CASE 4026: Application of FRED POOL DRILLING COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER R-3221.

14

idse Number

Application Transcripts.

Small Exhibits

1120 SIMMS BIDG. • P. O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

#### BEFORE THE

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

January 15, 1969

REGULAR HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Fred Pool Drilling Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case No. 4026

REFORE: A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director Alex J. Armijo, Land Commissioner Governor David F. Cargo, Chairman

George Hatch, Counsel

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING



MR. HATCH: Case 4026, Application of Fred Pool Drilling Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico.

MR. McDERMOTT: W. H. McDermott appearing for Fred Pool on Case 4026, and we would like to have that case continued until the next regular hearing date.

MR. PORTER: You move for a continuance to the February Regular Hearing?

MR. McDERMOTT: Yes, sir.

MR. PORTER: If there is no objection, the case will be continued, and we will take up Case 4025.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO )

I, SAMUEL MORTELETTE, Court Reporter in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Symuel Moderate
COURT REPORTER

dearnley-meier

### BEFORE THE

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

February 19, 1969

REGULAR HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Fred Pool Drilling Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case No. 4026

BEFORE: A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary, Director Alex J. Armijo, Land Commissioner George Hatch, Counsel

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING



MR. PORTER: Case No. 4026.

MR. HATCH: Case No. 4026, continued from the January 15, 1969 Regular Hearing, application of Fred Pool Drilling Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico.

MR. McDERMOTT: W. H. McDermott, appearing for Fred Pool, Jr., on application 4026, and I have one witness.

(Witness sworn.)

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Numbers 1 through 7, inclusive, were marked for identification.)

#### FRED POOL, JR.

called as a witness on behalf of the Applicant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. McDERMOTT:

- State your name, please.
- A Fred Pool, Jr.
- 0 What is your occupation, Mr. Pool?
- A I am a partner in the Fred Pool Drilling Company with my father. We are oil producers and drilling contractors.
  - 0 Where are you located?
- A Our office address is Post Office 100, Orla, Texas.

  I live in Carlsbad, New Mexico.

- Q And Case No. 4026 before the Commission is your application for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Now, how long have you been in the drilling business?
  - A Twenty years, myself.
- Q Have you been associated with your father in the drilling business?
  - A All this time, yes.
  - O Have you drilled numerous wells within this area?
- A Yes, sir. I have drilled about 20 wells in this Delaware area around where these leases are.
- O Are you acquainted with the structures and the formations in this area?
  - A Yes, sir.

MR. McDERMOTT: Are the qualifications of the witness acceptable by the Commission?

MR. PORTER: They are.

- Q Referring to Exhibit Number 1 and the portion outlined in red, does this take in your leases and your wells?
  - A Yes, sir.
- O Are there any water wells outlined in this Fxhibit Number 1?
  - Yes, sir, the water wells are shown where the little

windmill on this Exhibit I right here, one in Section 21. This one in Section 9 is just about on the section line between 8 and 9 there, just nearly on the section line. And then over here in Section 7, northwest quarter of Section 7.

Q Does this Exhibit No. 1 show all of the producing wells within this area, as far as your leases are concerned?

A Yes, sir.

Q Calling your attention to Exhibit No. 2, would you explain this exhibit for the Commission?

A This is the two sections, 8 and 9, in a little larger scale, and it shows the 40 acres of each well hole. This was a farmout originally from the Superior Oil Company and Perry R. Bass. That is the ones we have under the lease on these wells.

Q Do these exhibits show the location of the water pits that you are asking to be converted in use?

A Yes, there are three leases, the Hanagan State Lease in the southwest of the northeast of 8, and there is 80 acres which is the middle 80 on the east side of 8, and 40 acres in the Perry R. Bass is 40 acres, southwest of the southwest of 9. I have three tank batteries and three pits for these four wells.

MR. PORTER: At this point, would you give us the specific location of those pits for each?

THE WITNESS: Well, I don't have the measurements, sir.

MR. PORTER: Just the 40 acres in which they are located?

THE WITNESS: The Richardson and Bass Lease in Section 9, the water pit is in the southwest of the southwest.

MR. PORTER: Of 9?

THE WITNESS: Of 9, yes. And the tank battery on the Superior State Lease is in the southeast of the southeast of 8. And the Hanagan State water pit is in the southwest of the northeast of 8.

MR. PORTER: Southwest of the northeast of 8?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. PORTER: All right.

O (By Mr. McDermott) Referring to what is marked as Exhibit Number 3, would you describe this to the Commission?

A Well, this is a description of the tank battery in the pits on each lease. I have the three, and Richardson and Bass is one well, and has one tank, and the Superior State-Hanagan there. And then the water well down at the bottom is the water well in Section 9. And I went to the U.S.G.S. to get the information on that, and they didn't have a total depth on that well, but the water depth recorded by U.S.G.S. is 332 feet.

MR. PORTER: 332 feet, that is the depth of the fresh

water well located where?

THE WITNESS: In Section 9. And then there is another water well, I have the location down in Section 21.

MR. PORTER: Yes, sir.

THE WITNESS: And it is reported to be 291 feet deep, and the water at 266 feet.

MR. PORTER: What was the depth of the water in that first well that you mentioned, Section 9?

THE WITNESS: 332 Feet.

MR. PORTER: Was that the depth of the water or the well?

THE WITNESS: That was the depth of the water. The depth of the well is unknown, but it is reported by a ranchhand to be 390 feet, but he is not sure.

(By Mr. McDermott) In your drilling experience in this area, at what levels have you encountered any water formation?

A I drilled a cable tool hole, in the Hanagan State we cable tooled and we encountered water at -- I have the log here -- about 315 to 340 feet, a very small amount of water, but probably as much as that windmill makes.

0 What are the depths, from your own knowledge, in this area where you have encountered water?

- A Some of the wells, we would get water down to about 400 feet, and some of the wells encountered water in the Castille Formation about 2,000 feet, between 2,000 and 2,200. And then water in the Delaware from 37 to 38 feet.
- Q Referring to Exhibit Number 4, would you explain this to the Commission?
- A This Exhibit 4 is a water analysis by the Western Company of the water from that well in Section 9. And it shows well, you have a copy of it there. But the chloride content is very low, but the sulfate content is pretty high on the well.
- O This would be a well that has a depth of approximately -- not the well, but the water formation at 332 feet, is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- O Referring to Exhibit Number 5, the water analysis of Perry R. Bass No. 1, would you state the contents of that water?
- A Chlorido contents are very high on that, 92,000, but pretty salty water, produced water from the Delaware.
  - O How deep is the Delaware Formation?
- A About 3,780. I don't have the exact depth of the perforations, but it is around 3,780.

MR. PORTER: That is the Hanagan Lease?

THE WITNESS: No, sir, the Richardson and Bass.

- Q According to Exhibit Number 3, that well produces about 48 barrels per day, is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- O And in your opinion, you think that there is any amount of seepage because of the existence of these pits in your disposal of this water?
- A No, sir, I don't think so. Because we have some shale and clay formations from the surface where the pits are down to the water formations.
- O In your experience in this drilling, have you ever found that the water would percolate or seep through these types of formations to reach this fresh water zone?
  - A No, sir, I don't think it would.
- Q In Exhibit 6, would you say to the Commission the contents of that water analysis?
- A That is the water analysis on the Hanagan State. It has a high chloride content, which shows the water as very salty, the produced water from the Delaware.
  - O And Exhibit Number 7?
- A The chloride content on it are high, too, about identical to the other two water pits.

O In this area, what is the drainage of the ground water with respect to these particular pits?

Well, the surface drainage, there is a ridge that passes, it is not a real high ridge, but a separation of drainage area in these two sections that goes approximately down the line between the two sections. Of course, in the whole general area, the drainage is to the west. But right local on these two sections, right down between the two of them, the surface drainage is to the west. And to the east of that is down to this low place right here that I have circled, this little line on Section 9, and then that comes off and goes down south and then back west, but around this water pit on this Superior State. But this water pit on the Richardson and Bass, it is about 20 foot lower in elevation than the water pit on the Superior State, and it is pretty close to this low area, and if any one were going to seep any water going off, it would be the one that would do it. It may be should be moved over up on top of the hill out of that low place where it is in.

Now, calling your attention to Exhibit Number 1 and the location of the water well in Section 9, do you feel that there could possibly be any type of seepage from these pits to that particular water well?

A No, sir, I don't think so.

- Now, from the Exhibits 4, 5, 6, and 7, would it be your conclusion that there is any seepage of the chlorides into the fresh water formations from these pits?
  - A No, sir, not at this point.
- Is that based upon the fact that Exhibit No. 4, the chloride content is only 260, as against -- well, a range of 92,000 to 95,000 parts chloride?
- A Yes, sir. Excuse me, there is a water well reported in Section 17, the northwest of the northwest. It must be one of these that Ralph Lowe has by there, Richardson and Bass well right by there, but it is never reported used, and I couldn't find out anything except it was reported completed but never been used. So I don't know the status of that.
  - 1 Is Ralph Lowe the adjoining operator?
  - A Yes, sir.
- your continuation of the use of the water pits on your leases?
  - A No, sir.
- 6 Were you present last month at the hearing on Ralph Lowe's application, 4025?
  - A Yes, sir.

MP. McDERMOIT: I have no further questions at this time.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Pool, I didn't get the information as to the depth of the water well located up there in Section 7?

THE WITNESS: It is -- well, I don't have a reported depth of it, sir. But I have a log, I mean a report from the Oil Gas Commission on that Carper and Hanson well in the northwest of the northwest right here on this Exhibit 1.

MR. PORTER: Northwest of the northwest. I was talking about the water well there.

THE WITNESS: Well, the water well, as best I can determine, is that well, plugged well, plugged back to a water well.

MR. PORTER: Converted to a water well?

THE WITNESS: Yes. And it shows on there the depth of the first water is 226 feet.

MR. PORTER: I see, 222 to 226?

THE WITNESS: The first water there.

- O (By Mr. McDermott) In this area, would there be any drainage in that direction, or would it be more to the southwest if there is any possible seepage out of these pits.
  - A It seems to be more to the southwest.
- 6 Would it be vour opinion whether or not there would be any contamination or pollution of that well -- water well to

the northwest of the northwest in Section 7 in your pits?

- A I don't think so.
- 0 Would there be any possible pollution, as far as you can tell, from the pits on the Ralph Lowe acreage?
  - A No, I don't think there would.

MR. PORTER: Does anyone else have a question?
Mr. Nutter.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. NUTTER:

- O Mr. Pool, now you mentioned that there is a low ridge that runs up the section line there between Section 8 and Section 9.
- A Well, it is not called a ridge, it is a little raise in elevation.
- 0 Where is the one that is on the section line, where is it with respect to the ridge?
- A It is just a little bit to the west. The ridge goes just a little bit to the east of that section line.
- O So the windmill then would be on the west side of the little high there?
- A Yes, sir, it would. There is kind of a hill goes up there, goes to the north. Part of 9 is a little higher than the south half of 9.

O What formation would that windmill be producing water from?

 $\Lambda$  It would just be cut of that conglomerate in that Red Bed. I have no log.

Resources of Eddy County, New Mexico, Plate No. 4 in that report indicates that there is a belt extending on the east side of the Pecos River with the width of approximately four to six or seven miles, running up and down the Pecos River in that area on its east side, in which it is reported that stock water is generally obtainable at depths less than 250 feet in the Rustler Formation, generally impotable and locally unfit for stock.

I wonder if that would be producing from the Rustler, or if it would be producing from the area which is east of this belt which is indicated on the plate to be area 5-C, described as stock and domestic supplies available at depths less than 300 feet in Triassic Red Beds.

A Well, I wouldn't know, sir, but I imagine it is in the Triassic Red Beds where this water is producing from where we are there.

O What occurs from the top of the Triassic Red Beds on up to the surface in this area?

- A It is shale, and sands, and clays.
- O Are there formations that vou would generally consider to be impermeable to water?
  - A The shales, I would think so.
  - O How about the clays?
- A Yes, sir, I would consider the clays to be impermeable to water.
  - 0 What is it on the surface?
- A A sandy loam, and caliche, ten or fifteen feet of caliche right on top in some places, not all places.
- O Now, the well in Section 7, it was originally drilled as a oil well, is that correct?
  - A The way I understand, it is, yes.
- O Was it ever made into a water well? Is there a windmill there?
  - A Yes, there is a windmill there now.
  - O But it is not producing?
- A Yes, sir. Well, ves, it is producing. I didn't go over to it, but I did see it turning. I guess it is making water.
- MR. PORTER: Our records should reflect the fact that this has been converted.

MP. NUTTER: They should.

THE WITNESS: Here is a well record of the well right here.

- MR. PORTER: Did you find that in our files?
  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- O (By Mr. Nutter) Is that one of your exhibits?
- A No, sir, I just have one copy of it.
- O Now, that was drilled by Ralph Lowe?
- A No, Carper Hanson Drilling Company.
- O Did they report any water sands there?
- A Yes, sir, 222 to 226, 268 to 377, 425 to 430, and 553 to 557.
- O So they reported several water sands from 222 down to 557.
  - A Yes, sir.
- O And you don't know the interval that the well is producing from, or completed in?
  - A No, sir, I don't.
  - Q Who owns that water well?
- A That would be, I guess it would be George Ross that has the surface on that.
- O You haven't made any contact with Mr. Ross to find out anything about that well?
  - A No, sir, I didn't.

- And this ranchhand that described the well along the section line, he indicated to you that he thought the well was about 390 feet deen?
  - yes, sir.
  - How did he determine the water level at 332?
  - U.S.G.S. Report in, I believe it was 1961. O
  - would that have been Groundwater Report No. 3? No, Groundwater Report No. 3 is dated 1952. I believe they reported the water level in that well at 295 at that time.
    - It is '59 or '61, I don't remember which one it was. That was the pumping level, what it said in the Α
    - MR. NUTTER: I would like to request that some report. information be obtained on that well in Section 7 from the landowner. I think it may be pertinent to the case to know the perforated interval or the casing point, or whatever we have there in the interval that the well is producing water
      - MR. PORTER: Mr. Pool, do you think you could get from. that information for us right away?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. POPTER. And submit it to us in the form of a Letter?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. McDERMOTT: I would like to ask him another question.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. McDERMOTT:

- O How long have your pits been in operation on these different wells?
- A The superior State, 1963, early 1963. Richardson and Bass, 1963. And Hanagan State, 1966.
- O And your water analysis was just taken as of February 5, 1969, is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q On the water wells and the producing wells, your oil wells?
  - A Yes, sir.
- MR. McDERMOTT: I have no further questions, but I would like to make a statement, if I may.
- MR. PORTER: All right, we will give you an opportunity in just a moment.

I believe you said that your Pichardson and Bass
Lease produces 48 barrels of water per day, and the Superior
18 barrels, and the --

THE WITNESS: Per well.

MR. PORTER: Per well. How many wells are involved in this?

THE WITNESS: Two wells on the Superior State.

MP. PORTER: That would be 36 going into that pit?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. PORTER: And 48 into the other one?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. PORTER: And 15?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. PORTER: So vou would have approximately 100

barrels a day produced water?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Stamets.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. STAMETS:

- Mr. Pool, as I recall, the road into this area follows this draw which drains the general area, is that correct? As you are coming in from Carlsbad?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And are there any windmills located along this draw between, say, the river and the pool?
  - A No, sir, there isn't.
  - O I notice there are quite a bit of stock in there.

Where do they get their water?

A The Bureau of Land Management laid a water line, a plastic water line. It is down hill all the way, and they have taken water, I don't know how far down, but they have laid that plastic line down there to some stock tanks. And I don't know of any more windmills down that way.

- O In other words, in the general direction of the drainage, as far as we know it, there doesn't appear to be any windmills in this area?
  - A No, sir, there isn't.
- Now, the map shows, and I believe that my investigation shows a well, that there are two draws in that area, one on the south side of the pool and another one heads more or less on the north side of the pool, is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- O Is it possible that the drainage from the Hanagan State and the Superior State pits could go to this north draw?
  - A Yes, siv.
  - O Towards this north draw?
  - A Yes, sir,
- O And this north draw drains generally past the windmill in Section 7, is that right?
  - A In that general direction, yes. I have never walked

it out.

O But if, in your opinion, if all the pits were located on the-well, near the highway, the drainage direction would then be toward the southwest rather than towards the north?

A Yes, that's right. Where the Superior State tank battery is.

MR. STAMETS: I believe that is all the questions I have.

MR. PORTER: Does anyone else have any questions? Mr. McDermott.

MR. McDERMOTT: I did want to call your attention to what you referred to before, that this ground water report, 1947, 1950, or 1956. And in that report on Plate No. 4, it does show the water well in Section 9, and also the water well in Section 21. There is no reference on that report as to the well in No. 7. And as further evidence of drainage in this area, if you refer to that report, Plate No. 3 shows that the mineral drainage is in a southwest direction. Also, in the Table No. 3 in that report, the analysis made at that time for this particular township, it also cites three wells in Section 2, 9 and 21, setting forth the amount of chemical analysis within the water.

And further, there is a chart in that same report showing the depths as to the water, or depth of the wells in

Section 21. They have here depth of well 280 feet, and it appears to come from the red beds, as stated by Mr. Pool. I think the Commission is aware of the point that I am getting at partly is that we are producing water that is highly salted in comparison to the water coming out of the ground formation at a lower depth, and it's been doing this for five or six years. The analysis from the water well is so low in chlorides, they indicate there is no seepage whatsoever. And the ground water report substantiates these figures as far back as 1949, 1950, whenever the report was made.

MR. PORTER: Does anyone else have anything to offer in this case? The Commission will take the case under advisement. We will take up case 4046.

## INDEX

	WITNESS	PAGE
FRED	POOL, JR.	
	Direct Examination by Mr. McDermott	2
	Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter	12
	Redirect Examination by Mr. McDermott	17
	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	18

		OFFERED AND
EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADMITTED
a limble Ewhibits	2	

Applicant's Exhibits Numbers 1 through 7

2

STATE OF NEW MEXICO	) )	<b>55</b> •
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO	)	

I, SAMUEL MORTELETTE, Court Reporter in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

New Medico Cal Commorvation Commission

#### FRED POOL DRILLING COMPANY

P. O. Box 100 ORLA, TEXAS 79770 Feb. 24, 1369

A. 1. 1000

Mr. D. S. Nutter, Chief Engineer State Land Office State Land Office oldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico

#### Dear Sir:

In regard to my hearing on February 19th, enclosed is the additional information Mr. Forter requested about a water well in the NW corner of Section 7, Range 25-30S. The well is 385.6 feet deep; it is casedat 250 feet, March 7, 1959 water level was 263 feet. I received this information from Table II Record of Wells in the Project Nome area of Eddy and Lea County, N. . .

The foreman of the Snyder Ranch, Er. Oscar Kinsey, told me that that well had 275 feet of tubing in it, and is presently being pumped by wind mill for stock water.

If there is any further information that I can supply you, clease contact me.

Sincerely,

Fred Fool, Jr.

799 FEB 23 11H 5 15



## OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

March 3, 1969

GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

IAND COMMISSIONER ALEX J. ARMIJO MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST
A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

	•	Case No. 4026	
r. W. H. McDermott	Re:	Order No. R-3685	
ttorney at Law ost Office Box 1143		Applicant:	
anta Fe, New Mexico		Fred Pool Drilling (	capan

Dear Sir:

Other\_\_\_

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir Copy of order also sent to: Hobbs OCC\_\_\_ Artesia OCC\_\_ Aztec OCC\_\_\_ State Engineer Office

## BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 4026 Order No. R-3685

APPLICATION OF FRED POOL DRILLING COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, AS AMENDED, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:30 a.m. on February 19, 1969, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 28th day of February, 1969, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Fred Pool Drilling Company, is the owner and operator of certain wells located in Sections 8 and 9, Township 25 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Corral Canyon-Delaware Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- (3) That effective January 1, 1969, Order (3) of Commission Order No. R-32?, as amended, prohibits in that area encompassed by Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, the disposal, subject to minor exceptions, of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, on the surface of the ground, or in any pit, pond, lake, depression, draw, streambed, or arroyo, or in any watercourse, or in any

-2-CASE No. 4026 Order No. R-3685

other place or in any manner which would constitute a hazard to any fresh water supplies and said disposal has not previously been prohibited.

- (4) That the aforesaid Order No. R-3221 was issued in order to afford reasonable protection against contamination of fresh water supplies designated by the State Engineer through disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, in unlined surface pits.
- (5) That the State Engineer has designated, pursuant to Section 65-3-11 (15), N.M.S.A., 1953 Compilation, all underground water in the State of New Mexico containing 10,000 parts per million or less of dissolved solids as fresh water supplies to be afforded reasonable protection against contamination; except that said designation does not include any water for which there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use that would be impaired by contamination.
- (6) That the applicant seeks an exception to the provisions of the aforesaid Order (3) to permit the continued disposal of salt water, produced by applicant's wells located in said Sections 8 and 9, in three unlined surface pits located in the SW/4 NE/4 and the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 8 and in the SW/4 SW/4 of said Section 9.
  - (7) That the applicant is presently disposing of approximately 100 barrels of produced water per day in the subject pits.
- (8) That there are no shallow fresh water wells in the vicinity of the subject pits.
- (9) That there appears to be no shallow fresh water in the vicinity of the subject pits for which a present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use is or will be made that would be impaired by contamination.
- (10) That the applicant should be permitted to continue to dispose of salt water, produced by its wells located in said Sections 8 and 9, in the above-described surface pits.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Fred Pool Drilling Company, is hereby granted an exception to Order (3) of Commission Order

-3-CASE No. 4026 Order No. R-3685

No. R-3221, as amended, to continue to dispose of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, by its wells located in Sections 8 and 9, Township 25 South, Range 30 East, HMPM, Corral Canyon-Delaware Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, in the three unlined surface pits located in the SW/4 ME/4 and the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 8 and in the SW/4 SW/4 of said Section 9 until further order of the Commission.

- (2) That the Commission may by administrative order rescind or amend such authority whenever it reasonably appears to the Commission that such rescission or amendment would serve to protect fresh water supplies from contamination.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

DAVID F. CARGO, Chairma

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Sacretary

# FRED POOL DRILLING COMPANY

P. O. Box 100 & SON ORLA, TEXAS 79770 Comber 19, 1968 Comber 1

Our 4026

HVIL

Mr. George Hatch New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

I would like to request an exclusion from the no Salt pit rule on the following leases in the Corral Canyon field of Eddy County, New Mexico:

Hanagan State, Sec. 8, Twp 25, Rng. 30

Richardson &

Bass Sec. 9, Twp. 25 Rgn. 30

Superior State, Sec. 8, Two. 25, Rng.30

Very truly yours,

Fred Pool, Jr.

FP:pjp

DOCKET MAJLED

2-10-69

DOCKET MAKED

Date 1-1-69

#### NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION .... Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in TRIPLICATE to the District Office, Oil Conservation Commission, within 10 days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and filed as a report on Beginning Drilling Operations, Results of test of easing shut-off, result of plugging of well, result of well repair, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

	Indicate Nat	ure of Report by Checking Be	elow	
REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		ON RESULT OF TEST	REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
EPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING WELL	REPORT	ON RECOMPLETION ON	REPORT ON (Other)	
		February 23, 1956	4 Artesia, New	Mexico
Following is a report on the w	ork done and the resul	Its obtained under the heading	noted above at the	
Carper Drilling Co.,	Inc.	Carper	-Hanson Superior	
(Company of Martin Drilling Co.			in the Nd 1/4 Nd 1/4	of Sec7,
25 S, R 3C E , NMPM,	Wildcat	Pool,	Eddy	County.
he Dates of this work were as follows			,	
sack cement plug. Mudded back to 1649th 7" casing, all of the Mudded back to 425th for the Rancher, Den	fudded back to and dumped a line 8 5/8" casing and dumped a liny Moore, to us sponsibility for	3202' and dumped a 16 10 sack cement plug. g and 724' of the 938 0 sack cement plug arese for a water well. or final plugging of		igning this
Witnessed by Harold Martir		artin Drilling Co.	Owner (Title)	<u>. E</u>
Approved: OIL CONSERVATIO	ON COMMISSION	I hereby certify the to the vest of my	hat the information given above is the knowledge.	true and complete
. ~ .		Position	Self John hells	

#### DOCKET: REGULAR HEARING - WEDNESDAY -FEBRUARY 19, 1969

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 8:30 A. M. - MORGAN HALL, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the oil allowable for March, 1969;

(2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for March, 1969, from thirteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico; consideration of the allowable production of gas from nine prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

#### CASE 3834: (Reopened):

In the matter of Case No. 3834 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3479, which order suspended for a period of one year beginning August 1, 1968, certain portions of Rules 14 (A) and 15 (A) of the General Rules and Regulations for the prorated gas pools of Northwest New Mexico, promulgated by Order No. R-1670, as amended. All interested parties may appear and show why said Order No. R03479 should or should not be rescinded.

CASE 3996 (Continued from the January 15, 1969, Regular Hearing)

Application of Martin Yates, III, for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant. in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would be for the applicant's Cordie King Well No. 1 located in Unit L of Section 22, Township 23 South, Range 26 East, Kark Canyon (Delaware) Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks authority to continue to dispose of produced salt water in an unlined surface pit located in the aforesaid quarter-quarter section.

CASE 4026

(Continued from the January 15, 1969, Regular Hearing)

Application fo Fred Pool Drilling Company for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant in the above-styled cause, seeks as exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would be for the applicant's leases located in Sections 8 and 9, Township 25 South, Range 30 East, Corral Canyon-Delaware Pool, Eddy

(Case 4026 continued)

County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks authority to continue to dispose of produced salt water in three unlined surface pits located in the SW/4 NW/4 and the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 8, and the SW/4 SW/4 of said Section 9.

- CASE 4027: (Continued from the January 15, 1969, Regular Hearing)
  Application of MacDonald Oil Corporation for an exception to
  Order No. R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order
  No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of
  water produced in conjunction with the production of oil on
  the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt
  Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception
  would be for the applicant's Sinclair Parke Well No. 1 located
  in Unit F of Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 30 East,
  Jackson Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks
  authority to continue to dispose of produced salt water in an
  unlined surface pit located in the aforesaid Unit F.
- CASE 4046: Application of Texaco, Inc., for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Lea County, New Mexico, Applicant, in the above-styled dause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would be for the applicant's New Mexico State CR Lease located in Section 32, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lusk Field, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks authority to continue to dispose of produced salt water in an unlined surface pit located in Unit F of said Section 32. In the alternative, applicant seeks the extension of that area excepted from the provisions of Order (3) of said Order No. R-3221 by Order No. R-3221-B to include the aforesaid Section 32.
- CASE 4047: Application of Larry C. Squires for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas on the surface ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would authorize the applicant to dispose of produced salt water into three natural salt lakes located in Lea County, New Mexico, as follows:

(Continued Case 4047:)

Laguna Plata, sometimes referred to as Laguna Grande located in Sections 2, 3, 9, 10, and 11, Township 20 South, Range 32 East;

Laguna Gatuna, sometimes referred to as Salt Lake, located in Sections 7, 17, 18, 19, and 20, Township 20 South, Range 33 East;

Laguna Tonto, located in Sections 32 and 33, Township 19 South, Range 33 East, and Section 4, Township 20 South, Range 33 East.

CASE 4048: Application of C. W. Trainer for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would be for the applicant's leases located in Section 24, Township 19 South, Range 33 East and in Sections 19, 20, 29, 30, Township 19 South, Range 34 East, undesignated Queen pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks authority to dispose of salt water produced by wells completed on said leases in unlined surface pits on said leases.

#### CASE 3993: (De Novo)

Application of Coastal States Gas Producing Company for the creation of a new pool and for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico.

Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Pennsylvanian oil pool to be designated the North Baum-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool comprising the following-described lands:

TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, Lea County, N.Mex. Section 19: E/2

Section 20: NW/4 and S/2

Section 21: 8W/4

and for the promulgation of temporary special rules therefor, including a provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and the assignment of 80-acre allowables.

Applicant further seeks the contraction of the Lazy J-Pennsylvanian Pool by the deletion from said pool of the E/2 of Section 20 and the W/2 of Section 21, both in the aforesaid Township and Range.

(Case 3993 continued)

Upon application of Max W. Coll, II, this case will be heard <u>De Novo</u> under the provsions of Rule i220.

- CASE 4049: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, Lea County, New Mexico.

  Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No. R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January, 1969. Said exception would be for the applicant's wells located in Section 5, Township 24 South, Range 38 East, and in Section 32, Township 23 South, Range 38 East, Stateline-Ellenburger Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks authority to continue to dispose of produced salt water in an unlined surface pit located in the NE/4 of said Section 5.
- CASE 4050: Application of Texaco Inc. for an exception to Order No.

  R-3221, as amended, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Order No.

  R-3221, as amended, which order prohibits the disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas on the surface of the ground in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, after January 1, 1969. Said exception would be for the applicant's T. W. Heflin Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit O of Section 24, Township 23 South, Range 31 East, Cotton Draw Brushy Canyon Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks authority to continue to dispose of produced salt water in an unlined pit located in said Unit O.
- CASE 4051: Southeastern nomenclature case calling for an order for the creation, extension, and contraction of certain pools in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico:
  - (a) Create a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as an oil pool for Delaware production and designated as the Revelation-Delaware Pool comprising the following:

TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 25 EAST, NMPM Section 18: NW/4 SE/4

Further, for the assignment of approximately 18,120 barrels of oil discovery allowable to the discovery well Marathon

Oil Company's Miller Ranch Unit Well No. 1, located in

Create a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified Unit J of said Section 18. as an oil pool for San Andres Production and designated as the West Sawyer-San Andres Pool comprising the following:

# TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM

Further, for the assignment of approximately 24,720 barrels of oil discovery allowable to the discovery well Coastal of oil discovery allowable to the discovery well coastal states Gas Producing Company's Santa Fe Well No. 1, located in Unit M of said Section 33.

(c) Create a new pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Cisco production and designated as the Fordinkus-Cisco Gas Pool. The discovery Well is Charles B. rorainkus-cisco Gas Pool. The discovery Well 1s Charles B. Read's Anderson State Well 1 Y, located in Unit G of Section 14, Township 18 South, Range 24 East. Said pool should comprise:

# TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 24 EAST, NMPM

(d) Create a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as an oil pool for Devonian production and designated as the Midway-Devonian pool. The discovery well is Union Oil Company of California's Midway State Well No. 1, located in Unit F of Section 12, Township 17 South, Range 36 East, NMPM. Said pool should comprise:

# TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM

(e) Create a new pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Grayburg San Andres production and designated as a gas pool for Grayburg san Andres Gas Pool. The discovery as the Sams Ranch Grayburg-San Andres Gas Pool. well is Midwest Oil Corporation Federal A No. 1 located in Unit L of Section 10, Township 14 South, Range 28 East, NMPM. Said pool should comprise:

TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM Section 10: SW/4 Section 15: NW/4

(f) Contract the Inbe Permo-Pennsylvanian Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, by the deletion of the following described area:

TOWNSHIP 10 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM Section 15: S/2, NE/4, and E/2 NW/4

(g) Extend the Vada-Pennsylvanian Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 10 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM Section 15: All

(h) Extend the Baum-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM Section 31: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM Section 1: SE/4

(i) Extend the East Benson-Yates Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM Section 18: N/2 SW/4

(j) Extend the East Bluitt-San Andres Pool in Roosevelt County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST, NMPM Section 18: SW/4

(k) Extend the Bluitt-Wolfcamp Gas Pool in Roosevelt County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 7 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM Section 32: E/2

(1) Extend the Cato-San Andres Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, NMPM Section 5: SW/4

(m) Extend the West Henshaw-Grayburg Pool in Eddy County, Docket No. 5-69 New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 30 EAST, NMPM

(n) Extend the North Indian Hills-Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 24 EAST, NMPM Section 16: All Section 17: All

(o) Extend the Lazy J-Pennsylvanian Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM Section 20: NW/4

(p) Extend the McMillan-Morrow Gas Pool in Eddy County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST, NMPM

(q) Extend the Quail-Queen Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM

(r) Extend the Sulimar-Queen Pool in Chaves County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM Section 13: S/2 SE/4 Section 24: NW/4 NE/4

(s) Extend the North Vacuum-Abo Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include therein:

# TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM Section 24: SE/4

(t) Extend the vertical limits of the North Baum-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to include all of the Upper Pennsylvanian formation in the interval from 9554 feet to 9814 feet on the log of the Coastal States Federal "20" Well No. 2, located in Unit N of Section 20, Township 13 South, Range 33 East, NMPM.

		11.37 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	96 Stepte 1	tala	Street White White	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Sign Maria Maria Maria Maria Maria	154.44	Principles  in First 12 Near		Fred a Market Street in Street	Fig. 4 Victor Fig. 1. Compared to the compared	SMIT	Control of the contro
		CORRALI		Control of the contro	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The TO THE STATE OF THE STATE O	6. A. (1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	(faulty for 1) (fault
	Sept. 18	Area e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		fin selection for the selection of the s	<u> </u>	terrette (Austrian Les partes Lary (1997)	l .	Ferrest Casta HBU Oliff
	14	13	Vernice of the second	TO THE CONTROL OF THE	16	15 6 1 1 topic probin 1	for conserved of the co	13
	Pan Aston	For Arren	CSTS	Constitution of the Service Se	see 25 on the abs	Kinggan Strains	8 0 mm \$1 m 2 mm 3 mm 5 mm	Right of Stress 2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
-	23	7502560	ina i <sup>†</sup> ina i <sup>†</sup> 19		rien 2k nomen lang €'		23 to the reference of the total o	3-1-600
	<u></u>	\$ 5 25°   Mashir	b.s. 12356	Established Contracted H 65	V. Sweiter fair Lette Letter   1 km 1 km   25   Francisco Land Grant (D)	Determine Season	States Sind	Fig. 12. (1.1.) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
And the second of the second o	Raiph tains 9 1174 0187873 26	\$ (1) t. C:22235	300000	POKER 29	20	River Products of Control of the Con	1 KET	
Company of the compan	ا <b>م</b> نها دي	\$ 16-500 \$533 \$1 \$4.5 \$4.55 \$5.5 \$2.50 \$50	THE CONTROL OF	4.5.	\$5.00 (\$5.00)		Sign artist flest	1
- Control of the cont	Modil 5 - 1 - 14 0 153736	Most 6 9: 5:74 8:45:4 45:8 95:55 15:35:65:74	Sasting Property of the Proper	Risk Abose His Fries 32	33	36	\$5.00 to 00 to 55.00	ı . l
	36	5	har I		No harm sprights    First Color   Street Color   Street Color   Street Color   Color Color   Color Color   Color Color   Color Color   Color		forester   Silver   S	See te
16 54	And Treatment (1975) 1975 (197	State  The second of the secon		51.00 S	# 15 mm 8 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1 mm 1 m	2000 2000 2000 2000	For Acces, 10 For 10 Fb	Teacher bree had been been been been been been been bee
	13) FARE 6			1/0			2	
	State a to the graduation						人工程程, 4代 1	to the second se

.

5 Halil # 2

HANGGHA ST SOP STATE 4 WATER WELL 330 C60 32 1313 1682 1333 231C 2643 2672 1133 1100 590 0 

### Controlling Corori, tions

The second secon

nt subson and one well 5. 1

Two is nothing a gas of a garage

name to see on the most consist the many

woll omerces to sale. vil.

1 les volues.

though the tensor

Manch although - the of the open

water 16 - to the with the first on the

To. I promoce 3 . As. Mi, In wis. water.

"o.2 perpose 3 dats. bit, 13 esta. water.

unagen Sect of Co. L.

fun: nothing a my line 25) being

world and oos of las wil

Le esta recor

alend to H. -

A al months of the second of t

1 1 1 1 ± 1

OLC

WATER ARREYSIS

೧,೪೮೩ರಾಗ

LSW

Field

garage as

Water Well

NW% of NW% of Sec. 9

Depth

Formation

County

Date Sampled

Date Received

Submitted by

Worked by

Other Description

2-5-69

Fred Pool Drig. Co.

Jones

Sampled from water trough

pth	Eddy, N. M.		ı <b>c</b>	
unty	Eddy,	CHEMICAL DEVERMINATION	7 <u>.7</u>	
	, , , O , R	nH		
	1.004 @ 72° F.	Hydrogen	Sulfide None	pom
Density -	Very faint trace	aam Sicarbon	1 / 2	
Iron —	310	ppm Bicaroon	$_{2160}$	
Sodium and	Potassium	ppm Sulfate		ppm
Calcium —		ppm ?hospine	ole ————	ррт
	131	ppm as Sodium	Chlorido -	
Magnesium	260	ppm as soons		
Chloride -				

Remarks:

for Stiff type plot (in mac, /1.) contribution of a

### TIM WESTERN COMPANY Service Laboratory

5 MA ...

Operator Fred Pool Drlg. Co. Date Sampled 2-5-69

Date Received 2-5-69

Well Perry R. Bass #1 Date Received 2-5-09

Submitted by Fred Pool Drlg. Co.

Field Corral Canyon Submitted by Jones

Parmetion Delaware Worked by

Pormation Delaware Worked by
Other Description

Depth
County Eddy, N. M.

# CHEMICAL DETERMINATIONS

Density	∪ @ 76° F.		pH	6.9	
iron None			Hydrogen Sulfide	None	
Socilum and Potassium	43,500	ppm	Sicarbonate ——	159	
Calcium	7,600	ppm	Suriate	24Û	
Magnesium	1,800	ppm	Phosphate ——		ppm
<b>y</b>	co 000	oom a	e Sodium Chlorida -		

Remarks:

for Stiff type ples in meq./1.1

			o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o		
C:	-1-1 -	 :			:
es.	- : .	- <del>H</del> +: 11-1	in the second	 	1
. 5			. <del>da</del> ran dire. <del>Gira</del> n, este att		

#### TID WASTALL COMPANY Service Laboratory

Can No. 4026 William Ivs.

2-5-69 Fred Pool Drlg. Co. Date Sampled Operator 2-5-69 Date Received Hanagan State Well. Fred Pool Drlg. Co. Submitted by Corral Canyon Pield Jones Delaware Worked by Pormation Other Description Dapth

County Eddy, N. M.

# CHEMICAL DETERMINATIONS

1.103	2 @ 76° F		рН	7.1	
To int	t trace		Hydrogon Sulfida	e None	
Sodium and Potassium	50,300	ppm	Sicarponate ——	146	
Calcium	8,000	ppm	Sulfate	160	<u></u>
Magnesium	1,431	ppm	Phosphare ——		ppm
Caloride	95,600	ppm c	is Sodium Chloride		ppm

Remarks:

for Shift type plot (in meq./1.)

No. J. K. 155	<u>_</u>	ξ0 20 10 0 	16	C1 133
Ç,		er <del>a en eradado</del>		1.CO
.0 195	*, ;	<del>- i - i - i - i - i - i - i - i - i - i</del>		SC., 10
10	<del>, i a viv</del> a	:		

1 ----

109 L WAYES ANALYSIS

2-5-69 Fred Pool Drlg. Co. Date Sampled Operator 2-5-69 Superior State #1 and #2 Date Received Fred Pool Drlg. Co. ..... Submitted by Corral Canyon . No. 3 Jones Worked by Delaware Pormution Other Description Dapth

County Eddy, N. M.

# CHEMICAL DETERMINATIONS

0 7	2	6.8	
Density1.100 @ 77° F.		pr ————————————————————————————————————	
Faint trace		Hydrogen Sulfide - None	
ifOn	mqq	Sicarbonate 122	
Sodium and Patassium 48,600	PP	70	
Caldium7,220	ppm	Sulfate —————————	ppm
- 505	ppm	Phosphate -	
Widghestom		as Socium Chioride	
Chiloride	ppm	as 20010111 Ciliotide	

Remarks:

for 3 1 Typu plot (in mag./11)

or 5 72	o plot (in modity for	C)
λε μ λ 00:		100 200 <sub>4</sub> 10
Ca 10		30,
#:5 :0		10
	on the second of	
	THE THE PARTY OF T	

1.1

# NEW MEXICO SCHOOL OF MINES STATE BUREAU OF MINES AND MINERAL RESOURCES \*\*\*CORROL NEW MEXICO\*\*\*

# NEW MEXICO WELL LOG DIVISION

Cosing Record:



Indy County

Sum Cil Company

3. Physic Pew Hell No. 1

Dogshed on Cil and Gas Prospecting

Pewait Ind Cruces CD9853

Usig Soc.21-7.258-R.30M.

Playstion: 3150'

Completed:

Formation	Datition	Mormentien.	Betton
Gray sand	20	lied c³ay	740
Gypsun and sond		ind simile	005
Red clay	50	One vol	às à
Red sand	. `\_	5.5 × 7.	á á á
Red clay	i.óc		2.43
Red sand	300	Arroyal	0.75
Red clay	3.40	LOR BURTO	0.00
Pack sand	1.50	Tieg opered - Emaker	SSS
Red sand	100	lias and gypsum	900
Red clay	170	Sold rod Shilo	025
Sand	180	Grania gravol	045
Hard sand	1.80 1.80	6705222	005
Sand - Hole caving at 285'	295	Brog Jime	97 S
Red clay	503	North Thite grown	095
Sana	318	- Single - compagnition - compagniti	1010
Red mud .	885	More or count Sers grown - 1 18114	1035
Yellow elay	550	Soft white clay, gradually	220170
Red clay	550	wrening to dark	1055
Light clay	350 330	I re compount	1031
Soft sand	570	N på cyppani	iozs
Red clay	200	Here are the second	1073
Gray shale	500 1,00	25 to an bouton	2070 2000
Blue guabo	្រាត	Sign war again grow	1033
			1004
Red bed	7.70 7.70	- Militaro - Militaro	1007
Elue shale	7 50	Maot gaabo Mino chay 40g chay and grosus	1000
Soft brown shale, caving	2.00	Total of our	1100
Shalo	490	iled <b>c</b> lay and greens	2220
Sandy lime	الحد الحدد في الحد الحدد في	Control of the contro	1120
Blits chale	କ୍ଟର ଅନ୍ତ		2700
Lime	# #	Nicett mance Whee chay And chay and myesum Hed chay and myesum Hed chay and grycum Soft white myraum Nicht blue shale Wheels red and blue shale Nicett Nicet	3 7 0 0 2 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Soft red shale	# ₹ 5 5 6		3 3 6 0
Rod clay	ron	and the second of the second o	3.1.6 W
Rod Shale - Hole caving	1765 C 1575 C		د د دوند چاره د
Blue shale	888 840	en de la companya de La companya de la co	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
ng Julius St. Alabid Perungan	61.9 515	and the state of t	1,05%, O
Band Rod-bod	Augusta Table		e de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composic
	434. <b>0</b>	Lucoli ar Sis Sina	1181816. 5 5 5 55
Rodusand			
Ole W	and the	Nord White graphs	JUŽŠE PO Bornano
Rod olay		- 67 - 11. 12.6 <b>3.15</b>	المائية والمائد
Sticky clay	34.5		3,0,00

# FRED POOL DRILLING COMPANY

Hanajan Sitate #1

0 - 5	Surface
5 - 15	Caliche
15 - 50	Sand
50 - 125	Sand and Shale
125 - 230	Sand and Shale
230 - 265	Shale
265 - 275	Hard Sand
275 - 300	Shale
300 - 315	Red Shale
315 ~ 340	Gray Shale
340 - 375	Red and Blue Shale (Hole caving)
375 - 415	Gray Shale
415 - 435	Blue Shale
435 - 455	Red Shale
455 - 459	Red Shale
459 - 475	Anhydrite
475 - 485	Red and Blue Shale
485 - 540	Blue Shale
540 - 560	Blue Shale and Gypsum
560 <b>-</b> 590	Blue Shale
590 - 600	Blue Shale and Gypsum
600 - 630	Blue Shale and Red Shale
630 - 665	Blue Shale
665 - 675	Lime and Anhydrite

1/12-6

Land Com

DRAFT GMH/esr 2-20-69

/esr )-69

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

100

CASE No. 4026 3685 Order No. R-3684

AM

APPLICATION OF FRED POOL DRILLING COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO ORDER NO. R-3221, AS AMENDED, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:30 a.m. on February 19, 1969, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this \_\_\_\_\_day of February, 1969, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Fred Pool Drilling Company, is the owner and operator of certain wells located in Sections 8 and 9, Township 25 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Corral Canyon-Delaware Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- (3) That effective January 1, 1969, Order (3) of Commission Order No. R-3221, as amended, prohibits in that area encompassed by Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, the disposal, subject to minor exceptions, of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, on the surface of the ground, or in any pit, pond, lake, depression, draw, streambed, or arroyo, or in any watercourse, or in any

other place or in any manner which would constitute a hazard to any fresh water supplies and said disposal has not previously been prohibited.

- (4) That the aforesaid Order No. R-3221 was issued in order to afford reasonable protection against contamination of fresh water supplies designated by the State Engineer through disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, in unlined surface pits.
- (5) That the State Engineer has designated, pursuant to Section 65-3-11 (15), N.M.S.A., 1953 Compilation, all underground water in the State of New Mexico containing 10,000 parts per million or less of dissolved solids as fresh water supplies to be afforded reasonable protection against contamination; except that said designation does not include any water for which there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use that would be impaired by contamination.
- (6) That the applicant seeks an exception to the provisions of the aforesaid Order (3) to permit the continued disposal of salt water, produced by applicant's wells located in said Sections 8 and 9, in three unlined surface pits located in the SW/4 NW/4 and the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 8 and in the SW/4 SW/4 of said Section 9.
- (7) That the applicant is presently disposing of approximately 100 barrels of produced water per day in the subject pits.
- (8) That there are no shallow fresh water wells in the vicinity of the subject pits.
- (9) That there appears to be no shallow fresh water in the vicinity of the subject pits for which a present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use is or will be made that would be impaired by contamination.

(10) That the applicant should be permitted to continue to dispose of salt water, produced by its wells located in said Sections 8 and 9, in the above-described surface pits.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That the applicant, Fred Pool Drilling Company, is hereby granted an exception to Order (3) of Commission Order No. R-3221, as amended, to continue to dispose of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, by wells located in Sections 8 and 9, Township 25 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Corral Canyon-Delaware Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, in the three unlined surface pits located in the SW/4 NE/4 and the SE/4 SE/4 of said Section 8 and in the SW/4 SW/4 of said Section 9 until further order of the Commission.
- (2) That the Commission may by administrative order rescind by Encircles such authority whenever it reasonably appears to the Commission that such rescission would serve to protect fresh water supplies from contamination.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

Fred Pool, Jr.

Fred Pool Drilling Company-applient

4 wells and 3 leaves

Honnagan State
Superior State
Rethards Ban Fed.)

leaves are in See 8 ad 9 of 25-30

3 pilo
Cossal Conyon Delaware

5 W/NW of 8

SW/NW of 8 SW/SW of 9 SE/SE of 8

Set for Jon Rey -