CASE 6139: PETRO-LEWIS CORP. FOR AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Lase Mumber

6139

Application

Transcripts.

Small Exhibits

	Page	1
NEW MEXICO OIL CO Santa Fe	ORE THE NSERVATION COMMISS , New Mexico ry 8, 1978	SION
EXAMINI	ER HEARING	
IN THE MATTER OF:)))
Application of Petro-Lev an unorthodox oil well in County, New Mexico.	-	and the second s
BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Ex	kaminer	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	RANCES	
For the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission:	Lynn Teschendorf Legal Counsel fo State Land Offic Santa Fe, New Me	r the Commissione Building
For Petro-Lewis Corporation:	Jason W. Kellahi KELLAHIN & FOX Attorneys at Law 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Me	

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

INDEX

Page GEORGE P. DALTON Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter JOHN CAMPBELL Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter Cross Examination by Mr. Kendrick

EXHIBIT INDEX

12		Offered	Admitted
13	Applicant Exhibit One, Plat	6	11
14	Applicant Exhibit Two, Structure Map	14	17
15	Applicant Exhibit Three, Structure Map	14	17
16	Applicant Exhibit Four, Isopach Map	15	17
17	Applicant Exhibit Five, Documents	9	11
18	Applicant Exhibit Six, Letter	16	17
19	Applicant Exhibit Seven, Application	16	17

в

MR. NUTTER: We will call next Case Number 6139.

MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 6139, application of Petro-Lewis Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Sandoval County, New Mexico.

MR. KELLEHIN: If the Commission please, Jason Kellahin of Kellahin and Fox appearing for the applicant and we have two witnesses to be sworn.

(THEREUPON, the witnesses were duly sworn.)

GEORGE P. DALTON

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q Would you state your name, please?
- A George P. Dalton.
- Q By whom are you employed and in what position, Mr. Dalton?
- A I'm employed by Petro-Lewis Corporation as a District Engineer.
 - Q Where are you located?
 - A In Denver, Colorado.
- Q Have you ever testified before the Oil Conservation Commission or one of its examiners?
 - A I have not.

Sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
25 Calle Mejis, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Phone (505) 982-9212

. 2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q For the benefit of the Examiner would you briefly outline your education and your experience as an engineer?

A Yes, sir. I graduated from the University of Illinois in 1967 with a BS degree in Civil Engineering. I worked the last eleven years for the Shell Oil Company as a production engineer, senior operations engineer, petroleum engineer in the states of Colorado, Wyoming, Montana, and most recently, Texas, California, and Alaska. I have held various supervisory positions in the last eleven years as a senior petroleum engineer and my main responsibilities were field operations and well evaluations with reservoir supervision and most recently, from December of 1977 I left Shell Oil Company and came with Petro-Lewis where I have been employed as a district engineer since that time.

Q In connection with your duties with Petro-Lewis do you have anything to do with the Media-Entrada Pool?

- A Yes, sir, I do.
- Q It's under your supervision?

A It's under my supervision from an engineering standpoint?

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness' qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are.

Q (Mr. Kellahin continuing.) Mr. Dalton, briefly what is proposed by the applicant in Case Number 6139?

sid morrish reporting service
General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Meja, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

A Petro-Lewis Corporation proposes to drill a well called the Boling Federal 8-22 as essentially a replacement well for the Boling Federal 5-22 which is a well drilled in the Southwest Media Field in which through various operations that have been conducted on it since initial completion it has become so mechanically unfit as to preclude its ability to produce the reserves that we believe to exist at this location

- Q Now the well has already been drilled, has it not?
- A It has, yes, sir.
- Q And it has not been produced?
- A It has not been produced, in fact, it hasn't been completed as yet.
- Q You are awaiting an order from the Commission on completion?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Now what was the problem with the other well?
- A The Boling Federal 5-22 was from initial completion produced very high amounts of water, in the order of ninety to ninety-five percent of water and through about the first year and a half of its producing life it produced intermittently and at the end of the year and a half it produced only about sixteen hundred barrels of oil. At that time a remedial treatment was—a water shut-off treatment was proposed for the well. It was done and was unsuccessful. We were unable to shut the water off and a good deal of the oil—we were not able

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
25 Calle Majia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750
Phone (505) 982-9212

to produce the oil in economic quantities and after another year and a half or so of production history the well was determined to be uneconomic and has since been shut in after producing a cumulative of about thirteen thousand barrels of oil, approximately thirteen thousand barrels.

We desire to replace the well with one which mechanically would allow us to produce the reserves.

Q Now referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number One, would you identify that exhibit, please?

A Yes, Exhibit Number One is entitled, The Media Dome Area. It shows the lessees of record and the proposed location of the Boling Federal 8-22 in the northwest quarter of Section 22, 19 North, 3 West and it also shows the two-mile radius circle which indicates the lessees of record within the two-mile area, two-mile radius and also there are no other existing operations within that area except those that are marked inside of the two-mile circle.

Q Now what is the well location? Would you give the footage location?

A Yes, I will have to refer to-the location is set at nine ninety from the north line and twenty-one sixty feet from the west line of Section 22, Township 19 North, Range 3 West in Sandoval County, New Mexico.

Q Now that puts it closer to the line than permitted by the Commission rules, does it not?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That is true.

Q What is the ownership of the two units, do you know that? The unit upon which the well is located and the one which is crowded?

A Yes, I will read these for you now.

Q Well, let's talk about the working interest ownership first?

A The working interest is Petro-Lewis Corporation, fifty percent, Fluid Power Pump--I'm sorry, I don't have those numbers with me right now, but the working interest owner--

Q Is the ownership common as to the two units so far as the working interest?

A Yes, sir.

Q There is no difference?

A That's true.

Q Now is there any difference in the overriding royalty, in the royalty interest first?

A In the spacing unit?

Q Is there any difference between the two units as to the royalty, the base royalty, are they both Federal leases?

A They are, there is no difference.

Q Now as to the overriding royalties, what is the difference?

A Well, in this spacing unit, as far as I know there

Sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service

S Calle Mejis, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Phone (505) 982-9217

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is no difference.

Q Insofar as your replacement well and the original well here there is no difference?

- A That is true.
- Q Now as to the offsetting acreage, is there a difference?
 - A There is.
 - Q Could you state briefly what that difference is?
- A Well, very briefly the overriding royalty owners of record are--well, I can't state it briefly without reading who the royalty owners are.
 - Q Well, is there a difference in the ownership?
 - A There is a difference in the ownership.
 - Q Could you state what that difference is?
- A Well, in the spacing unit to the east the owners are listed as Valle Reece, Incorporated, one of the owners but in both units with the exception that the percentage is a little bit different. Butler and Coberly own interest in both leases but different interest. The Texas Northeast Oil and Gas owns interest in both leases but different interest. Petro-Lewis owns interest in both leases but different interest. Earl Crinerton owns interest in the 6-22 spacing unit which is the unit on which the No. 8-22 is to be drilled and as far as I'm able to determine he does not own interest in the offset unit to the east which is 7-22. Mr. Duncan Miller owns interest

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

in the offset unit to the east. As far as I am able to determine he does not own interest in the spacing unit of question. Billy Robinson owns interest in the spacing unit that is of question and does not own interest in the spacing unit to the east. Mr. Baker owns interest in the spacing unit in question and does not own interest in the unit to the east.

- Q Now in your opinion as an engineer, will the drilling of this well be of benefit to those interest owners, the overriding royalty owners who own an interest under the tract dedicated to the well?
 - A Yes, sir, it will.
- Q Will it have an adverse effect to any degree on the offsetting acreage in your opinion?
 - A We do not believe that it will.
- Q Do you have anything to add in connection with Exhibit Number One?
 - A No, sir, I don't.
 - Q Now turn to Exhibit Number Five, if you please?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That is a multiple page exhibit and identify that, please?

A Exhibit Number Five consists of a package of documents, basically the history of the Boling Federal 5-22 as it is known. It consists of the original completion report and

sid morrish reporting service
General Court Reporting Service
125 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

.11

summary. A brief history of the well production history which outlines, as I have already mentioned, the well's initial production history, the rework and the subsequent production history. It also shows what is planned for the well and there is also an application for permission to plug back, which is of record as of this date, to plug out of the Entrada which is the producing zone. It shows the well schematic diagram which shows how the well is to be plugged out of the Entrada.

There is an exhibit plot of production, water cut oil and gross production versus time from the well's initial completion until its abandonment. And then there are copies of short portions of the Entrada section, the density logs and the induction survey through the Entrada.

Q In your opinion will the approval of this application result in the recovery of oil that would not otherwise be recovered?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q And would that prevent waste?
- A Yes, sir, it would.
- Q Have you anything to add?
- A No, sir, I don't.
- Q Were Exhibits One and Five prepared by you or under your supervision?
 - A Yes, sir, they were.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time we will offer Exhibits

3

4

6

8

10

12

13 14

16

15

18

17

19 20

22

21

23 24 One and Five, inclusive.

MR. NUTTER: Applicant's Exhibits One and Five will be admitted into evidence.

(THEREUPON, Applicant's Exhibits One and Five were admitted into evidence.)

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

- Q Mr. Dalton, you stated the well had produced a total of thirteen thousand barrels, I believe?
 - A Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q And then according to a couple of these forms that are filed in this package, being Exhibit Number Five, it is your intent to make this into a salt water disposal well, is that correct?
- A Yes, sir, that is stated on the application to plug back. The application to convert to salt water has not been made at this time.
- Q And you haven't filed that with the Oil Conservation Commission as of now?
 - A We haven't, that's true.
- Q Now the Gallup topped out at about twenty-eight ninety-four, I believe here, so where on this diagrammatic sketch of the well would the salt water disposal be?
- A We haven't indicated it on the sketch, Mr. Commissioner, however, it would be very nearly at the top of the Gallup as

Sid morrish reporting service
General Court Reporting Service
Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87591

it was originally picked on the completion \log and shown on the original completion form 9-330.

Q Of course, this would be a matter to consider at the time of the hearing for the salt water disposal but apparently the top of the cement on that seven inch would be at twentynine fifty, which is below the top of the Gallup?

A That is true.

Q So maybe you would have to have some additional cement on there prior to conversion to salt water disposal?

A That is exactly right and it is planned to be done that way.

Q I see. How about the No. 4 Well, Mr. Dalton, is it a producing well, immediately south of this proposed location?

A The No. 4 Well?

Q I believe that's it--the No. 6.

A The No. 6, yes, sir, the No. 6 is a producing well in the Entrada.

Q Is it a high producer of water also?

A Yes, sir.

Q Most of these wells in this area produce large quantities of water don't they?

A All of the Entrada wells produce extremely high amounts of water, yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of

Sid morrish reporting service
General Court Reporting Service
Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Mr. Dalton? He may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. KELLAHIN: I would like to call Mr. Campbell as a witness.

JOHN CAMPBELL

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q Would you state your name, please?
- A John Campbell.
- Q By whom are you employed and in what position, Mr. Campbell?
- A I'm a senior development geologist for Petro-Lewis Corporation in Denver.
- Q Have you ever testified before the Oil Conservation Commission or one of its examiners?
 - A No, I have not.
- Q For the benefit of the Examiner would you outline your education and experience as a geologist?
- A Yes, sir. I received a BS degree from the University of Oklahoma, a BS in geology, in 1969, at which time I went to work for Sunray-DX Oil Company, later Sun Oil Company. I worked for them until 1974 in primarily the production depart-

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejir, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ment in Oklahoma and West Texas. I then went to work for Core Laboratories in their engineering consulting department in Dallas and I worked for them until May of this past year at which time I went to work for Petro-Lewis in Denver as a development geologist.

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness' qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are.

Q (Mr. Kellahin continuing.) Now, Mr. Campbell, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Two would you identify that exhibit, please?

A This is a structure map, the datum being the top of the Entrada sandstone. It shows the Media dome. The structure is controlled primarily by well data and seismic data.

- Q You have good control on the structure, do you not?
- A Yes, we feel like we do.
- Q This same exhibit has been presented to the Commission in past hearings, has it not, or one similar?
 - A Somewhat similar, I guess.
- Q Now referring to what has been marked as Exhibit
 Number Three, would you identify that exhibit?
- A This is also a structure map of the oil-water contact, the oil-water contact being silted.

MR. NUTTER: Now we have a difference in numbers.

Okay, the structure map with the oil-water contact is Exhibit

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number Three, is that correct?

A Right.

MR. NUTTER: And the Isopach Map is going to be Exhibit Number Four?

A Yes, sir.

Q (Mr. Kellahin continuing.) Would you go ahead with your testimony regarding Exhibit Number Three?

A This map shows the silting of the oil-water contact in the Media area based on well log analysis. It shows the general dip from northeast to southwest. It is also well controlled, it has good control.

Q In your opinion has the oil-water contact changed with production?

A Well, it probably has but we don't know that for sure.

Q You don't know exactly where it is at this time?

A No, that is true. This is the original oil-water contact.

Q Now referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Four, would you identify that exhibit?

A This is an isopach of the gross oil interval in the Entrada sandstone. It is derived from the previous two maps. It shows the difference between the structure at the top of the Entrada and the structure of the oil-water contact. It shows to be two oil pools, one Media Field proper and one the

sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, Ilew Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

5

ß

7

8

9

10

-11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Southwest Media and it shows our proposed location in Section 22 to be in the thickest gross oil interval. Of course, this is where we want to drill.

Q Now referring to what has been marked as Exhibit
Number Six, would you identify that exhibit?

A That is a letter from our working interest partners, a waiver saying they agree with the proposed location for this well.

Q And Exhibit Number Seven, would you identify that exhibit, please?

- A This is our application to drill the proposed well.
- Q That is to the USGS, is it?
- A To the USGS, yes, sir.
- Q Has that been approved?
- A Yes, it has.

Q Now, Mr. Campbell, in your opinion will the drilling of the well as proposed by Petro-Lewis at the location proposed result in the recovery of oil that would not otherwise be recovered?

- A Yes, I think it would.
- Q If the well is not drilled would there be any further recovery from the unit dedicated to the old well?
 - A Possibly some.
 - Q What would it be produced from?
 - A It would be produced from Well No. 6

Which is not on that unit, is it?

what different, those owners would be penalized if the well

So since the overriding royalty ownership is some-

	5	is not approved, is that correct?			
•	6	A That is true.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	Q Were Exhibits Two, Three, and Four propared by you			
	8	or under your supervision?			
:03	9	A Yes, they were.			
s ervice nice Mexico 87501	10	Q And Exhibit Six, is that a letter from your files?			
g ser Service Tew Mes	11	A Yes, it is.			
sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service Calle Mejia, No. 122, Sant Fe, New Mexico 8	12	Q And Exhibit Number Seven is a copy of the approval			
repourt Rep	3 13	of the USGS?			
orrist neral Co 1, No. 1	14	A Yes, sir.			
sid mo Ger Calle Mejia	15	MR. KELLAHIN: At this time we offer Exhibits Two,			
83 825 Ca	16	Three, Four, Six, and Seven.			
	17	MR. NUTTER: Petro-Lewis Exhibits Two, Three, Four,			
	18	Six, and Seven will be admitted into evidence.			
	19	(THEREUPON, Applicant Exhibits Two, Three,			
	20	Four, Six, and Seven were admitted into			
	21	evidence.)			
	22	MR. KELLAHIN: Do you have anything else to add,			
	23	Mr. Campbell?			
	24	MR. CAMPBELL: No, I don't.			
	25	MR. KELLAHIN: That completes our testimony,			
400 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
(A)	3	and the control of th			

Q

No.

Mr. Nutter.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Campbell, looking at your Exhibit Number Three, being the structure map showing the oil-water contact, it would appear that the No. 5 Well, which is an abandoned producer, and the No. 6 Well, which is the current producer, are on approximately the same position on the oil-water structure, they are both on the same position, and that also the proposed well would be at approximately the same position with respect to the oil-water contact?

A Yes, sir.

Q Why has this No. 5 Well been such a poor producer, is it strictly a mechanical problem in there, or just what is it that causes that well to have to be abandoned?

A I'm not real sure why it was a poor producer in the first place but in trying to reduce the water production we tried a cement squeeze on the formation and reduced the deliver ability down to almost nil compared to the other wells.

Q The deliverability of oil as well as water?

A Yes, sir.

Q I noticed on one of those previous forms that the initial potential on the thing was not bad, it made four hundred and eighty barrels of oil and fourteen hundred and forty of water which is a relatively low water-oil ratio for this area,

sid morrish reporting service
General Court Reporting Service
S Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but it wasn't long before it was making a lot more water than that percentage-wise?

A Yes, sir.

Q But still it is in the same structural position on the oil-water contact as the other two wells, the proposed well and the No. 6?

A Yes, sir.

Q There is no answer for that, no explanation?

A Well, due to the structure at the top of the Entrada we have a larger gross oil interval, we think, here.

Q Which would be shown on the isopach map?

A On the isopach map, yes, sir.

Q I see you have got thirty-three feet in the No. 5 and forty-two feet in the No. 6 and you would suspect that this new proposed well, the No. 8, would be--

A In excess of forty feet.

Q It would be in excess of forty feet?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr. Campbell? Mr. Kendrick?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KENDRICK:

Q Under direct examination you were asked where the oil from this drill tract would be produced and you advised that would be through Well No. 6, being on a separate drill

tract?

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Possibly some of it would be, yes, sir.
- The drill tract location is the northwest quarter of Section 22?
 - Yes, sir. Α
- And Well No. 6 is on that drill tract, so it would not be to a disadvantage to this drill tract to produce the Well No. 6, would it?
 - I'm not sure that is on the same drill tract.

MR. NUTTER: You have one hundred and sixty acre spacing, I don't know if that is a non-standard unit or not.

- (Mr. Kendrick continuing.) As a matter of fact, the northwest quarter of Section 22 is dedicated to the two wells, 5 and 6.
 - Α Yes, sir, 5 and 6.
- So if the oil from the drill tract is produced from No. 6 it would not be a disadvantage to the drill tract, would it?
- It wouldn't necessarily all be produced, we don't believe it will be.
- Could some of that oil be produced from the No. 7 on the northeast quarter?
 - No, I don't think so.
 - MR. KENDRICK: No further questions.
 - MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of

Mr. Campbell?

you.

MR. KELLAHIN: Just to clarify your answer to

Mr. Kendrick, if the well is not drilled, in your opinion will

all of the available oil be produced that would be produced

from the new well?

MR. CAMPBELL: No, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all I have.

MR. NUTTER: If there are no further questions of the witness he may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all we have, Mr. Nutter. Thank

MR. NUTTER: You have nothing further, Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: No, nothing further.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to offer in Case Number 6139? We will take the case under advisement.

Sid morrish reporting service

General Court Reporting Service
825 Calle Meja, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Phone (505) 982-9212

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Pase No. 4139, heard by me on 2/8, 1978

Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

LAND COMMISSIONER



DIRECTOR JOE D. RAMEY

PHIL R. LUCERO February 15, 1978

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico

6139 Re: CASE NO. ORDER NO. R-5640

Applicant:

Petro-Lewis Corporation

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Yours very truly, JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC Artesia OCC Aztec OCC

Other

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 6139 Order No. R-5640

APPLICATION OF PETRO-LEWIS CORPORATION FOR AN UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on February 8, 1978, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this 14th day of February, 1978, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Petro-Lewis Corporation, seeks approval of an unorthodox oil well location 990 feet from the North line and 2160 feet from the West line of Section 22, Township 19 North, Range 3 West, NUPM, to test the Entrada formation, Southwest Media Entrada Oil Pool, Sandoval County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the NW/4 of said Section 22 is to be dedicated to the well.
- (4) That a well at said unorthodox location will better enable applicant to produce the oil underlying the proration unit.
- (5) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox location.
- (6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the oil in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

-2-Case 6139 Order No. R-5640

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That an unorthodox oil well location for the Entrada formation is hereby approved for the Petro-Lewis Corporation Boling Federal 22 Well No. 8 to be located at a point 990 feet from the North line and 2160 feet from the West line of Section 22, Township 19 North, Range 3 West, NMPM, Southwest Media-Entrada Oil Pool, Sandoval County, New Mexico.
- (2) That the NW/4 of said Section 22 shall be dedicated to the above-described well.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

PHIL R. LUCERO, Chairman

EMERY C. ARNOLD, Member

JOE D. RAMEY, Member & Secretary

SEAL

Dockets Nos. 7-78 and 9-78 are tentatively set for hearing on February 22 and March 8, 1977. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: FXMIHER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - FEBRUARY 8. 1978

9 A.M. - OIL CONSTRUATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 6137: Application of Amoco Production Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Nellis Federal Well No. 3 to be located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 8, Township 19 South, Range 33 East, West Tonto-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, the N/2 of said Section 8 to be dedicated to the well.
- Application of Amoco Production Company for a non-stendard proration unit, simultaneous dedication, and an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 480-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the E/2 and NW/4 of Section 24, Township 20 South, Range 36 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be simultaneously dedicated to its Gillully Federal Gas Com Wells Nos. 4, 7 and 15 located in Units B, O, and E, respectively, of Section 24. Applicant also seeks approval for the unorthodox location of said Well No. 15 located 1650 feet from the North line and 990 feet from the West line of said Section 24.
- CASE 6139: Application of Petro-Lewis Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Sandoval County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Boling Federal Well No. 8-22 to be drilled at a point 990 feet from the North line and 2160 feet from the West line of Section 22, Township 19 North, Range 3 West, Southwest Media-Intrada Oil Pool, Sandoval County, New Mexico.
 - Application of Burleson & Huff for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from 3,000 feet below the surface to the base of the Queen formation underlying the SE/4 NW/4 of Section 21, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Langlie-Mattix Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
 - CASE 6141: Application of Paul Slayton for salt water disposal, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Seven Rivers formation thru the open-hole interval from 528 feet to 547 feet in his Hastie Well No. 7 located in Unit L of Section 18, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, Empire Field, Eddy County, New Mexico.
 - Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

 Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Dakota formation underlying the E/2 of Section 29, Township 24 North, Range 3 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
 - CASE 6143: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for compulsory pooling, Ric Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Dakota formation underlying the W/2 of Section 33, Township 24 North, Range 3 West, Ric Arriba County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- CASE 6144: Application of Southland Royalty Company for two unorthodox locations and two non-standard gas proration units, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for two 120-acre non-standard gas proration units comprising the S/2 SE/4 of Section 10 and the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 11, and comprising the SE/4 SW/4 and the S/2 SE/4 of Section 11, all in Township 29 North, Range 11 West, Fulcher Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, each of said units to be dedicated to a well drilled at an unorthodox location thereon.

- Application of Jerome P. McHugh for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

 Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of GavilanPictured Cliffs and Blanco-Mesaverde preduction within the wellbore of his June Well No. 1
 located in Unit B of Section 28, Township 28 North, Range 3 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.
- CASE 6146: Application of Jerome P. McHugh for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

 Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Tapacito-Gallup and Basin-Dakota production within the wellbore of his Jicarilla Well No. 5 located in Unit D of Section 29, Township 26 North, Range 4 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.
- CASE 6147: Application of Dugan Production Corporation for downhole commingling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Undesignated Greenhorn, Bisti-Lower Gallup, and Basin Dakota production within the wellbore of its Big 8 Well No. 1 located in Unit L of Section 8, Township 24 North, Range 9 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- CASE 6148: Application of Coquina Oil Corporation for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the dual completion (conventional) of its Vivian Well No. 1 located in Unit F of Section 30, Township 22 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to produce oil from the Drinkard and Granite Wash formations thru the casing-tubing annulus and the tubing, respectively.
- CASE 6134: (Readvertised)

Application of Burleson & Huff for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface of the ground to the base of the Queen formation underlying the SE/4 NW/4 of Section 22, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to form a 40-acre Langlie Mattix oil proration unit or underlying the N/2 NE/4, NE/4, NW/4, and SE/4 NW/4 of said Section 22 to form a non-standard 160-acre Jalmat gas proration unit. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6096: (Continued from January 18, 1978 Examiner Hearing)

Application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations underlying the S/2 of Section 14, Township 21 South, Range 34 East, Les County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to applicant's South Wilson State Well No. 1 to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6133: (Continued from January 13, 1978 Examiner Hearing)

Application of Doyle Hartman for compulsory pooling and a non-standard proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests underlying the SE/4 of Section 8, Township 19 South, Range 37 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to form a non-standard unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Dookst No. 5-78

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - FEBRUARY 15, 1978

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROCM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stamets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for March, 1978, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy and Chaves Counties, New Mexico.
 - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for March, 1978, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.
 - (3) Consideration of purchaser's nominations for the one year period beginning April 1, 1978, for both of the above areas.

Petro-Lewis Corporation P. O. Box 2250 Denver, Colorado 80201

> RE: Boling Federal #8 Well Sandoval County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

This letter is a written approval of your proposed location for the Boling Federal #8 Well which you wish to drill, 990' FNL and 2160' FWL, Section 22-T19N-R3W, Sandoval County, New Mexico.

Sincerely,

FLUID POWER PUMP

By Jonnie Slaughter
Tomnie Slaughter

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Putudin EXHIBIT NO. 6
CASE NO. 6139

(A LEGE TERMINATED TO THE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

L'he cope

							O. DEADE DESIGNATION	ペ メドル トンといんし かひこ
	GEOL	OGICAL SURV	/EY				MIM	
APPLICATIO	N FOR PERMIT	TO DRILL,	DEE	PEN, OR P	LUG E	BACK	6. IF INDIAN, ALLOTTI	E OR TELLE NAME
1a. TYPE OF WORK	RILL 🕅	DEEPEN		PL	UG BA	CK []	7. UNIT ACREEMENT	NAME
b. TIPE OF WELL	GAS OTHER		;	SINGLE [MULTIF	ш 🗆	8. FARM OR LEASE NA	
2. NAME OF OPERATOR							Boling Fed	eral
Petro-Lewis	Corporation						9. WELL NO.	
3. AUDRESS OF OFERATOR							8~22	• .
	Levelland, T						10. PIELD AND POOL,	OR WILDCAS
4. LOCATION OF WELL (Report location clearly a	nd in accordance wi	th any	State requireme	nts *)		Entrada	
990' FNL & 2160' FWL					AND SURVEY OR A	BLK.		
At proposed prod. 20	ne 54251							
						i	Sec. 22, T	19n, R3W
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	AND DIRECTION FROM NE			_			12. COUNTY OR PARISE	13. STATE
	of Cuba, N.M.,	on Hwy 197,					Sandova1	N.M.
10. DISTANCE FROM PROPOSED® LOCATION TO NEAREST					P ACRES ASSIGNED IS WELL			
(Also to nearest drig. unit line, if any) 990				160		40		
18. DISTANCE FROM PEOPOSED LOCATIONS TO NEAREST WELL, DRILLING, COMPLETED,			19. r	ROPOSED DEITH		20. ROTARY OR CABLE TOOLS		
OR APPLIED FOR, ON THIS LEASE, PT. 6001 54251			Rotary					
21. EIEVATIONS (Show wh	ether DF, RT, GR, etc.)						22. AITROX, DATE WO	BE WILL STARTS
6869' GR				1-23/78				
23.		PROPOSED CASIS	G ANI	CEMENTING	PROGRA	M		
SIZE OF HOLE	SIZE OF CASING	WEIGHT FER FO	OOT RETTING DEPTH QUANTITY OF CEMENT		T,			
14-3/4"	10-3/4"	32.75#		500	•	400 Sx. Class "A"		
8-3/4"	7"	23.00#		5425	•	700	Sx. Class "A"	

- 1. Set 40', 10" conductor pipe and cmt. to surface.
- 2. Drlg. 14-3/4" hole to 500' and set 10-3/4" csg. 32.75#, H-40, STC, and cmt. 100% excess, WOC 18 hrs., pressure test and drill out.
- 100% excess, WOC 18 hrs., pressure test and drill out.

 3. Drlg. 8-3/4" hole to 5425', run GR-CNL-FDC, Dil Log, run 7" csg. 23.00#, K-55, STC, and cmt. 50% excess.

Float shoe and float collar DV tool at 3200' w/2 metal pedal baskets.

1st Stage - 400 sx. cmt., TOC-4100' open DV tool and circ. 4/6 hrs.

2nd Stage - 300 sx. cmt., TOC-2500', WOC-18 hrs.

- 4. Run temperature survey, locate TOC 0-2900'.
- 5. Run CBL-VDL-2000' TD, cmt. bonding log w/GR-collar for perf.
- 6. Prep to complete.

IN ABOVE BYACE DESCRIBE PROPOSED PROGRAM: If proposal is to deepen or plug back, give data on present productive zone and proposed new productive zone. If proposal is to drill or deepen directionally, give pertinent data on subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths. Give blowout preventer program, y any.

PERMIT NO. APPROVAL DATE

APPROVAL DATE

OIL CONSERVATION CONTINUENT TITLE

ONLY CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ALL AN 23 1978 (TITLE ST. Drilling Foreman DATE 1/4/78

TITLE ST. Drilling Foreman DATE 1/4/78

BEFORE EXAMINER MUTTER

OIL CONSERVATION CONTINUENT TITLE

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ALL AN 23 1978 (TITLE DATE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ALL AN 23 1978 (TITLE DATE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ALL AN 23 1978 (TITLE DATE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL OF

CARL A. BARRICK
ACTING DISTRICT ENGINEESee Instructions On Reverse Side

200

JASON W- KELLAHIN ROBERT E. FOX W. THOMAS KELLAHIN KELLAHIN and FOX
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BOO DON GASPAR AVENUE
P. O. BOX 1769
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

January 19, 1978



Mr. Dan Nutter
Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Re: Petro-Lewis

Dear Dan:

Please find enclosed our application on behalf of Petro-Lewis for an unorthodox well location in the Southwest Media-Entrada, Sandoval County, New Mexico.

Please set this for hearing on February 8, 1978.

Very truly yours,

W. Thomas Kellahin

WTK:kfm

CC: Petro-Lewis

Enclosure

BEFORE THE

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PETRO-LEWIS CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, SOUTHWEST MEDIA-ENTRADA, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

APPLICATION

Comes now, Petro-Lewis Corporation, by and through its attorneys, Kellahin & Fox, and applies to the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico for approval of an unorthodox well location in the Southwest Media-Entrada Pool, Sandoval County, New Mexico and in support thereof would show the Commission:

- 1. Applicant is the owner of the right to drill and develop the oil and gas minerals in the area involved in this application.
- 2. Applicant proposes to drill a well to test the Entrada at a location 990 feet from the North line and 2160 feet from the West line of Section 22, Township 19 North, Range 3 West, NMPM, Sandoval County, New Mexico.
- 3. That said well is to be designated the Boling Federal No. 8-22 Well and is to replace the Boling Federal No. 5-22 Well located in the NE/4NW/4 of said Section 22.
- 4. That a well located as proposed will recover oil and gas that would not otherwise be recovered, is in the interest of conservation, and the corrective rights of no offset owner will be impaired.

WHEREFORE applicant prays that this application be set for hearing before the Commission's duly appointed examiner, and that after notice and hearing as required by law the Commission enter its order approving the location as prayed for.

KELLAHIN & FOX

P. O. Box 1769
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attorneys for Applicant, Petro-Lewis Corporation Capplication of Petro Lewis Corp for an celler thoday ail well location, Sandoval Carney, Kentherine.

applicant, in the above- styled land, selko approval for the unorthoday laeation of its Beling Februal weather too. 8.22 to be drieded at a paint 990 feet from the Borth line and 2160 feet from the work line of Section 22, Town-belie 19 Borth, Range 3 West, Santhwest Media - Entrada Bil Pool, Sandoval Camity, Kan Mexico

Called in by Tom Kellahin 10 am 1/18/18 writen appl to forew

 γ

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE I	VO 63	139	
0rder	No. R-	5640	
4			

APPLICATION OF PETRO-LEWIS CORPORATION FOR AN UNORTHODOX WELL LOCATION, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION
BY THE COMMISSION:
This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on February 8 , 19 78 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Daniel S. Nutter</u> .
NOW, on this day of <u>February</u> , 19 78, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,
FINDS:
(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
(2) That the applicant, Petro-Lewis Corporation, seeks
approval of an unorthodox XXXX well location 990 feet from the North
line and 2160 feet from the West line of Section 22, Township
19 North , Range 3 West , NMPM, to test the
19 North, Range 3 West, NMPM, to test the Entral formation, Southwest Media-Entrada Oil Pool,
Sandoval County, New Mexico.
(3) That the $NW/4$ of said Section 22 is to be dedicated to the
well.
(4) That a well at said unorthodox location will better enable
applicant to produce the underlying the proration unit.
(5) That no offset operator objected to the proposed unorthodox
location.

-2-	
Case No.	
Order No.	R

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the in the subject pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

II 18 THEREFORE ONDERED	Sulrada
(1) That an unorthodox well location for the Refro Lewis Corporation Boling Federal 22 to be located a formation is hereby approved for	ell No. 8 at a point 990
fact from the North line and 2160 feet from	Clie Hear
14-2 of Section 22 , Township 19 North	, kange 3 west
NMPM, Southwest Media-Entrada Oil Pool	, <u>Sandoval</u> County,
New Mexico.	. 11 to dedicated to

- (2) That the NW/U of said Section 22 shall be dedicated to the above-described well.
- (3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.