

CASE 3441: Hearing called by the OCC
to permit LAMAR TRUCKING, INC. to
show cause why their St. Well No. 1
should not be plugged and abandoned.

Cont'd to
a
page

Case Number

34411

Application
Transcripts.

Small Exhibits

ETC.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION ON ITS OWN MOTION TO PERMIT LAMAR TRUCKING, INC., AND ALL INTERESTED PARTIES, TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A CERTAIN WELL IN MCKINLEY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, SHOULD NOT BE PLUGGED AND ABANDONED IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMMISSION-APPROVED PLUGGING PROGRAM.

CASE No. 3441
Order No. R-3175

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on January 4, 1967, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this 9th day of January, 1967, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the Attorney for the Commission stated at the hearing that the subject well had been plugged prior to the hearing in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program and moved to dismiss the case.

(3) That Case No. 3441 should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case No. 3441 is hereby dismissed.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

David F. Cargo
DAVID F. CARGO, Chairman

Guyton B. Hays
GUYTON B. HAYS, Member

A. L. Porter, Jr.
A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 208R
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

LEGAL DIVISION
PHONE 827-2741

January 9, 1967

C
O
P
Y

Leflar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

National Union Insurance Companies
Surety Claim Department
601-602 Insurance Exchange Building
Denver, Colorado 80202

Re: Case No. 3441

Gentlemen:

I am enclosing herewith a copy of Order No. R-3175
entered by the Commission in Case No. 3441.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH
Special Assistant
Attorney General

GMM/ear
Enclosure

cc: National Union Fire Insurance Co.
Surety Claim Department
c/o Beatty & Company
216 East Apache
Farmington, New Mexico (With Enclosure)

Oil Conservation Commission
1000 Rio Brazos Road
Asteo, New Mexico

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 2088
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

LEGAL DIVISION
PHONE 827-2741

December 22, 1966

C
LaMar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

O
National Union Insurance Companies
Surety Claim Department
601-602 Insurance Exchange Building
Denver, Colorado 80202

Re: Case No. 3441

P
Gentlemen:

I am enclosing herewith a docket of the January 4, 1967,
Examiner Hearing.

Y
Case No. 3441 will be dismissed. The LaMar Trucking, Inc.,
State Well No. 1 has been plugged.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH
Special Assistant
Attorney General

GMM/ear
Enclosure

cc: National Union Fire Insurance Co.
Surety Claim Department
c/o Beatty & Company
216 East Apache
Farmington, New Mexico (With Enclosure)

Oil Conservation Commission
1000 Rio Brazos Road
Atec, New Mexico

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JANUARY 1, 1967

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or
Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 3439: (This case continued from the October 11, 1966 examiner hearing
and will be dismissed).

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Scanlon and Shepard and all other interested parties to show cause why the following Scanlon and Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease: Wells Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8, all in Unit P, No. 10 in Unit H, and No. 2 in Unit L, all in Section 21; Well No. 6 in Unit L and Nos. 9 and 12 in Unit M of Section 22 and Nos. 11 and 13 in Unit D of Section 27, Ray Well No. 1 in Unit C, State Wells Nos. 1 and 2 in Unit A, and State K-1883 No. 1 in Unit B, all in Section 28.

CASE 3440: (This case continued from the October 11, 1966, examiner hearing and will be dismissed).

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn & Weir, and all interested parties, to show cause why the following Osborn & Weir wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Scanlon Well No. 17 in Unit P of Section 21 and Nos. 14 and 18 in Unit M of Section 22, Scanlon Ray Wells No. 5 in Unit A and No. 6 in Unit C of Section 28.

CASE 3441: (This case continued from the October 11, 1966, examiner hearing and will be dismissed).

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar Trucking, Inc., and all interested parties, to show cause why their State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 3506: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Maljamar-Grayburg Unit Area comprising 3,441 acres, more or less, of Federal, State and Fee lands in

Docket No. 1-67

-2-

(Case 3506 continued)

Sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, and 15, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3507: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a waterflood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand its Maljamar-Grayburg Waterflood Project in its proposed Maljamar-Grayburg Unit Area by the injection of water into the Grayburg formation through thirteen additional injection wells. Applicant also seeks administrative procedure for further expansion of said project at a later date.

CASE 3508: Application of Phillips Petroleum Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the approval of the Vacuum Abo Unit Area, comprising 3640 acres, more or less, of State and Fee lands in Townships 17 and 18 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3509: Application of Phillips Petroleum Company for a pressure maintenance project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a pressure maintenance project in its Vacuum-Abo Unit by the injection of gas into the Abo Reef formation through two wells located in Section 33, Township 17 South, Range 35 East, and Section 4, Township 18 South, Range 35 East, Vacuum-Abo Reef Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of special rules to govern operation of said pressure maintenance project.

CASE 3278 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3278 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2944, which order established 80-acre spacing units for the Stateline-Ellenburger Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of eighteen months. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 3277 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3277 being reopened to consider the necessity for the continuance of the special allowables assigned to wells in the Stateline-Ellenburger Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

- CASE 3510: Application of United States Smelting Refining and Mining Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for its Federal Well No. 2 at an unorthodox location 760 feet from the South line and 2080 feet from the West line of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 34 East, Lea-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3511: Application of Thomas A. Dugan for an unorthodox gas well location, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Navajo Federal Well No. 1 completed in the Gallup formation at an unorthodox gas well location 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 26, Township 28 North, Range 15 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, said well to be dedicated to the NW/4 of said Section 26.
- CASE 3512: Application of Pubco Petroleum Corporation for force-pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the S/2 of Section 21, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, and allocating well costs including a risk factor for a well to be drilled on said spacing unit.
- CASE 3513: Application of Midwest Oil Corporation for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the Vada-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre proration units.
- CASE 3514: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider suspending the scheduled cancellation of underproduction which accrued to certain wells in the Eumont Gas Pool during the first six months of 1966 and which was not made-up during the second six months period and was therefore subject to cancellation January 1, 1967. The underproduction being considered for suspension of cancellation accrued as a result of the sale of the connecting pipeline for said wells from an intra-state company to an inter-state company resulting in necessity for FPC approval of sales. The wells, which were shut-in and not produced during the period FPC approval was being obtained, are certain wells formerly connected to Southern Union Gas Company and owned by the following operators: Mobil, He-Tex, Penrose, Tidewater, Fields, Atlantic, Skelly, Clark & Christie, Aztec, and Great Western Drilling.

GOVERNOR
JACK M. CAMPBELL
CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission



LAND COMMISSIONER
GUYTON B. HAYS
MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

1000 RIO BRAZOS RD.
AZTEC

October 21, 1966

[Handwritten signature]

Mr. George Hatch
Oil Conservation Commission
Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Case No. ³⁴⁴¹~~344~~, LaMar Trucking, Inc.

Dear George:

Please dismiss the referenced case.

The forms C-103, Subsequent report of Abandonment were approved on
October 17, 1966 for the LaMar Trucking, Inc. State #1 well located
D-28-20N-9W

Yours very truly '66 OCT 24 AM 8 20

A. R. Kendrick

A. R. Kendrick
Engineer, District #3

ARK:ks

cc: LaMar Trucking, Inc.
P.O. Box 1528
Farmington, N.M.

National Union Fire Insurance Co.
c/o Beaty & Company
216 East Apache
Farmington, N.M.

GOVERNOR
JACK M. CAMPBELL
CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission



[Handwritten signature]
LAND COMMISSIONER
GUYTON B. HAYS
MEMBER

1000 RIO BRAZOS RD.
AZTEC

October 6, 1966

STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

Mr. George Hatch
Oil Conservation Commission
Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico

66 OCT 10 AM 8 10

Re: Case No. 3441
LaMar Trucking, Inc.

Dear George:

The referenced case applies to one well.

The plugging operation is partially completed. The rig is on the hole.

I recommend that the case be continued indefinitely; provided that, the case can be dismissed when the plugging reports are approved, or the case may be called if the plugging operations are discontinued.

Yours very truly,

A. R. Kendrick

A. R. Kendrick
Engineer, District #3

ARK:ae

cc: LaMar Trucking, Inc.
P.O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

*71W71W
28-20N-9W*

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 2088

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

October 4, 1966

C
LaMar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

O
National Union Insurance Companies
Surety Claim Department
601-602 Insurance Exchange Building
Denver, Colorado 80202

Re: Case No. 3441

P
Gentlemen:

I am enclosing herewith a docket of the October 11, 1966,
Examiner Hearing.

Y
Case No. 3441 will be dismissed. The LaMar Trucking, Inc.,
State Well No. 1 has been plugged.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH
Special Assistant
Attorney General

GMM/esr
Enclosure

cc: National Union Fire Insurance Co.
Surety Claim Department
c/o Beatty & Company
216 East Apache
Farmington, New Mexico (With Enclosure)

Oil Conservation Commission
1000 Rio Brazos Road
Asteo, New Mexico

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - TUESDAY - OCTOBER 11, 1966

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or
Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 3439: (Continued from the September 7, 1966 examiner hearing)

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Scanlon and Shepard and all other interested parties to show cause why the following Scanlon and Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease: Wells Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8, all in Unit P, No. 10 in Unit H, and No. 2 in Unit L, all in Section 21; Well No. 6 in Unit L and Nos. 9 and 12 in Unit M of Section 22 and Nos. 11 and 13 in Unit D of Section 27. Ray Well No. 1 in Unit C, State Wells Nos. 1 and 2 in Unit A, and State K-1883 No. 1 in Unit B, all in Section 28.

CASE 3440: (Continued from the September 7, 1966 examiner hearing)

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn & Weir, and all interested parties, to show cause why the following Osborn & Weir wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Scanlon Well No. 17 in Unit P of Section 21 and Nos. 14 and 18 in Unit M of Section 22, Scanlon Ray Wells No. 5 in Unit A and No. 6 in Unit C of Section 28.

CASE 3441: (Continued from the September 7, 1966 examiner hearing)

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar Trucking, Inc., and all interested parties, to show cause why their State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 3471: Application of Chambers & Kennedy for an exception to Rule 301(b), Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the provisions of Rule 301(b) of the Commission rules and regulations which provides for the cancellation of allowables for wells with delinquent Forms C-116. Applicant seeks reinstatement of eleven days' allowable to its Delhi-Taylor State Well No. 2 in Unit O of Section 34, and its Abo Well No. 1 located in Unit N of Section 27, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, Empire-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

- CASE 3472: Application of Monsanto Company for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the Shoe-Bar Pennsylvanian Oil Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre proration units.
- CASE 3473: Application of Len Mayer for compulsory pooling, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the NE/4 SE/4 of Section 1, Township 8 South, Range 30 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3474: Application of Tenneco Oil Company for a waterflood project, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute the waterflood project by the injection of water into the Premier zone of the Grayburg formation through four wells located in Sections 18 and 19, Township 16 South, Range 30 East, West Henshaw-Grayburg Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3298 (Reopened)
In the matter of Case 3298 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-1670-G to permit all operators in the Todd-San Andres Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico, to appear and present all available information concerning the effectiveness of the temporary special rules promulgated by Order No. R-1670-G for said pool, particularly as they relate to the effectiveness of the volumetric formula established for limiting withdrawals of gas from the gas-cap area of said pool, and to the area which can be economically and efficiently drained by one well.
- CASE 3475: Application of Marathon Oil Company for an unorthodox location, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to drill its Indian Hills Unit Well No. 6 "Comm" at an unorthodox location 1440 feet from the South and East lines of Section 17, Township 21 South, Range 24 East, Indian Basin-Upper Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

ir/

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 2088 BOX 2088
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

August 25, 1966

Lamar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

National Union Insurance Companies
Surety Claim Department
601-602 Insurance Exchange Building
Denver, Colorado 80202

Re: Case No. 3441

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to our letter of July 29, 1966,
concerning the subject case.

I am enclosing herewith a docket of the September 7,
1966, Examiner Hearing.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH
Special Assistant
Attorney General

GMH/esr
Enclosure

cc: National Union Fire Insurance Co.
Surety Claim Department
c/o Easby & Company
216 East Apache
Farmington, New Mexico (With Enclosure)

Oil Conservation Commission
1000 Rio Pecos Road
Aztec, New Mexico

C
O
P
Y

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - SEPTEMBER 7, 1966

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 3439: (Continued from the August 3, 1966 Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Scanlon and Shepard and all other interested parties to show cause why the following Scanlon and Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease: Wells Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8, all in Unit P, No. 10 in Unit H, and No. 2 in Unit L, all in Section 21; Well No. 6 in Unit L and Nos. 9 and 12 in Unit M of Section 22 and Nos. 11 and 13 in Unit D of Section 27. Ray Well No. 1 in Unit, C, State Wells Nos. 1 and 2 in Unit A, and State K-1883 No. 1 in Unit B, all in Section 28.

CASE 3440: (Continued from the August 3, 1966 Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn & Weir, and all interested parties, to show cause why the following Osborn & Weir wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Scanlon Well No. 17 in Unit P of Section 21 and Nos. 14 and 18 in Unit M of Section 22; Scanlon Ray Wells No. 5 in Unit A and No. 6 in Unit C of Section 28.

CASE 3441: (Continued from the August 3, 1966 Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar Trucking, Inc., and all interested parties, to show cause why their State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 3449: Application of Ray Smith Drilling Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of its Shugart 18-Queen Unit Area comprising 264 acres, more or less, of Federal land in Township 18 South, Ranges 30 and 31 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 3450: Application of Ray Smith Drilling Company for a waterflood project, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project on its Shugart 18-Queen Unit Area by the injection of water into three wells located in Section 13, Township 18 South, Range 30 East, and Section 18, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, Shugart Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

September 7, 1966 Examiner Hearing

- CASE 3451: Application of Ernest A. Hanson for a dual completion, acreage rededication and an administrative procedure for multiple completions, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause seeks authority to complete his Max Gutman Well No. 1 located in Unit M of Section 19, Township 22 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, as an oil-oil dual completion (conventional) for the production of oil from the Blinebry Oil Pool and from an undesignated Granite Wash Pool through parallel strings of tubing. Applicant further seeks rededication to the Blinebry Oil Pool of the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 19, Township 22 South, Range 38 East, for the subject well; this acreage is presently dedicated as a part of a 160-acre standard proration unit in the Blinebry Gas Pool to Gulf Oil Corporation's Max Gutman Well No. 1 located in Unit N of said Section 19. Applicant further seeks the establishment of an administrative procedure for the dedication and rededication of acreage from oil to gas, or from gas to oil, and for the dual completion in any combination as to the San Andres, Paddock, Blinebry Oil, Tubb Oil, Drinkard and Granite Wash formations in the area of the subject well.
- CASE 3452: Application of Union Oil Company of California for an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Commission Rule 104 to permit the completion in the Pennsylvanian formation of its Red Hills Unit Well No. 2 located at an unorthodox Pennsylvanian location 990 feet from the North line and 1650 feet from the East line of Section 5, Township 26 South, Range 33 East, Red Hills Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Said well is presently projected and drilling to the Wolfcamp formation by authority of Commission Order No. R-3073.
- CASE 3453: Application of Samedan Oil Corporation for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause seeks approval of the dual completion (conventional) of its U. H. Moore "B" Well No. 1 located in Unit E of Section 25, Township 11 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce oil from the Moore Pennsylvanian and Moore Devonian Pools through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 3454: Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for pool consolidation and extension, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause seeks the consolidation of Four Mile-San Andres Pool and the Penasco-San Andres Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, into one pool, and for vertical extension of said pool to include both the San Andres and the Yeso formations.

Case 3441

July 29, 1966

As two other related plugging
cases were being continued until
first examine hearing in Sept.
this case is also being continued until
that date at County Commission.
George M. Hatala

Letters sent to Surety Co. and
the principal advising of the
continuance.
GMM

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 2089
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

LEGAL DIVISION
PHONE 827-2741

July 29, 1966

Lamar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

National Union Insurance Companies
Surety Claim Department
601-602 Insurance Exchange Building
Denver, Colorado 80202

Re: Case No. 3441

Gentlemen:

At the request of an interested party, Case No. 3441 scheduled to be heard August 3, 1966, will be continued until the first Examiner Hearing in September, 1966.

We will forward a copy of the docket to you prior to the hearing.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH
Special Assistant
Attorney General

GMB/ear

cc: National Union Fire Insurance Co.
Surety Claim Department
c/o Beatty & Company
216 East Apache
Farmington, New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission
1000 Rio Brazos Road
Artes, New Mexico

C
O
P
Y

No. 635965

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL—20¢

SENT TO		POSTMARK OR DATE
LaMar Trucking, Inc.		
STREET AND NO. P. O. Box 1528		
CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE		7-22-66
Farmington, New Mexico		
<input type="checkbox"/> If you want a return receipt, check which 10¢ shows to whom and when delivered	<input type="checkbox"/> 35¢ shows to whom, when, and address where delivered	<input type="checkbox"/> If you want delivery only to addressee, check here
FEES ADDITIONAL TO 20¢ FEE <input type="checkbox"/> 50¢ fee		
NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED—(See other side)		
Letter 7-22-66, Docket, Cast 3441		

POD Form 3800
Rev. 4-1963

1. **PAID** (stamp or meter) to pay article to post
PAGE CHARGE
 (For each page over the first page)
 (For each page over the first page)

OPTIONAL SERVICES
 (For each service selected)
 (For each service selected only—50¢)

2. If you want the article sent by registered mail, attach a portion of the address label to your rural center (see note above)
3. If you do not want the article sent by registered mail, attach a portion of the address label to the article.
4. If you want the article sent by registered mail, attach a portion of the address label to the article.
5. If you want the article delivered only to the addressee, attach it on the front **DELIVER TO ADDRESSEE ONLY** (Form 504). Place the return address in line 2 of the return receipt card.
6. Save this receipt and present it if you make inquiry.

★ Service—3-504

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. ~~BOX 2083~~ BOX 2083
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

LEGAL DIVISION
PHONE 827-2741

July 22, 1966

CERTIFIED - RETURN
RECEIPT REQUESTED

LaMar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

I am enclosing a copy of the docket of the Examiner Hearing that will be held on Wednesday, August 3, 1966, at 9 a.m., in the Oil Conservation Commission Conference Room, State Land Office Building, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Case No. 3441 concerns your State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, NMPM, McKinley County, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH
Special Assistant
Attorney General

GMH/esr
Enclosure

cc: Oil Conservation Commission
1000 Rio Brazos Road
Aztec, New Mexico

C
O
P
Y

No. 635967

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL—20¢

SENT TO National Union Fire Insurance Co.		7-22-66
STREET AND NO. c/o Beaty & Company		
Surety Claim Department		
216 East Apache		
CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE Farmington, New Mexico		
<small>If you want a return receipt, check which</small>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <small>10¢ shows to whom and when delivered</small>	<input type="checkbox"/> <small>35¢ shows to whom, when, and address where delivered</small>	<input type="checkbox"/> <small>If you want delivery only to addressee, check here</small>
FEEES ADDITIONAL TO 20¢ FEE <input type="checkbox"/> <small>50¢ fee</small>		
<small>POD Form 3800 July 1963</small> NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— (See other side)		
COPY LETTER 7-22-66, DOCKET, CASE 3441		

No. 635966

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL—20¢

SENT TO National Union Insurance Companies		7-22-66
STREET AND NO. Surety Claim Department		
601-602 Insurance Exchange Building		
Denver, Colorado 80202		
CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE		
<small>If you want a return receipt, check which</small>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <small>10¢ shows to whom and when delivered</small>	<input type="checkbox"/> <small>35¢ shows to whom, when, and address where delivered</small>	<input type="checkbox"/> <small>If you want delivery only to addressee, check here</small>
FEEES ADDITIONAL TO 20¢ FEE <input type="checkbox"/> <small>50¢ fee</small>		
<small>POD Form 3800 July 1963</small> NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED— (See other side)		
LETTER 7-22-66, DOCKET, CASE 3441		

1. For use by the sender only (attach to 101/104)

NAME OF SENDER
ADDRESS OF SENDER
CITY OF SENDER

CONFIDENTIAL SERVICES

For use by the sender only (attach to 101/104)
For use by the sender only (attach to 101/104)

2. If you want the article returned to you, attach the return portion of the address label to the article and place it in the return portion of the article at a post office service window or to your mail carrier. (No fee required)
3. If you do not want the article returned to you, attach the return portion of the address label to the article, detach and retain the receipt, and mail the article.
4. If you want the article returned to you, attach the return portion of the address label to the article, detach and retain the receipt, and mail the article by means of the gummed card. (For use of article returned to sender only) (Fee-10¢ or 35¢)
5. If you want the article delivered only to the addressee, enclose it on the front **DELIVER TO ADDRESSEE ONLY**. (Fee-50¢) Place the same endorsement in line 2 of the return receipt card.
6. Save this receipt and present it if you make inquiry.

W-600-101-104-105

1. For use by the sender only (attach to 101/104)

NAME OF SENDER
ADDRESS OF SENDER
CITY OF SENDER

CONFIDENTIAL SERVICES

For use by the sender only (attach to 101/104)
For use by the sender only (attach to 101/104)

2. If you want the article returned to you, attach the return portion of the address label to the article and place it in the return portion of the article at a post office service window or to your mail carrier. (No fee required)
3. If you do not want the article returned to you, attach the return portion of the address label to the article, detach and retain the receipt, and mail the article.
4. If you want the article returned to you, attach the return portion of the address label to the article, detach and retain the receipt, and mail the article by means of the gummed card. (For use of article returned to sender only) (Fee-10¢ or 35¢)
5. If you want the article delivered only to the addressee, enclose it on the front **DELIVER TO ADDRESSEE ONLY**. (Fee-50¢) Place the same endorsement in line 2 of the return receipt card.
6. Save this receipt and present it if you make inquiry.

W-600-101-104-105

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 2039
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

LEGAL DIVISION
PHONE 827-2741

July 22, 1966

CERTIFIED - RETURN
RECEIPT REQUESTED

C
O
P
Y
National Union Insurance Companies
Surety Claim Department
601-602 Insurance Exchange Building
Denver, Colorado 80202

Re: National Union Fire Insurance Company \$5,000 One-Well
Plugging Bond - Lamar Trucking, Inc., Principal, State
Well No. 1, located 495 feet from the North and West
lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West,
NDPM, McKinley County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

I am enclosing a copy of the docket of the Examiner Hearing
that will be held on Wednesday, August 3, 1966, at 9 a.m., in the
Oil Conservation Commission Conference Room, State Land Office
Building, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Case No. 3441 concerns the National
Union Fire Insurance Company \$5,000 One-Well Plugging Bond on file
with the Commission.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH
Special Assistant
Attorney General

GMM/esr
Enclosure

cc: Lamar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

National Union Fire Insurance Co.
Surety Claim Department
c/o Beatty & Company
216 East Apache, Farmington, New Mexico

Oil Conservation Commission
1000 Rio Brazos Road
Aztec, New Mexico

GOVERNOR
JACK M. CAMPBELL
CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission



LAND COMMISSIONER
GUYTON B. HAYS
MEMBER

1000 RIO BRAZOS RD.
AZTEC

July 6, 1966

STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

Case 3441

Mr. A. L. Porter
Oil Conservation Commission
Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico

212-5000

Re: Plugging and abandonment of the
Lamar Trucking, Inc. State #1 well
D-28-20N-9W

Dear Mr. Porter:

I inspected the location of the above captioned well. There has been no change since my inspection in early May.

Please schedule a case to allow the operator to appear and show why the well should not be properly plugged and abandoned.

A notice was mailed to the operator June 3, 1966.

Yours very truly,

A. R. Kendrick

A. R. Kendrick
Engineer, District #3

ARK:ks

cc: Lamar Trucking, Inc.
Box 1528, Farmington, N.M.

National Union Fire Insurance Company
c/o Beatty and Company
216 East Apache, Farmington, N.M.

Memo

7-5-66

From

A. R. KENDRICK
ENGINEER & DEPUTY
OIL & GAS INSPECTOR

To Pete:

The attached copies are for immediate reference.

All wells in sections 21, 22, and 27 are on a lease from the Santa Fe Pacific Railroad which has expired.

The remainder of the wells are on a State of New Mexico lease.

I was advised by phone that Guy Shepard is no longer involved in this operation.

I have received a reply from the Osborn and Weir bonding company and the lawyer. La Mar and Shepard and Scanlon did not reply to my letter of June 3.

I told Mr. Theodore Johnson that he would have at least 3 weeks during the legal ad time to workout his problem for Osborn & Weir.

AR

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
1000 RIO BRAZOS ROAD
AZTEC, NEW MEXICO

Case 344
JUL 7 4 47 32

June 3, 1966

Mr. Clyde C. Lamar
Lamar Trucking, Inc.
P.O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico

Date _____

Re: Abandonment and Plugging of Wells

Dear Mr. Lamar:

Rules 201 and 202 of our Rules and Regulations set out the procedure for plugging and abandoning wells.

The above mentioned rules require: 1. A notice of intention to plug and abandon, 2. A subsequent notice of abandonment, and 3. Form C-105, Well Completion and Recompletion Report and Well Log.

The Lamar Trucking, Inc. State #1 well located 495 FNL, 495 FWL of Section 28 Township 20 North, Range 9 West has not been plugged and abandoned in compliance with the forementioned rules.

The only report received in this office since the intention to drill is a letter dated December 26, 1962.

The intention to Plug and Abandon should be accompanied by the Form C-105 showing all well data.

If the well has not been properly plugged and abandoned prior to July 1, 1966, a case will be called before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to allow the operator to show why the well should not be plugged and abandoned.

We desire to witness the plugging operations. Please advise this office in writing of the date and time that plugging operations will begin.

If there are questions, please contact us.

Yours very truly

ARK:ks

DOCKET NUMBER

cc: National Union Fire Insurance Co.
c/o Beatty & Company
216 East Apache, Farmington, N.M.

A. R. Kendrick
Engineer, District #3
Date _____

Oil Conservation Commission, Santa Fe, N.M.

XERO
COPY

XERO
COPY

XERO
COPY

XERO
COPY

INSTRUCTIONS TO DELIVERING EMPLOYEE

☐ Deliver ONLY to addressee ☐ Show address where delivered
(Additional charges required for these services)

RECEIPT

Received the numbered article described on other side.

SIGNATURE OR NAME OF ADDRESSEE (must always be filled in)
James Jackson

SIGNATURE OF ADDRESSEE'S AGENT, IF ANY
Chas. A. Heron

DATE DELIVERED *7/25/66* SHOW WHERE DELIVERED (only if requested)

CS-16-71548-S-F GPO

INSTRUCTIONS TO DELIVERING EMPLOYEE

☐ Deliver ONLY to addressee ☐ Show address where delivered
(Additional charges required for these services)

RECEIPT

Received the numbered article described on other side.

SIGNATURE OR NAME OF ADDRESSEE (must always be filled in)
**NATIONAL UNION
FIRE INSURANCE CO.**

SIGNATURE OF ADDRESSEE'S AGENT, IF ANY
Cheryl M. Stridge

DATE DELIVERED *7/25/66* SHOW WHERE DELIVERED (only if requested)

CS-16-71548-S-F GPO

INSTRUCTIONS TO DELIVERING EMPLOYEE

☐ Deliver ONLY to addressee ☐ Show address where delivered
(Additional charges required for these services)

RECEIPT

Received the numbered article described on other side.

SIGNATURE OR NAME OF ADDRESSEE (must always be filled in)
Franklin G. Anderson

SIGNATURE OF ADDRESSEE'S AGENT, IF ANY
Franklin G. Anderson


DATE DELIVERED *7/26/66* SHOW WHERE DELIVERED (only if requested)

CS-16-71548-S-F GPO

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE TO AVOID
PAYMENT OF POSTAGE, \$300

LaMar Trucking, Inc.
P. O. Box 1528
Farmington, New Mexico



INSTRUCTIONS: Fill in items below and complete instructions on other side, if applicable. Moisten gummed ends, attach and hold firmly to back of article. Print on front of article RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED.

REGISTERED NO.	NAME OF SENDER OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
CERTIFIED NO. 635965	STREET AND NO. OR P. O. BOX P. O. BOX 2088 GMH	
INSURED NO.	CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	

LETTER 7-22-66, DOCKET, CASE 3441-16-71548-6-F

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE TO AVOID
PAYMENT OF POSTAGE, \$300

National Union Insurance Companies
Surety Claim Department
601-602 Insurance Exchange Bldg.
Denver, Colorado

INSTRUCTIONS: Fill in items below and complete instructions on other side, if applicable. Moisten gummed ends, attach and hold firmly to back of article. Print on front of article RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED.

REGISTERED NO.	NAME OF SENDER OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
CERTIFIED NO. 635966	STREET AND NO. OR P. O. BOX P. O. BOX 2088 GMH	
INSURED NO.	CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	

LETTER 7-22-66, DOCKET, CASE 3441

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE TO AVOID
PAYMENT OF POSTAGE, \$300

National Union Fire Insurance Co., Surety Claim Department
c/c Beatty & Company
216 East Apache
Farmington, New Mexico

INSTRUCTIONS: Fill in items below and complete instructions on other side, if applicable. Moisten gummed ends, attach and hold firmly to back of article. Print on front of article RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED.

REGISTERED NO.	NAME OF SENDER OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
CERTIFIED NO. 635967	STREET AND NO. OR P. O. BOX P. O. BOX 2088 GMH	
INSURED NO.	CITY, STATE, AND ZIP CODE SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	

COPY LETTER 7-22-66, DOCKET, CASE 3441

BEFORE THE
NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
January 4, 1967

IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Scanlon and Shepard and all other interested parties to show cause why the following Scanlon and Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

Case No. 3439

IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn & Weir and all interested parties to show cause why the following Osborn & Weir wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

Case No. 3440

IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar Trucking Inc., and all interested parties to show cause why their State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County New Mexico should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

Case No. 3441

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87101
1205 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108



dearnley-meier reporting services, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SJWAS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87101
1705 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108



MR. NUTTER: The hearing will come to order, please. We will call first, Case 3439, 3440, and 3441, each of which is a case called on the motion of the Oil Conservation Commission, "to permit Scanlon and Shepard, and all other ^{Osborn & Wren, and Kollar Trucking} interested parties to show cause why the following Scanlon ^{Certain} and Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program."

MR. HATCH: If the Examiner please, George Hatch appearing on behalf of the Commission. I received communication from Mr. Al Kendrick and Emery Arnold of District Three advising that all of these wells in all three cases have been plugged and abandoned in accordance with Commission rules and regulations and requesting that the three cases, Case 3439, 3440, and 3441 be dismissed.

MR. NUTTER: You move to do that?

MR. HATCH: Yes, I do.

MR. NUTTER: Case 3439, 3440, and 3441, will be dismissed.

dearnley-meier reporting services, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMMS BLDG • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6891 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87101
1205 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 236-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108



STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) ss

I, KAY EMBREE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Examiner at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Kay Embree
Court Reporter

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a true and correct record of the proceedings in
the case of 1-4 No. 439-3440
No. 67 3441
Embree Court Reporter
Albuquerque, New Mexico

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

SAN ANTONIO, NEW MEXICO

REGISTER

HEARING DATE JANUARY 4, 1967 TIME: 9 A.M.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
J. A. Com	Trinidad Oil Co	Wichita, Texas
J. T. Cameron	Standard Oil Co. of Texas	Houston, Texas
W. J. Driscoll	Standard Oil Co. of Texas	Snyder, TEXAS
J. D. Webb	STANDARD OIL CO. OF TEXAS	Snyder, TEXAS
P. I. Youngkin	STANDARD OIL CO. OF TEXAS	Hood River, TEXAS
J. D. R. R. R. R.	STANDARD OIL CO. OF TEXAS	HOUSTON, TEXAS
Jason W. R. R. R.	STANDARD OIL CO. OF TEXAS	HOUSTON, TEXAS
N. R. R. R.	R. R. R. R.	San Antonio
Richard G. R. R. R.	Montgomery, R. R. R. R.	San Antonio
Chas. J. R. R. R.	Southern Union Dist. B	Dallas
R. R. R. R.	El Paso Natural Gas	El Paso
R. R. R. R.	Phillips Petroleum Co.	Barlesville, Okla.
M. J. R. R. R.	Phillips Petroleum Co.	Butler, Okla.
R. R. R. R.	Phillips Petroleum	Butler, Okla.
L. R. R. R.	EPNG	El Paso, Tex.
R. R. R. R.	Phillips Petroleum	Hobbs
J. A. R. R. R.	"	"
J. R. R. R.	Phillips Petroleum	Hobbs

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

SANCTUARY NEW MEXICO

WICHITA

HEARING DATE JANUARY 4, 1967

TIME: 9 A.M.

NAME:	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:
John Spurling	Albuquerque Thomas H. Hargan Pecos Petroleum	Albuquerque
Booth Kelly	Midland, Texas	Midland, Texas
Raymond D. J. J.	U.S. State Dept. Ref. Williams	Midland, Texas
Robert H. Hargan	Midland, Texas	Midland, Texas
B. H. Hargan	Midland, Texas	Midland, Texas
Carlisle Little	TH Hargan	Albuquerque
R. Fox	CAULKINS	Santa Fe
Charles Ramsey	Pecos Petroleum Corp.	Albuquerque
O. C. CRANE	" "	" "
Porter	O.C.C.	Santa Fe

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMAS BLDG. • P. O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



BEFORE THE
NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico
October 11, 1966
EXAMINER HEARING

(Continued from the September 7, 1966
Examiner Hearing)

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Hearing called by the Oil Conservation
Commission on its own motion to permit Scanlon
and Shepard and all other interested parties
to show cause why the following Scanlon and
Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9
West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not
be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a
Commission-approved plugging program:

and

The Hearing called by the Oil Conservation
Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn
and Weir, and all interested parties to show
cause why the following Osborn and Weir wells
in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley
County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and
abandoned in accordance with a Commission-
approved plugging program:

and

The Hearing called by the Oil Conservation
Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar
Trucking, Inc., and all interested parties,
to show cause why their State Well No. 1
located 495 feet from the North and West
lines of Section 28, Township 20 North,
Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico,
should not be plugged and abandoned in accord-
ance with a Commission-approved plugging
program

CASE NUMBER
3439


CASE NUMBER
3440

CASE NUMBER
3441
(Consolidated)

BEFORE:

DANIEL S. NUTTER, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING



MR. NUTTER: The Hearing will come to order. The first case this morning, we'll call Cases 3439, 3440 and 3441, which are called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own Motion to permit Scanlon and Shepard, Osborn and Weir and LaMar Trucking, Inc., to show cause why certain wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with the Commission-approved plugging program.

MR. HATCH: Mr. Examiner, I had letters from Mr. A. L. Kendrick of the Aztec Office in which he said the plugging operations are taking place on all three of these cases and that he expects the oil wells to be plugged in a short period of time and he recommends that these cases be continued until the first Examiner Hearing in January.

MR. NUTTER: Some wells have been plugged?

MR. HATCH: Some wells have been plugged. Plugging operations are continuing at this time in all three cases.

MR. NUTTER: We will continue Cases 3439, 3440, 3441 on to the first Examiner Hearing in January.

deanley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO
1203 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1194 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PAGE

3

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) ss

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing was reported by me in stenotype and that the same was reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision and contains a true and correct record of said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Ada Dearnley
NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

June 19, 1967

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 3439 heard by me on 10/11/66, 195441
Detman Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

MR. NUTTER: We'll call Next Case 3440, which is the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn & Weir and all interested parties to show cause why the following Osborn & Weir wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

We will also call Case 3441, which is the case called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar Trucking, Inc., and all interested parties, to show cause why their State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

For purposes of testimony we will consolidate Cases 3440 and 3441.

MR. HATCH: I would like for the record to show that Mr. Kendrick has already been sworn.

MR. NUTTER: The record will so show.

A.R. KENDRICK

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HATCH:

Q State your name and position again for the record.

A A. R. Kendrick, engineer for the Oil Conservation Commission.

Q As part of your official duty, do you supervise the plugging of wells within your district and make recommendations to the Commission in regard to the same?

A I do.

Q Are you familiar with the subject matter of Cases 3440 and 3441?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you have any recommendations to make concerning these two cases?

A I was advised by the attorney of Osborn and Weir that they have a contract with a plugging contractor to plug this operation as soon as he can and I was advised by a plugging contractor that he has been hired to do the plugging job on the Lamar Trucking, Inc., well. I recommend that these cases be continued until the October Examiner Hearing, the first Hearing in October, to allow these people the opportunity to complete their plugging operations on these wells.

MR. NUTTER: It is your understanding that

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO
1203 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

arrangements have been made for the plugging of the wells in the subject cases?

A That is correct.

MR. NUTTER: Case 3440 and Case 3441 consolidated will continued to the Examiner Hearing to be held at this same place, 9:00 A.M., October 11th, 1966 to provide the applicants the opportunity to plug the well prior to the reopening of the cases.

Are there any other questions of Mr. Kendrick regarding any of these cases? He may be excused. Do you have anything further in these cases, Mr. Hatch?

MR. HATCH: No.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything further they wish to offer in Cases 3439, 3440, 3441? We will continue these cases and call next Case 3449.

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMAS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1203 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
)
 COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) ss

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing was reported by me in stenotype and that the same was reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision and contains a true and correct record of said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Ada Dearnley
 NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

June 19, 1967

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. **3442-3443** heard by me on **9/7**, 19 **68**.
[Signature], Examiner,
 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

dearnley-meier reporting services, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO
 1203 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 236-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P. O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE
NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico

August 3, 1966

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF: In the matter of Hearings
called by the Oil Conservation Commission
on it's own motion to permit certain
parties and all other interested parties
to show cause why certain wells should not
be plugged.

3439
Case No. 3440 &
3441

BEFORE: DANIEL S. NUTTER, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. NUTTER: Call Cases 3439, 3440 and 3441.

MR. HATCH: Cases 3439, 3440 and 3441 are all in the matter of the Hearings called by the Oil Conservation Commission on it's own motion to permit certain parties and all other interested parties to show cause why certain wells should not be plugged.

We have had communication from Mr. Shepherd concerning Case 3439, and he has advised that one of the parties is in the hospital and unable to attend and has asked for this case to be continued until the first Examiner Hearing in September.

We have had communication from an attorney representing the parties in Case 3440 asking for continuance because the attorney was unable to appear at this time without great inconvenience, and also advising that he thinks that these wells will be plugged very shortly and asked for a continuance until the first Examiner Hearing in September.

In Case 3441, we have had communication from Mr. Al Kendrick advising that he has had communication advising that probably that subject well is soon to be plugged and requesting that the case be continued until the first Examiner Hearing in September.

I would like to move that all of these cases be continued until that time.

dearnley-meier reporting services, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO
1203 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1211 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PAGE

3

MR. NUTTER: Thank you, Mr. Hatch. Cases 3439, 3440 and 3441 will be continued to an Examiner Hearing to be held at this same place at 9:00 A.M. September 7th, 1966.

With that, we will adjourn the Hearing.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) SS

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.


Witness my Hand and Seal this 9th day of August, 1966.


NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

June 19, 1967.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct record of the proceedings in the hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission held on 8/3, 1966. 3439-3440-3441


Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission