CASE 6066: TEXAS PACIFIC OIL CO. INC.
FOR AM UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION 9, Loc.
AND SIMULTANEOUS DEDICATION, LEA COUNTY
NEW MEXICO

ase Number

6066

Application

Transcripts.

Small Exhibits

_	7	
Page	<u> </u>	
r aya		

## BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico 12 October, 1977

### EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company, Inc., for an unorthodox CASE gas well location and simultan-6066 eous dedication, Lea County, New

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets.

Mexico.

## TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

## APPEARANCES

For the New Mexico Oil Lynn Teschendorf, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Commission Conservation Commission:

State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant: Michael B. Campbell, Esq. CAMPBELL, BINGAMAN & BLACK

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page	2	
race		

## $\overline{\mathbf{I}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$

## MEL SCHROEDER

Direct Examination by Mr. Campbell

Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets

 $\underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{X}} \ \underline{\mathtt{H}} \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{B}} \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{S}}$ 

Applicant Exhibit 1, Plat

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212

3

5

7

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. STAMETS: Call next Case 6066.

MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 6066. Application of Texas Pacific Oil Co., Inc., for an unorthodox gas well location and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. CAMPBELL: Appearing for Applicant, Texas

Pacific, Mike Campbell of Campbell, Bingaman and Black, here

MR. STAMETS: Call for appearances in this case.

in Santa Fe.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances? I'd like the witness to stand and be sworn, please.

(Witness sworn.)

## MEL SCHROEDER

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CAMPBELL:

- Would you please state your name and occupation?
- A. My name is Mel Schroeder. I'm an area engineer with Texas Pacific Oil Company in Midland, Texas.
  - 0. And would you state what your application seeks?
- A. We seek the approval for an unorthodox Jalmat gas well location for our State "A" Account 1 No. 53 Well, and

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a simultaneous dedication of this well to a previously approved 480-acre multiple well nonstandard proration unit, comprising the northwest quarter and the south half of Section 24, Township 23 South, Range 36 West.

- 0. I am handing you what has been marked as Applicant Exhibit 1. Would you please identify that exhibit and explain what it purports to show?
- A. This is a plat of the area surrounding our State
  "A" Account 1 lease. The lease has been shaded in in yellow.
  The heavy cross hatching is the present proration unit assigned to this lease and the dedication.

I've outlined in red the proration unit of interest

Our Account 1 - 53 Well is circled in red and pointed out

with a red arrow and the description "proposed workover."

- Q What is the location of the No. 53 Well?
- A. Well, this well is located 1980 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the west line of Section 26.
  - And does that well currently have production?
- A. Yes, it is presently a producing Langley-Maddox oil well. It's -- the latest test I have for it is 1 barrel of oil, zero barrels of water, and 100 MCF per day.

What we're proposing to do is to go in and dual complete this as a Langley-Maddox oil, Jalmat gas well. The location would be, as far as distances to boundaries, would be a legal or an orthodox location for the 160-acre unit, re-

ferring to the northwest quarter. It would also be a legal location for a 320, considering the west half of Section 24, insofar as there are no guidelines for standard locations, orthodox locations for 480-acre units in effect due to the distance from Well No. 25. It is unorthodox.

Q Would you explain the status of Wells 24, 25, and 32 in that proration unit?

A. Well, No. 32 is the best well. It's last test was 570 MCF per day. During July it produced 16.57 million cubic feet.

Well No. 24 is currently shut-in due to mechanical problems. This well was worked over in July. It was making 230 MCF per day based on previous production, which we thought the well was capable of making as much as 370 MCF per day, and based on experience we -- we decided that in all probability the well had fill and we did find fill and did recover remove the fill. Unfortunately, we had quite a few mechanical problems. The packer was stuck; we jarred on the packer, I think, three or four days; the packer wouldn't come. We had to wash over to recover the packer. Once we got the packer out of the hole we found that the tailpipe below the packer had fallen down in the open hole. We had to fish that, and the sum total being that we lost some 700 barrels of fluid during this -- during this workover.

It's also been our experience due to low pressure,

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fo, New Mexico 87
Phone (AC) 982-911

in this area, that it takes a long time to recover when you lose large amounts of water, recover this water and get the well back -- back to its former -- former level.

acres as the projected recompletion, is -- on the last test was producing -- produced 60 MCF per day and produced 1865 MCF for the month of July. This well was worked over in '74. Again, we had a situation where we had a large amount of fill. We went in and cleaned out the fill four successive times: coming back and finding that the fill was back to the previous level.

We attempted to set a line in the well with, in fact ran a line with a bit on the bottom and actually drilled the liner in place. We came back and found that when we tugged back on the liner we found that the liner was some 120 feet higher than we had expected to set it. We came back after that and fracted the well with 20,000 gallons of fresh water, hit 30,000 pounds of sand. Since that time the well has steadily decreased, now leveling out at this 60 MCF per day. We know we've got a problem in the well but to rectify the problem in the well will take a very expensive workover with -- with some risk involved as far as what the results will be. We've since determined, since '73, that fracting in a Jalmat gas well with fresh water is probably not the best thing to do, as it is somewhat susceptible to damage.

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87,
Phone (505) 982-9212

We're projecting that Well No. 53 will produce approximately 440 MCF per day. This well -- this proration unit is presently a marginal unit; produced 18,488 MCF during July. A nonmarginal unit for 480 acreage for September is -- was 43,540 MCF, so it lacks quite a bit being -- at this time being a nonmarginal unit.

Using the projected production from 53 and assuming that our attempts to return 24 to production is successful, the total proration unit will have a capacity of 1440 MCF per day. Maybe in some low demand months it might be nonmarginal, but I would project that for the remainder of the months it would probably be -- still be a nonmarginal a marginal proration unit.

Q Are there any other four-well 480-acre units, to your knowledge?

A. Yes, there are; one. It's on the Continental's Myer 23 "B" Lease. It's in Section 23, Township 22 South, Range 36 East.

Having four wells on this proration unit would give us acreage per well in this unit 120 acres per well and there are presently 54 proration units in the Jalmat Gas Field with equal to or less than 120 acres per well.

- Q In your opinion would the grant of this application prevent waste and protect correlative rights?
  - A. I think it would.

	Ď.	Was	Applicant	Exhibit	1	prepared	ру	you	or	under
your	direct	tion:	?							

A. Yes, it was.

MR. CAMPBELL: I neglected to qualify this witness, Mr. Examiner, if you'd like me to do that, I'd be happy to do it.

MR. STAMETS: The witness is considered qualified.

MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you. That's all the testimony
and all of the exhibits we have on this application.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

Q. What was the order number that set up the original 480-acre proration unit?

A. It was Administrative Order Number NSP-1044.

Q 1044. Okay, thank you.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions for the witness? He may be excused.

Anything further in this case?

The case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

Page	9	
1 0 YC		 

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Sidney F. Morrish, C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoins in a complete record of the proceedings in the Emailier hearing of Cauc No. 1922.

The Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Sante Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (\$05) 982-9212



DIRECTOR

JOE D. RAMEY

## **OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO** P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE

87501

**LAND COMMISSIONER** PHIL R. LUCERO November 1, 1977



Re: CASE NO. Mr. Michael B. Campbell ORDER NO. → Campbell, Bingaman and Black Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2208 Applicant: Santa Fe, New Mexico

R-5573

Texas Pacific Oil Co., Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Yours very truly, OE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC Artesia OCC Aztec OCC\_\_

Other

## BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6066 Order No. R-5573

APPLICATION OF TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY, INC., FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION AND SIMULTANEOUS DEDICATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on October 12, 1977, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this lst day of November, 1977, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Texas Pacific Oil Company, Inc., seeks approval of an unorthodox gas well location for its State "A" A/C 1 Well No. 53 located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 24, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be simultaneously dedicated to a previously approved 480-acre multiple well non-standard proration unit comprising the NW/4 and S/2 of said Section 24.
- (3) That a well at said unorthodox location will better enable applicant to produce the gas underlying the proration unit.
- (4) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox location.
- (5) That Commission Administrative Order No. NSP-1044 should be superseded.

-2-Case NO. 6066 Order No. R-5573

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That an unorthodox gas well location is hereby approved for the Texas Pacific Oil Company's State "A" A/C-1 Well No. 53 located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 24, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be simultaneously dedicated to a previously approved 480-acre multiple well non-standard proration unit comprising the NW/4 and S/2 of said Section 24 and currently dedicated to applicant's State "A" A/C-1 Wells Nos. 24, 25, and 32 located in Units N, F, and J, respectively, of said Section 24.
- (2) That Commission Administrative Order No. NSP-1044 is hereby superseded.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

PHIL R. LUCERO, Chairman

EMERY C. ARNOLD, Member

DOE D. RAMEY, Member & Secretary

SEAL

CASE 6065: Application of Odessa Natural Corporation for a special well classification, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the classification of its ARCO-Little Fed. Well No. 1, located in Unit D of Section 32, Township 24 North, Range 3 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, as a gas well rather than an oil well, thereby permitting the continued dedication of the W/2 of said Section 32. Said classification would be in exception to the statewide definition of gas wells, or to the Chacon-Dakota Associated Pool definition of gas wells, whichever is applicable.

CASE 6066: Application of Texas Pacific Oil Company, Inc., for an unorthodox gas well location and simultancous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its State "A" A/c-1 Well No. 53 located in the center of Unit E of Section 24, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be witaneously dedicated to a previously approved 480-acre multiple well non-standard proration to the standard proration to the standard proration with comprising the NW/4 and S/2 of said Section 24.

Application of Texas Pacific Oil Co., Inc., for pool contraction and extension, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the contraction of the Catclaw Draw-CASE 6067: Morrow Gas Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, by the deletion of Section 3, Township 22 South, Range 25 East, therefrom, and the extension of the Revolution Morrow Gas Pool to include said

CASE 6068: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission upon its own motion for the creation and extension of certain pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico:

> (a) CREATE a new pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Fruitland production and designated as the Conner-Fruitland Pool. The discovery well is the Odessa Natural Corporation Little Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit K of Section 1, Township 30 North, Range 14 West, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

> > TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM Section 1: W/2 Section 12: W/2

(b) CREATE a new pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Pictured Cliffs production and designated as the South Gallegos-Pictured Cliffs Pool. The discovery well is the Jerome P. McHugh Nassau Well No. 5 located in Unit A of Section 36, Township 27 North, Range 12 West, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

> TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM Section 36: All

(c) EXTEND the Ojo-Pictured Cliffs Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 15 WEST, NMPM Section 25: S/2 & NW/4 Section 26: All Section 35: N/2 & SE/4 Section 36: All

and to extend the vertical limits of said pool to include the Fruitland formation and redesignate said pool the Ojo Fruitland-Pictured Cliffs Pool.

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM

(d) EXTEND the WAW-Pictured Cliffs Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

Section 4: NW/4 TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANCE 13 WEST, NMPM Section 26: SW/4 Section 27: SE/4 Section 29: All Section 30: E/2 Section 31: All Section 32: N/2 & SW/4 Section 33: All Section 34: N/2 & SW/4

and to extend the vertical limits of said pool to include the Fruitland formation and redesignate said pool the WAW Fruitland-Pictured Cliffs Pool.

(e) EXTEND the Ballard-Pictured Cliffs Pool in Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico, to include therein:

Care 6066

## TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY, INC.

REGIONAL OFFICE
MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

P. O. BOX 4067 1509 WEST WALL STREET F 14 1911

THE WALLS

August 23, 1977

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey

#### Gentlemen:

Texas Pacific Oil Company, Inc., respectfully requests administrative approval of an unorthodox location for State "A" A/c-1 Well No. 53, which is presently a Langlie Mattix oil well and is to be dually completed in the Jalmat (Yates-7 Rivers) Gas Pool. Approval is also requested for amendment to Administrative Order NSP-1044 to include this well in the 480-acre non-standard Jalmat gas proration unit comprising of the S/2 and the NW/4 of Section 24, T-23-S, R-36-E, Lea County, New Mexico. Plat is attached.

All offset operators have been furnished a copy of this request.

Yours very truly,

TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY, INC.

T. J. Waller Regional Engineer

RDH:cs Attachments

cc: O.C.C. - Hobbs
Gulf Oil Corporation

Continental Oil Company ation Atlantic Richfield Company Coquina Oil Corporation

# NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

Piera E-102 6 Saperacias C-128 Etiesturo 14-65

Cperator
Texas Pacific Oil Company, Inc. State "A" A/c-1 19 ISEPVATIO 53 Township Unit Letter Section County 24 E 23-S 36-E Lea on of Wells Actual Foots 660 1980 west north feet from the Ground Lyvel Ele Jalmat Yates 480 Jalmat 3345 1. Outline the acreage dedicated to the subject well by colored pencil or hachure marks on the plat below. 2. If more than one lease is dedicated to the well, outline each and identify the ownership thereof (both as to working interest and royalty). 3. If more than one lease of different ownership is dedicated to the well, have the interests of all owners been consolidated by communitization, unitization, force-pooling. etc? Yes No If enswer is "yes," type of consolidation. If answer is "no," list the owners and tract descriptions which have actually been consolidated. (Use reverse side of this form if necessary.)\_ No allowable will be assigned to the well until all interests have been consolidated (by communitization, unitization, forced-pooling, o. otherwise) or entil a non-standard unit, eliminating such interests, has been approved by the Commis-NSP 1044 CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that the information con McClintock Dist. Operations Supt. 53 Texas Pacific Oil Co., Inc 8-23-77 I hereby certify that the well location wn on this plat was platted from field notes of actual surveys made by under my supervision, and that the same 32 is true and correct to the best of my wledge and belief. 24 onte Surveyed Registered Professional Engineer and/or Land Surveyor

1500

1000

320 1650 1980 2310 2640

Certificate No.

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO



IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

	CASE NO 6066					
:	Order No. R- 5573					
	APPLICATION OF TEXAS PACIFIC OIL COMPANY, INC.					
/	FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, AND SIMULTANEOUS DEDICATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.					
	() A/2					
	ORDER OF THE COMMISSION					
	BY THE COMMISSION:					
	This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on <u>October 12</u> , 1977 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets					
	NOW, on this <u>day of October</u> , 1977, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,					
	FINDS:					
	(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.					
	(2) That the applicant, Texas Pacific Oil Company,/, seeks					
	for its State "A" A/C-1 Well No. 53 located approval of an unorthodox gas well location/-/980 feet from the North					
_	Hine-and 660-feet fr the West-line of Section 24, Township					
	23 South , Range 36 East , NMPM, to test the					
	Lea County, New Mexico., to be simultaneously dedicated to a					
prev	iously approved 480-acre multiple well non-standard proration unit					
ļ						
	(3) That a well at said unorthodox location will better enable					
	applicant to produce the gas underlying the proration unit.					
	That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox					
	location.					
	comprising the NW/4 and S/2 of said Section 24.					
	5 That Commission Planinistrative Order. No.					
	NSP1044. should be superseded.					

	Case No. Order No. R-			
	(6) ( ) That approval of the sul	bject application will afford	the applicant	
the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of				
	of an excessive number of wells, a	nd will otherwise prevent wast	e and protect	
	correlative rights.	( The state of the	the state of the s	
	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:	The leavener	ant make	
he Texas Paintick	(1) That an unorthodox gas in Campany State "A" A/C-1	well location that the Well No. 53	River	
ų.	<b>formation</b> is hereby approved for/a	smelth stands located at the same		
	feet from the North - line and	•		
	ine of Section 24, Township		6 East	
	NMPM, Jalmat Gas Pool	Pool, Lea	County,	
48	New Mexico., to be simultaneous p-acre multiple well non-stand (2) That the of sa	ard proration unit compris	sing the	
	the above described well.			
.4	(3) That jurisdiction of the	is cause is retained for the e	ntry of such	
$\sim$ /	further orders as the Commission m	ay deem necessary.	•	
	DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico	, on the day and year hereinab	ove designated.	
	and the second s	where the transfer of the second		
	See a second of the second of	AD 0 0'	0 = 0 1	
ļ	NW/4 and S/2 of said Section	24 and currently dedic	and 32	
	opplicants State "A" A/C bocated in Units H, F, as	ik I, respectively of so	id Section ?	
	(2) that Commission	on Administrative	Order No.	
(	(2) That Commission SP 1044 is hereby	superseded,		
	11			

\*,