source of this water?
 - The unit will secure water from an outside source. Q The source, Continental has made an agreement to secure water for this unit at this time.
 - They have made an agreement. Is it your understanding that some negotiations are still being carried on in connection



ALBUQUERQUE, N. M. PHONE 243.6691

SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

with that?

- Yes, sir.
- What's to be done with their produced water from the unit?
 - This water will be reinjected into the formation.
- What's the total estimated water injection requirement Q for the flood?
- Five million barrels of water will be required to com-Å plete this flood, of which two million barrels will be produced, water reinjected back into the formation.
- So it will require something like three million barrels of water from outside sources?
 - Yes.
- How many tank batteries are presently in use in the Q Eastcap Queen Unit area?
 - Presently there are ll tank batteries.
- Will all of these tank batteries be necessary for the Q operation?
- No. One central tank battery for the entire unit would be much more efficient, this would mean a total of 16 producing wells, or 33 proration units would be producing into this one central battery. Automatic custody transfer equipment would be installed at this central battery to serve these wells.



Q Referring to what has been marked as Continental's Exhibit No. 9, would you identify that exhibit, please?

A Exhibit No. 9 is a location plat showing the proposed location of this central tank battery, and also indicated on it are the flow lines to, schematically indicated reflow lines to the producing wells within the unit.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 10, would you identify that exhibit, please?

A Exhibit No. 10 is a schematic diagram of the proposed central battery installation. On this are indicated the individual well test system, a normal production system, and ACT location.

Q Is that type of tank battery an installation that has heretofore been approved by the Commission?

A Yes, sir.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 11, would you identify that exhibit, please?

A Exhibit No. 11 is a schematic diagram of the proposed ACT system for this central battery location.

Q Is that ACT installation one that is of a type heretofore approved by the Oil Conservation Commission?

A Yes, sir.

Q In your opinion will any inequities arise from the



installation of a central tank battery within this unit?

A No, since each lease or tract within the unit shares in the total unit production on the basis of the set participating percentages of the unit agreement, none would arise.

Q Will there be adequate test facilities to test the individual wells in the unit from time to time?

A Yes. Facilities to periodically test in each individual well production will be installed.

Q Will this unit be operated under the provisions of Rule 701 as a waterflood?

A Yes, sir.

Q What would be the maximum daily allowable for the unit according to your calculations?

A Based on the 33 proration units each having a producing well or an injection well in a unit area, the maximum allowable would be 1386 barrels of oil per day.

Q Were the Exhibits 4 through 11 prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time we would like to offer in ovidence Exhibits 4 through 11.

MR. NUTTER: Continental's Exhibits 4 through 11 are admitted in evidence.



(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 4 through 11 were offered and admitted in evidence.)

- Will the approval of this application for a waterflood project be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste?
- Tes, since off that will not be recovered by any other means during primary life will be recovered, this will prevent oil from being left in the ground.

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all the questions I have on direct examination.

> MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of the witness? MR. DURRETT: Yes, sir, I have a question or two.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. DURRETT:

- I'm not sure I have your name correct, how do you spell your last name?
 - B-0-W-1-e-r.
- Mr. Bowler, did you say now in your opinion that the Q wells in this area are stripper wells?
- I believe I stated that they are approaching the economic limit here, they are in the advance stage of primary production.
 - Do you have any top allowable wells in there? Q



SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

- A No, sir.
- What are your better wells making? Q
- The maximum production during April, 1963, from any A one well was 14 plus barrels per day. I believe I have that.

MR. NUTTER: 14.8 I believe you said.

- I believe that's right, 14.8 barrels per day.
- That's your best wells that you have in the area? Q
- That's the best well in the area. A
- What are your poorer wells making, the worst ones, Q some of the bad ones?
 - Some of them have declined to nothing.
 - Just not getting anything out of them?
 - That's right.

MR. DURRETT: Thank you, I think that's all.

BY MR. NUTTER:

- This average of 14.8 barrels a day, was that the April Q average?
 - Yes.
- You stated that you expect a recovery of 1.1 million barrels, or 80% of primary, is this typical of the other floods in the Caprock Queen Pool?
- I don't know. I don't know what their anticipated recoveries are in these other floods.



This is an independent calculation made by Continental Q without particularly studying the other floods in the pool then?

- Yes, sir. A
- Through May 1st, 1963, the wells had made 1,163,000 Q barrels?
 - I believe that was right. 1,363,053 barrels. A
- You computed that to be 1.23% of the original oil in Q place?
 - Yes, sir.
- I believe you said you would be injecting at the rate of 250 barrels per well per day?

This is the maximum anticipated, or average anticipated rate, yes.

Is that during the period of time you are getting fill-Q. up or is this after you have got fill-up and the stabilized rate of injection?

- This may occur during both times.
- How long do you anticipate that it will take you to Q get fill-up here?
- I don't have that figure right at my command right now. I'm not really sure.
- And you said that the source of water would be an outside source. What do you mean, you are going to purchase



water from one of these water companies that supply water or not?

A Possibly. We have had negotiations on a water source and have had an agreement in the past, actually our water will be determined by its availability when we start using it or needing it.

Q At the present time Continental, however, has no water rights and plans to drill no water wells in this particular area?

A We ourselves do not have any water rights or water permits in the area right now.

7, is that a pattern that is a continuation of the existing pattern which is being used in the Union flood to the Southwest and by the Dricky Queen flood to the Northwest?

A To my knowledge, I believe that this pattern is compatible with the pattern being used in the South Caprock Queen Pool Unit, and also with the Dricky Queen Sand Unit.

Q Now, this O'Neill Well No. 4 in the Northeast of the Southeast of Section 22 --

A Yes.

Q -- it would normally be a continuation of the pattern that you've shown, but you don't anticipate using that well as an injection well?

A No, we do not.



- Is that well actually drilled and abandoned or is it Q just an abandoned location, or what?
 - It was a dry hole. It was drilled and abandoned.
 - It never did produce then?
 - That's right.
- I note that on the cement tops for the production casing they vary from several hundred feet below the surface of the ground down to fairly close to the shoe with the exception of the R-34 No. 3 well where the cement top is given as zero. Does that mean that the cement circulated on that production string?
 - Yes, sir.
- On the surface pipe most of the wells seem to have Q their surface casing set in the vicinity of 300 feet plus or minus a few feet. However, Gulf D No. 9-8M has its surface pipe at 203 feet, Cottage Bakers Gulf State No. 2 has its surface pipe set at 200 feet, and the Shelton Hardin Simmons No. 1 has its surface pipe set at 176 feet. Is that shallow surface pipe on those three wells adequate to protect any fresh water sands in this area?
- I believe it is. The exact depth of the fresh water in that area I'm not positive of; however, that is approximately through the depth that the water is normally encountered through



there.

- Well, was the water more shallow in those wells than Q it was in the other wells, and for that reason they didn't need 300 feet of pipe in those particular three?
 - I do not know.
 - None of those three were Continental walls, I presume?
 - No, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of the witness? Mr. Irby.

MR. IRBY: Frank Irby, State Engineer's Office.

BY MR. IRBY:

To go back to Mr. Nutter's line of questioning, Mr. Q Bowler, what is the source of this water that you are negotiating for?

We, some two years ago, negotiated with Union Oil Company on their water rights over there. At the present time these are still in process.

> MR. KELLAHIN: May I interrupt just a minute? MR. IRBY: Yes.

MR. KELLAHIN: Would you go ahead and tell the Commission what has happened in connection with that water right, please?

Some two years ago we went together with Union and, A



SANTA FE, N. 14. PHONE 983-3971

well, as I understand it, to survey and determine a water PAGE 36 source for the South Caprock Unit, at which time we were anticipating possibly joining it, and later on we did not join or commit our acreage to it, and so at that time I believe the Union said that they had water enough for the whole area, which initially had included ourselves, and we made a contract with them to supply us with water from a well just east of the unit area. As of this month Union has told us, or delivered to us in writing that they're cancelling the contract on that water, which if this contract is cancelled, we would have to find another source, but that is the status of that particular source right now.

(By Mr. Irby) Does the water appropriated by Union Oil Company cover the area? I mean do they have the right in their permit to cover the area covered by your Eastcap Queen Pool Unit?

I would imagine so, since our area was initially included within their unit boundaries as defined by the New Maxico Oil Conservation Commission order.

Q This is pure speculation?

Yes, sir. At present our unit area is officially within this boundary of the South Caprock Unit.

To continue with Mr. Nutter's line of questioning, I Q



FARITINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325.1182

want to talk some more about these various wells which he has pointed out where the surface casing is set at shallow depths.

As I understand your testimony, you don't know whether or not this casing is set entirely through the Ogallala formation into the red beds?

A No, sir, we did not drill those wells. They belong to other people or were drilled by other people, and our only assumption was that the casing programs had been approved and any further than that we do not know.

Q Then, you can't state with certainty that the surface waters in those specific wells which occur in the vicinity of these specific wells would be protected?

A Well, the production casing is set through them and separates any well bore fluids from them, yes.

Q What protection is afforded the Santa Rosa formation, which in many localities contains useable water?

A Again this production casing is set through all these upper zones of possible water zones and separates well bore fluids.

- Q It's only a single casing wall?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Is that right?
- A As in the case of the Santa Rosa it is.



MR. IRBY: I would recommend to the Examiner that these points concerning the construction of these wells be taken into consideration insofar as they protect the useable waters in granting this application. I don't believe it's been stated into the record, but it's my understanding from them that it is planned to inject through the casing rather than through tubing and packer.

- Is this correct, Mr. Bowler? (By Mr. Irby)
- Yes, sir.

That's all I have. MR. IRBY:

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Do you know of any water production from the Santa Rosa Q Not personally. A in this area?

RECROSS EXAMINATION

- Q (By Mr. Nutter) Do you know the depth of the Santa Rosa formation?
 - Only approximately.
 - What is the approximate top of it? Q
 - Around 1200 feet.
- What is the highest point that the cement came to on Q the production pipe on any of these injections wells, B has 1350 feet from the top and another one is circulated?



SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 325-1182

Yes, sir, most of them I think are below 2,000 feet.

A few of them came up into the Santa Rosa and most of them, however it's below the Santa Rosa, is this a correct assumption?

A Yes, sir.

> MR. NUTTER: Are there further questions of Mr. Bowler? MR. DURRETT: Yes, sir, I have a question.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. DURRETT:

Would your company be willing to inject through tubing installed in the packer in these specific wells that have been discussed here by the State Engineer's Office?

If it were required we would do so.

Q One other question. If you can't negotiate your contract or continue it in force with Union outside of suing Union to obtain water in some way, what would your other source be. do you have any other tentative source of water?

No, sir, this present situation just arose within the last week. We haven't made any other arrangements yet.

MR. DURKETT: Thank you. That's all.

BY MR. NUTTER:

I presume, Mr. Bowler, that you have no idea when the injection of water will commence in this area?



- Not exactly.
- There are several matters that remain to be worked out?
- It would be as soon as we worked out those matters and get all the approvals and the securing of the water itself.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions?

BY MR. IRBY:

- If Union continues to resist or actually refuses to furnish water for this unit, would you immediately inform the State Engineer?
 - I would suppose so, yes, sir. A MR. KELLAHIN: I think we would.

If not, he may be excused.

I need a definite answer on that. Q

MR. KELLAHIN: We will agree to do so. I think the State Engineer is entitled to know.

> MR. IRBY: I would say this off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.) MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr.

> > (Witness excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all I have.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to

offer in Cases 2837 or 2838?



ALBUQULRQUE, N. M. PHONE 243-6691

J. . . .

1.*

MR. KASTLER: Bill Eastler with Gulf Oil Corporation, representing Gulf. Gulf has substantial working interest in this unit area and concurs in the application. We believe it's feasible.

MR. NUTTER: Anyone else? We'll take the cases under advisement and recess the hearing until 1:15. STATE OF NEW MEXICO 88

COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 27th day of June, 1963.

Notary Public-Court Reporter

My commission expires: June 19,

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceeding the Examiner hearing of Case heard by me on _____ 1963

..., Examiner Maxico Oil Conservation Commission





CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

P. O. BOX 1377
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT
NEW MEXICO DIVISION
A. B. SLAYBAUGH
DIVISION SUPERINTENDENT
V. C. EISSLER
ABSISTANT DIVISION SUPERINTENDENT

July 31, 1963

825 PETROLEUM BUILDING TELEPHONE: MAIN 2-4202

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Post Office Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Daniel S. Nutter

Gentlemen:

As agreed in conference following the hearing on Case No. 2838 on June 26th, we describe below the procedures which are proposed for the protection of fresh water resources in the area of our Eastcap Queen Waterflood project:

- A. Prior to injection of water into any well which is not equipped with tubing and packer, the casing shall be tested at a pressure of not less than 2,000 psi for a period of not less than 15 minutes. Failure of casing, in such well, to hold the applied pressure shall preclude its use without tubing and packer unless repairs are made such that the casing test requirement can be met.
- B. Injection into the producing formation shall be conducted utilizing tubing and packer under the following conditions:
 - (1) In those instances where surface casing has not been set and cemented through the Ogalalla formation.
 - (2) In those instances where surface casing has been set through the Ogalalla formation but where fluid injected is of poorer quality than the water produced from the nearest well

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Page 2

producing from the Santa Rosa formation; provided, that tubing and packer shall not be required, (a) if the cement column and/or surface casing provide protection to all possible fresh water bearing formations, or (b) if a satisfactory casing test, as described in paragraph A, has been conducted within two years prior to such use and is repeated thereafter at intervals not exceeding two years in length.

C. The provisions of paragraph B(2) shall not apply if subsequent information should indicate Santa Rosa water to be unsuitable for human and livestock consumption.

We believe that the precedures described above will provide adequate protection to all possible fresh water resources in this area. We are making investigations as to the quality of water contained in the Santa Rosa formation. Our information to date indicates that the Santa Rosa water is brackish and consequently not a water source of any great value.

We are in accord with your efforts to conserve fresh water resources and are confident that this program will provide adequate protection.

Yours very truly,

VTL-pr

cc: State Engineer, Box 1079, Santa Fe, N. M.