CASE 3438: Application of RICE EMGR.

E OPERATING, INC. for salt water
disposal, Lea County, New Mexico

Case Number

3438

Application Transcripts.

Small Exhibits

1

GOVERNOR JACK M. CAMPBELL CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON B. HAYS MEMBER



P. O. BOX 2098 SANTA FE STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

August 11, 1966

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico Re: Case No. 3438
Order No. R-3102
Applicant:

Rice Engineering & Operating

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC x
Artesia OCC
Aztec OCC
OTHER Mr. Frank Irby

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3438 Order No. R-3102

APPLICATION OF RICE ENGINEERING & CPERATING, INC., FOR SALT WATER DISPOSAL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on August 3, 1966, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nuttar.

NOW, on this 11th day of August, 1966, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc., proposes to drill its Eunice-Monument-Eumont SWD Well No. L-21 at a location 1520 feet from the South line and 440 feet from the West line of Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 36 Sast, NMPH, Eunice-Monument Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (3) that the applicant proposes to utilize said well to dispose of produced salt water into the San Andres formation below the oil-water contact through the open hole interval from approximately 4500 feet to approximately 5100 feet.
- (4) That the applicant processes to drill and set 0 5/0-inch casing to approximately 300 feet, and depent to surface; drill to approximately 4500 feet and set 7-inch casing with a minimum of 750 sacks of cament.

- (5) That the injection should be accomplished through 5 1/2-inch internally plastic-coated tubing set at approximately 4500 feet; that the casing-tubing annulus should be filled with oil.
- (6) That approval of the subject application will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc., is hereby authorized to drill its Eunice-Monument-Eumont SWD Well No. L-21 at a location 1520 feet from the South line and 440 feet from the West line of Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Eunice-Monument Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and to dispose of produced salt water into the San Andres formation, injection to be accomplished through 5 1/2-inch tubing set at approximately 4500 feet, with injection into the open hole interval from approximately 4500 feet to approximately 5100 feet;

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the applicant shall Grill and set 9 5/8-inch casing to approximately 300 feet, and cement to surface; drill to approximately 4500 feet and set 7-inch casing with a minimum of 750 sacks of cement;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that the tubing shall be internally plastic-coated; that the casing-tubing annulus shall be filled with oil.

- (2) That the applicant shall submit monthly reports of its disposal operations in accordance with Rules 704 and 1120 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may does necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, how Decision, on the day and year hereinchore designated.

ALTA CORRESPONDED CO

C. A. CELLE

ប៊ុះស៊ុម ស្រី ស៊ីស្បស់ស្រុកស្វ

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW LEXICO

	Date 8/3/66
CASE	3438 Hearing Date 9am 8/3/66
	My recommendations for an order in the above numbered cases are as follows:
	Enter authorizing Rice Engré de
	opig due to dis pose of produced
	saltwall in their concer homement
	SWD wree no 1-2/ to be located
	1520' FSL \$ 440' FWL of 21-215-
	36 E Emice Monument Pool Rea Co
	D' mexico.
	wree is to be equipped w 300 95/8 surface casing, columnt circulated,
	surface Rasing, coluent circulated.
	and 4500 7" Ora Remerke 1 10
	muumun 11 750 Salen D
	sa tulen, to be
	through to be disjection will be through to soo of 52" the coat of the
	set (2) 4500' with se 1. 7 1
	get @ +500' with the Casing-tubing
	Sujection to lee inte San Andres Cormation in the open-have interval
	Cormation in the open have well soon
	from 4500 to 5100'

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - AUGUST 3, 1966

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 3437: Application of Anadarko Production Company for a waterflood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand its Pearsall Queen Sand Unit waterflood project by the injection of water into the Queen formation through one well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 25 feet North of the South line and 25 feet West of the East line of the SE/4 NW/4 of Section 4, Township 18 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3438: Application of Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the San Andres formation through the open hole interval from 4500 feet to approximately 5100 feet in its EME SWD Well No. L-21 located 1520 feet from the South line and 440 feet from the West line of Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Eunice-Monument Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3439: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Scanlon and Shepard and all other interested parties to show cause why the following Scanlon and Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease: Wells Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8, all in Unit P, No. 10 in Unit H, and No. 2 in Unit L, all in Section 21; Well No. 6 in Unit L and Nos. 9 and 12 in Unit M of Section 22 and Nos. 11 and 13 in Unit D of Section 27. Ray Well No. 1 in Unit C, State Wells Nos. 1 and 2 in Unit A, and State K-1883 No. 1 in Unit B, all in Section 28.
- CASE 3440: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn & Weir, and all interested parties, to show cause why the following Osborn & Weir wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Scanlon Well No. 17 in Unit P of Section 21 and Nos. 14 and 18 in Unit M of Section 22; Scanlon Ray Wells No. 5 in Unit A and No. 6 in Unit C of Section 28.
- CASE 3441: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar Trucking, Inc., and all interested parties, to show cause why their State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CO Foliation C-108 Revised 1-1-65

Date

Yes DIAGRAMMATIC SKETCH OF WELL

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

APPLICATION TO DISPOSE OF SALT WATER BY INJECTION INTO A POROUS FORMATION Box 1142, Hobbs, New Mezico Rice Engineering & Operating. Inc. Eunice-Monument-Eumont SWD L-21 Eunice Lea ; WELL IS LOCATED 440 FEET FROM THE West LINE AND 1,520 21 south LINE, SECTION 21-S RANGE 36-E CASING AND TUBING DATA NAME OF STRING SIZE SETTING DEPTH SACKS CEMENT TOP OF CEMENT TOP DETERMINED BY SURFACE CASING 9-5/8" 3001 250 (Proposed) circulate observation None ONG STRING temperature 4,5001 (Proposed) 7" 750 2000 estimated survey MODEL AND DEPTH OF TUBING PACKER 5-1/2" (Proposed) 4.500' None-load annular space with oil TOP OF FORMATION NAME OF PROPOSED INJECTION FOR BOTTOM OF FORMATION 3,9571 5,118' San Andres IS INJECTION THROUGH TUBING, CASING, OR ANNULUS PERFORATIONS OR OPEN 4,500' to 5,100' TD Tubing Open hole IS THIS A NEW WELL DRILLED FOR DISPUSAL? HAS WELL EVER BEEN PERFORATED IN AN ZONE OTHER THAN THE PROPOSED INJECTION ZONE? Yes LIST ALL SUCH PERFORATED INTERVALS AND SACKS OF CEMENT USED TO SEAL OFF OR SQUEEZE EACH DEPTH OF BOTTOM OF NEXT HIGHER OIL OR GAS ZONE IN THIS AREA DEPTH OF TOP OF NEXT LOWER DEPTH OF BOTTOM OF DEEPEST FRESH WATER ZONE IN THIS AREA 300' (estimated) 4,000' None ANTICIPATED BAILY MINIMUM MINIMUM (BBLS.) 12,000; 4,800 MAXIMUM PPROX. PRESSURE (PSI) IS INJECTION TO BE 12,000 Closed 0 Gravity ANSWER YES OR NO WHETHER THE FOLLOWING WATERS ARE MI ERALIZED TO SUCH A DEGREE AS TO BE UNFIT FOR DOMESTIC, STOCK, TRRIGATION, OR OTHER GENERAL USE NATURAL WATER IN DISPO SAL ZONE Yes Yes I AME AND ADDRESS OF SURFACE OWNER (OR LESSEE, IF STATE OR FEDERAL LAN 7 Mexico Baptist Childrens' Home (lessee), Box 485, Albuquerque, New Mexico Continental Oil Company, Box 460, Hobbs, New Mexico
Gulf Cil Corporation, Box 670, Hobbs, New Mexico
Atlantic Richfield Company, Box 1978, Roswell, New Mexico Standard Oil Company of Texas, Box 579, Hobbs, New Mexico Humble Oil & Refining Company, Box 2100, Hobbs, New Mexico DOCKE. Shell Oil Company, Box 1509, Midland, Texas

I hereby certify that the information above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Yes

No

Cities Service Oil Company, Box 69, Hobbs, New Mexico

State

Attached

HAVE COPIES OF THIS APPLICATION BESENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING?

ARE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ATTACHED THIS APPLICATION (SEE RULE 701-B)

Yes

W.B. allt	Division Manager	June 15, 1966
(Signature) W.G. Abbott	(Tiele)	(Date)

NOTE: Should waivers from the State Engineer, the surface owher, and all operators within one-half mile of the proposed injection well not accompany this application, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission will hold the application for a period of 15 days from the date of receipt by the Commission's Santa Fe office. If at the end of the 15-day waiting period no protest has been received by the Santa Fe office, the application will be processed. If a protest is received, the application will be set for hearing, if the applicant so requests. SEE RULE 701.

R 36 E T 21 S LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO EXHIBIT "A" DWN SCALE AMM 6-66 LOCATION PLAT 1" = 4000' EME SWD WELL L-21

Hobbs, New Mexico

Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc.

DWG NO.

PROLUCTIVE FORMATIONS OF WELLS WITHIN A TWO-MILE RADIUS OF PROPOSED E-M-E SWD WELL L-21

		WEL	.L	TT ON
		NC		FORMATION Andres
COMPANY	<u>LEASE</u>	<u></u>	-	Yates, San Andres
Atlantic	Coleman	2	•	Grayburg, San Andres
Atlancio	Coleman	1	ĺ	Gravburg
t i	State "D"		ì	Yates, Queen
ıı	State "J"		2	Grayburg, San Andres
 d	State "L"	•	~	
			,	Seven Rivers, Queen
Cities Service	Felton		1	Seven Rivers, Queen,
Cities Service	State "C"		1	Charburd
"	0000		_	Seven Rivers, Queen,
	State "C"		2 .	Grayhurg
11	3 La Ce			Seven Rivers, Queen,
	State "C"		3	Cmauhita
11	State			Seven Rivers, Queen,
	01.1.10		4	26A64 111A0= 2
11	State "C"			Grayburg Seven Rivers, Queen
	State "D"		1 2 3	ZOVOL VITAOMAN
11	J Ca CC		2	Seven Rivers, Queen
13	State "D"		3	Seven Rivers, Queen
11	State "D"		4	Seven Rivers, Queen
H	State "D"		5	Seven Rivers, Queen
H	State "D"		6	Soven Rivers, Queen
	State "D"			Carron Rivers, Queen
	State "D"		7	Seven Rivers, Queen
ı l	State "D"		8	
19	Jua CC			Yates, Penrose, Grayburg
_	Lockhart	"A-18"	1	Danrose
Continental	FOCKITOT	H	2	Yates, Grayburg
:1	15	it	3	Queen, Penrose
i1	11	H	2 3 5 1	Yates, Queen
il		"A-30"	1	Yates, Queen
н	Lockhart	A-00	2 3	Queen
ii		11	$\overline{3}$	Queen
11	11	11	4	Queen Yates, Seven Rivers,
11	U	u u	5	• • •
•1	13	**	9	Queen Savan Rivers, Queen
		11	6	
i t	ı:		7	Seven Rivers
11	1?	ıt 		Seven Rivers
11	l t	11	8	Seven Rivers
	H	it	11	Penrose
11	Lockhar	t "B-28"	ļ	
11	EOOKIIG-	il	2	Vatas. Seven Kivers,
t1	a	11	3	Queen, Penrose
11				Penrose
	13	11	4	5
IS		t I	5	Yates, Seven Rivers,
à f		11	6	Yales, Seron
iš	*1			Queen, Fenrose

COMPANY Continental Contd. "" "" "" "" "" "" "" "" "" "" "" "" ""	LEASE Meyer "A-1" "" "" Meyer "B-9" Meyer "B-17" State "C-20" "" "" "" State "D"	WELL NO. 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 3 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 11	FORMATION Grayburg Grayburg Yates, Grayburg Penrose Penrose Penrose Yates, Seven Rivers, Grayburg
	Bell "A" Bell "C" "Bell-Ramsey "A" Felton Janda "A" Janda "B" Janda "C" " " " Leonard "A" " "	12 1 2 1 2 4 3 1 1 2 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 4 5	Grayburg Queen, Grayburg Grayburg Grayburg Grayburg Queen, Grayburg Grayburg Queen, Grayburg Seven Rivers, Queen, Grayburg Grayburg Grayburg Grayburg

		WELL	
COMPALTY	<u>LEASE</u>	<u>NO.</u>	FORMATION
Gulf Contd.	Leonard "A"	6	Grayburg
it .	tf	7	Yatės, Ğrayburg
il	16	8	Grayburg
11	W. A. Ramsey "A"	2	Queen, Penrose
II .	6	15	Queen, Penrose
52		17	Yates, Seven Rivers,
			Queen, Penrose
¥	11	26	Queen, Penrose
11	ff .	31	Queen, Penrose
ll .	11	33	Queen, Penrose
11	81	35	Queen, Penrose
11	11	37	Queen, Penrose
ti .	17	39	Queen, Penrose
II .	19	40	Queen, Penrose
11	а	41	Queen, Penrose
il	11	42	Queen, Penrose
Н	1Î	43	Queen, Penrose
19	71	44	Queen, Penrose
II .	If	45	Queen, Penrose
fi	ŧť	46	Queen, Penrose
11	11	47	Queen, Penrose
11	21	48	Queen, Penrose
I†	A. Ramsey "C"	1	Grayburg
11	n *	2 3	Grayburg
†1	îl	3	Grayburg
11	11	4	Grayburg
14	Ú	Ġ	Grayburg
Ħ	И	6	Grayburg
11	17	7	Grayburg
17	n	8	Grayburg
H	11	9	Grayburg
11	н	10	Grayburg
\$1	**	11	Grayburg
H	11	12	Grayburg
11	н	1.3	Yates, Seven Rivers,
			Grayburg
11	il	14	Grayburg
i)	11	15	Grayburg
11	A. Ramsey "D"	1 2 3	Penrose
11	11	2	Queen, Penrose
11	(I	3	Yates, Seven Rivers, Queen,
			Penrose, Grayburg
IJ	1	4	Queen, Penrose
1!	Ħ	5	Queen, Penrose
H	If	6	Seven Rivers, Queen,
			Penrose
11	•	9	Seven Rivers, Queen,
			Penrose_
II.	П	12	Queen, Penrose
H	iŧ	14	Queen, Grayburg

<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>L</u> EASE	WELL	
Humble		NO.	FORMATION
	Eumont Gas	1	Seven Rivers, Queen,
Ħ	Unit II		Penrose
	State "B"	1	Seven Rivers, Queen,
	**		Penrose
H		2 3	Penrose, Grayburg
11	11	3	Penrose, Grayburg
н		4	Grayburg
11	l 1	5	Penrose
Ħ	11	6	Penrose
·	**	8	Penrose
п	11	9	Penrose
ŧ	II .	10	Penrose
	II .	11	Penrose
:	State "C"	1	Grayburg
Pan American	State "I"	1	.
. "	H	1	Grayburg
u	State "J"	2	Grayburg
,		1	Grayburg, San Andres
Pollard, C.C	. State	1	Queen
Ch = 1.1		-	Queen
Shell	Coleman	1	Seven Rivers
11	t1	1-Ā	Seven Rivers
	il	2	Seven Rivers
	Dev. State	ī	Soven Di-
. н	11	1-A	Seven Rivers
	ы		Seven Rivers
	-14	3	Seven Rivers
	n	2 3 4	Seven Rivers
II	State "B"	ī	Seven Rivers
		T	Seven Rivers
Sinclair	Adkins	3	C
t t 	11	6	Grayburg
u 	F)	6 9 1 2	Grayburg
H	State "176"	í	Queen
11	· i	2	Queen
11	H	3	Queen
	II	4	Queen
ri 	11	6	Queen
H .	81	7	Queen
11	State "F-DE"	i	Queen
ii 	1)	2	Queen
	н	2 3	Queen Same B:
	11	4	Seven Rivers, Queen
H	řI.	5	Queen Queen

		WELL	
COMPANY	<u>LEASE</u>	NO.	FORWATION
Skelly	Coleman	1	Penrose, Grayburg
t) *	Mexico "V"	1	Yates, Penrose, Grayburg
11	State "B"	1	Penrose, Grayburg
10	11	õ	Queen, Penrose, Grayburg
41	н	3	Penrose, Grayburg
19	•t	2 3 4	Queen, Penrose, Gravburg
if	ıt	6	Penrose, Grayburg
ii .	II	6 7	Yates
41	State "C"	í	Queen, Penrose, Grayburg
	Jtale 0	1	queen, remose, Grayburg
Stand, of	Texas Meredith	1	Seven Rivers, Queen
II U	il il	2	Seven Rivers, Queen
11	11	2 3 1	Seven Rivers, Queen
11	State "2-20"	1	Seven Rivers, Queen
	0 td te 2 20	1	Seven Mivers, Queen
Texaco	Rector	1	Penrose: Grayburg
11	11		Penrose, Grayburg
11	11	3	Penrose, Grayburg
U .	17	2 3 4	Penrose, Grayburg
H	it	5	Seven Rivers
		3	Seven Mivers
Tidewater	Coleman	1	San Andres
11	II	$\tilde{2}$	San Andres
it	II	2 3	San Andres
u	11	4	San Andres
11	(GO)State "A"	1	San Andres
H	(GO)State "B"	ī	San Andres
11	(GO)State "C"	ī	San Andres
11	State "D"	î'	San Andres
tt	00000	જે	San Andres
a	State "E"	1 1 1 2 1 2	San Andres
13	0 30 65 1	2	San Andres
11	State "G"	1.	San Andres
	o table G	T	Jail Vilates

CEMENT 9 5/8" O.D. 32.3# H-40 SS CASING SET AT 300 ____ CEMENT 7" O.D. 20# J-55 SS CASING SET AT 4500' - TOTAL DEPTH 5100' EXHIBIT "B" SCALE PROPOSED COMPLETION NONE DWN AMM 6-66 EME SWD WELL L-21 DWG NO. Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc. 14:3438

FORMATION WATER ANALYSIS MILLIGRAMS PER LITER

	GRAYBURG
Sodium. Na	5,060
Calcium, Ca	665
Magnesium, Mg	295
Sulphate, SO ₄	120
Chloride, Cl	9,620
Bicarbonate, HCO3	1,910
Hydrogen Sulphide, H ₂ S	180
TOTAL SOLIDS	17,850
На	7.6
Specific Gravity	1.009

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION BOX 871	DATE	June 20.	. 1966
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	Re:	Proposed NSP Proposed Tripl Proposed NSL Proposed NFO Proposed DC	e
Gentlemen:		SWD_	<u>X</u>
I have examined the application date	ed <u>6/16/</u>	66	
for the Rige Engineering & Operating, In Operator Lease an	ec. Eunice-Mon	ument-Eumont S S-T-R	
and my recommendations are as follows:			
If Hearing Not Necessary, O.K	E.F.E.		
O.K. J.W.R.			
Yours	very troly,		···
	,		
and the second s	and the second s		



STATE OF NEW MEXICO STATE ENGINEER OFFICE

BANTA FE

S. E. REYNOLDS STATE ENGINEER July 28, 1966

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO: STATE CAPITOL SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

7. 2 S

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary-Director Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Porter:

Reference is made to the application of Rice Engineering and Operating, Inc., which seeks authority to drill a well in Unit L of Sec. 21, T. 21 S., R. 36 E., and to dispose of produced salt water through this well into the San Andres between the depths 4500' and 5100'.

After reviewing the application and the water analyses, it appears that the proposal would not cause any threat of contamination to the fresh waters which may exist in the area. Therefore, this office offers no objection to the granting of the application.

cc-Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc. F. H. Hennighausen

Yours truly,

S. E. Reynolds State Engineer

Chief

Water Rights Div.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO

STATE ENGINEER OFFICE SANTA FE

S. E. REYNOLDS STATE ENGINEER

James 12, 1009

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO: THE, N. M. STATE CAPITOL

SANTA FE, N. M.

8 <u>;~</u>

20

£

Mr. . C. Abastt, Daviston Manage kier Segionabilia in Specialine, inc. P. C. dom 1869 Hobbs, New Yexton

Ro: . unice-Manager-Armont SVD, Vail No. 4-21

Less or. worth:

It is specified by your epoliticities of the property set of the control of the factors The interest of pour representation of the policy of the p

अर्थकार्थ हें**छ. स्ट्रिट इत्योप्रस्क में स्टब्स प्रदेश** अन्तर सेन्स्टेंग्स्टब्स सेन्स्टेंग्स्टिस अस्तर स्टिस्टेंग्स the control of the control of the state of the control of the cont

TOTAL SERVICE CONTRACTOR

3y:

1.75

The second of the second of the second of the second of

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
August 3, 1966

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE THE

IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Rice Engineering and Operating, Incorporated for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico.

Case No. 3438

BEFORE: DANIEL S. NUTTER, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEAKING



, NEW MEXICO W MEXICO 2 • PHONE 243.6691 PHONE 256-1294 • , 1092 BOX 1 AS BLDG. . P.O. E

MR. NUTTER: We will call next, Case 3438.

MR. HATCH: Application of Rice Engineering and Operating, Incorporated for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. FOX: Robert Fox, Kellahin and Fox, P.O. Box 1769, Santa Fe, appearing for the applicant, and Mr. Abbott is the witness.

(Witness sworn.)

W. G. ABBOTT, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FOX:

- State your full name, please? Q
- My name is W. G. Abbott. Α
- By whom are you employed and in what position?
- I'm Division Manager of Rice Engineering and Oper-Α ating, Inc., in Hobbs, New Mexico.
- Have you testified previously before the Oil Q Conservation Commission, and have you stated your qualifications?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. FOX: Are the qualifications acceptable, Mr. Examinar?

MR. NUTTER: They are.

(By Mr. Fox) Are you familiar with the application Q



EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

dearniey-meier

before the Commission at this time, Mr. Abbott?

- Yes, sir. Α
- Will you state what is proposed by the applicant in this matter?

We would like to drill a salt water disposal well to the San Andres formation for Eunice-Monument Eumont SWD system. This well would be Number L 21. We plan to drill it 1520 feet from the south line and 440 feet from the west line of Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

This well will be used as a salt water disposal well for a portion of the Eunice-Monument, Eumont SWD system. I want to submit first -- I have about four exhibits this first exhibit.

MR. FOX: Why don't we take them all and have them marked?

> (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)

Α This Exhibit 1 is a plat of the area showing the location of this proposed weil. On this plat we have traces of two cross sections, AA Prime and BB Prime. This Exhibit 2 will be our cross section AA Prime.

> (Whereupon, Exhibit 2 marked for identification.)

Exhibit 3 is the cross section BB Prime.



CONVENTIONS

(Whereupon, Exhibit 3 marked for identification.)

As you can see on these cross sections, AA Prime and BB Prime, our proposed disposal zone from 4500 to 5100 feet is well below the oil producing zone of the wells in that area.

Exhibit 4 shows a diagram of the proposed well.

(Whereupon, Exhibit 4 marked for identification.)

We propose to set 300 feet of nine and five/eighths A inch surface pipe with 250 sacks of cement and circulate the cement and we will set approximate 4500 feet of seven inch 20 pound J 55 casing, and set it at 4500 feet, with 750 sacks. We will determine the top of the cement by temperature survey on that.

Then our injection tubing will be plastic lined five and a half inch casing that we'll use as tubing, and that will be set at 4500 feet or down to the bottom of the seven inch. Then we plan to load the annular space between the five and a half inch tubing and a seven inch casing with oil, and we'll keep this oil blanket on the entire exterior of the tubing string and the interior of the casing string.

This oil will be held in place by a hydrostatic pressure of the San Andres formation. This well we plan to inject about 12,000 barrels a day by gravity into the well. At the present time, we will probably have about 4800 to 5,000



dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

barrels a day.

The surface of the land is owned by State of New Mexico and the New Mexico Baptist Children's Home has the surface lease on it. We have secured a business lease for two and a half acres around the well from the State of New Mexico.

I also want to point out that all the offset operators have been notified of this application. I believe that's all.

(By Mr. Fox) Did you prepare these Exhibits 1 through Q 4. Mr. Abbott?

They were prepared under my direction, yes, sir.

MR. FOX: I would like to move their admission, Mr.

Examiner.

MR. NUTTER: Rice Engineering's Exhibits 1 through 4 will be admitted in evidence.

> (Whereupon, Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 offered and admitted in evidence.)

MR. FOX: That's all we have.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of

Mr. Abbott?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

I note from Exhibits 2 and 3 that the injection zone Q



dearnley-meier

for the wells shown on the two cross sections is well below the production zone for the producing wells shown on those cross sections.

- Yes, sir.
- Are these four wells on each of these two exhibits Q typical of all of the wells in the immediate area?

Yes, sir, they are typical. One reason we had to have this Hearing rather than administrative approval, some of the operators called some of the producing zones San Andres. Well, I doubt if any of the San Andres is productive and if it is, it's already watered out and the well has been plugged back. But, the producing zone is right at the top of the San Andres, if it does get in the San Andres.

- What would the top of the San Andres be on either Q of these cross sections, approximately what sub-sea depth there?
- Well, we estimated on our well at 3957 and I think Α the elevation there is about 3500.
 - So, about 400 feet then, approximately? Q
 - Yes. Α
 - And your injection will be at more than minus 900? Q
 - Yes, sir. Α
 - So you will have a minimum of 500 feet --Q
 - Yes. Α



 Albuqueraue, NEW MEXI Albuquerque, NEW MEXICO 243-6691 PHONE 256-1 1092 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX I

- -- for any production in the area? Q
- Α Yes.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions of Mr. Abbott?

- One thing I forgot, we do have a copy of a letter to Mr. Porter from Steve Reynolds, the State Engineer, approving this proposed injection.
- Q (By Mr. Nutter) Is that the letter of July 28, 1966?
 - Α Yes.
- We have that in our file. One other question, I note Q from your form C 108 that you propose to use 750 sacks of cement with the top at 2,000 feet; does this still hold true?
 - Yes.
 - What will that do, bring it up to the base of the salt? Q
 - A Yes.

MR. HATCH: Should I read this letter into the record?

MR. NUTTER: Yes.

MR. HATCH: I have a letter from the State of New Mexico State Engineer's Office dated July 28, addressed to Mr. A. L. Porter; "Reference is made to the application of Rice Engineering and Operating Corporation, which seeks the authority to drill a well in Unit L of Section 21, Township



1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1203 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALEUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 21 South, Range 36 East, and it is proposed to produce salt water into the San Andres between 4500 feet and 5100 feet.

After reviewing the application and the water analysis, it appears that the proposal would not cause any contamination to any of the fresh water in the area. Therefore, this office offers no objection to the application. S. E. Reynolds, State Engineer, by Frank E. Irby".

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of the witness?
He may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Fox?
MR. FOX: Nothing further.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything further to officer in Case 3438? We will take the case under advisement.



PAGE

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-C691 • ALBUQUEROUE, NEW MEXICO 1203 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

	9 .
I N D E X	
W. G. ABBOTT	PAGE
Direct Examination by Mr. Fox	
Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter	2
Mr. Nutter	5

EXHIBITS

NUMBER	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
No. 1 No. 2 No. 3 No. 4	3 3 4 4	5 5 5 5	5 5 5



10_

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1205 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY,

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Witness my Hand and Seal this 9th day of August, 1966.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: June 19, 1967.



You will Conservation Commission

R 36 E T 21 S

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER

CIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXHIBIT NO.

CASE N

CASE N

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EXHIBIT "A"

	•	-	Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc.	DWG NO.
DWN	AMM	6 - 66	LOCATION PLAT EME SWD WELL L-21	SCALE 1" = 4000'

A			Α÷
$\frac{17 + \frac{1}{2} x}{x^2 + \frac{1}{2} x} = \frac{\sqrt{2} x}{\sqrt{2} x} \frac{x}{x} = \frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{9 + \frac{1}{2} x}{H_{ext}} = \frac{1}{x}$	$\frac{1}{2N}\frac{1}{2N}\frac{1}{N} = \frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{N}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
. (
	0		
	1		
			•
			•
			. •
			•
	, ,		. •
4 <u>.2.</u>			
		-	
			. •

BEFORE EXAMIN	VER NUTTER		
LOCAVATION	L COMMISSION 1		
CASE NO.	13 g		
			COLLE
	DWN	FreMerical Artificial States of the Artificial	SCALE
		Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc.	DWG NO.

			Total.		
	North Control of the	1 1	Balt Banky want banky want graft	. •	
			- '		
		• • •			
Kon			•		
!	. Ing Zone Stell Stivic Zone				
Tr. Tre	ot i eta o Zero e e e	_			
BEFOR	E EXAMINE	NUTTER			
CASE N	SERVATION CO EXHIBIT NO.	DMMISSION S			
L'ISE IN	<u> </u>	<u>~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ </u>			
		DWN Attack		SCALE,	
			Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc. Hobbs, New Mexico	DWG NO.	

--- CEMENT 9 5/8" O.D. 32.3# H-40 SS CASING SET AT 300' ___ CEMENT amular sit utleg believen de l'ast miles BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER 7" O.D. 20# J-55 SS OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CASING SET AT 4500' CASE NO. 3438 CASE NO.____ TOTAL DEPTH 5100' EXHIBIT "B" SCALE PROPOSED COMPLETION DWN AMM 6-66 NONE EME SWD WELL L-21 DWG BO. Rice Engineering & Operating, Inc. Hobbs, New Mexico