CASE NO.

6488

APPlication,
Transcripts,
Small Exhibits,

ETC.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 6488 Order No. R-5945

APPLICATION OF JOHN H. HENDRIX CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 2, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of the State of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 7th day of March, 1979, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the exhibits of the hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, John H. Hendrix Corporation, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp, Pennsylvanian and Mississippian formations underlying the E/2 of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Austin Mississippian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at a standard location in the E/2 of said Section 8.
- (4) That Harvey E. Yates Company seeks the pooling and dedication of the S/2 of said Section 8 in Case No. 6483.
- (5) That all of said Section 8 can reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas.

-2-Case No. 6488 Order No. R-5945

- (6) That the evidence indicates that two wells will be required to effectively and efficiently drain said Section 8.
- (7) That the evidence indicates that two commercially productive wells can be drilled in the S/2 of said Section 8.
- (8) That the evidence indicates that a well drilled in the N/2 of said Section 3 would in all probability not recover gas in commercial quantities.
- (9) That by dividing said Section 8 into E/2 and W/2 drilling units, each working interest owner will be better afforded the opportunity to develop its acreage in the section.
- (10) That to prevent waste and to better protect correlative rights, said Section 8 should be developed on drilling units comprising the E/2 and the W/2 of the section.
- (11) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit (the E/2 of said Section 8) who have not agreed to pool their interests.
- (12) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.
- (13) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.
- (14) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.
- (15) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 100% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.
- (16) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

-3-Case No. 6488 Order No. R-5945

- (17) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.
- (18) That \$2500.00 per month while drilling and \$150.00 per month while producing should be fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.
- (19) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.
- (20) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before June 15, 1979, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED: .

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Wolfcamp, Pennsylvanian and Mississippian formations underlying the E/2 of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Austin-Mississippian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 320-acre gas spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15th day of June, 1979, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Mississippian formation;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15th day of June, 1979, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever, unless said operator obtains a time extension from the Division for good cause shown.

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Division Director and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

- (2) That John H. Hendrix Corporation is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.
- (3) That after the effective date of this order and within 30 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.
- (4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in litu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.
- (5) That the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Division and the Division has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Division will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.
- (6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated

-5-Case No. 6488 Order No. R-5945

well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

- (7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:
 - (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
 - (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 100% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.
- (9) That \$2500.00 per month while drilling and \$150.00 per month while producing is hereby fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.
- (10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.
- (11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working

-6-Case No. 6488 Order No. R-5945

interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

- (12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall immediately be placed in escrow in Lea County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Division of the name and address of said escrow agent within 30 days from the date of first deposit with said escrow agent.
- (13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-above designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member

EMERY C. ARNOLD, Member

JOE D. RAMEY, Member & Secretary

SEAL

dr/

A. T. CARLETON

Geologist

POST OFFICE BOX 293 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

March 1, 1979

Casa N. 6488 do. 8
Sobribad & Hendrig
Floring Do. 3/2/79

OHIO

AUSTIN AREA, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

The Austin Area appears to be on an anticlinal feature north of and on trend with the Caudill Anticline to the south. Production possibilities are primarily related to closure, although some are highly dependent on stratigraphic conditions. The main prospects are San Andres, Wolfcamp, Permo-Pennsylvanian Lime, upper Pennsylvanian Lime, Strawn Lime oil and Atoka, Morrow, Chester Lime and the Siluro-Devonian oil and/or gas. The Chester Lime and the Siluro-Devonian are the prime prospects here.

The Phillips #1 Austin (M-17-14-36) is the highest well on this feature to have penetrated the Silure Devenian. It found the top 140 feet of that section tight, but found good reservoir rock filled with formation water below that point. It was completed from 42 feet of microlog porosity in the Chester Lime for an IP COF 4,925 MCFGPD. It had made 4,023,145 MCFG + 57516 BD to 1-1-78 and was producing at an average rate of 15,000 MCFG + 122 BD per month at that time. Cherry Brothers #1 Austin-State (E-19-14-36) was an attempt to extend this production 3/4 mile to the southwest. This well was 47 feet low on the Siluro-Devonian and found the top 75 feet of that section mainly tight (100' brackish water). On a test of the Chester Lime pay, the packers failed and only the water blanket and 890' Sli GCM were recovered. Final Shut in Pressure was 1448 #/45". This well was completed from a thin (10') Wolfcamp Lime zone (now abandoned) for an IPF 250 BOPD. In April 1978, Adobe #1 State "16" (M-16-14-36) was completed from 33 feet of log porosity in the Chester Lime for an IP COF 2,740 MCFGPD. Since that time, the pay section was re-acidized and reportedly was making gas at the rate of 14,000 MCFGPD. It is understood that Adobe has calculated recoverable reserves of 7 BCFG (based on 320 acre spacing) on their well. The Adobe #1 Hannah (H-17-14-36) has been drilled to 13825 feet in the Lower Mississippian Lime. It found 36 feet of log porosity in the upper 100 feet of the Chester Lime. As of this writing, the operators were preparing to production test the Lower Mississippian Lime and perhaps a zone in the lower Chester Lime section. There are several other wells staked, but none are at the stage where they could aid in evaluating this area. The Zapata #1 Danglade (H-3-14-36) appears to have a very porous Chester section (80' approximately, but no detail log) which had no shows and was not tested. The Texas Crude and Sinclair # 1 Richardson (M-5-14-36) had 43 feet of sonic log porosity in four zones in the Chester Lime. The top 8 feet of porosity was included in a perforated interval which gave up gas at rates up to 2,100 MCFGPD on initial production tests. After repeated treating and testing, the volume of gas declined and apparently the volume of water increased. Lower and better developed porosity was not tested and is assumed to be water bearing. This well is thought to be very near the gas/water contact (-9375 +/-).

It appears that a band of porosity in the Chester Lime runs in a northeast-southwest direction across the generally north-south aligned Austin Anticline. The south half of section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E appears very well located on the structure, but the north half of that section appears to be dipping off on the north plunging axis of the Austin Anticline. With the exception of the Zapata #1 Danglade (which had additional section in the top of the Chester Lime), the main

Austin Chester Lime porosity occurs within the top 100 feet of that unit. Thus, although all of section 8 is shown to be above the estimated gas water contact of (-) 9375 feet, the entire Chester Lime porosity appears to be above this datum only in the south half of the section.

From the above analysis, it appears obvious that the south half of section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E is better located than the north half of that section. There is a very real possibility that the north half is so low as to be uneconomical to drill. Thus, if North Half and South Half Proration Units are approved, there may be only one well drilled in section 8--and that in the South Half. If Prora-Units are designated as East Half and West Half, there could be two wells drilled which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the Chester Lime Austin Pay Porosity above the estimated water table. This would result in more gas being recovered. It could also mean that the State of New Mexico would have all of its approximately 320 acres in this section committed to wells and potentially revenue earning. With only one well drilled in the south half of the section, the State of New Mexico would have only 160 acres committed to production.

OFFICE PHONE 484 4631 RESIDENCE PHONE 444-411



JOHN H. HENDRIX CORPORATION

OIL PRODUCERS

525 MIDLAND TOWER

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701
Submide to Hendry X
Hearing Day 3-2-7-9

CAL-MON STATE #1
Location: 1980' FSL & 660' FEL Section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E

Lea County, New Maxico	Commission of Hall	To Conduc Dates	Antuni
Location and Roads	Completed Well	To Casing Point	Actual
	12,500	12,500	
Damages	2,500	2,500	
Footage Contract 10,500 ft 0 \$16.50	173,250	<u>173,250</u>	
Day Work 35 days @ 4100/Day Cement and Cementing	143,500	143,500	
	25,000	10,000	
Float Equipment, etc. Mud and Chemicals	6,000	1,000	
Log and Testing	35,000	35,000	
Core and Core Analysis	40,000	40.000	
Water	4 000	4 000	
Trucking	4,000	4,000	
Perforating	4,000	2,000	
Treating	5,000		
Completion Unit	50,000		·
Labor	6,000		
Bits & Rental Equipment	5,000 20,000	15,000	
Overhead and Supervision	10,000	3,000	
Contingencies	20,000	10,000	
Total Intangibles	*561,750	451,750	
iotal intangrotes	301,730	431,730	
Surface Casing 400 ft 0 \$17.04	6,816	6,816	
Intermediate Casing 4700 ft @ \$9.77	45,919	45,919	
2nd Intermediate Casing			
Well Head	20,000	10,000	
0il String 13,900 ft 0 \$6.33	87,987	103000	
Tubing 13,900 ft @ \$3.02	41,978		
Rods 13,500 1 45.02			
Pumping Unit and Base		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Prime Mover			
Misc. Connections	5,000	1,000	
Total Well Tangibles	*207,700	63,735	
	is.	**************************************	
Tanks	10,000		
Treater-Separator	8,500	-	
Connections, Line Pipe, etc.	4,000		
Total Tank Battery	*22,500		
Total Cost	*791,950	515,485	
Your working interest share of the at	ove estimate is \$	no overeited con: 1	a this off:
Please accept and approve in the space provided	pelow and return o	ne executed copy t	o this offi
	JOHN H.	HENDRIX CORPORATIO	N
WORKING INTEREST OWNER			
	·		
Ву	•		*
			•
APPROVED AND ACCEPTED this Day of 19	•		

January 31, 1979

Submitted by Hendrix
Hearing Date 3-2-79

BEFORE THE OIL COMMENTAL STOLERON

Santa Per Pergins

Mr. George M. Yates c/o H. E. Yates Company P. O. Box 1933 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

> Re: Austin-Missippian Field T-14-S, R-33-E Lea County, New Mexico

Dear George:

We have not heard from you since your meeting with Robert L. Monaghan and A. T. Carleton in Midland on Tuesday, January 23, 1979, regarding the problems raised with regard to the drilling of a test well in the subject area; therefore we have decided to proceed with the drilling of a well at a location in the SE/4 of Section 8.

We are therefore asking that you join us in the proration unit consisting of the E/2 of Section 8 as was approved by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on January 29, 1979.

As a joint interest owner with Cal-Mon Oil Company in this lease, we will act as Operator if this is satisfactory to you and will be more than happy to furnish you with our AFE and Operating Agreement for your approval.

Yours very truly,

JOHN H. HENDRIX CORPORATION

John H. Hendrix, President

JHH/wh

cc: Cal-Mon Oil Company

17

OIL CO.

Co. 6/88 10

Nucleus 3/2/79

- A.A.P.L.-FORM-610

MODEL FORM OPERATING AGREEMENT-1956

Non-Federal Lands

OPERATING AGREEMENT

DATED

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF PETROLEUM LANDMEN
APPROVED FORM.

A.A.P.L. NO. 610
MAY BE ORDERED DIRECTLY FROM THE PUBLISHER
KRAFTBILT PRODUCTS, BOX 800, TULSA 74101

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Paragrapi Number	·	oge .
1.	Definitions	1
2.	Title Examination, Loss of Leases and Oil and Gas Interests	1
3.	Unleased Oil and Gas Interests	2
4.	Interests of Parties	2
5.	Operator of Unit	3
6.	Employees	3
7.	Test Well	3
8.	Costs and Expenses	3
9.	Operator's Lien	4
10.	Term of Agreement	4
11.	Limitation on Expenditures	4
12.	Operations by Less Than All Parties	5
13.	Right to Take Production in Kind	6
14.	Access to Unit Area	7
15.	Drilling Contracts	7
16.	Abandonment of Wells	7
17.	Delay Rentals and Shut-in Well Payments	8
18.	Preferential Right to Purchase	8
19.	Selection of New Operator	8
20.	Maintenance of Unit Ownership	9
21.	Resignation of Operator	9
22.	Liability of Parties	9 .
23.	Renewal or Extension of Leases	9
24.	Surrender of Leases	10
2 5.	Acreage or Cash Contributions	10
26.	Provision Concerning Taxation	10
27.	Insurance	
28.	Claims and Lawsuits	11
20,	Force Majeure	11
30.	Notices	11
	au a ve	

OPERATING AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT,	entered into this	26th day of	January	, 19 <u>79</u> _, be	etwe e r
John H. Hendrix			<u> </u>		

hereafter designated as "Operator", and the signatory parties other than Operator.

WITNESSETH, THAT:

WHEREAS, the parties to this agreement are owners of oil and gas leases covering and, if so indicated, unleased mineral interests in the tracts of land described in Exhibit "A", and all parties have reached an agreement to explore and develop these leases and interests for oil and gas to the extent and as hereinafter provided;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed as follows:

1. DEFINITIONS

As used in this agreement, the following words and terms shall have the meanings here ascribed to them.

- (1) The words "party" and "parties" shall always mean a party, or parties, to this agreement.
- (2) The parties to this agreement shall always be referred to as "it" or "they", whether the parties be corporate bodies, partnerships, associations, or persons real.
- (3) The term "oil and gas" shall include oil, gas, casinghead gas, gas condensate, and all other liquid or gaseous hydrocarbons, unless an intent to limit the inclusiveness of this term is specifically stated.
- (4) The term "oil and gas interests" shall mean unleased fee and mineral interests in tracts of land lying within the Unit Area which are owned by parties to this agreement.
- (5) The term "Unit Area" shall refer to and include all of the lands, oil and gas leasehold interests and oil and gas interests intended to be developed and operated for oil and gas purposes under this agreement. Such lands, oil and gas leasehold interests and oil and gas interests are described in Exhibit "A".
- (6) The term "drilling unit" shall mean the area fixed for the drilling of one well by order or rule of any state or federal body having authority. If a drilling unit is not fixed by any such rule or order, a drilling unit shall be the drilling unit as established by the pattern of drilling in the Unit Area or as fixed by express agreement of the parties.
- (7) All exhibits attached to this agreement are made a part of the contract as fully as though copied in full in the contract.
- (8) The words "equipment" and "materials" as used here are synonymous and shall mean and include all oil field supplies and personal property acquired for use in the Unit Area.

2. TITLE EXAMINATION, LOSS OF LEASES AND OIL AND GAS INTERESTS

A. Title Examination:

There shall be no examination of title to leases, or to oil and gas interests, except that title to the lease covering the land upon which the exploratory well is to be drilled in accordance with Section 7, shall be examined on a complete abstract record by Operator's attorney, and the title to both the oil and gas lease and to the fee title of the lessors must be approved by the examining attorney, and accepted by all parties. A copy of the examining attorney's opinion shall be sent to each party immediately after the opinion is written, and, also, each party shall be given, as they are written, a copy of all subsequent supplemental attorney's reports. A good faith effort to satisfy the examining attorney's requirements shall be made by the party owning the lease covering the drillsite.

If title to the proposed drillsite is not approved by the examining attorney or the lease is not acceptable for a material reason, and all the parties do not accept the title, the parties shall select a new drillsite for the first exploratory well; provided, if the parties are unable to agree upon another drillsite, this agreement shall, in that case, come to an end and all parties shall then forfeit their rights and be relieved of obligations hereunder. If a new drillsite is selected, title to the oil and gas lease covering it and to the fee title of the lessor shall be examined, and title shall be approved or accepted or rejected in like manner as provided above concerning the drillsite first selected. If title to the oil and gas lease covering the second choice drillsite is not approved or accepted, other drillsites shall be successively selected and title examined, until a drillsite is chosen

to which title is approved or accepted, or until the parties fail to select another drillsite. As in the case of the drillsite first selected, so also with successive choices if the time comes that the parties have not approved title and are unable to agree upon an alternate drillsite, the contract shall, in that case and at that time, come to an end and all parties shall forfeit their rights and be relieved of obligations under this contract.

No well other than the first test shall be drilled in the Unit Area until after (1) the title to the lease covering the lands upon which such well is to be located has been examined by Operator's attorney, and (2) the title has been approved by the examining attorney and the title has been accepted by all of the parties who are to participate in the drilling of the well.

B. Failure of Title:

Should any oil and gas lease, or interest therein, be lost through failure of title, this agreement shall, nevertheless, continue in force as to all remaining leases and interests, and

- (1) The party whose lease or interest is affected by the title failure shall bear alone the entire loss and it shall not be entitled to recover from Operator or the other parties any development or operating costs which it may have theretofore paid, but there shall be no monetary liability on its part to the other parties hereto by reason of such title failure; and
- (2) There shall be no retroactive adjustment of expenses incurred or revenues received from the operation of the interest which has been lost, but the interests of the parties shall be revised on an acreage basis, as of the time it is determined finally that title failure has occurred, so that the interest of the party whose lease or interest is affected by the title failure will thereafter be reduced in the Unit Area by the amount of the interest lost; and
- (3) If the proportionate interests of the other parties hereto in any producing well theretofore drilled on the Unit Area is increased by reason of the title failure, the party whose title has failed shall receive the proceeds attributable to the increase in such interests (less operating costs attributable thereto) until it has been reimbursed for unrecovered costs paid by it in connection with such well; and
- (4) Should any person not a party to this agreement, who is determined to be the owner of any interest in the title which has failed, pay in any manner any part of the cost of operation, development, or equipment, or equipment previously paid under this agreement, such amount shall be proportionately paid to the party or parties hereto who in the first instance paid the costs which are so refunded; and
- (5) Any liability to account to a third party for prior production of oil and gas which arises by reason of title failure shall be borne by the party or parties whose title failed in the same proportions in which they shared in such prior production.

C. Loss of Leases for Causes Other Than Title Failure:

If any lease or interest subject to this agreement be lost through failure to develop or because express or implied covenants have not been performed, or if any lease be permitted to expire at the end of its primary term and not be renewed or extended, or if any lease or interest therein is lost due to the fact that the production therefrom is shut in by reason of lack of market, the loss shall not be considered a failure of title and all such losses shall be joint losses and shall be borne by all parties in proportion to their interests and there shall be no readjustment of interests in the Unit Area.

3. UNLEASED OIL AND GAS INTERESTS

Heavy party owns an unleased oil and gas interest in the Unit Area, that interest shall be treated for the purpose of this agreement as if it were a leased interest under the form of oil and gas lease attached as "Exhibit "B" and for the primary term therein stated. As to such interests, the owner shall receive royalty on production as prescribed in the form of oil and gas lease attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Such party shall, however, be subject to all of the provisions of this agreement relating to lessees, to the extent that it owns the lessee interest.

4. INTERESTS OF PARTIES

Exhibit "A" lists all of the parties, and their respective percentage or fractional interests under this agreement. Unless changed by other provisions, all costs and liabilities incurred in operations under this contract shall be borne and paid, and all equipment and material acquired in operations on the Unit Area shall be owned, by the parties as their interests are given in Exhibit "A". All production of oil and gas from the Unit Area, subject to the payment of lessor's royalties, shall also be owned by the parties in the same manner.

If the interest of any party in any oil and gas lease covered by this agreement is subject to an overriding royalty, production payment, or other charge over and above the usual one-eigthh (%) royalty, such party shall assume and alone bear all such excess obligations and shall account for them to the owners thereof out of its share of the working interest production of the Unit Area.

5. OPERATOR OF UNIT

dohn H. Hendrix Corporation shall be the Operator of the Unit Area, and shall conduct and direct and have full control of all operations on the Unit Area as permitted and required by, and within the limits of, this agreement. It shall conduct all such operations in a good and workmanlike manner, but it shall have no liability as Operator to the other parties for losses sustained, or liabilities incurred, except such as may result from gross negligence or from breach of the provisions of this agreement.

6. EMPLOYEES

The number of employees and their selection, and the hours of labor and the compensation for services performed, shall be determined by Operator. All employees shall be the employees of Operator.

7. TEST WELL

On or before the 29th day of April , 19 79, Operator shall commence the drilling of a well for oil and gas in the following location: 1980 feet from the south line and 660 feet from the east line of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M. Lea County, New Mexico.

and shall thereafter continue the drilling of the well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Mississippian formation or to a depth of 13,900 whichever is the shallower.

unless granite or other practically impenetrable substance is encountered at a lesser depth or unless all parties agree to complete the well at a lesser depth.

Operator shall make reasonable tests of all formations encountered during drilling which give indication of containing oil and gas in quantities sufficient to test, unless this agreement shall be limited in its application to a specific formation or formations, in which event Operator shall be required to test only the formation or formations to which this agreement may apply.

If in Operator's judgment the well will not produce oil or gas in paying quantities, and it wishes to plug and abandon the test as a dry hole, it shall first secure the consent of all parties to the plugging, and the well shall then be plugged and abandoned as promptly as possible.

8. COSTS AND EXPENSES

Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, Operator shall promptly pay and discharge all costs and expenses incurred in the development and operation of the Unit Area pursuant to this agreement and shall charge each of the parties hereto with their respective proportionate shares upon the cost and expense basis provided in the Accounting Procedure attached hereto and marked Exhibit "C". If any provision of Exhibit "C" should be inconsistent with any provision contained in the body of this agreement, the provisions in the body of this agreement shall prevail.

Operator, at its election, shall have the right from time to time to demand and receive from the other parties payment in advance of their respective shares of the estimated amount of the costs to be incurred in operations hereunder during the next succeeding month, which right may be exercised only by submission to each such party of an itemized statement of such estimated costs, together with an invoice for its share thereof. Each such statement and invoice for the payment in advance of estimated costs shall be submitted on or before the 20th day of the next preceding month. Each party shall pay to Operator its proportionate share of such estimate within fifteen (15) days after such estimate and invoice is received. If any party fails to pay its share of said estimate within said time, the amount due shall bear interest at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum until paid. Proper adjustment shall be made monthly between advances and actual cost, to the end that each party shall bear and pay its proportionate share of actual costs incurred, and no more.

9. OPERATOR'S LIEN

Operator is given a first and preferred lien on the interest of each party covered by this contract, and in each party's interest in oil and gas produced and the proceeds thereof, and upon each party's interest in material and equipment, to secure the payment of all sums due from each such party to Operator.

In the event any party fails to pay any amount owing by it to Operator as its share of such costs and expense or such advance estimate within the time limited for payment thereof, Operator, without prejudice to other existing remedies, is authorized, at its election, to collect from the purchaser or purchasers of oil or gas, the proceeds accruing to the working interest or interests in the Unit Area of the delinquent party up to the amount owing by such party, and each purchaser of oil or gas is authorized to rely upon Operator's statement as to the amount owing by such party.

In the event of the neglect or failure of any non-operating party to promptly pay its proportionate part of the cost and expense of development and operation when due, the other non-operating parties and Operator, within thirty (30) days after the rendition of statements therefor by Operator, shall proportionately contribute to the payment of such delinquent indebtedness and the non-operating parties so contributing shall be entitled to the same lien rights as are granted to Operator in this section. Upon the payment by such delinquent or defaulting party to Operator of any amount or amounts on such delinquent indebtedness, or upon any recovery on behalf of the non-operating parties under the lien conferred above, the amount or amounts so paid or recovered shall be distributed and paid by Operator to the other non-operating parties and Operator proportionately in accordance with the contributions theretofore made by them.

10. TERM OF AGREEMENT

This agreement shall remain in full force and effect for as long as any of the oil and gas leases subjected to this agreement remain or are continued in force as to any part of the Unit Area, whether by production, extension, renewal or otherwise; provided, however, that in the event the first well drilled hereunder results in a dry hole and no other well is producing oil or gas in paying quantities from the Unit Area, then at the end of ninety (90) days after abandonment of the first test well, this agreement shall terminate unless one or more of the parties are then engaged in drilling a well or wells pursuant to Section 12 hereof, or all parties have agreed to drill an additional well or wells under this agreement, in which event this agreement shall continue in force until such well or wells shall have been drilled and completed. If production results therefrom this agreement shall continue in force thereafter as if said first test well had been productive in paying quantities, but if production in paying quantities does not result therefrom this agreement shall terminate at the end of ninety (90) days after abandonment of such well or wells. It is agreed, however, that the termination of this agreement shall not relieve any party hereto from any liability which has accrued or attached prior to the date of such termination.

11. LIMITATION ON EXPENDITURES

Without the consent of all parties: (a) No well shall be drilled on the Unit Area except any well expressly provided for in this agreement and except any well drilled pursuant to the provisions of Section 12 of this agreement, it being understood that the consent to the drilling of a well shall include consent to all necessary expenditures in the drilling, testing, completing, and equipping of the well, including necessary tankage; (b) No well shall be reworked, plugged back or deepened except a well reworked, plugged back or deepened pursuant to the provisions of Section 12 of this agreement, it being understood that the consent to the reworking, plugging back or deepening of a well shall include consent to all necessary expenditures in conducting such operations and completing and equipping of said well to produce, including necessary tankage; (c) Operator shall not undertake any single project reasonably estimated to require an expenditure in Dollars (\$ 10,000.00) excess of Ten Thousand except in connection with a well the drilling, reworking, deepening, or plugging back of which has been previously authorized by or pursuant to this agreement; provided, however, that in case of explosion, fire, flood, or other sudden emergency, whether of the same or different nature, Operator may take such steps and incur such expenses as in its opinion are required to deal with the emergency and to safeguard life and property, but Operator shall, as promptly as possible, report the emergency to the other parties. Operator shall, upon request, furnish copies of its "Authority for Expenditures" for any single project costing in excess of \$ 10,000.00

12. OPERATIONS BY LESS THAN ALL PARTIES

If all the parties cannot mutually agree upon the drilling of any well on the Unit Area other than the test well provided for in Section 7, or upon the reworking, deepening or plugging back of a dry hole drilled at the joint expense of all parties or a well jointly owned by all the parties and not then producing in paying quantities on the Unit Area, any party or parties wishing to drill, rework, deepen or plug back such a well may give the other parties written notice of the proposed operation, specifying the work to be performed, the location, proposed depth, objective formation and the estimated cost of the operation. The parties receiving such a notice shall have thirty (30) days (except as to reworking, plugging back or drilling deeper, where a drilling rig is on location, the period shall be limited to forty-eight (48) hours exclusive of Saturday or Sunday) after receipt of the notice within which to notify the parties wishing to do the work whether they elect to participate in the cost of the proposed operation. Failure of a party receiving such a notice to so reply to it within the period above fixed shall constitute an election by that party not to participate in the cost of the proposed operation.

If any party receiving such a notice elects not to participate in the proposed operation (such party or parties being hereafter referred to as "Non-Consenting Party"), then in order to be entitled to the benefits of this section, the party or parties giving the notice and such other parties as shall elect to participate in the operation (all such parties being hereafter referred to as the "Consenting Parties") shall, within thirty (30) days after the expiration of the notice period of thirty (30) days (or as promptly as possible after the expiration of the 48-hour period where the drilling rig is on location, as the case may be) actually commence work on the proposed operation and complete it with due diligence.

The entire cost and risk of conducting such operations shall be borne by the Consenting Parties in the proportions that their respective interests as shown in Exhibit "A" bear to the total interests of all Consenting Parties. Consenting Parties shall keep the leasehold estates involved in such operations free and clear of all liens and encumbrances of every kind created by or arising from the operations of the Consenting Parties. If such an operation results in a dry hole, the Consenting Parties shall plug and abandon the well at their sole cost, risk and expense. If any well drilled, reworked, deepened or plugged back under the provisions of this section results in a producer of oil and/or gas in paying quantities, the Consenting Parties shall complete and equip the well to produce at their sole cost and risk, and the well shall then be turned over to Operator and shall be operated by it at the expense and for the account of the Consenting Parties. Upon commencement of operations for the drilling, reworking, deepening or plugging back of any such well by Consenting Parties in accordance with the provisions of this section, each Non-Consenting Party shall be deemed to have relinquished to Consenting Parties, and the Consenting Parties shall own and be entitled to receive, in proportion to their respective interests, all of such Non-Consenting Party's interest in the well, its leasehold operating rights, and share of production therefrom until the proceeds or market value thereof (after deducting production taxes, royalty, overriding royalty and other interests payable out of or measured by the production from such well accruing with respect to such interest until it reverts) shall equal the total of the following:

- (A) 100% of each such Non-Consenting Party's share of the cost of any newly acquired surface equipment beyond the wellhead connections (including, but not limited to, stock tanks, separators, treaters, pumping equipment and piping), plus 100% of each such Non-Consenting Party's share of the cost of operation of the well commencing with first production and continuing until each such Non-Consenting Party's relinquished interest shall revert to it under other provisions of this section, it being agreed that each Non-Consenting Party's share of such costs and equipment will be that interest which would have been chargeable to each Non-Consenting Party had it participated in the well from the beginning of the operation; and
- (B) 200% of that portion of the costs and expenses of drilling, reworking, deepening or plugging back, testing and completing, after deducting any cash contributions received under Section 25, and 200% of that portion of the cost of newly acquired equipment in the well (to and including the wellhead connections), which would have been chargeable to such Non-Consenting Party if it had participated therein.

In the case of any reworking, plugging back or deeper drilling operation, the Consenting Parties shall be permitted to use, free of cost, all casing, tubing and other equipment in the well, but the ownership of all such equipment shall remain unchanged; and upon abandonment of a well after such reworking, plugging back or deeper drilling, the Consenting Parties shall account for all such equipment to the owners thereof, with each party receiving its proportionate part in kind or in value.

Within sixty (60) days after the completion of any operation under this section, the party conducting the operations for the Consenting Parties shall furnish each Non-Consenting Party with an inventory of the equipment in and connected to the well, and an itemized statement of the cost of drilling, deepening, plugging back, testing, completing, and equipping the well for production; or, at its option, the operating party, in lieu of an itemized statement of such costs of operation, may submit a detailed statement of monthly billings. Each month thereafter, during the time the Consenting Parties are being reimbursed as provided above, the Consenting Parties shall furnish the Non-Consenting Parties with an itemized statement of all costs and liabilities incurred in the operation of the well, together with a statement of the quantity of oil and gas produced from it and the amount of proceeds realized from the sale of the well's working interest production during the preceding month. Any amount realized from the sale or other disposition of equipment newly acquired in connection with any such operation which would have been owned by a Non-Consenting Party had it participated therein shall be credited against the total unreturned costs of the work done and of the equipment purchased, in determining when the interest of such Non-Consenting Party shall revert to it as above provided; if there is a credit balance it shall be paid to such Non-Consenting Party.

If and when the Consenting Parties recover from a Non-Consenting Party's relinquished interest the amounts provided for above, the relinquished interests of such Non-Consenting Party shall automatically revert to it and from and after such reversion such Non-Consenting Party shall own the same interest in such well, the operating rights and working interest therein, the material and equipment in or pertaining thereto, and the production therefrom as such Non-Consenting Party would have owned had it participated in the drilling, reworking, deepening or plugging back of said well. Thereafter, such Non-Consenting Party shall be charged with and shall pay its proportionate part of the further costs of the operation of said well in accordance with the terms of this agreement and the accounting procedure schedule, Exhibit "C", attached hereto.

Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section 12, it is agreed that without the mutual consent of all parties, no wells shall be completed in or produced from a source of supply from which a well located elsewhere on the Unit Area is producing, unless such well conforms to the then-existing well spacing pattern for such source of supply.

The provisions of this section shall have no application whatsoever to the drilling of the initial test well on the Unit Area, but shall apply to the reworking, deepening, or plugging back of the initial test well after it has been drilled to the depth specified in Section 7, if it is, or thereafter shall prove to be, a dry hole or non-commercial well, and to all other wells drilled, reworked, deepened, or plugged back, or proposed to be drilled, reworked, deepened, or plugged back, upon the Unit Area subsequent to the drilling of the initial test well.

13. RIGHT TO TAKE PRODUCTION IN KIND

Each party shall take in kind or separately dispose of its proportionate share of all oil and gas produced from the Unit Area, exclusive of production which may be used in development and producing operations and in preparing and treating oil for marketing purposes and production unavoidably lost. Each party shall pay or deliver, or cause to be paid or delivered, all royalties, overriding royalties, or other payments due on its share of such production, and shall hold the other parties free from any liability therefor. Any extra expenditure incurred in the taking in kind or separate disposition by any party of its proportionate share of the production shall be borne by such party.

Each party shall execute all division orders and contracts of sale pertaining to its interest in production from the Unit Area, and shall be entitled to receive payment direct from the purchaser or purchasers thereof for its share of all production.

In the event any party shall fail to make the arrangements necessary to take in kind or separately dispose of its proportionate share of the oil and gas produced from the Unit Area, Operator shall have the right, subject to revocation at will by the party owning it, but not the obligation, to purchase uch oil and gas or sell it to others for the time being, at not less than the market price prevailing in the area, which shall in no event be less than the price which Operator receives for its portion of the oil and gas produced from the Unit Area. Any such purchase or sale by Operator shall be subject always to the right of the owner of the production to exercise at any time its right to take in kind, or separately dispose of, its share of all oil and gas not previously delivered to a purchaser. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Operator shall not make a sale into interstate commerce of any other party's share of gas production without first giving such other party sixty (60) days notice of such intended sale,

14. ACCESS TO UNIT AREA

Each party shall have access to the Unit Area at all reasonable times, at its sole risk, to inspect or observe operations, and shall have access at reasonable times to information pertaining to the development or operation thereof, including Operator's books and records relating thereto. Operator shall, upon request, furnish each of the other parties with copies of all drilling reports, well logs, tank tables, daily gauge and run tickets and reports of stock on hand at the first of each month, and shall make available samples of any cores or cuttings taken from any well drilled on the Unit Area.

15. DRILLING CONTRACTS

All wells drilled on the Unit Area shall be drilled on a competitive contract basis at the usual rates prevailing in the area. Operator, if it so desires, may employ its own tools and equipment in the drilling of wells, but its charges therefor shall not exceed the prevailing rates in the field, and the rate of such charges shall be agreed upon by the parties in writing before drilling operations are commenced, and such work shall be performed by Operator under the same terms and conditions as shall be customary and usual in the field in contracts of independent contractors who are doing work of a similar nature.

16. ABANDONMENT OF WELLS

No well, other than any well which has been drilled or reworked pursuant to Section 12 hereof for which the Consenting Parties have not been fully reimbursed as therein provided, which has been completed as a producer shall be plugged and abandoned without the consent of all parties; provided, however, if all parties do not agree to the abandonment of any well, those wishing to continue its operation shall tender to each of the other parties its proportionate share of the value of the well's salvable material and equipment, determined in accordance with the provisions of Exhibit "C", less the estimated cost of salvaging and the estimated cost of plugging and abandoning. Each abandoning party shall then assign to the non-abandoning parties, without warranty, express or implied, as to title or as to quantity, quality, or fitness for use of the equipment and material, all of its interest in the well and its equipment, together with its interest in the leasehold estate as to, but only as to, the interval or intervals of the formation or formations then open to production. The assignments so limited shall encompass the "drilling unit" upon which the well is located. The payments by, and the assignments to, the assignees shall be in a ratio based upon the relationship of their respective percentages of participation in the Unit Area of all assignees. There shall be no readjustment of interest in the remaining portion of the Unit Area.

After the assignment, the assignors shall have no further responsibility, liability, or interest in the operation of or production from the well in the interval or intervals then open. Upon request of the assignees, Operator shall continue to operate the assigned well for the account of the non-abandoning parties at the rates and charges contemplated by this agreement, plus any additional cost and charges which may arise as the result of the separate ownership of the assigned well.

17. DELAY RENTALS AND SHUT-IN WELL PAYMENTS

Delay rentals and shut-in well payments which may be required under the terms of any lease shall be paid by the party who has subjected such lease to this agreement, at its own expense. Proof of each payment shall be given to Operator at least ten (10) days prior to the rental or shut-in well payment date. Operator shall furnish similar proof to all other parties concerning payments it makes in connection with its leases. Any party may request, and shall be entitled to receive, proper evidence of all such payments. If, through mistake or oversight, any delay rental or shut-in well payment is not paid or is erroneously paid, and as a result a lease or interest therein terminates, there shall be no monetary liability against the party who failed to make such payment. Unless the party who failed to pay a rental or shut-in well payment secures a new lease covering the same interest within ninety (90) days from the discovery of the failure to make proper payment, the interests of the parties shall be revised on an acreage basis effective as of the date of termination of the lease involved, and the party who failed to make proper payment will no longer be credited with an interest in the Unit Area on account of the ownership of the lease which has terminated. In the event the party who failed to pay the rental or the shut-in well payment shall not have been fully reimbursed, at the time of the loss, from the proceeds of the sale of oil and gas attributable to the lost interest, calculated on an acreage basis, for the development and operating costs theretofore paid on account of such interest, it shall be reimbursed for unrecovered actual costs theretofore paid by it (but not for its share of the cost of any dry hole previously drilled or wells previously abandoned) from so much of the following as is necessary to effect reimbursement:

- (1) Proceeds of oil and gas, less operating expenses, theretofore accrued to the credit of the lost interest, on an acreage basis, up to the amount of unrecovered costs;
- (2) proceeds, less operating expenses thereafter incurred attributable to the lost interest on an acreage basis, of that portion of oil and gas thereafter produced and marketed (excluding production from any wells thereafter drilled) which would, in the absence of such lease termination, be attributable to the lost interest on an acreage basis, up to the amount of unrecovered costs, the proceeds of said portion of the oil and gas to be contributed by the other parties in proportion to their respective interests; and
- (3) any moneys, up to the amount of unrecovered costs, that may be paid by any party who is, or becomes, the owner of the interest lost, for the privilege of participating in the Unit Area or becoming a party to this contract.

Operator shall attempt to notify all parties when a gas well is shut-in or returned to production, but assumes no liability whatsoever for failure to do so.

18. PREFERENTIAL RIGHT TO PURCHASE

Should any party desire to sell all or any part of its interests under this contract, or its rights and interests in the Unit Area, it shall promptly give written notice to the other parties, with full information concerning its proposed sale, which shall include the name and address of the prospective purchaser (who must be ready, willing and able to purchase), the purchase price, and all other terms of the offer. The other parties shall then have an optional prior right, for a period of ten (10) days after receipt of the notice, to purchase on the same terms and conditions the interest which the other party proposes to sell; and, if this optional right is exercised, the purchasing parties shall share the purchased interest in the proportions that the interest of each bears to the total interest of all purchasing parties. However, there shall be no preferential right to purchase in those cases where any party wishes to mortgage its interests, or to dispose of its interests by merger, reorganization, consolidation, or sale of all of its assets, or a sale or transfer of its interests to a subsidiary or parent company, or subsidiary of a parent company, or to any company in which any one party owns a majority of the stock.

19. SELECTION OF NEW OPERATOR

Should a sale be made by Operator of its rights and interests, the other parties shall have the right within sixty (60) days after the date of such sale, by majority vote in interest, to select a new Operator. If a new Operator is not so selected, the transferee of the present Operator shall assume the duties of and act as Operator. In either case, the retiring Operator shall continue to serve as Operator, and discharge its duties in that capacity under this agreement, until its successor Operator is selected and begins to function, but the present Operator shall not be obligated to continue the performance of its duties for more than 120 days after the sale of its rights and interests has been completed.

20. MAINTENANCE OF UNIT OWNERSHIP

For the purpose of maintaining uniformity of ownership in the oil and gas leasehold interests covered by this contract, and notwithstanding any other provisions to the contrary, no party shall sell; encumber, transfer or make other disposition of its interest in the leases embraced within the Unit Area and in wells, equipment and production unless such disposition covers either:

- (1) the entire interest of the party in all leases and equipment and production; or
- (2) an equal undivided interest in all leases and equipment and production in the Unit Area.

Every such sale, encumbrance, transfer or other disposition made by any party shall be made expressly subject to this agreement, and shall be made without prejudice to the rights of the other parties.

If at any time the interest of any party is divided among and owned by four or more co-owners, Operator may, at its discretion, require such co-owners to appoint a single trustee or agent with full authority to receive notices, approve expenditures, receive billings for and approve and pay such party's share of the joint expenses, and to deal generally with, and with power to bind, the co-owners of such party's interests within the scope of the operations embraced in this contract; however, all such co-owners shall enter into and execute all contracts or agreements for the disposition of their respective shares of the oil and gas produced from the Unit Area and they shall have the right to receive, separately, payment of the sale proceeds thereof.

21. RESIGNATION OF OPERATOR

Operator may resign from its duties and obligations as Operator at any time upon written notice of not less than ninety (90) days given to all other parties. In this case, all parties to this contract shall select by majority vote in interest, not in numbers, a new Operator who shall assume the responsibilities and duties, and have the rights, prescribed for Operator by this agreement. The retiring Operator shall deliver to its successor all records and information necessary to the discharge by the new Operator of its duties and obligations.

22. LIABILITY OF PARTIES

The liability of the parties shall be several, not joint or collective. Each party shall be responsible only for its obligations, and shall be liable only for its proportionate share of the costs of developing and operating the Unit Area. Accordingly, the lien granted by each party to Operator in Section 9 is given to secure only the debts of each severally. It is not the intention of the parties to create, nor shall this agreement be construed as creating, a mining or other partnership or association, or to render them liable as partners.

23. RENEWAL OR EXTENSION OF LEASES

If any party secures a renewal of any oil and gas lease subject to this contract, each and all of the other parties shall be notified promptly, and shall have the right to participate in the ownership of the renewal lease by paying to the party who acquired it their several proper proportionate shares of the acquisition cost, which shall be in proportion to the interests held at that time by the parties in the Unit Area.

If some, but less than all, of the parties elect to participate in the purchase of a renewal lease, it shall be owned by the parties who elect to participate therein, in a ratio based upon the relationship of their respective percentage of participation in the unit area to the aggregate of the percentages of participation in the unit area of all parties participating in the purchase of such renewal lease. Any renewal lease in which less than all the parties elect to participate shall not be subject to this agreement.

Each party who participates in the purchase of a renewal lease shall be given an assignment of its proportionate interest therein by the acquiring party.

The provisions of this section shall apply to renewal leases whether they are for the entire interest covered by the expiring lease or cover only a portion of its area or an interest therein. Any renewal lease taken before the expiration of its predecessor lease, or taken or contracted for within six (6) months after the expiration of the existing lease shall be subject to this provision; but any lease taken or contracted for more than six (6) months after the expiration of an existing lease shall not be deemed a renewal lease and shall not be subject to the provisions of this section,

The provisions in this section shall apply also and in like manner to extensions of oil and gas leases.

24. SURRENDER OF LEASES

The leases covered by this agreement, in so far as they embrace acreage in the Unit Area, shall not be surrendered in whole or in part unless all parties consent.

However, should any party desire to surrender its interest in any lease or in any portion thereof, and other parties not agree or consent, the party desiring to surrender shall assign, without express or implied warranty of title, all of its interest in such lease, or portion thereof, and any well, material and equipment which may be located thereon and any rights in production thereafter secured, to the parties not desiring to surrender it. Upon such assignment, the assigning party shall be relieved from all obligations thereafter accruing, but not theretofore accrued, with respect to the acreage assigned and the operation of any well thereon, and the assigning party shall have no further interest in the lease assigned and its equipment and production. The parties assignee shall pay to the party assignor the reasonable salvage value of the latter's interest in any wells and equipment on the assigned acreage, determined in accordance with the provisions of Exhibit "C", less the estimated cost of salvaging and the estimated cost of plugging and abandoning. If the assignment is in favor of more than one party, the assigned interest shall be shared by the parties assignee in the proportions that the interest of each bears to the interest of all parties assignee.

Any assignment or surrender made under this provision shall not reduce or change the assignors' or surrendering parties' interest, as it was immediately before the assignment, in the balance of the Unit Area; and the acreage assigned or surrendered, and subsequent operations thereon, shall not thereafter be subject to the terms and provisions of this agreement.

25. ACREAGE OR CASH CONTRIBUTIONS

If any party receives while this agreement is in force a contribution of cash toward the drilling of a well or any other operation on the Unit Area, such contribution shall be paid to the party who conducted the drilling or other operation and shall be applied by it against the cost of such drilling or other operation. If the contribution be in the form of acreage, the party to whom the contribution is made shall promptly execute an assignment of the acreage, without warranty of title, to all parties to this agreement in proportion to their interests in the Unit Area at that time, and such acreage shall become a part of the Unit Area and be governed by all the provisions of this contract. Each party shall promptly notify all other parties of all acreage or money contributions it may obtain in support of any well or any other operation on the Unit Area.

26. PROVISION CONCERNING TAXATION

Each of the parties hereto elects, under the authority of Section 761(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, to be excluded from the application of all of the provisions of Subchapter K of Chapter 1 of Subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. If the income tax laws of the state or states in which the property covered hereby is located contain, or may hereafter contain, provisions similar to those contained in the Subchapter of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 above referred to under which a similar election is permitted, each of the parties agrees that such election shall be exercised. Each party authorizes and directs the Operator to execute such an election or elections on its behalf and to file the election with the proper governmental office or agency. If requested by the Operator so to do, each party agrees to execute and join in such an election.

Operator shall render for ad valorem taxation all property subject to this agreement which by law should be rendered for such taxes, and it shall pay all such taxes assessed thereon before they become delinquent. Operator shall bill all other parties for their proportionate share of all tax payments in the manner provided in Exhibit "C".

If any tax assessment is considered unreasonable by Operator, it may at its discretion protest such valuation within the time and manner prescribed by law, and prosecute the protest to a final determination, unless all parties agree to abandon the protest prior to final determination. When any such protested valuation shall have been finally determined, Operator shall pay the assessment for the joint account, together with interest and penalty accrued, and the total cost shall then be assessed against the parties, and be paid by them, as provided in Exhibit "C".

27. INSURANCE

At all times while operations are conducted hereunder, Operator shall comply with the Workmen's Compensation Law of the State where the operations are being conducted. Operator shall also carry or provide insurance for the benefit of the joint account of the parties as may be outlined in Exhibit "D" attached to and made a part hereof. Operator shall require all contractors engaged in work on or for the Unit Area to comply with the Workmen's Compensation Law of the State where the operations are being conducted and to maintain such other insurance as Operator may require.

In the event Automobile Public Liability Insurance is specified in said Exhibit "D", or subsequently receives the approval of the parties, no direct charge shall be made by Operator for premiums paid for such insurance for operator's fully owned automotive equipment.

28. CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS

If any party to this contract is sued on an alleged cause of action arising out of operations on the Unit Area, or on an alleged cause of action involving title to any lease or oil and gas interest subjected to this contract, it shall give prompt written notice of the suit to the Operator and all other parties.

The defense of lawsuits shall be under the general direction of a committee of lawyers representing the parties, with Operator's attorney as Chairman. Suits may be settled during litigation only with the joint consent of all parties. No charge shall be made for services performed by the staff attorneys for any of the parties, but otherwise all expenses incurred in the defense of suits, together with the amount paid to discharge any final judgment, shall be considered costs of operation and shall be charged to and paid by all parties in proportion to their then interests in the Unit Area. Attorneys, other than staff attorneys for the parties, shall be employed in lawsuits involving Unit Area operations only with the consent of all parties; if outside counsel is employed, their fees and expenses shall be considered Unit Area expense and shall be paid by Operator and charged to all of the parties in proportion to their then interests in the Unit Area. The provisions of this paragraph shall not be applied in any instance where the loss which may result from the suit is treated as an individual loss rather than a joint loss under prior provisions of this agreement, and all such suits shall be handled by and be the sole responsibility of the party or parties concerned.

Damage claims caused by and arising out of operations on the Unit Area, conducted for the joint account of all parties, shall be handled by Operator and its attorneys, the settlement of claims of this kind shall be within the discretion of Operator so long as the amount paid in settlement of any one claim does not exceed one thousand (\$1000.00) dollars and, if settled, the sums paid in settlement shall be charged as expense to and be paid by all parties in proportion to their then interests in the Unit Area.

29. FORCE MAJEURE

If any party is rendered unable, wholly or in part, by force majeure to carry out its obligations under this agreement, other than the obligation to make money payments, that party shall give to all other parties prompt written notice of the force majeure with reasonably full particulars concerning it; thereupon, the obligations of the party giving the notice, so far as they are affected by the force majeure, shall be suspended during, but no longer than, the continuance of the force majeure. The affected party shall use all possible diligence to remove the force majeure as quickly as possible.

The requirement that any force majeure shall be remedied with all reasonable dispatch shall not require the settlement of strikes, lockouts, or other labor difficulty by the party involved, contrary to its wishes; how all such difficulties shall be handled shall be entirely within the discretion of the party concerned.

The term "force majeure" as here employed shall mean an act of God, strike, lockout, or other industrial disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, blockade, public riot, lightning, fire, storm, flood, explosion, governmental restraint, unavailability of equipment, and any other cause, whether of the kind specifically enumerated above or otherwise, which is not reasonably within the control of the party claiming suspension.

30. NOTICES

All notices authorized or required between the parties, and required by any of the provisions of this agreement, shall, unless otherwise specifically provided, be given in writing by United States mail or Western Union Telegram, postage or charges prepaid, and addressed to the party to whom the notice is given at the

addresses listed on Exhibit "A". The originating notice to be given under any provision hereof shall be deemed given only when received by the party to whom such notice is directed and the time for such party to give any notice in response thereto shall run from the date the originating notice is received. The second or any responsive notice shall be deemed given when deposited in the United States mail or with the Western Union Telegraph Company, with postage or charges prepaid. Each party shall have the right to change its address at any time, and from time to time, by giving written notice thereof to all other parties.

31. OTHER CONDITIONS, IF ANY, ARE:

successors, representatives and assign		shall be binding upon the parties and upon their nei	
The second secon			
The state of the s	OPERATOR		
ATTEST:		JOHN H. HENDRIX CORPORATION	
and the second s	en e	By:	
ecretary	·	President	
	NON-OPERA	TORS	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•			
ATTEST:		CAL-MON OIL COMPANY	
		Ву:	
	·		
ATTEST:	æ.	HARVEY E. YATES COMPANY	
		By:	

$\underline{E} \ \underline{X} \ \underline{H} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{B} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{T} \quad " \ \underline{A} \ "$

Attached to and made a part of Operating Agreement dated January 26, 1979, between John H. Hendrix Corporation as Operator and Cal-Mon Oil Company and others as non-operators.

1. Lands Subject to Contract:

Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M.

Section 8: E/2 Lea County, New Mexico

containing 320 Acres more or less.

2. Restrictions as to Formations and Depths:

From the top of the Wolfcamp to deepest depth drilled in the initial Test Well.

3. Percentage Interests of the Parties to this Agreement:

John H. Hendrix Corporation, Cal-Mon Oil Company et al 37.5% Harvey E. Yates Company 62.5% 100.0%

4. Names and Addresses of the Parties hereto for Notice purposes:

John H. Hendrix Corporation 525 Midland Tower Midland, Texas 79701 Harvey E. Yates Company P.O. Box 1933 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Cal-Mon Oil Company P.O. Box 2066 Midland, Texas 79701

Recommended by the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies of North America

EXHIBIT "C"

Attached to and made a part of Operating Agreement dated

January 26, 1979 between John H. Hendrix Corporation
as operator and Cal-Mon Oil Company and others as
non-operators

ACCOUNTING PROCEDURE JOINT OPERATIONS

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Definitions

الهيئ

"Joint Property" shall mean the real and personal property subject to the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached.

"Joint Operations" shall mean all operations necessary or proper for the development, operation, protection and maintenance of the Joint Property.

"Joint Account" shall mean the account showing the charges paid and credits received in the conduct of the Joint Operations and which are to be shared by the Parties.

"Operator" shall mean the party designated to conduct the Joint Operations.

"Non-Operators" shall mean the parties to this agreement other than the Operator.

"Parties" shall mean Operator and Non-Operators.

"First Level Supervisors" shall mean those employees whose primary function in Joint Operations is the direct supervision of other employees and/or contract labor directly employed on the Joint Property in a field operating capacity.

"Technical Employees" shall mean those employees having special and specific engineering, geological or other professional skills, and whose primary function in Joint Operations is the handling of specific operating conditions and problems for the benefit of the Joint Property.

"Personal Expenses" shall mean travel and other reasonable reimbursable expenses of Operator's employees.

"Material" shall mean personal property, equipment or supplies acquired or held for use on the Joint Property.

"Controllable Material" shall mean Material which at the time is so classified in the Material Classification Manual as most recently recommended by the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies of North America.

2. Statement and Billings

Operator shall bill Non-Operators on or before the last day of each month for their proportionate share of the Joint Account for the preceding month. Such bills will be accompanied by statements which identify the authority for expenditure, lease or facility, and all charges and credits, summarized by appropriate classifications of investment and expense except that items of Controllable Material and unusual charges and credits shall be separately identified and fully described in detail.

3. Advances and Payments by Non-Operators

Unless otherwise provided for in the agreement, the Operator may require the Non-Operators to advance their share of estimated cash outlay for the succeeding month's operation. Operator shall adjust each monthly billing to reflect advances received from the Non-Operators.

Each Non-Operator shall pay its proportion of all bills within fifteen (15) days after receipt. If payment is not made within such time, the unpaid balance shall bear interest monthly at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum or the maximum contract rate permitted by the applicable usury laws in the state in which the Joint Property is located, whichever is the lesser, plus attorney's fees, court costs, and other costs in connection with the collection of unpaid amounts.

4. Adjustments

Payment of any such bills shall not prejudice the right of any Non-Operator to protest or question the correctness thereof; provided, however, all bills and statements rendered to Non-Operators by Operator during any calendar year shall conclusively be presumed to be true and correct after twenty-four (24) months following the end of any such calendar year, unless within the said twenty-four (24) month period a Non-Operator takes written exception thereto and makes claim on Operator for adjustment. No adjustment favorable to Operator shall be made unless it is made within the same prescribed period. The provisions of this paragraph shall not prevent adjustments resulting from a physical inventory of Controllable Material as provided for in Section V.

5. Audits

A. Non-Operator, upon notice in writing to Operator and all other Non-Operators, shall have the right to audit Operator's accounts and records relating to the Joint Account for any calendar year within the twenty-four (24) month period following the end of such calendar year; provided, however, the making of an audit shall not extend the time for the taking of written exception to and the adjustments of accounts as provided for in Paragraph 4 of this Section I. Where there are two or more Non-Operators, the Non-Operators shall make every reasonable effort to conduct joint or simultaneous audits in a manner which will result in a minimum of inconvenience to the Operator. Operator shall bear no portion of the Non-Operators' audit cost incurred under this paragraph unless agreed to by the Operator.

6. Approval by Non-Operators

Where an approval or other agreement of the Parties or Non-Operators is expressly required under other sections of this Accounting Procedure and if the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached contains no contrary provisions in regard thereto, Operator shall notify all Non-Operators of the Operator's proposal, and the agreement or approval of a majority in interest of the Non-Operators shall be controlling on all Non-Operators.



II. DIRECT CHARGES

Operator shall charge the Joint Account with the following items:

1. Rentals and Royalties

Lease rentals and royalties paid by Operator for the Joint Operations.

2. Labor

- A. (1) Salaries and wages of Operator's field employees directly employed on the Joint Property in the conduct of Joint Operations.
 - (2) Salaries of First Level Supervisors in the field.
 - (3) Salaries and wages of Technical Employees directly employed on the Joint Property if such charges are excluded from the Overhead rates.
- B. Operator's cost of holiday, vacation, sickness and disability benefits and other customary allowances paid to employees whose salaries and wages are chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II. Such costs under this Paragraph 2B may be charged on a "when and as paid basis" or by "percentage assessment" on the amount of salaries and wages chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II. If percentage assessment is used, the rate shall be based on the Operator's cost experience.
- C. Expenditures or contributions made pursuant to assessments imposed by governmental authority which are applicable to Operator's costs chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraphs 2A and 2B of this Section II.
- D. Personal Expenses of those employees whose salaries and wages are chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II.

3. Employee Benefits

Operator's current costs of established plans for employees' group life insurance, hospitalization, pension, retirement, stock purchase, thrift, bonus, and other benefit plans of a like nature, applicable to Operator's labor cost chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraphs 2A and 2B of this Section II shall be Operator's actual cost not to exceed twenty per cent (20%).

A Material

Material purchased or furnished by Operator for use on the Joint Property as provided under Section IV. Only such Material shall be purchased for or transferred to the Joint Property as may be required for immediate use and is reasonably practical and consistent with efficient and economical operations. The accumulation of surplus stocks shall be avoided.

5. Transportation

Transportation of employees and Material necessary for the Joint Operations but subject to the following limitations:

- A. If Material is moved to the Joint Property from the Operator's warehouse or other properties, no charge shall be made to the Joint Account for a distance greater than the distance from the nearest reliable supply store, recognized barge terminal, or railway receiving point where like material is normally available, unless agreed to by the Parties.
- B. If surplus Material is moved to Operator's warehouse or other storage point, no charge shall be made to the Joint Account for a distance greater than the distance to the nearest reliable supply store, recognized barge terminal, or railway receiving point unless agreed to by the Parties. No charge shall be made to the Joint Account for moving Material to other properties belonging to Operator, unless agreed to by the Parties.
- C. In the application of Subparagraphs A and B above, there shall be no equalization of actual gross trucking cost of \$200 or less excluding accessorial charges.

6. Services

The cost of contract services, equipment and utilities provided by outside sources, except services excluded by Paragraph 9 of Section II and Paragraph 1. ii of Section III. The cost of professional consultant services and contract services of technical personnel directly engaged on the Joint Property if such charges are excluded from the Overhead rates. The cost of professional consultant services or contract services of technical personnel not directly engaged on the Joint Property shall not be charged to the Joint Account unless previously agreed to by the Parties.

7. Equipment and Facilities Furnished by Operator

- A. Operator shall charge the Joint Account for use of Operator owned equipment and facilities at rates commensurate with costs of ownership and operation. Such rates shall include costs of maintenance, repairs, other operating expense, insurance, taxes, depreciation, and interest on investment not to exceed eight per cent (8%) per annum. Such rates shall not exceed average commercial rates currently prevailing in the immediate area of the Joint Property.
- B. In fieu of charges in Paragraph 7A above, Operator may elect to use average commercial rates prevailing in the immediate area of the Joint Property less 20%. For automotive equipment, Operator may elect to use rates published by the Petroleum Motor Transport Association.

8. Damages and Losses to Joint Property

All costs or expenses necessary for the repair or replacement of Joint Property made necessary because of damages or losses incurred by fire, flood, storm, theft, accident, or other cause, except those resulting from Operator's gross negligence or willful misconduct. Operator shall furnish Non-Operator written notice of damages or losses incurred as soon as practicable after a report thereof has been received by Operator.

9. Legal Expense

Expense of handling, investigating and settling litigation or claims, discharging of liens, payment of judgments and amounts paid for settlement of claims incurred in or resulting from operations under the agreement or necessary to protect or recover the Joint Property, except that no charge for services of Operator's legal staff or fees or expense of outside attorneys shall be made unless previously agreed to by the Parties. All other legal expense is considered to be covered by the overhead provisions of Section III unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, except as provided in Section I, Paragraph 3.

10. Taxes

All taxes of every kind and nature assessed or levied upon or in connection with the Joint Property, the operation thereof, or the production therefrom, and which taxes have been paid by the Operator for the benefit of the Parties.

11. Insurance

Net premiums paid for insurance required to be carried for the Joint Operations for the protection of the Parties. In the event Joint Operations are conducted in a state in which Operator may act as self-insurer for Workmen's Compensation and/or Employers Liability under the respective state's laws, Operator may, at its election, include the risk under its self-insurance program and in that event, Operator shall include a charge at Operator's cost not to exceed manual rates.

12. Other Expenditures

Any other expenditure not covered or dealt with in the foregoing provisions of this Section II, or in Section III, and which is incurred by the Operator in the necessary and proper conduct of the Joint Operations.

III. OVERHEAD

1. Overhead - Drilling and Producing Operations

- i. As compensation for administrative, supervision, office services and warehousing costs, Operator shall charge drilling and producing operations on either:
 - (X) Fixed Rate Basis, Paragraph 1A, or
 -) Percentage Basis, Paragraph 1B.

Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, such charge shall be in lieu of costs and expenses of all offices and salaries or wages plus applicable burdens and expenses of all personnel, except those directly chargeable under Paragraph 2A, Section II. The cost and expense of services from outside sources in connection with matters of taxation, traffic, accounting or matters before or involving governmental agencies shall be considered as included in the Overhead rates provided for in the above selected Paragraph of this Section III unless such cost and expense are agreed to by the Parties as a direct charge to the Joint Account.

- ii. The salaries, wages and Personal Expenses of Technical Employees and/or the cost of professional consultant services and contract services of technical personnel directly employed on the Joint Property shall () shall not (X) be covered by the Overhead rates.
- A. Overhead Fixed Rate Basis
 - (1) Operator shall charge the Joint Account at the following rates per well per month:

Drilling Well Rate \$ 2500.00
Producing Well Rate \$ 150.00

- (2) Application of Overhead Fixed Rate Basis shall be as follows:
 - (a) Drilling Well Rate
 - [1] Charges for onshore drilling wells shall begin on the date the well is spudded and terminate on the date the drilling or completion rig is released, whichever is later, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of drilling operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days.
 - [2] Charges for offshore drilling wells shall begin on the date when drilling or completion equipment arrives on location and terminate on the date the drilling or completion equipment moves off location or rig is released, whichever occurs first, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of drilling operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days
 - [3] Charges for wells undergoing any type of workover or recompletion for a period of five (5) consecutive days or more shall be made at the drilling well rate. Such charges shall be applied for the period from date workover operations, with rig, commence through date of rig release, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days.

(b) Producing Well Rates

- [1] An active well either produced or injected into for any portion of the month shall be considered as a one-well charge for the entire month.
- [2] Each active completion in a multi-completed well in which production is not commingled down hole shall be considered as a one-well charge providing each completion is considered a separate well by the governing regulatory authority.
- [3] An inactive gas well shut in because of overproduction or failure of purchaser to take the production shall be considered as a one-well charge providing the gas well is directly connected to a permanent sales outlet.
- [4] A one-well charge may be made for the month in which plugging and abandonment operations are completed on any well.
- [5] All other inactive wells (including but not limited to inactive wells covered by unit allowable, lease allowable, transferred allowable, etc.) shall not qualify for an overhead charge.
- (3) The well rates shall be adjusted as of the first day of April each year following the effective date of the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached. The adjustment shall be computed by multiplying the rate currently in use by the percentage increase or decrease in the average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers for the last calendar year compared to the calendar year preceding as shown by the index of average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Fields Production Workers as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, or the equivalent Canadian index as published by Statistics Canada, as applicable. The adjusted rates shall be the rates currently in use, plus or minus the computed adjustment.

B. Overhead - Percentage Basis

- (1) Operator shall charge the Joint Account at the following rates:
 - (a) Development

Percent (%) of the cost of Development of the Joint Property exclusive of costs provided under Paragraph 9 of Section II and all salvage credits.

(b) Operating

Percent (%) of the cost of Operating the Joint Property exclusive of costs provided under Paragraphs 1 and 9 of Section II, all salvage credits, the value of injected substances purchased for secondary recovery and all taxes and assessments which are levied, assessed and paid upon the mineral interest in and to the Joint Property.

(2) Application of Overhead - Percentage Basis shall be as follows:

For the purpose of determining charges on a percentage basis under Paragraph 1B of this Section III, development shall include all costs in connection with drilling, redrilling, deepening or any remedial operations on any or all wells involving the use of drilling crew and equipment; also, preliminary expenditures necessary in preparation for drilling and expenditures incurred in abandoning when the well is not completed as a producer, and original cost of construction or installation of fixed assets, the expansion of fixed assets and any other project clearly discernible as a fixed asset, except Major Construction as defined in Paragraph 2 of this Section III. All other costs shall be considered as Operating.

2. Overhead - Major Construction

To compensate Operator for overhead costs incurred in the construction and installation of fixed assets, the expansion of fixed assets, and any other project clearly discernible as a fixed asset required for the development and operation of the Joint Property, Operator shall either negotiate a rate prior to the beginning of construction, or shall charge the Joint Account for Overhead based on the following rates for any Major Construction project in excess

A.	% of total costs if such costs are more than \$but less than \$; plus
B.	

C. ______% of total costs in excess of \$1,000,000.

Total cost shall mean the gross cost of any one project. For the purpose of this paragraph, the component parts of a single project shall not be treated separately and the cost of drilling and workover wells shall be excluded.

3. Amendment of Rates

The Overhead rates provided for in this Section III may be amended from time to time only by mutual agreement between the Parties hereto if, in practice, the rates are found to be insufficient or excessive.

IV. PRICING OF JOINT ACCOUNT MATERIAL PURCHASES, TRANSFERS AND DISPOSITIONS

Operator is responsible for Joint Account Material and shall make proper and timely charges and credits for all material movements affecting the Joint Property. Operator shall provide all Material for use on the Joint Property; however, at Operator's option, such Material may be supplied by the Non-Operator. Operator shall make timely disposition of idle and/or surplus Material, such disposal being made either through sale to Operator or Non-Operator, division in kind, or sale to outsiders. Operator may purchase, but shall be under no obligation to purchase, interest of Non-Operators in surplus condition A or B Material. The disposal of surplus Controllable Material not purchased by the Operator shall be agreed to by the Parties.

1. Purchases

Material purchased shall be charged at the price paid by Operator after deduction of all discounts received. In case of Material found to be defective or returned to vendor for any other reason, credit shall be passed to the Joint Account when adjustment has been received by the Operator.

2. Transfers and Dispositions

Material furnished to the Joint Property and Material transferred from the Joint Property or disposed of by the Operator, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, shall be priced on the following bases exclusive of cash discounts:

A. New Material (Condition A)

- (1) Tubular goods, except line pipe, shall be priced at the current new price in effect on date of movement on a maximum carload or barge load weight basis, regardless of quantity transferred, equalized to the lowest published price f.o.b. railway receiving point or recognized barge terminal nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.
- (2) Line Pipe
 - (a) Movement of less than 30,000 pounds shall be priced at the current new price, in effect at date of movement, as listed by a reliable supply store nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.
 - (b) Movement of 30,000 pounds or more shall be priced under provisions of tubular goods pricing in Paragraph 2A (1) of this Section IV.
- (3) Other Material shall be priced at the current new price, in effect at date of movement, as listed by a reliable supply store or f.o.b. railway receiving point nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.

B. Good Used Material (Condition B)

Material in sound and serviceable condition and suitable for reuse without reconditioning:

- (1) Material moved to the Joint Property
 - (a) At seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV.
- (2) Material moved from the Joint Property
 - (a) At seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV, if Material was originally charged to the Joint Account as new Material, or

(b) at sixty-five percent (65%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV. if Material was originally charged to the Joint Account as good used Material at seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price.

The cost of reconditioning, if any, shall be absorbed by the transferring property.

C. Other Used Material (Condition C and D)

(1) Condition C

Material which is not in sound and serviceable condition and not suitable for its original function until after reconditioning shall be priced at fifty percent (50%) of current new price as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV. The cost of reconditioning shall be charged to the receiving property, provided Condition C value plus cost of reconditioning does not exceed Condition B value.

(2) Condition D

All other Material, including junk, shall be priced at a value commensurate with its use or at prevailing prices. Material no longer suitable for its original purpose but usable for some other purpose, shall be priced on a basis comparable with that of items normally used for such other purpose. Operator may dispose of Condition D Material under procedures normally utilized by the Operator without prior approval of Non-Operators.

D. Obsolete Material

Material which is serviceable and usable for its original function but condition and/or value of such Material is not equivalent to that which would justify a price as provided above may be specially priced as agreed to by the Parties. Such price should result in the Joint Account being charged with the value of the service rendered by such Material.

E. Pricing Conditions

- (1) Loading and unloading costs may be charged to the Joint Account at the rate of fifteen cents (15¢) per hundred weight on all tubular goods movements, in lieu of loading and unloading costs sustained, when actual hauling cost of such tubular goods are equalized under provisions of Paragraph 5 of Section II.
- (2) Material involving erection costs shall be charged at applicable percentage of the current knocked-down price of new Material.

3. Premium Prices

Whenever Material is not readily obtainable at published or listed prices because of national emergencies, strikes or other unusual causes over which the Operator has no control, the Operator may charge the Joint Account for the required Material at the Operator's actual cost incurred in providing such Material, in making it suitable for use, and in moving it to the Joint Property; provided notice in writing is furnished to Non-Operators of the proposed charge prior to billing Non-Operators for such Material. Each Non-Operator shall have the right, by so electing and notifying Operator within ten days after receiving notice from Operator, to furnish in kind all or part of his share of such Material suitable for use and acceptable to Operator.

4. Warranty of Material Furnished by Operator

Operator does not warrant the Material furnished. In case of defective Material, credit shall not be passed to the Joint Account until adjustment has been received by Operator from the manufacturers or their agents.

V. INVENTORIES

The Operator shall maintain detailed records of Controllable Material.

1. Periodic Inventories, Notice and Representation

At reasonable intervals, Inventories shall be taken by Operator of the Joint Account Controllable Material. Written notice of intention to take inventory shall be given by Operator at least thirty (30) days before any inventory is to begin so that Non-Operators may be represented when any inventory is taken. Failure of Non-Operators to be represented at an inventory shall bind Non-Operators to accept the inventory taken by Operator.

2. Reconciliation and Adjustment of Inventories

Reconciliation of a physical inventory with the Joint Account shall be made, and a list of overages and shortages shall be furnished to the Non-Operators within six months following the taking of the inventory. Inventory adjustments shall be made by Operator with the Joint Account for overages and shortages, but Operator shall be held accountable only for shortages due to lack of reasonable diligence.

3. Special Inventories

Special Inventories may be taken whenever there is any sale or change of interest in the Joint Property. It shall be the duty of the party selling to notify all other Parties as quickly as possible after the transfer of interest takes place. In such cases, both the seller and the purchaser shall be governed by such inventory.

4. Expense of Conducting Periodic Inventories

The expense of conducting periodic Inventories shall not be charged to the Joint Account unless agreed to by the Parties.

EXHIBIT "D'

SCHEDULE OF INSURANCE

Unit Operator shall carry and require its contractor and sub-contractors to carry the following insurance at the expense and for the benefit of the parties hereto covering its operations under the terms of this agreement, to-wit:

- 1. Workmen's Compensation Insurance as required by the laws of the State of New Mexico.
- 2. Employer's Liability Insurance with minimum limits as required by the laws of the State of New Mexico
- 3. Contractor's or Comprehensive General Public Liability Insurance with minimum limits of at lease \$100,000.00 for injuries to one person; \$300,000.00 for injury in one accident and \$100,000.00 for property damage in one accident.
- 4. Automobile Public Liability and Property Damage Insurance (with an endorsement covering non-owned and hired cars) with minimum limits of at least \$100,000.00 for injuries to one person; \$300,000.00 for injuries in one accident and \$100,000.00 for property damage in any one accident.
- Insurance coverage on equipment as the operator deems necessary for the protection of the joint account.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION State Land Office B uilding Santa Fe, New Mexico 2 March 1979

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for compulsory pooling. Lea County, New Mexico;

CASE 6483 (6488)

and

Application of John H. Hendrix Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.

13

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

BEFORE: Joe Ramey

14 15

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

16

APPEARANCES

17

For the Oil Conservation Division:

Lynn Teschendorf, Esq.

Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexic o 87503

18 19

20

For the Applicant:

H.A. Losey, Esq.

LOSEY, CARSON & DICKERSON Attorneys at Law

21 22

For the John H. Hendrix Corporation:

Artesia, New Mexico

Conrad Coffield, Esq. Harold Hensley, Esq. HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIEDD &

HENSLEY Attorneys at Law Midland, Texas

24

23

Observer:

Richard L. Stamets

Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

85

92

INDEX 2 PAGE ANDREW LATTU Direct Examination by Mr. Losey Cross Examination by Mr. Hensley 12 21 Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets 21 Re-cross Examination by Mr. Hensley 121 Re-direct Examination by Mr. Losey 122 9 Further Re-cross Examination by Mr. Hensley 10 GEORGE YATES 24 11 Direct Examination by Mr. Losey Cross Examination by Mr. Hensley 33 12 38 13 Examination by Mr. Ramey 38 Re-direct Examination by Mr. Losey 14 40 15 Re-cross Examination by Mr. Hensley 16 Further Re-direct Examination by Mr. Losey 129 130 17 Further Re-cross Examination by Mr. Hensley A. T. CARLTON 18 44 19 Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield 80 20 Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets 21 Re-direct Examination by Mr. Coffield 82 22Re-cross Examination by Mr. Losey 84 23JOHN H. HENDRIX

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail ~ Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Direct Examination by Mr. Coffield

Cross Examination by Mr. Losey

24

25

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

<u>**E** X H I B I T S</u>

Ž		ADMI	TTED
3	APPLICANT HENDRIX' EXHIBITS: Continued		******
4	Exhibit No. 4, Contour Map		64
5	Exhibit No. 5, Cross-section		64
6			64
7			
8		•	64
9			64
10			92
П	Exhibit No. 11. Letter to George lates		92
12	and the second of the second o		92
13			
14			
15			
16		•	
17			
18			
19	$\epsilon_{ m C}$		
20			
21			
22		:	Ç.,
12			

MR. RAMEY: Call Case 6483. MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 6483, Application of 2 Harvey E. Yates Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, 3 New Mexico. MR. LOSEY: H.A. Losey, Losey, Carson and Dickerson, Artesia, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the 6 applicant. MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield and Harold Hensley with the Hinkle law firm appearing on behalf of 9 John H. Hendrix Corporation. 10 MR. RAMRY: Mr. Coffield, Would you like to 11 have Case 6488 consolidated with this case for the purpose 12 of testimony? 13 MR. COFFIELD: I think that they could very 14 well be consolidated. 15 MR. RAMEY: All right. We will consolidate 16 the cases and call 6488. 17 MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 6488, Application of 18 John H. Hendrix Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea 19 County, New Mexico. 20 MR. COFFIELD: Conrad Coffield again appearing 21 on behalf of the applicant with Harold Hensley. 22 MR. LOSEY: H.A. Losey, appearing on behalf of - 23 the protestant, Harvey Yates Company. 24

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe. New Mexico 87501

25

MR. RAMEY: Ask that all witnesses stand at

exhibit? This is a land plat in Lea County, New Mexico, 2 Township 14 South Range 36 East. Our company is designated 3 the MacDonald Area and have the proposed location of 4 approximately fourteen thousand foot test. In the southeast 5 of the southwest quarter of Section 8. 6 You propose to dedicate what acreage to this 7 Q 8 well? South half of Section 8. 9 Is this acreage part of a working interest 10 unit comprising not only land in Section 8, but lands in 11 adjoining sections? 12 Yes, it is. Α 13 Does either Harvey Yates Company or the unit 14 itself have control over the acreage in the South half of 15 Section 8? Are they operator of the acreage? 16 17 For most of it. Well, which portion are they not operator of? 18 It would be the Northeast of the Southeast 19 quarter of Section 8, of just the South half. Is that what 20 you mean? 21 Yes. So they control two hundred eighty of 22 Q that three hundred twenty acre tract?

> Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

What is the objective formation or formations

Yes, that's correct.

23

24

25

Α

Q

A This is a Pennsylvanian Prospect. The well would TD into the Mississippian. The two most prospective zones are the upper and lower Pennsylvanian.

O Now. In the South half of Section 8, how much

Q Now, In the South half of Section 8, how much of that spacing unit is comprised of lands leased from the state of New Mexico?

A In the South half approximately 50% or 160 acres is state land.

Q In the East half of Section 8, how much of that is land leased from the state of New Mexico?

A In the East half, it would be approximately three-eighths or 120 acres.

Q It would be exactly 120 acres, wouldn't it,
Mr. Lattu, not approximately?

A Okay.

Q Turn to what's been marked Exhibit Two and explain what is reflected on this exhibit.

A This is a Pennsylvanian structure map, the contour interval is 50 foot. The scale is one inch equals 4,000 feet. This is contoured on the top of the Pennsylvanian. The reason I used the top of Pennsylvanian on this particular map is that most of the control in this area is Pennsylvanian. A deeper control horizon than say the base of the Pennsylvanian would only have -- well, from Section

8 North it would only have two control points. That would be the well up in Section 32 of 13 South 36 East and the well over in Section 3 of 14-36. The rest of these wells all TD'd in the Upper Pennsylvanian. The Pennsylvanian in this area is a very good mapping horizon and it reflects a deep structure. The structure in this area consists of a Northeast/Southwest trending anticline, with small buildups or wrinkles along the top. This is shown both from sub-surface and seismic we have in the area. Recently participated with adobe on a well drilled on the East half of Section 17 of 14-36 and we have a dip meter that was run in the Pennsylvanian down to the Mississippian on that well. This dip meter in the Pennsylvanian interval indicated Northeast dip and, as you see, it's located on the Northeast end of the small nose on the overall anticlinal feature. There is dip from the well in Section 4 of 14-36. You see a high point there of a minus 6535, which then dips to minus 6683 and then dips further to the Southwest in Section 9 to a minus 6777. We have Northeast dip established along this feature by the Phillips Well in the Southwest corner of Section 17 with the sub-C of a minus 6646, which dips to the adobe-Hannah Well in Section 17 to a minus 6711. So we have dip going from the Northeast, dipping to the Southwest and dip in the Southwest towards the Northeast. supports this structurel low I have contoured in the East

Ż

3

4

5

ß

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

half of Section 8.

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Let's turn to what's been marked as Exhibit
Three.

Exhibit Three is a stratographic cross-section. I have a little index map on the side. It's a north-south cross-section. This is a north-south stratographic crosssection hung on the top of the Lower Morrow, also known as the Austin-Mississippian zone. This cross-section, starting at your left, is the Adobe State 16 Well located in the Southwest quarter or Section 16. It then goes to the Adobe-Hannah Well in Section 17. Then comes to the Texas Crude-Richardson, Which is Section 5. And finally on the right, or the North end of the cross-section, is the Superior Well in Section 32 of 13-36. The upper top Pennsylvanian is the mapping horizon on the structural map is contoured on. You can see I have indicated an Upper Pennsylvanian bank. This bank is present in the State 16 Well and is approximately 150 feet thick. It thickens to about 330 feet of porous dolomite in the Adobe No. 1 Hannah Well and is not present in the Texas Crude-Richardson Well in Section 5. Referring to Exhibit Two, the structure map, you can see that the presence of this bank is closely associated with the structure that the Adobe-Hannah Well, being high to the State 16, has a much thicker section; that the Texas Crude Well located in Section 5, which is lower, the bank is not

present at all. Our proposed location in Section 8 is the most prospective orthodox location for this Upper Pennsylvanian bank in Section 8.

Q Why do you feel like your location in the Southeast of the Southwest is better than a location in the Northeast of the Southeast, Mr. Lattu?

Well, this bank is -- the development of the bank is very closely associated with structure and our proposed location is structurally the most favorable, both from sub-surface mapping and seismic. I believe as you move over into the East half where the structural low comes in, the bank will either not be present or will be thin and tight. Referring to the next prospective zone, which is the Lower Morrow, as you see in the State 16 we have a fairly good porosity development of this neutron density log. As you move to the Hannah, again we have a section with pretty fair indications of porosity, although this well has not yet been completed. When we come to the Texas Crude-Richardson Well in Section 5, which TD'd in the bosh Chester, this section is thinned quite a bit. In fact, from the State 16 to the Adobe-Hannah Well, the section has thinned and seems to continue thinning to the North. The Texas Crude-Richardson Well did attempt completion in this zone and were unsuccessful. They perforated and gave it three acid treatments but were never able to establish

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

6 7

3

4

5

9 10

8

12

13

11

14 15

16

17

18

20 21

19

22

23 24

25

to thin and become tight as you move further North in this area along this structural feature. The Superior Well, the section is present and again it is thinner than down in either the Adobe-State 16 or the Adobe-Hannah. And by log analysis appears tight. Our proposed location, trying to stay as close to the South line of Section 8 as possible, therefore is close to crude and porosity and closer to known production in this zone, is also the most favorable orthodox location in Section 8.

Q Mr. Lattu, from your Exhibit Two have you held the number of wells that were drilled to the Pennsylvanian?

A Yes, I have.

.10

Q How many wells were drilled?

A The wells that I have contoured in this interval here, 22 wells reached the Pennsylvanian.

Q How many of those wells were completed and will return the cost of drilling and operating expenses up to the date of pay-out?

A Three of these wells have. The Adobe State 16 has not gone on production yet, though we have every indication that will be a successful well. The wells that have paid-out, made enough hydrocarbons to have paid-out only three. Two of them completed in the Pennsylvanian,

1	which were oil wells, and the third one is the Phillips
2	Well in Section 16, which was a gas well down in the Austin
3	pay zone.
4	Q So there is only three out of 22 wells that have
5	tested the Pennsylvanian on this map that have paid out?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Lattu, did you say the Phillips
8	Well was in 16 or 17?
9	THE WITNESS: 17. The Adobe State 16 is over
10	in 16, but it has not yet been put on line. But is has
11-	been completed and treated and certainly appears that it will
12	be a successful well.
13	BY MR. LOSEY:
14	Q Do you have an opinion as to what would be
15	a reasonable risk factor to assess for drilling a well
16	at your proposed location?
17	A I feel 500% penalty would be fair.
18	Q Well, if you assume that the authority given
19	to the Commission by the Legislature is 200%, would you say
20	200%, the maximum penalty, would be reasonable?
21	A If that's the maximum penalty, yes.
22	Q Were exhibits One through Three prepared by
23	you?
24	A Yes, they were.
25	MR. LOSEY: I move the introduction of
	N.

Exhibits One through Three. 2 MR. RAMEY: Exhibits One through Three will be admitted. 3 BY MR. LOSEY: 5 One other question. Do you believe the pooling of interests in the South half of Section 8 will avoid the 6 7 drilling of unnecessary wells? 8 Α Yes, I do. 9 MR. LOSEY: I think it's all on the Direct 10 Examination. MR. RAMEY: Any questions of the witness? 11 12 MR. HENSLEY: Yes sir. 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. HENSLEY: 15 If the Commission please. In connection with your structure map, Mr. Lattu, I'd like to direct your 16 attention to the contours which you show immediately to the 17 West of your proposed location in the South half of Section 18 8. That contour takes a dip, structured contour into 19 20 Section 7. What's the basis for that mapping configuration? 21 Well, in Section 7 I have a control point there of a minus 6745. Is that what you mean? 22 Yes. But I believe your direct testimony showed the dip reflected by that control point in the Hannah Well showed a Northeast dip. Yet you mapped the structural

Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

23

24

25

1	change to Northwest.
2	A No. The Hannah Well is on the Northeast end
3	of the structural nose, and that is directly supported by
4	the dip meter, which shows Northeast dip.
5	2 Now, as I understand your cross-section-map-
6	here, you have indicated that the proposed location is
7	advisable because of its location regarding the Upper Penn
8	bank which you described on the cross-section, is that right
9	A Yes.
10	Q Is the Upper Penn bank productive in the
11	immediate area surrounding the proposed location?
12	A No, it is not.
13	Q By the way, in the Hannah Well, which was one
14	of your key wells for your structure map, immediately South
15	in the Northeast quarter of Section 7 did it intersect this
16	Upper Penn bank?
17	A No you mean Northeast quarter of Section 17?
18	Q 17, I am sorry. Yes.
19	A Yes, the bank is present there.
20	Q Was there any production test or drill stem
21	test conducted?
22	A Adobe did not test it.
23	Q Was there any fluid indicated?
24	A Log analysis reveals 30 to 40 percent of water
25	saturation across that interval.

1	Q You indicated that your examination of the
Ž	logs of these wells, particularly, I believe, you made
3	reference to the Sinclair-Richardson Well, which is in the
4	Southwest quarter of Section 5, is that correct?
5	A Yes.
- 6	O Showed a thinning in this Chester lime, is
7	that correct?
8	A Yes. You mean the Austin Pay interval?
9	Q The Austin Pay interval.
10	A Yes, it is thinner there.
11	Q Do you know how many feet of pay were perfor-
12	ated in that well in that zone?
13	A I believe approximately 20 to 30 feet were.
14	Q If I indicated to you that only eight feet
15	of the upper porosity was penetrated, would that refresh
16	your recollection?
17	MR. RAMEY: Is that penetrated or perforated?
. 18	BY MR. HENSLEY:
19	Q Perforated.
20	A You mean the interval perforated?
21	Q The upper eight feet of the total porosity
22	section.
23	A There is only approximately eight feet of
24	indicated porosity by log anyway, but they perforated it
25	and treated it with several acid jobs and were never able to
	New Demonting Courters Inc.

1 ...

1	establish more than a few hundred Mcf.
2	Q I believe you indicated in the course of your
3	direct testimony that this was confirmation that supported
4	your opinion that this zone was thinning then to the North
5	and to the East?
-6-	A It's thinning to the North.
7	Q There is no basis for a statement that there
8	is any thinning at all to the East, is there, Mr. Lattu?
9	A We have no other control points until you get
-10	all the way over to Section 3, where this interval is pre-
11	sent.
12	Q Now, in Section 3, I suppose you have reference
13	to the Zapata-Davidson Well, is that correct?
14	A Yes, I do.
15	Q How much net porosity is shown on the log in
16	this section, in the Zapata Well?
17	A The Zapata Well is cut by fault and on the
18	down side, but it has large sections of porosity at least
19	by log. It was never tested.
20	Q There was over 80 feet, wasn't it?
21	A To my recollection, yes.
22	Q Isn't this confirmation, sir, that there is
23	in fact no thinning to the Northeand to the East?
24	A Well, it thins to the North as shown by this
25	cross-section. This Zapata Well is on the down side of

_		ı
	a fault and on the flank of apparently another feature.	
	Q Off of the access to the East of the access	
	taken the Superior Well and Sinclair-Richardson Well, to	
	the East, the Zapata Richardson Well confirms that there	
	isn't a thinning as you move into easterly direction, isn't	
-	that correct?	} }
	A The Zapata Well is thicker than any other	
	well that penetrated that section.	
	Q Now, you've indicated that a lot of your	-
	control in this area, if I understood your response to Mr.	
	Losey's question, was based on the substantial number of	
	wells which had intersected Pennsylvanian formation?	
	A Yes.	
	Q How many-of-those Pennsylvanian wells shown on	
	your structure plat penetrated the Austin Pay?	
	A Let's see. There's Section 32, Section 3.	
	So there's two. The Hannah Well would be three. Phillips	
4. 4.	would be four. State 16 would be five. Down in Section 19,	
	which is South of the area Under discussion, would be six,	
	and Section 24 would be seven.	
	Q Seven out of what, approximately 25?	
	A I believe I used 22 as the number of wells	
	that I had points on.	
	Q 22?	
-	A Yes. So the density control in the Pennsylvani	ar
		-1

C

ΙŪ

1	is much more than especially when you get North of the
2	Phillips Well.
3	Q Now, you indicated that the Pennsylvanian
4	section was a pretty good indication of structure in your
5	deeper zones, is that correct?
6.	A Yes sir. It's a good indication. It varies
7	somewhat, but it's
8	Q There are certainly exceptions in this area
9	when you consider the possibility of a reef deposition?
10	A Oh, yes.
11	Q What about the anomalies which are indicated
12	to be present in this particular Austin Pay? Are those
13	consistent with reflections on structure in the Pennsylvan-
14	ian?
15	A No. They seem to be not controlled by structure
16	It's just as you move North is where you find this Austin
17	Pay zone is tight. Phillips Well is unique in that it's
18	the only well with known production from this zone.
19	Q To your knowledge, has this pay been tested
20	in a Hannah's Well, Adobe-Hannah's Well to which you have
21	made reference?
22	A No, it has not been tested. It looks good on
23	the logs. That's all we have at this time, until it's
24	perforated.
25	Q How much net porosity do you have in the

section in the Hannah's Well? Approximately 60 feet. 2 Does it allocate to be productive of hydrocarbon? Yes, it does. Now, if I understood your answer to Mr. Losey's final question, you are suggesting a five hundred percent 6 penalty in this case, Mr. Lattu? Yes, this is the penalty we have in the unit -8 the operating unit to the South. And considering fourteen 9 thousand foot well with both structural and stratographical 10 risk, I would consider that fair. 11 Are you aware of the fact that the maximum allowable penalty is two hundred percent? 13 Yes, I have been told that. Α 14 Less than half of what you recommend as 15 reasonable? 16 Α Yes. 17 If the Commission please, just a couple of 18 clarifying questions on a point which I had asked Mr. Lattu 19 about, but I want to be certain that I understand this 20 question. I want to direct your attention, sir, to the 21 well which is located in the Northeast quarter of Section 22 17, which I believe is the Adobe-Hannah Well, is that 23correct? 24 Yes. 25

Now, in your direct testimony you did testify, did you not, that the dip meter indications showed a Northeast trending dip? On the Pennsylvanian interval, yes. Α

Will you explain again to the Commission how that justifies the struture mapping to the North and to the West, which you show on your structure map? Is it based on this dip meter reading?

Well, I had actually made this map before I saw the dip meter. The dip meter just came into my office yesterday, and I was pleased to see it did show Northeast rather than Southwest dip.

> Q Well, if the --

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

This is on the end of a nose, which the nose is dipping to the North,, Northeast. This second little feature, as I discussed in my testimony earlier, they have a large anticlinal feature here. The Pennsylvanian shows -it's not a regular, just a roll over and back down to the West. But it shows a roll over on the Phillips Well and a second roll over further to the West and that's what that interpreted contour is. It's based on seismic lead or the indication of seismic. There is additional buildup within the Pennsylvanian to the West. If the same water was the

the year Quarter If the dip meter was not the principle basis for the mapping, since you only got it yesterday, do I

understand that it was this Upper Penn bank which you utilized for the interpretive projection? 2 No, this is just a map on the top of the Penn. 3 There is this bank present here. There could either be this 4 well developed across the nose, but it could be built up. 5 It's not a uniform type thinning in size. 6 With the structure thinning to the Northeast, 7 doesn't that change what the indication should be to the 8 North and West of the Hannah's as far as your interpretive 9 structure is concerned? And is shown on your map? 10 No, I don't believe it would. 11 It wouldn't have any affect if the trend was 12 strictly Northeast? 13 The structural trend of this is Northeast. 14 The dip meter shows Northeast dip. The bank however is 15 probably centered more in Section 17 and possibly over into 16 the East half of Section 18. 17 Well, if the dip meter had indicated a 18 North, Northwest trend, that would be a complete confirmation 19 of your structural interpretation, wouldn't it? 20 I guess it depends on where you're at on the 21 22 nose. MR. HENSLEY: No further questions. 23 MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the witness? 24 25 Mr. Stamets.

CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. STAMETS: Mr. Lattu, in Section 17 and 18 you have 3 drawn two separate highs at the minus 6700 foot contour? 4 Α Yes. 6 What's the basis for that as opposed to drawing one single high zone such as you've got in the North 7 and the East? 8 I base that -- we participate in a seismic 9 line that ran East/West through approximately the middle 10 of Section 18, 17, 16, and into 15. The purpose of the 11 seismic line was to more clearly identify the structural 12 feature and locate the fault that I have marked on the 13 East side. This seismic showed in the Pennsylvanian section 14 a double roll over along what was interpreted seismically 15 as the top of the Pennsylvanian. So I took that as a lead 16 to draw two separate small features as opposed to one larger 17 18 one. MR. RAMEY: Any other questions? 19 20 MR. LOSEY: I think that's all. MR. RAMEY: Mr. Hensley? 21 MR. HENSLEY: I'd like one additional question, 22 23 if I may? 24 MR. RAMEY: Go ahead. 25

RE-CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HENSLEY: 3 On the cross examination by Mr. Stamets with reference to the seismic indications, have you shown any seismic points on the structure map? No, I have not. Do you know where the seismic line went, what 8 portion of this section was intersected by the line? You mean where the line is located on the map? 10 Q Yes. All right. The seismic line located on the 11 map would be right across the middle section -- it's the 12 East/West line. State at the western boundary of Section 18, 13 would go across the middle of 18, the middle of 17 and the 14 middle of 16 and ended halfway across Section 15, going 15 16 right along the mid-section line. Do I understand that this seismic reading in 17 Q the middle of Section 17 and 18 is used for interpretive 18 mapping of structure in Section 7 and 8? Is that reasonable, 19 20 in your opinion, Mr. Lattu, as a geologist? How do you mean in Section 7 and 8? 21 22 Well, you've got your structure drawn which you indicated was tied into and confirmatory of your seismic 23 24 indications. 25 I have my sub-surface map and I just work the

seismic -- what the seismic indicates into supporting my sub-surface mapping. As a geologist, would you feel that a seismic indication in the middle of Section 17, for example, would give any indication as to what the nature of structure was in the South half of Section 8? Λ Yes. Q You would? Ά Yes. What is the reliability of a seismic reflec-Q... 10 tion from a diameter standpoint, do you know? 11 Α What do you mean by diameter? 12 How far outlying horizontally? 13 Seismic indication. It's measure of the 14 15 16 17

5

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

velocity of energy that's put into the ground and when it comes back to you. Certain seismic events are associated to be indicative of a geological, both stratigraphy and structure in the area. We're looking here at a Northeast/ Southwest trending anticline with a fault on the East side, The seismic will see the fault and the seismic will show irregularities along the surface or the top of this large structural feature. These can be worked in the sub-surface points I have, and what I consider refining my sub-surface contour, although it is an interpretation on my part.

> Considering the structure which you have Q

mapped in the center of Section 17, the seismic line which runs through the middle from and East/West direction would not be any support for your structure enclosure along the South line of Section 8, would it? A Indirectly, it is, in the fact that it's worked into my sub-surface. I have sub-surface points all 6 the way up to Section 5, which show dips going down this 7 direction. That's on the Northwest flank of this structural 8 9 feature. This enclosure which you reflect between the 10 proposed location in the South half of Section 8 and the 11 Adobe-Hannah Well, is strictly interpretive from sub-surface 12 13 data? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 MR. HENSLEY: No further questions. MR. RAMEY: Do you have any questions? 16 17 MR. LOSEY: No further questions. 18 MR. RAMEY: The witness may be excused. 19 GEORGE YATES being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon 20 his oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23BY MR. LOSEY: 24 Q Would you state your name, residence and 25 occupation?

i *	A My name is George Yates. I'm from Roswell,
2	New Mexico. I'm Vice President of Harvey E. Yates Company.
3	Q Mr. Yates, turning back to it, do you have a
4	copy of Exhibit One?
5	A Yes, I do.
6	Q Is Harvey Yates Company a party to a working
7	interest unit that covers, among other lands, a portion of
8	the South half of Section 87
9	A Yes, that's correct.
10	Q What other area does it cover?
11	A The working interest unit covers the West
12	half of West half of Section 8, the Southeast, Southwest
13	of Section 8, all of Section 7 and the North half of Section
14	18.
15	MR. RAMEY: Would you go over that again,
16	please, Mr. Yates?
17	MR. YATES: The working interest unit covers
18	the West half West half of Section8, the Southwest, Southeast
19	of Section 8, all of Section 7, the North half of Section
20	18.
21	MR. RAMEY: Thank you.
22	BY MR. LOSEY:
23	Q Who are the parties to that working interest
24	unit?
25	A The largest party with the working interest
	Nyo Ronarting Sorvice Inc.

- 1	
1	in that unit are Yates Petroleum Corporation, Mational
2	Refineries Cooperative Association, AMOCO and MARALO.
3.	O Now, have any of those parties farmed out for
4	the drilling of the proposed well that is your proposed well
5	in the Southeast, Southwest of Section 8?
6	A Yes, that's correct. We have farmouts from
7	AMOCO Production Company, From National Cooperative Refiner-
8	ies Association, and from MARALO.
9	Q And they are to be units as such?
10	A They are to the unit, that's correct.
$\widehat{\mathbf{n}}$	Q So the unit acreage as far as your South half
12	of spacing unit, covers the West half Southwest and the
13	Southeast, Southwest?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Now, who owns the rest of the acreage in the
16	South half of Section 8?
17	A The balance of the acreage in the South half
18	of Section 8 is owned by our company, Harvey E. Yates Com-
19	pany, with the exception of 40 acres in the Northeast of
20	the Southeast, which is owned by various parties in partner-
21	ship with Cal-Mon Oil Company.
22	Q So that from what you say, Harvey Yates Com-
23 ′	pany owns the fee lease that covers the Northeast of the
24	Southwest, the West half of the Southeast, and the Southeast
25	Southeast of Section 8?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q Now, when did you determine to drill this well
3	to the proposed location?
4	A We determined to drill this well on the
5	proposed location in consultation with Yates Petrolem and
6	in consultation with some of the other working interest
7	owners in the unit I have described approximately the 20th
8	of January.
9	Q Did you discuss this proposed drilling of the
10	well with any representative of Cal-Mon Oil Company?
11	A On January 22nd or 23rd I gave Bob Monaghan,
12	President of Cal-Mon Oil Company, a telephone call. I was
13	in Midland. I was present in our Midland office. I called
14	him specifically to invite him to join us in drilling a
15	well.
16	Q Did you receive a response from your invitation
17	A Bob's response was that he had several partners
18	in his lease and to and that they all spoke independent-
19	ly so he needed some information in the area to show them.
20	He asked me if we had any information we'd share. I said
21	certainly we did. I invited him over to the office.
22	Q Did he come to the office and discuss this
23	proposed well with you?
24	A Yes, he did.
25	Q Generally, what was the gist of that
	Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Phorthand Reporters 621 Gld Synta Fe Trail — Suite 7
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

conversation?

A Andy Lattu and myself met with Bob and Toby
Carlson. I repeated our invitation to have Cal-Mon join
the South half proposed unit, working interest unit, to
participate as a working interest owner to their one-eighth
interest. Or alternatively, farm out to us on the same
basic terms that we have received farm outs under the unit.

Q And what were those terms?

A Terms under which the farmouts came to us were one-sixteenth reserved overriding royalty convertible at payout to a 40% working interest. Let me say that those farmouts were under the acreage I described and were owned by one well. The farm out that I offered Cal-Mon was the same farm out. However, we would be earning under only one proration unit.

Q Your farm outs in the unit actually cover all of the acreage of AMOCO, MARALO and National Cooperative Refinery?

- A That's correct.
- Q Did you receive an answer at that time?
- A I did not receive an answer. We discussed the relative position of Cal-Mon versus our company. There was some objection in that conversation to Cal-Mon joining with us without advantage of additional farm out. I at that point offered some alternatives and one thing I said we

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1	could consider would be putting the acreage in all of
2	Section 8 outside of the other existing working unit into
3	another working interest unit to increase their ownership.
4	There was no resolution of the problem at this discussion.
5	However, when the meeting broke up, they were going to their
6	joint interest partners to decide what course they were
7	going to take. Of course, I fully expected an acceptance
8	of a farm out or participation.
9	Q Did they say they would let you know?
10	A That's my recollection.
11	Q Did you receive a response on your invitation
12	to join or to farm out?
13	A Well, I received an indirect response when our
14	staff read in the Midland paper that another location had
15	been staked.
16	Q And that was the John Hendricks Corporation
17	location in the Northeast of the Southeast of Section 8?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Did you learn at that time that they proposed
20	to dedicate the East half of Section 8 to the well?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	Q When you talked to the Cal-Mon President and
23	geologist, did they have any maps of this area or any plans
24	to drill a well at that time that they told you about?
25	A No, they did not.

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7

ſ	
1	Q They did not have any maps?
2	A They did not have any maps.
3	Q Did they say they were proposing to drill a
4	well at that time?
5	A No, they did not.
6	Q On the day you learned that they had or you saw
7	the location in the Midland paper, what action did Harvey
8	Yates Company take?
9	A I instructed Bob Strand of our office to
10	call in application for forced pool under the South half
11	of Section 8.
12	Q And you control or the unit controls seven-
13	eighths of the acreage in the South half of Section 8?
14	A That's correct.
· 15	Q You control five-eighths of the acreage in the
16	East half of Section 8?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q And John Hendricks Corporation controls three-
19	eighths of it?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Mr. Yates, Did you furnish to Cal-Mon or John
22	Hendricks Corporation an AFE for drilling this well?
23	A Yes, we did.
24	Q Do you have a copy of it with you?
25	A No, I don't. I do now.
	Nve Reporting Service, Inc.

1	Q Let's mark this as an exhibit. What are the
2	costs shown on that AFE for drilling a dry hole?
3	A Six hundred four thousand dollars.
4	Q What are the costs shown on that AFE for
5	drilling and leading a producer?
6	A Nine hundred thirteen thousand five hundred
7	dollars.
8	Q What zone would that be completed in?
9	A This would be completed in what's referred to
10	as the Austin Pay.
11	Q And that's the zone that has produced about
12	four billion cubic feet in the Phillips Well in Section 17
13	and the zone in which you are presently, Adobe is presently
14	completing the Hannah Well in the Northeast quarter of
15	Section 17?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q Did you submit to Cal-Mon a joint operating
18	agreement?
19	A Yes, we did.
20	Q And were the costs for a drilling well set
21	forth on an accounting procedure attached to that joint
22	operating agreement?
. 23	A For operating the well?
24	Q Yes.
25	A Yes, sir, that's correct.

		34
1	Q Are those costs \$270 per well?	
2	A Yes, they were.	
3	Q And were those costs on a drill well rate	
4	\$2700?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q And each of those are per month?	
7	A Yes, that's correct.	
8	Q What is the penalty for a non-consent owner	r
9	under this working interest unit agreement to which you	ý
10	previously testified?	
11	A The non-consent penalty under the working	
12	interest unit to the West of the location we have staked	•
13	is 500%.	
14	Q And recognizing that the Commission's auth	orit
15	is 200%, do you feel like the maximum risk is appropriat	e in
16	this case?	
17	A I do. I might point out also that where n	on-
18	operating working interest owners under a unit directly	to
19	the South operated by Adobe. Those non-consent penaltie	s
20	in their operating agreement are 500% also. This is rec	og-
21	nized as a result of these two units in the area as being	g a
22	very high risk area.	
23	Q Mr. Yates, approximately how many deep well	ls
24	does Harvey Yates Company operate in Southeastern New	
25	Mexico?	

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters

621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7

ſ		
1.	A	Approximately 30.
2		MR. LOSEY: I believe that's all the direct
3	examination.	
4	_2 -	MR. HENSLEY: If the Commissioner please?
5	N. Carlotte	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Hensley.
6		MR. HENSLEY: A few questions.
7	.	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Losey, do you want to offer
8	Exhibit Four?	
9		MR. LOSEY: Yes sir. Is this the AFE that you
10	prepared?	
11	¥	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
12		MR. LOSEY: We offer Exhibit Four.
13		MR. RAMEY: It will be admitted.
14		MR. LOSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15		CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. HENSLEY	(:
17	Ω	If the Commission please. Mr. Yates, You
18	inidcated in	the course of your direct testimony that Harvey
19	Yates Company	was the operator of this working interest
20	unit to the We	est, is that correct?
21	A	No, I didn't. I said we operated that portion
22	of the unit w	hich was in the South half of Section 8.
23	Q	I see. Is it not correct, sir, that originally
24	when it was co	onsidered by the other working interest owners
25	in your unit,	namely Yatos Petroleum Company and AMOCO, to
• •		Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail — Suite 7

1	drill a well in this Section 8, that proposal for the
2	drilling unit was in the West half of Section 8?
3	A An independent land man in Midland was
4	attempting to put a unit together which included the West
5	half of Section 8. We had not agreed to go in that unit for
6	one specific reason, because the West half of Section 8,
7	we thought, was an inappropriate initial location.
. 8	Q Did the fact that you own 120 acres in the
9-	West half, 160 acres in the South half have anything to do
10	with your feeling with respect to the viability of that
11	proposed West half unit?
12	A No, it didn't.
13	Q Nothing?
14	A Nothing.
15	Q Isn't it a fact, Mr. Yates, that Yates
16	Petroleum Corporation in fact recommended that the drilling
17	unit comprise the West half?
18	A Not co my knowledge.
19	Q How about AMOCO Production Company?
20	A Not to my knowledge.
21	Q If they had recommended that the drilling unit
22	be a stand up unit comprising the West half would you have
23	been involved in those determinations?
24	A If they had recommended the unit unitize the
25	West half ?

ŀ	
1	Q Yes.
2	A We would have not been a participant in the
3	well.
4	Q But once you found out that the West half was
5	proposed, you refused to participate, is that correct?
6	A I never understood that AMOCO or any of the
7	other unit owners were recommending the West half. I was
8	informed by a land man in Midland trying to put together a
9	unit that his unit included the West half. I discussed the
10	unit with him and told him we would be unwilling to unitize
11	our acreage in the West half and drill a well.
12	Q You indicated in the course of your negotia-
13	tons with Mr. Monaghan that it didn't appear that they had
14	done any geological studies of this area. Do you know
15	whether or not Yates Petroleum Company has conducted geolo-
16	gical studies of this area?
17	A Yes, they have.
18	Q How about AMOCO Production?
19	A I can't say. I really don't know.
20	Q They have extensive interests in this area,
21	do they not?
22	A They do.
23	Now, with regard to this telephone conversa-
24	tion with Mr. Monaghan, Mr. Yates, Which I believe you
25	indicated you believed occurred on January 23rd?
	Ware Depositing Courses Inc

不是我们的人们的对象 的复数人名英格兰英格兰

MR. LOSEY: I believe he said 22nd or 23rd. 2 BY MR. HENSLEY: 22nd or 23rd, correct. I'm sorry. Was the 3 Q / 4 principle discussion at that time whether or not the drilling 5 unit would be the West half of the South half? .s A No. It was not? 8 A No. Do you recall that in connection with your 9 proposal for the South half, that Mr. Monaghan suggested that 10 they be allowed to take their proportion at part of all 11 farm out acreage earned as a consequence of drilling a well? 12 Not in the telephone conversation. In the 13 14 personal meeting, yes. He did try to make that point. Do you recall that in answer to that request, 15 16 that you said absolutely not and that Monaghan and the other interest owners involved, which owned acreage in the 17 East half, had only three alternatives as far as Harvey 18 19 E. Yates Company was concerned, is that correct? 20 You are not quoting me verbatim. Α 21 No, I'm not intending to. O But essentially that is what I said. 22 A 23 Did you report to --24 But however, let me backtrack. I didn't say 25 that they had three alternatives. What in fact I said was

expensive time, the only way we can reward -- pay back that overhead and expenditure was through earning farm outs. That is, I think it was equitable to share those farm outs. I did not give simply three choices. I also mentioned the choice of perhaps putting a separate working interest in it together to give them a bigger interest in the South half which was I think his objection, or it was what he wanted to do by getting a share of the farm outs. Those were four alternatives.

- Q Were three of the alternatives that they participate for one-eighth of the cost, get no benefit from the farm out?
 - A That's correct.

- Q Farm out for one-sixteenth override until pay out and then convert to a 40% working interest?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Or that you would force pool them?
- A In mentioning the force pooling, I had to explain that we had a very short time fuse of our lease. We had to move ahead as quickly as we could. It was not put forward as a threat.
- Q Well, I didn't intend it to sound that way necessarily. Only the three alternatives were suggested as a course of action which they could follow. Thank you very

1	much.	
2		EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. RAMEY	
4	Q	Mr. Yates, I notice this AMOCO acreage has
5	a 3/18/79 exp	piration date.
6	A	Yes, that's right.
7	Q	You have to have a well spotted on that day
8	or before?	
9	A	Yes, that's correct.
10	Q	Thank you. So you would need an order then?
11	A	Yes sir.
12	Q	Previous to that time?
13	A	We certainly would.
14	Q	If we saw our way clear to grant you an order.
15		MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the wit-
16	ness?	
17		MR. LOSEY: Yes.
18		RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. LOSEY	
20	Q	I don't know that it's clear, Mr. Yates, but
21	as I underst	and your testimony on either January 22nd or 23r
22	you called M	r. Monaghan and invited him to join, and shortly
23	after that h	e came over to your office with his geologist
24	Mr. Carlson.	
25	A	That's correct.

1	Q And that you then had the meeting we're
2	talking about?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q It didn't take place on the phone?
5	A No, it didn't.
6	Q What expenses has the unit and Harvey Yates
.7	Company been out in this area in preparing for this well?
8	A We have purchased numerous seismic lines.
9	Of course, we have large acreage holdings. We have tied up
10	weeks of staff time in preparation for this well.
11	Q Do you know what the unit share of seismic
12	work was in dollar numbers approximately?
13	A I would have to go back to give a reasonable
14	guess, but for scientific work we have spent easily in
15	excess of \$50,000. That would be our share.
16	Q One other question. In the spacing unit com-
17	prising the South half of Section 8, does the state of New
18	Mexico have leases covering one half of the acreage in that
19	spacing unit?
. 20	A That's correct, 160 acres.
21	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Losey, we don't give any
22	preferential treatment to state leases over federal leases
23	or fee leases. So if you're belaboring that point, it's
,24	needless.
25	MR. LOSEY: I'm trying to secure the consent

of the other commissioner, enlist his support, Mr. Ramey. I think that's all. MR. HENSLEY: Mr. Ramey, one question if I may of Mr. Yates. RE-CROSS EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. HENSLEY: 7 By your drilling of the proposed well, Mr. 8 Yates, in the South half of Section 6, did you require any 9 acreage from Yates Petroleum Company in the South half of 10 Section 7? 11 We don't propose to drill a well in the South 12 half of Section 6. 13 I'm sorry, South half of Section 8. 14 We're not earning any acreage from the Yates 15 Petroleum. 16 One other question. You indicated that you 17 expended some \$50,000 in technical services in connection 18 with this matter. Was all that money relegated and budgeted 19 for a test in the South half of Section 8? 20 No. That money was expended -- that is a 21 minimum figure which has been expended in the unit area and 22 what we refer to as that MacDonald Area. 23 Yes sir. One other question. You indicated 24 that included in the expenditures which you had incurred

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters

521 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

were monies paid for seismic information?

25

ted in the interpretation of that of those seismic survey It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map. Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from	Г	
closer proximity to the proposed location in Section 8, other than the seismic data which Mr. Lattu referred to running through the center line in Section 17 and 18? A We have bought other lines that were interpreted in the interpretation of that of those seismic survey It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map. Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to	1	A That's correct.
other than the seismic data which Mr. Lattu referred to running through the center line in Section 17 and 18? A We have bought other lines that were interpreted in the interpretation of that of those seismic survey It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map. Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	2	Q Do you have any seismic information, sir, in
running through the center line in Section 17 and 18? A We have bought other lines that were interpreted in the interpretation of that — of those seismic survey. It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map. Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	3	closer proximity to the proposed location in Section 8,
ted in the interpretation of that — of those seismic survey It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map. Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	4	other than the seismic data which Mr. Lattu referred to
ted in the interpretation of that of those seismic survey It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map. Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	5	running through the center line in Section 17 and 18?
It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map. Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	6	A We have bought other lines that were interpre-
9 Q But are they in closer proximity to that? A No. 11 Q Thank you, sir. 12 A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. 16 Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. 19 MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. 20 MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	7	ted in the interpretation of that of those seismic surveys
10 A No. 11 Q Thank you, sir. 12 A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. 16 Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? 18 A It ran approximately North to South. 19 MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. 20 MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	8	It was evident in Mr. Lattu's map.
11 Q Thank you, sir. A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	9	Q But are they in closer proximity to that?
A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	10	A No.
do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	11	Q Thank you, sir.
Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	12	A Mr. Lattu corrects me. I stand corrected. We
Section 16. Q That's further away, is it not, than the location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	13	do have North/South seis-line which runs North from the
16 Q That's further away, is it not, than the 17 location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? 18 A It ran approximately North to South. 19 MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and 20 draw that on line. 21 MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line 22 of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from 23 approximately here South on down to eventually the field to 24 the South.	14	Southeast quarter of Section 17 to the Southwest quarter of
location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed? A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	15	Section 16.
18 A It ran approximately North to South. MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	16	Q That's further away, is it not, than the
MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	17	location of the seismic line Mr. Lattu earlier discussed?
draw that on line. MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	18	A It ran approximately North to South.
MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	19	MR. RAMEY: Perhaps Mr. Lattu would come and
of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	20	draw that on line.
23 approximately here South on down to eventually the field to the South.	21	MR. LATTU: It's a North/South seismic line
the South.	22	of the data we purchased and a portion of it runs from
	23	approximately here South on down to eventually the field to
MR. RAMEY: Thank you.	24	the South.
	25	MR. RAMEY: Thank you.

MR. HENSLEY: It's unclear to me, Mr. Ramey, where this line runs. May I ask the witness again, please? 2 MR. RAMEY: He drew it on my map as going --3 starting at approximately MacDonald on the Section line between 4 and 5 and then proceeding Southward on the section 4 line between 8 and 9 and between 17 and 16 and further South. 5 6 BY MR. HENSLEY: 7 Mr. Yates, are you a geologist sir? Q 8 No, I am not. As one of the principle owners of the company, were you involved with Mr. Lattu in the technical mapping 10 of the Pennsylvanian structure as indicated in your Exhibit 11 12 Two? 13 No, I was not. A Were you present today in this hearing room 14 Q when Mr. Lattu indicated that the structure which was mapped 15 and shown on that exhibit was interpretive and not tied to 16 17 seismic data? 18 I was present. 19 No further questions. Q 20 Seismic data I would like to say is also A 21 interpretive. Interpretive from sub-surface data as opposed 22 Q 23 to other --24 And seismic. A 25

1	Q With the only seismic line which he indicated
2	to have been considered in connection with this structure
3	projection being that line which runs East and West through
4	the mid-points of Section 17, 18 and 16 to the South of the
5	proposed location?
6	A Would you mind if Andy answered that question?
7	Q No, I'm asking you. Do you remember?
.8	A Why don't you re-state the question.
9	Q All right. In discussing this matter with Mr.
10	Lattu, and asking him about seismic information upon which
11	his opinion was predicated, he related to my recollection
12	that there was a seismic line wich he considered to be
13	informative of structure and described it as that line inter
14	secting the mid-points of Sections 16, 17 and 18 to the
15	South of the proposed well?
16	A That's correct.
17	MR. LATTU: In reference to the structural
18	interpretation on the top.
19	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Lattu.
20	BY THE WITNES:
21	A As I understand your question, you are asking
22	me if Andy said that was the only seis-line he used in his
23	interpretation?
24	Q Yes.
25	A And I did not hear him say that was the only
	Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

Nye Reporting Service, Inc Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7

1	seis-line he used in his interpretation.
2	MR. HENSLEY: Very well. No further questions
3	MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the
4	witness? You may be excused.
5	MR. LOSEY: That's all the Applicant's case.
6	MR. RAMEY: Do you have anything further, Mr.
7	Losey?
8	MR. LOSEY: At this time, that's all.
9	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Hensley, do you want to
10	MR. HENSLEY: My counterpart, Mr. Coffield,
11	will take over.
12	MR. RAMEY: All right. Mr. Coffield.
13	MR. COFFIELD: If the Commission please, we
14	have got some rather large exhibits which probably can best
15	be demonstrated if we go ahead and tape them to the wall.
16	MR. RAMEY: Let's take a five minute recess
17	and tape them to the wall.
18	(Whereupon a brief recess was taken.)
19	A.T. CARLTON
20	being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon
21	his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. COFFIELD:
24	Q Would you please state your name, address,
25	occupation and position relative to the Applicant?

45 I am A.T. Carlton, That's Carlton, by the way, I live in Midland, Texas. I'm an independent not Carlson. petroleum geologist and my relationship to the Applicant is 3 I am co-tenant in this lease and a consultant. Mr. Carlton, are you familiar with the application of John Hendrix Corporation in this case? 6 A I am. Are you familiar with the property and the 8 proposed well location involved in the case? I am. A 10 Have you previously testified before the Oil 11 Conservation Division as a geologist? 12

> I have not. Α

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Would you please state briefly for the benefit of th Commission a brief history of your educational and work experience?

I received my Bachelor's Degree in Geology from the University of Texas in 1951. I received my Master's Degree from the University of Texas in 1952. to work in June of 1952 for Ohio Oil Company in Midland, Texas. I served in the capacity of the geologist there for a year. They put me on a seismograph crew for six months, at which time they moved me to Roswell, New Mexico. I lived in Roswell from January, 1954 until June of 1955, at which time I resigned to take a position as chief geologist for

I worked in the permia basin of West Texas and Southeastern

New Mexico. I left that position in 1961 and became an
independent consulting geologist. In 1964, January of 1964,

I formed a geological mapping service, mapping and consulting
service by name of Structure Maps Limited. I was the principle in that company. In 1976, in June of 1976, I resignedwell, I sold my interest in Structure Maps and became an
independent petroleum geologist, which I am today.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Chairman, is the witness considered qualified?

MR. RAMEY: Consider him qualfied.
BY MR. COFFIELD:

Q Mr. Carlton, would you please state what John Hendrix Corporation seeks by its application?

A John Hendrix Corporation seeks to pool all the mineral interests in the Mississippi formation underlying the East half of Section 8, Township 14 South range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico. That's the dedicated to be a well to be drilled to the standard location. Also want to consider the cost of drilling -- completing that well and the allocation of the cost of that well as well as the actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also, we will consider the designation of the applicant as operator of that well and a charge for risk involved in drilling the well.

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.

Certified Shorthand Reporters

521 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7

Santa Fe. New Mexico 87501

. .

Q To your knowledge, is 320 acres the standard proration spacing accrage for this area?

A It's my understanding it has been recently declared as 320.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Carlton, Exhibit One, please refer to that and explain to the Commission, what this reveals?

Exhibit One is merely a land map. I guess I'd A better get on this side so the Commissioners can see. Exhibit One is merely a land map showing the proposed units and the wells in the area that either have drilled to the Chester Austin Pay or are proposed to be drilled to the Chester Austin Pay. This yellow acreage is the Cal-Mon's group acreage. This by the way, excuse me, is Exhibit One and you have copies thereof. The hachured half of the section is the unit that John Hendrix Corporation has proposed. The South half is the unit that Harvey E. Yates Company has proposed. The dashed purple line here is the outline of the Harvey E. Yates working interest unit as we understand it that they will be earning by drilling of this well. This apparently is an East half working interest unit proration unit that the Adobe No. 1 Hannah is on. It appears that this is -- a west half unit that Harvey Yates has applied for in the West half of Section 20. It's my understanding -- I think we will get into this a little bit more with some later testimony -that the Adobe No. 1 head state is requesting a North half

That's all this map is intended to show, just a sort unit. of location of the land area. This is Exhibit Two. This is a regional geological structure map in the area of interest. It is from a commercial service, Geo Map Company. I show this only to locate the area and to demonstrate that the predominant regional trends in the area are North/South. The predominant structural trends are North/South. This is a map on top of the Yates formation. I don't believe that's Harvey Yates formation. I think that's our Yates formation. Generally, you can see East regional dip, North/South strike, everything lined up nicely in a North/South direction. is on top of the Pennsylvanian line. The same thing is evident, only a lot more so in the Denton Field, the South Knowles Field, the King Field, the Gladiola Field over to the West, the Badley-Hightower trend, Moore East Cap Rock trend, everything North/South. Everything in this area structurally is lined up North/South.

e 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

The red square here represents Section 8, which is the section being contested. This map is on top of this Devonian. It shows the same thing, only more decisively, the structures going into the Tatum Basin area in which this is located are faulted down into the basin. But all I'm trying to demonstrate here is that this is North/South structural country.

Q Mr. Chairman, we had a problem with respect

to this particular exhibit in its reproduction. Mr. Carlton, would you explain what that is? We only have one copy. This is a leased service to which I subscribe. 3 Reproduction is prohibited. It's not terribly germane to 4 the question except as to how it shows the North/South line, which I think is universally accepted by geologists who 6 work the area. 7 Q. In any event, we are going to tender this 8 exhibit with the understanding that we can and will leave 9 the exhibit here for further study by the Commission and by 10 our opposition, if we can subsequently receive it back. 11 MR. RAMEY: All right. After a decision is 12 made, we will so notify you or mail it back to you, or some-13 14 thing. 15 BY MR. COFFIELD: Did you have anything more to add on that 16 exhibit, Mr. Carlton. 17 No, that's the only point I wanted to make, 18 that it's a North/South structural line. 19 Are you familiar with -- I think you covered 20 this to a certain degree already, but are you familiar with 21 the acreage dedications which have been made to the other 22 wells in this vicinity? 23 To the extent that I discussed on the land 24 plat. I am not familiar with what sort of dedications 25

Adobe has on their State 16. I think there's a North/South arrangement, though, but I'm not sure of that. The rest of it, I think I covered in these other apparent designations One of them being a West half designation in Section 20 that is, and I understand it, being contested, been applied for by Harvey Yates Company. And then the East half of 17, which as I understand is also a special case, because of the Phillips Austin Well having been drilled previously and a 160 acres being committed to it.

Q Then let's go to Exhibit Three and please explain what that represents.

the Mississippian line. The lower Mississippian line. It has been constructed on top of the lower Mississippian line because a lot of the wells in that area have not gone to the Solero-Devonian. I have used the lower Mississippian line to utilize all of the deep sub-surface well control that's available in there. This is the deepest that is available. However, this should closely correspond to the Solerian-Devonian because the interval from the top of the lower Mississippian line to the top of the Solero-Devonian is pretty uniform in the area. All I'm trying to show here really is the deep structure and that again, based on strong sub-surface evidence, no seismic leads -- I don't have any -- but strong sub-surface evidence, this is a North/South

aligned feature.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Let's go on to Exhibit Four then, if you please, and explain what that represents?

Exhibit four is a contour map of the top of the Chester Lime Pay. I am sure that -- this is Mr. Lattu's top of the lower Morrow zone. Perhaps it's a question of semantics. At any rate, this is my top of Chester Lime, top of Austin area Pay. It shows very much what the other map showed. There is a little différence. This formation, I think, has an erosional unconformity at the top which affects the structure locally. But at any rate, it does show a North/South line based on good sub-surface evidence. The Adobe-Hannah, which has recently been logged and was discussed earlier, is low to the Adobe State 16 Well, forcing Westward shift in contours. Obviously, although I was not privy to any dip meter logs in there, the dip meter -dipping to the Northeast as it does, thank you, Andy, really helps to confirm in my opinion a structure that I have there 'Cause that's what I'm showing as Northeast dip, the structure leading off in this direction. I think this is a reasonable interpretation of the sub-surface data at hand. I think it would probably be the picture that most geologists would come up with, given the information available in the area. The blue dashed line is what I am calling the top of the gas/water contact. I will come back and try to give

is the top of -- well, it's the base of the Chester Lime porosity, top of the water contact. Now, the way I came at that was that in all cases, with the exception of the Zapata No. I Danglade up here, the porosity in the Austin Pay zone occurs within the top one hundred feet of the section. It doesn't in the Zapata Danglade, only because there is an additional section added at the top. As I say, I think this is an erosional unconformity, so there is a blob at the top that's added over it, which you can correlate the porosity zones all the way up to here.

And I'm going to demonstrate that to you in a minute. So sort of a history of the area. In 1957, Phillips drilled their No. 1 Austin. They drilled it into the Devonian. It pentrated 180 feet, as I recall, of tight Devonian and then got a lot of water. So if there is a Devonian structure in there, Devonian oil or gas column, it would have to be in the top 180 feet. It had 40 feet of gamma ray neutron porosity, 42 feet of micro log porosity in the Chester section. It was completed in that section for an IPCOF four million nine hundred twenty-five thousand cubic feet of gas back in 1957 until 1/1/78. It's made a little over four billion cubic feet of gas and over fifty-five thousand barrels of distillate. In an attempt apparently to extend this production, Cherry Brothers and Cabot

Corporation moved off down here and drilled their No. 1 Austin State. It was wet in the Solero-Devonian. It had only eight feet of porosity in the Austin Pay, eight feet of log porosity. It tested that porosity and it tested tight. Subsequently, Sinclair moved up here about the same time actually. Sinclair and Texas Crude moved up here and drilled this well, looking for the Chester Austin Pay. Now, in my opinion, this well had 43 feet of sonic log porosity. I'm prepared to defend this. I have got the log with me. Their perforations included only the 8 -- the top eight feet of the porosity. The rest of it, which was better developed by log interpretation, was never perforated. On production tests, this well flowed from this top set of perforations which was thicker than eight feet but included only eight feet of porosity, it flowed to two point one million cubic feet of gas per day. They kept treating it. The gas volume kept going down. It's my understanding it made some water. Whether or not it did, I think the significant point is that there was 35 feet of porosity, most of which was better developed than the upper porosity which was never perforated and surely the operator must have thought it was wet or they would have perforated it. The black wood and nickles in the No. I Woodward was drilled actually before any of these wells. It was drilled in 1954. There was not a good porosity tool run on the logs. They did run an old EF survey

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which shows 40 feet of SP, which is one of our better tools in those days and shows porosity. That's porous. We can't say how porous it is, but we can say it's porous. It was not tested. It had no shows. All right. Another well here, I'd say is close to water. We had a well here I'd say had no shows.

MR. RAMEY: Might help the record if you would identify the location of the wells you're testifying.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Should I go back and do that at this stage?

BY MR. COFFIELD:

Q Just start there where you're talking.

Section 24-14-35 encountered the Chester Pay, the Austin Lime Pay, below the water, based on the fact that it had no shows. The Sinclair and Texas Crude No. 1 Richardson in Section 5-14-36 is assumed that at least the bottom 35 feet of porosity is water-bearing, since it was not perforated and not production tested. Therefore, I think that that pins down this water table here pretty well. Okay. The Zapata No. 1 Danglade was drilled in 1957. This is in Section 3, up to the North of Section 3-14-36. It had, according to my calculations, 80 feet of neutron logged porosity. It had no shows. It was not tested. It should have had none. It's below the water table. In April, I

believe, of 1978, Adobe Corporation drilled their No. 1
State 16 in Section 16, Township 14 South 36 East, and
according to my calculations has 33 feet of sonic log and
density log compensated neutron log porosity. It was potential for two point seven four million feet of gas per day.

It's my understanding from people I have talked to that the
well has been re-acidized and after it was re-acidized it
was making gas at the rate of fourteen million cubic feet
a day.

MR. YATES: That was calculated absolute on that flow. It never flowed fourteen million a day, Toby.

THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. Appreciate that.

BY THE WITNESS:

.15.

In the process of being completed. Of course, I'm not as familiar with what's happening now as the Harvey Yates people are, because they are part of the working interest in it and I'm not. However, the well has been drilled to a total depth. In the Mississippian line, it encountered the total for the Chester minus ninety-two fifty feet, log top. According to my calculations, it has 36 feet of porosity in the upper Chester Austin line Pay. It has a good gas separation on the density neutron log. I see no reason why it should not make a good well. It is my understanding that

that they are going to perforate -- excuse me, test, I think. I might get corrected on some of this, but these are my understanding. That they are going to test the permal pen line bank, which was porous on the log and which I think there is a dispute about what the water saturations were from the information I have calculated.

Okay. That's basically what has happened in the area. This is the way I see the structure in the area. I think the point on the Adobe-Hannah is critical in that it establishes the Northeast dip I have al. dy referred to. It turns the strike to the Northwest and makes a North/South trending structural feature. This is a cross-section.

Q This is Exhibit Five, Mr. Carlton?

Exhibit Five. This is a cross-section. A cross-section which is hung on top of the Austin Pay, the Chester Lime. This is the top of the Chester Lime that's hung there. Everything else falls in where it may. This is my top of Morrow. I think it's also Mr. Lattu's top of Morrow. This is his top of Lower Morrow, I believe.

Q Mr. Carlton, hen you say this, are those on the exhibit? Are those lines sufficiently identified so when the Commission looks at them --

A They are identified on the section. The Chester lime is this interval from here to here. The Morrow

unit is from here to here. Everything that is in that unit is identified as being in the unit. The geologic unit, excuse me. This is the Phillips No. 1 Austin, the well that kicked it all off. The red colors, this is a gamma ray neutron log. The red colors is the porosity in the Austin Chester line. This is the Adobe No. 1 State 16 in Section 16. Now, this is a sonic log. They don't run the neutron logs any more much, so I'm having to compare it to a neutron log. So the porosity here is better than it would seem by comparing it to the neutron log. But at any rate, they have got basically the same upper porosity zone. But this lower zone here that was included in the Phillips No. 1 Austin completion is not developed in the Adobe No. 1 State 16. I obviously didn't have access to the Adobe No. 1 Hannah so I don't have it on there. But I'll tell you that from a visual inspection it does have this lower zone present. The Zapata No. 1 Danglade in Section 3-14-36, which is this well way off up here to the Northeast, has the same porosity zone present.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, this is a thicker section. As I told you, in my opinion, this is an erosional unconformity and whether it is or not, the section is thicker. You would expect for it to be thinner on structure, because either through non-deposition and/or erosion on top of this structure, the section, if it was eroded, should be eroded more. This is an off-structure well. There is no question about that.

porosity zone over to here, in my opinion, and here, this lower porosity zone, there is 80 feet of porosity. You do have a more or less continuous porosity zone in that section and that's exactly what this exhibit is meant to suggest.

Q Go on. If you're through with five, why don't you go on to Exhibit Six and explain that one, please?

A That's a cross-section. It's a structural cross-section and it's hung on an amount of 6,000 foot datum. Here is the Penn lease that Mr. Lattu referred to earlier. Her is the top of the Stromm.

- Q Mr. Carlton, is the A.A. Prime?
- A Excuse me. the A.A. Prime.
- Q On the plat?

1.1.

A Thank you. I forgot to point that out. Here is the line of this section. It's a red line on this map.

Actually it goes from here, from the Superior Betenbough in Section 32 -- these are all in 14-36. The Superior Betenbough in Section 32 -- excuse me, back up. That's in 13-36, the Superior Betenbough. The rest of them are in 14. Okay, back up on that. The Sinclair and Texas Crude No. 1 Richardson in Section 5-14-36. The Tenneco No. 1 Montieth Hannah in Section 7-14-36. The Phillips Austin, Phillips No. 1

Austin, Section 17-14-36. The Cherry Brothers and Cabot Corporation No. 1 Austin State in Section 19-14-36. And

the Blackwood and Nickles No. 1 Woodward in Section 24-14-35. Going from North to South. This is the Superior No. 1

Betenbough. The main point that I'm trying to make here is this is the Sinclair and Texas Crude No. 1 Richardson and here is the porosity in this well, sonic log porosity, which occurs mainly below my water table that I have projected here. This is the top of the erceded upper Mississippian Chester section. This is the Pay zone with the porosity in dark red, gas cap in light red in the Phillips No. 1 Austin. This is the Cherry Brothers well. You can see they have had virturally no porosity. No wonder it was not productive. Here is the Blackwood and Nickles No. 1 Woodward. Obvious porosity, can't tell how much in terms of percentage, but 40 feet of it. It's barely below the estimated water table. So this is mainly in support of my water table contention.

Q We're ready for Exhibit Seven.

A All right. This is an isopack map of the next porosity in the Austin Pay zone. This is what occurs in the top roughly hundred feet of the Austin Pay. Here is the Zapata No. 1 Danglade in Section 3 of the well which, as we have already heard, had the thickest section in the area porosity. The producers are the Phillips No. 1 Austin, which is sitting down here in Section 17-14-36. Here is the Adobe State 14, which I give 33 feet of logged porosity and was completed for two point seven million. The Adobe

No. 1 Hannah, which according to my calculations, has 36 feet of porosity. Basically what we're showing here is a generally North, Northeast line bank of porosity in the Austin Pay zone, which intercepts or is intersected by a North/South aligned structure on top of all the mapping surfaces there.

ΰ

I'd like to demonstrate that a little bit more vividly, if I could, by -- I have made an overlay of the porosity isopacks. This is just showing these isopacks here. I think this shows why the field is producing.

Q Is this overlay then the same as Exhibit Seven?

constructed. It is a tracing of the isopack lines on Exhibit Seven. So, here is the Austin field Pay entrapment as I see it. It is controlled by the water table. The down dip limits of the water table. This bank runs across it in this fashion so that actually going to the Northeast, you should increase the amount of porosity that you have and not decrease it going to the North. I expect that a well in either of these locations will probably have in excess of 50 or 60 feet of porosity in the Chester. I think the problem could be if that at this point, according to my interpretation, the porosity is starting to go under water so that a well in the South half of this section should have considerably more pay section than one in the North half.

Q Anything further to add with respect to this?

A Well, no. Do you want me to go into my conclusions now?

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Yes, we're ready for that. Do you want to refer to the exhibits or not?

A Yes. The written part. Yes, I have a written summary with what basically I have said here that is marked as Exhibit Eight, I believe, Conrad, is that right?

Q It is Exhibit Eight, that's correct.

Okay. Basically, the way I feel about this is that this is a -- if this is the structural picture, it's very well documented by sub-surface information. We don't really need any seismic because we have such strong subsurface control. I feel that as you are going North, you are obviously going down the North plunging access of the anticline. I think even Mr. Lattu's map suggests that. I do not think that there is any reason to suspect that you ought to tighten your contours and bulge it in right here on the East half based on a reasonable interpretation. But I feel like that because the porosity is going under water in the North half of Section Township 14-36, that it might turn out that it would be uneconomical to drill a well in the North half of this section. On the other hand, if we had an East/West alignment, and East half and a West half, then that would accomodate two standard locations in the South half of the section and should result in more

recoverable gas from Section 8 Township 14-36.

There is a good possibility the well in the North half would never be drilled.

- Q Do you want to back down here now, Mr. Carlton?
- A (The Witness Complies.)

10.

Q Mr. Carlton, in connection with your Exhibit Eight, do you have anything further to add with respect to the summary you have made there?

A Well, I think a North half and South half proration unit designation could easily wind up with only one well being drilled in Section 8 and although I have already heard earlier that — whether or not the state had minerals in the area or not, that would just be, if I could just say half of their minerals would be included in a producing well in that event. So I am suggesting that more gas and distillates were recovered by the East half and West half designation as opposed to the North half, South half, because I felt like a well in the North half might very well end up to be uneconomical and might not be drilled.

Mr. Carlton, from your knowledge of the prospect involved here and general area involved, have you an opinion and recommendation with respect to a penalty factor which should be applied by the Oil Conservation Commission in connection with forced pooling this?

A I do.

1	Q What is that opinion?
2	A One hundred percent penalty.
3	Q One hundred percent?
4	A One hundred percent.
5.	Q Would you please explain briefly why you feel
6	this recommendation is justified?
7	A The sub-surface control in this area is not
8	very good. At the time that earlier penalties were applied,
9	this was a rank area with only one producing well. Now we
10	have three producing wells. We have good sub-surface control
11	We can see that the formation is the porosity zone is
12	fairly continuous. We have got well documented structural
13	information. I think the wells that I am suggesting could
14	be drilled in the South half of the section are very low-risk
15	ventures.
16	Q So you don't think it's any riskier than with
17	respect to, for example, a South half configuration such as
. 18	has been proposed by the Harvey Yates group as compared to
19	the East half?
20	A I think a well in the North half would be
21	riskier, but as to wells in the South half, I can't say that
22	location couldn't be staked which would be have very
23	little risk involved.
24	Q Mr. Carlton, were the exhibits presented and
25	discussed by you either prepared by you or under your
	Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

supervision?

A All of them with the partial exception of one.

My section A.A. Prime, which is Exhibit Seven, I believe -no, Six, isn't it?

Q Six.

A l Six. However, it was prepared by a knowledgeable geologist who works the area. He was nice enough to let me use it. I have gone over it. I concur in what it says. The oil/water contact and the gas column and the porosity interpretations that I put on the map are my own.

In your opinion, Mr. Carlton, will approval of this application by John H. Hendrix Corporation prevent drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise prevent waste?

And protect correlative rights?

A Yes.

MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I move the admission of Exhibits One through Eight.

MR. RAMEY: With the exception of Three, which we will look at but we won't admit it, okay?

THE WITNESS: That's Two, I believe, sir.

MR. COFFIELD: Two. It's Two A, B, and C, Mr. Chairman.

MR. RAMEY: Okay, we won't admit Exhibit Two

MR. COFFIELD: I have no further questions of

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

9

7

3

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

then.

.

23.355

1	this witness	on direct examination.
2		MR. RAMEY: Let's recess until about 1:30.
3		(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
4		MR. RAMEY: Any questions of the witness, Mr.
5	Losey?	
6		MR. LOŠEY: Yes sir.
7		CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. LOSEY:	
9	Q	Mr. Carlton, you've got a small interest, do
10	you not in thi	is 140 acres?
11	A	I do.
12	Q	Or in this 120 acre lease that's in Cal-Mon's
13	name?	
14	A	I do.
15	Q	Were you present or did you go with Mr.
16	Monaghan over	to Harvey Yates' Company office on January
17	the 22nd or 2	3rd?
18	A	I did.
19	Q	Do you remember which of the two days it was?
20	A.	I don't know. I don't know that it's germane,
21	but I don't re	emember, no.
22	. Ω	Was the conversation generally as outlined by
23	Mr. Yates, or	summarized?
24	/ A	Surely. I do recall that perhaps our choices
25	were not quite	e as varied as what he suggested, but I do

4	Northeast of	Had you all prepared to drill this well in the the Southeast of Section 8 at that time, was
5	that accompl	ished?
6	A	No, no.
7	Q **	All of these maps prepared after that con-
8	ference?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	All prepared sometime in February, were they
11	not?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did you stake the location in January or file
14	your notice of	of intent to drill on January 29?
15		Yes. If we had to do it again, we might
16	goof it based	on more recent information that we had.
17	Q	Where would you locate it?
18	· · A	I'd go one location West.
19	Q	You would drill it in the Northwest of the
20	Southeast?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	You heard Mr. Lattu's statement that on his
23	Exhibit Two th	here were 22 wells that had been drilled to the
24	Pennsylvanian	
25	A	Yes.

_		
1	Q	And that 3 of those wells would pay out?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Which I suppose means that 19 will not pay out?
4	A	Yes.
$\tilde{5}$	Q.	And these are 14,000 foot tests?
6	A	No sir, not all of them.
7	Q	Well, they're 12 to 14,000?
8	A	Yes sir. But most of them did not go to
9	what we're	calking about. Most of them did not go to the
10	Chester lime	Pay.
11	Q	I realize that. I think he counted seven that
12	did I believe	3.
13	A	Okay.
14	Q	Wouldn't you consider those rather the fact
15	that that m	any wells that did get nearly this deep is the pa
- 16	zone were no	t going to pay out as a rather high risk well?
17	A	Whether I'm not drilling to the reservoir
18	I'm looking	for I think it's not at all germane to the ques-
19	tion, sir.	
20	Q	Don't you think expense or costo of drilling
21	has some fac	tor to it?
22	A	Well, if I'm looking for Chester Lime and
23	drill too st	rong, I don't think that proves anything as
24	to whether	the Chester Lime is productive or not.
25	Ω	You don't think any of the possible interme-
• •		
	1	Nes Denoming Convice Inc

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

1	diate productive zones for the surface down to what you're
2	drilling to has anything to do with the risk factor?
3	A It doesn't have anything to do whether the
4	Chester Lime produces or not. And that is the main object
5	ive in the area, it's the only one that has produced an
6	appreciable amount of oil from hydrocarbons.
7	Q But the others in the Pennsylvanian, are not
-8	going to pay out?
9	A I suspect not, but that's not what we're
10	looking for.
11	Q Mr. Carlton, are all of these maps cast in
12	stone so that another well or two in the Austin Pay might
13	not change some of these contours?
14	
15	A Mine no more than his.
16	Q I'm really looking at yours.
17	A All right.
18	Q I'm sure it might well change some of his too.
19	A Certainly. As a matter of fact the latest
20	well changed it. Changed my interpretations of it.
21	Q I notice I notice in your I think this
22	is Exhibit Three, you have this large faultarea towards
23	the Northeast corner of your map. You're aware of Mr.
24	Lattu's high structure in here on the top of the Penn.
25	Mapped on these wells that are produced or tested.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	69
1	A Yes, his is farther East than mine.
2	Q Don't you think that suggests these high wells
3	on the top of the Penn would lead to a suggestion that the
4	deeper horizons might also have a high in there?
5	A Not necessarily. I prefer to deal with the
. 6	formation that I'm contouring on. I think it could be, but
7	even if it is, it hardly changes my interpretations. Even
8	if those wells are high, you're dealing with a lime build
9	up in the Pennsylvanian, and quite often those lime build-ups
10	in the Pennsylvanian are even East/West in a line.
11	Q But your statement is there could be a high
12	in this Lower Mississippian, right in here?
13,	A I'm showing one, yes sir.
14	Q And actually higher than this, as you do?
15	A I doubt it. That it would be.
16	Q So that you would say Mr. Lattu's mapping of
17	his suggests that the top of the Penn is also a guide to
18	the Lower Mississippian, or is in error?
19	A No, it's a guide. I think you have to take into
20	consideration there are several things, and from pieces of
21	information that you have as a guide. I think the North/
22	South alignment as proven by the geological recent geolo-
23	gy in the area points strongly to a North/South line for the
24	pre-Pennsylvanian bids.
25	Q Now, really isn't the alignment on your map

somewhat Northeast, as matter of fact, on your Exhibits 2 Three, Four and Seven? When I say North/South, I don't mean pointing to the North Pole necessarily, I mean in a general way North/ $\tilde{\mathbf{5}}$ South; yes. It actually, if you lay it across your map - - Q to extend up Northeast would it not? A little bit, a little bit. From looking at your structure on Exhibit Four -- I'll go up here and point it out -- would you tell 10 11 me whether or not locations would be structurally as good 12 in the North half as they are at your proposed location. 13 For example, annorthodox location in the Southeast to the 14 Northeast, one in the Southwest to the Northwest, wouldn't 15 they be structurally as good? . 16 On that interpretation they would. However, 17 you would be moving farther into the unknown and your 18 risk factor would be increased. 19 But if your structure map is correct, those 20 locations would be just as good from a structural standpoint, 21 would they not? 22 If my map is carved in stone, yes sir. Α 23 Q Looking at your Exhibit, is this Seven, your and 24 porosities on pac? 25 A Yes sir.

ì	Q Actually, as far as porosity is concerned,
2.2	a location further to the North would be better than your
$\hat{3}$	proposed location such as the Southeast to the Northeast,
1	Southwest to the Northeast, both of which are North half of
5	orthodox locations.
6-:	A If they're under water it wouldn't do much
, 7	good.
8	Q But as far as the porosity
9	A Yes.
10	Q map is concerned, they'd be a better loca-
11	tion than your present location?
12	A So far as the Danglade.
13	Q Now, as I understand, the basis for your gas/
14	water contact shown on your Exhibit Four and your concern
15	about water in the North half of the section was the Sinclair
16	and Texas Crude-Richardson well?
17	A That was one of them, yes sir.
18	Q . What other wells, are the basis?
19	The Blackwood and Nickles No. 1 Woodward in
20	Section 24, I believe: pod and diexkes -
-21	Q Did they encounter water?
22	A They encountered no-shows which was indicative
23	of water.
24	Q Well, now did they recover any water when
25	they tested the well?

1	A It wasn't tested. There wasn't anything to
2	test.
3	Q But all you're essentially saying is that there
4	wasn't any water out here, but you don't know that the water
5	is there?
6	A Well
7	Q You go to the next contour in.
8	A What I'm saying is above that, the water table
9	is above the datum of the top of the Chester Lime that the
10	Blackwood Nickles No. 1 Woodward.
11	Q Where did you encounter water inside of your
12	gas/water contact, in which of these wells?
13	A I don't believe any wells encountered them.
14	I don't think any wells tested it that didn't have shows.
15	Q Well, why do you think it's here rather than
16	further in.
17	A Because the Sinclair and Richardson made gas
18	at rates up to two million cubic feet a day on production
19	tests slightly above where I'm putting the water table.
20	Q And they didn't test down where you're putting
21	the water table?
22	A They did not run production tests down below
23	there.
24	Q Do you know why they didn't?
25	A I think the inference is clear that the though
	Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters

1	
1	it was water bearing. The porosity is much better then what
2	they perforated.
3	Q So that you made an assumption that the opera-
-1	tor thought that was water bearing?
5	A I made that assumption. I think it's a
6	sound geological assumption that a lot of people would come
7	to.
8	Q Mr. Carlton, is there anything else that shows
9	up on a sonic log that looks like porosity?
10	A Well, yes. But that was porosity in my opinion
TÎ.	And in the event that you're making a case for it not being
12	porisity, I would say it would be easier to prove that it
13	is than it isn't.
14	Q What else shows up as porosity on a sonic log?
15.	A Chert-does sometimes.
16	Q Isn't chert rather common in the Mississippian
17	line?
18	A Chert is common in the Lower Mississippian
19	line sir, it's not common in the Upper Mississippian Chester
20	section and in fact, as Mr. Lattu maintains in the Morrow
21	it's not at all common.
22	Q Well, do you think it's Morrow or Mississipp-
23	ian?
24	A No sir. I think its Upper Mississippian line,
25	and I believe characterized by eualites (sic) and not chert.
	Q

1	Q But I believe your statement is that chert			
2	on that sonic log would look like porosity. And the opera-			
. 3	tor might have seen chert in the samples which would have			
1	been a reason for not testing the Mississippian?			
5	A I would say that that is a very very rank			
6	possibility.			
7	Q But it's that well that you used as the basis			
for establishing gas/water content?				
9	A No sir. There are two wells.			
10	Q Well, all this well did, it didn't encounter			
11	any water.			
12	A It encountered a lack of shows and porosity.			
13	If it didn't have hydrocarbons in it sir, what did it have?			
14	Q Well, did they test water?			
15	A No sir. But it was there. Generally when			
16	you don't have a show you don't bother to test.			
17	Q But it's on the basis of those two wells and			
18	those two logs that you have drawn this gas/water content?			
19	A That should be enough, yes sir.			
20	Q I take it it's your testimony that this is a			
21	water dry reservoir?			
22	A It would be my assumption, yes sir.			
23	Q Is that an assumption?			
24	A At this state I don't think that there is			
25	really that much history on the reservoir with only one			
	Nye Reporting Service. Inc.			

well that has produced over a period of time. These two new wells haven't given us enough history.

- Q Well, if it's not a water dry reservoir?
- A I didn't say it wasn't.
- Well I'm taking the other side of the coin if that's all right Mr. Carlton.
 - A Okay.

ā

Q If it's not a water dry reservoir and as you just pointed out your Exhibits Four and Five show that structurally you can get as good or better location in the North half and you can get better porosity in the North half than your proposed location in the Northeast to the Southeast, why do you still propose to drill it down there?

possibility that, as you say, I hate to coin your phrase again but it was yours, carved in stone, if a well is drilled in the location, the Harvey Yates location that proves to be much lower than what it is now, then that could materially affect the interpretation as the Adobe-Hannah well did. When I first made this map that was before I had the access to the log on the Adobe-Hannah, and it materially changed my interpretation. I felt that the North half of the section was as high or perhaps higher than the West half, or that the East half was. But that changed my interpretation. If another well was drilled in there surely I

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

tight on the

1	won't hit it right on the dot.			
2	Q Well, is the risk increased by going into the			
3	North half that it's going to be under water, or is the risk			
4	that your porosity and structure map is wrong?			
5	A The risk is that you're on the North plunging			
6	axis of the Austin anticline, you don't know at what rate			
7	it's dipping and the risk is that you're going to find			
8	your porosity under water or more of your excuse me,			
9	let me qualify that, more of your porosity under water.			
10	Q So that water is your real concern in going			
11	north?			
12	A It is mine, yes sir.			
13	Q Well, is this a water dry reservoir then?			
14	A In my opinion, yes.			
15	This Phillips Well in the Southeast quarter of			
16	Section Seven has been on production since the 50's. Has			
17	it produced any water?			
18	A I really can't answer that.			
19	Q Do you know whether or not the well still			
20	produces?			
21	A Yes it is.			
22	Q Did you have any production figures on it?			
23	A Well the last I checked, it was producing at			
24	the rate of about fifteen million cubic feet per month and			
25	122 barrels of distillates per month.			

[
1	Q When was that?
2	A That was as of 1/1/78, which was the last New
3	Mexico Conservation Commission yearbook.
4	Q So that was what, fourteen months ago?
. 5	A Whatever. That was as of that date.
. 6	Q So you don't know whether it's producing that
7	or not?
8	A No, I don't a I don't have any reason to
9	suspect that it is not.
-10	Now, that New Mexico Conservation yearbook
11	also shows water production. Did you see any water produc-
_12	tion for the well?
_13	A I don't recall.
14.	Q According to your numbers, it was down to a
15 .	half million then. If it was a water dry reservoir would
16	some water not be showing up with the production then?
17	A Well, not necessarily. It might be moving up
18	slowly. I don't know. It's obviously starting to deplete.
19.	It's also far enough above the water table and has really not
20	yet produced the seven or eight billion cubic feet of gas
21	that it's supposed to. It's a fairly tight reservoir. I'm
22	not sure you'd expect water at this state.
23	Now, you said it was supposed to produce seven
24	or eight billion cubic feet?
25	A Yes sir.

ſ	
1	Q How much has it produced so far?
2-	A Four billion.
3	Q You're not a reservoir engineer are you, Mr.
4	Carlton?
5	A No sir.
6	Q Is it the highest well of the wells so far
7	completed in the Austin Pay zone?
8	A The highest structurally, yes.
9	Q That water dry reservoir, would that be the
10	last well that you would expect to complete?
11	A Assuming the porosity was homogenous and that
12	it drained the whole reservoir. In this case, they set up
13	320 acre proration units. I am aware of people that wanted
14	a 160 proration units because they didn't think the porosity
15	was giving enough to drain more than 160 acres.
16	Q Did I get an answer to my question?
17	A Would you repeat your question?
18	Q My question was that if this is the highest
19	well in the field, wouldn't you assume and it was a water
20	dry reservoir, it would be the last well to deplete in the
- 21	reservoir?
22	A Well, I thought I answered that actually.
23	Q Okay. I didn't catch your answer then. Would
24	you explain it again?
25	A All right. I'm saying if the reservoir was

homogenous, if it was completely permeable throughout, if you had free movement of hydrocarbons in the reservoir, 2 then yes, that might be the case. But when you have a 3 tighter reservoir, where you're perhaps draining only a 4 hundred and sixty acres then that might not necessarily be ă the case. Longevity should have a lot to do with it. Or the volume that is produced. Mr. Carlton, would your concern about or your 8 assumption that the field is a water dry be altered if I 9 were to explain to you that it is my understanding that the 10 Phillips Well has not had any production since 1978, and 11 that it's bottom hole pressure is eight or nine hundred 12 pounds, and that it has produced not water at this point? 13 Well, I would say that in that event that 14 it's drained its 160 acres that some people think all it's 15 going to drain anyway. 16 Water wasn't the effective dry source in the 17 18 water reservoir? I think it probably is if you have porosity in 19 A 20 the water. And the Phillips Well still would be recovering 21 no water and be at a point of depleting? 22I think that's possible, yes sir. Α 23 MR. COFFIELD: That's all. 24 MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the witness 25

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Mr. Stamots.

BY MR. STAMETS:

Q Mr. Carlton, on your Exhibit Number Four, you show two contact lines, one of them a pink line and the other a blue line. What are those two lines again?

CROSS EXAMINATION

A What I'm trying to show is the point at which the base of the Chester Lime porosity goes under water. In other words, that's the contact between the base, the Chester Lime porosity and the top of the water. The Chester Lime porosity concerning primarily in the hundred feet or, excuse me, the Austin Pay porosity concerning primarily the top hundred feet of the Austin Pay zone. So all I have done is come down a hundred feet and say this is where the porosity goes under water so that everything outside of that line will not have the entire porous section above water.

Q The pink line represents where the base goes under water?

A Yes sir.

Q And the blue line represents where the top of the porosity goes under water?

A Yes sir.

Q So then on Exhibit Four, it would be fair to say that the entire south half -- or North half of the

section would produce some water in the section in question. 2 I would expect that, yes sir. The entire South half would be the better gas 4 productive horizon? A In my opinion, yes sir. Would it also be fair to say that a well or w 7 wells located in the South half of that section would drain 8 more gas from the section than a well say in the North 9 half and a well in the South half? 10 I would think that you would have better drainage, the farther South you got in to the section, yes 11 12 sir. 13 Do you anticipate that two wells located as 14 you have proposed, that they would adequately drain this 15 section before they watered out? 16 Yes sir. I think so. I personally feel like 17 and Mr .. -- the attorney here, I'm sorry, Losey. You mis-18 pronounced my name, I guess I can forget yours. 19 MR. LOSEY: Sure. 20 BY MR. CARLTON: 21 I personally feel like Mr. Losey helped me 22 out on this. It's my understanding, and my source on this 23 is George Yates, that the -- they figured that these wells 24 will recover three and a half to four billion cubic feet of 25 gas per hundred and sixty location. Well, that's essentially

that the Phillips-Austin has done. It's made four billion cubic feet of gas, so I think that the two wells drilled in the South part of the section would probably -- plus the wells that will be drilled farther south will probably drain most of the porosity above water. Now, in preparing your exhibits, the large map exhib its with structure on them, did you use more points or less points than Mr. Lattu? Well, I used less points, but I think I

used more pertinent points because I'm contouring on top of the producing horizon and the Pennsylvanian as we talked about before, although it generally conforms a little bit it specifically does not. And we have got good strong subsurface control. You can see in the Bersom up there. The Cisco-Bersom, in that -- see how it comes up and down so you wouldn't expect that a contour horizon on top of the Penn Lease would reflect what's below it, necessarily. In a general way, but not necessarily.

MR. STAMETS: That's all.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COFFIELD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A STATE OF THE STA

Mr. Carlton, you have a location staked in unit I.

Yes sir.

Q If the Commission granted you the East half

are you set to drill there or would you move it to use it? Sir, I would prefer to move it to use it. That would be your recommendation? Yes sir. That was chosen and staked before ŏ the information on Adobe No. 1 Hannah well was available 6 and after seeing that, we changed our interpretations and feel like the J location is a better location. MR. COFFIELD: Thank you? MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the witness? 10 MR. LOSEY: Wait just a moment. That's all 11 Mr. Ramey. MR. RAMEY: Mr. Coffield? 12 13 MR. COFFIELD: One more question. 14 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. COFFIELD: 16 Mr. Carlton, you have indicated I believe to 17 Mr. Losey here that these maps were prepared after the meet-18 ing with Mr. Yates on the 22nd or 23rd of January, whatever that date was. Was it after that date that you obtained 19 20 geological information and so forth on the area? 21 No. We, of course, bought our lease in there 22 based on the fact that there had been a known structural 23 feature in there. I have known about it since 1954 when 24 the Phillips well was drilled. I prepared a set of maps 25 which are working maps. I did not think they were appropriate

to present at this hearing. I have prepared a display to 2 try to show what I was -- try to show the geology as I see 3 it. The working maps I had were hardly appropriate for this, 4 but as far as having prior knowledge of the area, it goes 5 back at least to 1954 and mans prior to the time we bought that lease which I don't remember, we have had it well over a year, about two years I think. I don't remember the date 8 on the lease but certainly I had geological information 9 and interpretations prior to that time or we would not have 10 bought the lease. MR. COFFIELD: No further questions. 11 12 MR. LOSEY: That precipitates one. 13 MR. RAMEY: Go ahead, Mr. Losey. 14 RE-CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOSEY:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understood, if you recall Mr. Yates testimony, that he was told by you and Mr. Monaghan that you didn't have any maps for the area. You had not mapped the area.

- I did not say that, sir.
- And you did have a map of the area?
- - You didn't show it to Mr. Yates at the time?
 - He didn't ask for it. A

MR. LOSEY: Thank you.

Ű,

MR. RAMEY: No more questions? Mr. Coffield? MR. COFFIELD: No, I have no more. MR. RAMEY: Any other questions? The witness 3 may be excused. MR. COFFIELD: We do have three more Exhibits coming up here which are not going to be posted on the wall. 6 (Whereupon a discussion was had off the record.) 7 JOHN H. HENDRIX 8 being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon 9 his oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. COFFIELD: 12 Would you please state your name, address, 13 occupation and position that you hold? 14 My name is John Hendrix, I'm from Midland, 15 Texas. I'm a petroleum engineer and President of the John 16 17 H. Hendrix Corporation. Are you familiar with the application of the 18 John H. Hendrix Corporation in this case? 19 20 Yes I am. Are you familiar with the property and the 21 proposed well location? 22 23 A Yes sir. Have you previously testified before the Oil 24 25Conservation Division as a petroleum engineer?

l	A Yes sir, I have.			
2	Q Were your qualifications accepted and made a			
3	matter of record?			
4	A Yes sir.			
5	MR. COFFIELD: Is the witness considered			
G	qualified, Mr. Chairman?			
7	MR. RAMEY: Considered qualified.			
8	BY MR. COFFIELD:			
9	Q Is John H. Hendrix Corporation the owner of			
10	the leasehold on the acreage in which the proposed well is			
11	located?			
12	A The corporation is a part owner or co-tenant			
13	in the 120 acre state lease in the East half of the East			
14	half of the section.			
15	Q Would you explain further then the John H.			
16	Hendrix Corporation position in connection with this par-			
17	ticular well?			
18	A Okay. On January 25th, at a meeting at Bob			
19	Monaghan's office, the majority of the owners in the 120			
20	acres of lease that we have conducted a meeting and at that			
21	meeting, I was selected or drafted or volunteered, one or			
22	the other, to be the operator of a proposed unit to consist			
23	of the East half of Section 8.			
24	Q Mr. Hendrix, would you refer to what has been			
25	marked as Exhibit Nine? And explain that please to the			
	Nye Reporting Service, Inc.			

Commissioner? Exhibit Nine is an AFE, that I prepared reflecting the estimated cost to drill and equip a well to and a 13,900 feet in the Austin area. Would you now go ahead and refer to what has been marked as Exhibit Ten and explain that to the Commissioner? Okay. Exhibit Ten is a copy of a proposed operating agreement on the form provided by the Petroleum Land Map Association. In that we simply propose some of the customary provisions that are frequently in operating agreements.

This Exhibit Ten, this joint operating agreement being submitted as Exhibit Ten, reflect certain sums as overhead and expenses?

Right. What we have proposed is a \$2,500 per month drilling well overhead. And we propose a producing well rate overhead of a \$150 per month.

In your opinion, and from your own knowledge, are these amounts consistent with charges of the sort commonly made in the area?

They are consistent with what prudent operators chaige. I'll touch on that a moment. I understood George earlier to imply that he would to make a profit on the overhead and we -- our group, that's not our mode.

> Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

10 11

3

4

õ

6

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

The reason, the 150 every February, my staff will go through and compute in a very careful manner what our per-well overhead was the previous year for the year 1978, it was slightly over \$149, like \$149.60. So we charge people in our deals precisely what our costs are on average. We operate something over 100 wells in New Mexico and I guess 80 or 90 in Texas. So that was the basis of our recommending \$150 per month.

Q Mr. Hendrix, referring now to the -- back to Exhibit Nine, and your AFE. Previously you had been submitted or had seen a copy of the AFE which was submitted by the Harvey Yates Company and, also, I believe, that was submitted as an exhibit in your present case.

All Yes, that's correct.

Q Would you comment on the differences between the amounts shown on your AFE and the amounts shown on their AFE?

A I will do that. Our AFE totaled \$791,950. Their AFE --

Q That was for completed work?

A That's completed to the tanks. Their AFE for the same -- essentially the same work, I think maybe they show the well a hundred feet deeper, was \$913,500. And there also -- in my mind there are some obvious questions that I can't resolve on their AFE. We're quite prepared to defend ours in a very detailed manner. One question I have

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

H,

on their AFE, if you all can refer to whatever their's is, they show under intangible drilling costs, a location cost of \$15,000 which is right in line. The part that bothers me on the footage they show a 14,000 foot footage at \$17 per foot. Then in addition to that they show a 28 days of day work at \$4,000. I simply don't understand that. can get contractors who will drill to a depth of ten thousand five hundred feet at a cost of \$16.50 per foot. Thereafter we would be on day work. So it almost appears that they have made their estimates on \$17 a foot to TD, and then tossed in an additional 28 days of day work. That's inconsistent and we simply don't understand it. Additionally, mud costs, they come up with \$50,000. We are told that on the first Adobe well, the actual mud cost was \$34,000. We have used \$35,000 on our AFE. Another difference, we can run four and a half inch casing. They have written seven inch or five and a half here. I don't know what that means. One or the other. Here our tangibles on our AFE are approximately \$50,000 less than the Yates AFE. These numbers I used are mil prices, FOB, Locations on materials. So the end result is that we're talking abou t in the neighborhood of \$120,000 difference in the cost of the well.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

191

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Hendrix, what experience have you had in the general area of an operator of oil and gas wells?

Well, in Lea County, as I mentioned earlier, we operate somewhere in the neighborhood of a hundred wells. I don't know exactly how many. About that. Lesser amount in Texas. We've had and have a very excellent district office at the unit, with good superintendents, drilling foremen, completion for emen et cetera. We started our operations down there in probably 1967. Based on some numbers next oil and gas associates put out for the year 1977, John Mendrix individually was the largest individual gas producer in New Mexico at approximately twelve both. That was, I don't know, twelve or something out of five hundred producers in the state. We do drill wells to this depth, oh, in a routine manner.

Q Mr. Hendrix, as a consequence of your extensive experience with wells of this sort, do you feel confident that your figures and your AFE are good hard figures?

A Yes sir, I do.

Q Let's go on to what's been marked as Exhibit Eleven, and explain what this represents?

A Exhibit Eleven was a letter that I had mailed to George Yates. On January 31. We were attempting to open some discussion on how to handle the section which we very sincerely wanted to do, still want to do. For whatever reasons the mails or someone's losing this or whatever, we've yet to receive a reply to our efforts outlined in the

letter.

Q Mr. Hendrix, passing on to another matter, relative to recoverable reserves in this area, have you studied the proposed East/West alignment of proration spacing areas versus a North/South alignment in your capacity as a drilling engineer?

- A Yes sir, I have.
- Q What are your conclusions in that regard?

A Based on Mr. Carlton's maps, the isopac maps and the structure maps and assuming we have uniform porosity, and permeability, we have concluded, or I have concluded that the East half of Section 8 should recover in the line of six to eight bcf. The West half of the section should also recover approximately that same amount and this is assuming that, here again based on the new well Adobes and especially based on this dip meter data which we're not privy to, if the East half is approved, we will be applying to move our location, one location to the West on that assumption, the recovery should be very simply in the East half and the West half.

Q Are you saying there would be significantly more production taken from Section 8 if the East half and West half are the proration areas?

A That's correct. Based on what we know now, if the alignment is East/West with the South half dedicated,

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

10,

there will be one well drilled in that entire section, and you could very well leave reserves that wouldn't be recovered Mr. Hendrix, were these Exhibits Nine, Ten 3 .1 and Eleven prepared by you under your supervision, or are they part of your files to which you have access? Yes sir, that's correct. 7 In your opinion, will the approval of the application of the John H. Hendrix Corporation prevent the 8 drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights? Yes, I believe that firmly. 11 MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Chairman, move the admission 12 13 of Exhibits Nine, Ten and Eleven. MR. RAMEY: The Exhibits Nine, Ten and Eleven 14 will be admitted. Any questions of the witness? MR. LOSEY: Yes sir. 16 17 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. LOSEY: 18 Mr. Hendrix, you and your other working inter-19 20 ests met on January the 25th. As I understand it, in this 120 acre lease. What was the purpose of your meeting? 21 A Well, to review the -- to review our investment 22 in the lease. 23 24 Wasn't the real purpose to review the proposal 25 submitted by George Yates to Mr. Monaghan and Mr. Carlton?

		, I		
1	A	That was one of the purposes.		
2	Q	That had been made to you on about January the		
. 3	22nd?-			
4	A	I don't know about that.		
5	Q	Do you know if you ever responded to his pro-		
6	posals?			
7	/ A	I have never had the proposal.		
8	Q	Do you know if Mr. Monaghan has ever responded		
9	to his propos	al?		
10_	. А	I fell sure that he has. I know that for a		
- 1-1	fact.			
12	Q	Do you know when and how?		
13	A	No. I would think on the phone, probably.		
14	Q	But the first indication you made to Mr. Yates		
15	to join in drilling the well on the East half was your			
16	letter of Jan	uary 31?		
17	A	That was the first I made, yes, it was.		
18	Q	Hadn't you already filed your notice of in-		
19	tention to drill on January 29 and had it approved by the			
20	Hobbs distric	t office?		
21	A .	Yes sir. We probably filed it before the 29th.		
22	I think it wa	s probably approved the 29th.		
23	Q	And that really was your response to Mr. Yates'		
24	proposal?			
25	A	That's not correct.		
	·			

Q Did you submit your AFE or joint opera-
ting agreement to Mr. Yates?
A Let me refer you here to my letter. Exhibit
Eleven. As a joint interest owner with Cal-Mon Oil Company
in this lease, we would like this operator if this is satis-
factory to you we'd be more than happy to furnish you with
our AFE and operating agreement for your approval. I can't
be any more explicit or direct than to make that communi-
cation and to date I have had zero response to that communi-
cation.
Q In answer to my question, though,
A That was an answer to your question.
Q You have not submitted the AFE and operating
agreement to him until the specifications today?
A That's correct.
Q Mr. Hendrix, are you aware that the Commission
orders on forced pooling provide that a non-consenting
working interest only is obligated to pay reasonable well
costs regardless of what is in the AFE?
A I'm sure the Commission has some reasonable
rulings on the matter.
Q And that that's an expressed order of the
Commission in enforced pooling?
A Well, without getting greatly off the track here
we have drilled lots of wells. For a lot of drilling

contractors. When I see an AFE that has something like this that is so far out of line. I have a lot of questions, and that's something we would very well -- to have a complete understanding of before we were a party to signing an AFE. I'm speaking for my corporation and I suspect my co-tenants would feel in a very similar manner to this. I didn't want to get that far off the subject either. I'm explaining my problem with your AFE. My question is are you aware of the fact that whether the Commission orders giving non-consenting parties 90 days after completion of the well to determine whether

they were charged with reasonable well costs?

A I'm aware of that.

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, you have given, or said you calculated the recoverable reserves under the East half and the six to eight cubic feet. What was the basis for that calculation?

I don't have my work papers with me. It was assuming -- I'll have to give it from memory, and I can furnish this to you or the Commission. Probably a 35 feet average pay thickness and that was in part -- well, the pay thickness was taken from the aspects maps that's my basis for it.

And so you assume uniformity of porosity to arrive at your six to eight feet?

Sure, you have to. There's not enough pressure history to do anything other than that. 2 Making that calculation, your porosity -- your 3 uniform porosity extends across the East half and across the 1 West half of the section? The total acre feet of porosity will be 6 essentially the same on the East half as is on the West half. To set up a standard of uniformity would not be 8 correct. 9 MR. LOSEY: That's all. 10 MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the witness? 11 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. STAMETS: 13 Mr. Hendrix, is the basis of your statement 14 that more gas be produced from this section, the way you 15 propose it as opposed to the way the Yates' proposed to 16 drill, because there will be two wells drilled as opposed 17 to one? 18 That's in part. You can -- if water is 19 present on the North end as we think it should be without 20 some uniform withdrawal which two wells would give, you're 2.1 going to get a more uniform withdrawal and that would pre-22 clude or at least help reduce the possiblity of any entrap-23 ment. By water channeling or coning fuel. 24 Q So you are staying farther away from the 25

ã

A Sure.

Q Okay. Do you think you would drill a well in the North half if the South half was dedicated to another well?

A Well, it would depend to a large extent on what the first well drilled would indicate. Let me continue there.

Q I was going to -- let's go ahead and you finish up. Why don't you do it on the basis that Mr. Carlton's maps are perfect.

A Okay. If his are perfect I would say a location in the Northwest of Southeast and in the Northeast of Southwest would be the perfect location for two wells to properly drain section 8.

Q If Mr. Carlton's maps are perfect and a well is drilled in the South half, say the Yates application is approved, would you think you could drill a well economically in the North half of the section?

A We would take a real close look at it before we would -- I don't know. The risk -- I want to stress the risks are strenously higher in the North half than the South half purely due to obvious down dip conditions and there could damn well be water somewhere up there.

MR. STAMETS: That's all.

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

.

MR. RAMRY: Any other questions of the 2 witness? Mr. Losey. 3. RE-CROSS EXAMINATION -1 BY MR. LOSEY: Isn't the risk really higher Mr. Hendrix, 5 in the North half because because at this time it would be 6 a further step out from production? 7 Yes, of course, precisely that's what his 8 maps show. 9 MR. LOSEY: Thank you. 10 MR. RAMEY: Any other questions? The witness 11 may be excused. 12 BOB MONAGHAN TO 13 14 being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon 15 his oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COFFIELD: 17 Would you please state your name, address, 18 ∴ 19 occupation and relationship to the applicant John Hendrix 20 Corporation? 21 My name is Bob Monaghan, I live in Midland 22 Texas, I'm President of Cal-Mon Oil Company, and the only 23 association I have with the applicant is that we are friends. 24 We are co-tenants, not partners I want to put that in the record, not partners in the lease acquisition and John was 25

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

A Track Service

kind_enough_to-have-his-company-act as operator for our interests. Q Have you acted as land man in consulting this? 3 Basically, I have a degree in petroleum 4 engineering, graduated in 1948 from the University of Texas, 5 Doctor of Jurisprudence in 1951, have been working in c 6 Standard Oil Company of Texas land lease department, general 7 manager for Texas Crude Oil Company, Fort Worth, I have 8 been President of Cal-Mon since 19, I believe, 66. I have 9 worked in land, some geological energy evaluations. I do most 10 ly land work and legal work, I try to stay away from the 11 geological engineering because I haven't had the experience 12 in the last 15-20 years as a person who is constantly doing 13 that so I have enough sense to go ask Conrad or Toby. 14 Mr. Monaghan, are you familiar with the ap-15 plication of John Hendrix Corporation in this case? 16 Yes sir. 17 Have you ever previously testified before this 18 Commission? 19 No sir. 20MR. COFFIELD: Mr. Chairman, he's already 21 stated his qualifications and background. Are his qualifi-22 cations acceptable as an expert? 23 MR. RAMEY: Yes, they are acceptable. 24 BY MR. COFFIELD: 25

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

2

3

4

õ

7

6

9

11 12

13 14

16

17

15

18 19

- 20 21

22

23 24

25

-s-()

Q Mr. Monaghan, are you familiar with the land work which has been done in connection with this tract, and in connection with the general area?

Just the general area? Yes sir. Based on the geology that Mr. Carlton had, purchased that lease, I believe, in February of '77, and the information generally he had he made a detailed study of the areas before the Adobe wells were drilled. It was based on his large and anticlinal features there and essentially it was -- I believe Mr. Carlton prepared all those maps probably when he did structure map and they were stored by Geo Map probably updated. Essentially we have that work plus he studied the Phillips Well and the other wells in the area. Mr. Carlton also advised me at that time that he had -- he sat on a couple of wells in the area -- the Zapata Well and the well to the Southwest there, ran samples and checked the well because he was supporting them or he was employed. So we had quite a bit of information available at that time. As far as the history after our purchase of the lease, we had enough information, I think, to justify the area because we paid Mr. Yates for was our bidder and we outbid him so we had enough geology we though, it was better than he did. After that, the Adobe Well was announced. It was drilled tight, so we never saw the log. We did not do anything as far away, I got some more development, subsequent to that, Adobe announced

a well just South of our Section 8, there, and we were related. While that was drilling we were approached by two geologists in Midland. They had farm-outs from Marylo, in our CA, and AMOCO had indicated that they had the four farm-outs that had been sold to or made a deal with Yates Petroleum Company to dill a well in there, that there was apparently some conflict between Harvey E. Yates Company and Yates Petroleum Company that was probably several months before this all came about. And that he wanted me to stay loose and not commit my acreage to any one until those problems were resolved.. I assured him that we were not, that we were actually going to see if the Adobe-Hannah Well reached total depth. I guess it was shortly after that: a tract just South of the Phillips Well was put up as a state sale. We did not bid on it. We didn't know whether the gas was dedicated or not, or what the problem was going to be we understood for the Phillips there was a possibility it might be dedicated so we stayed away from it for -- but shortly thereafter I believe, it was the Yates Petroleum man in conjunction with Harvey Yates, filed an application for a well in that Northwest quarter using designated West half. So it turned out you have Yates for the West half East halfiline in the section 20. You have Adobe-Hannah Well which has Easthalf/Westhalf. We are recommending the East half/West half in Section 8 as opposed to changing the pat-

 $\overline{2}$

3

.1

5

-6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

-15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Section of the Sect

terns in the South half.

Q Mr. Monaghan, would you please give a narrative description of the contract that you had with both Yates Petroleum and Harvey Yates Company in connection with the development of this. Kind of in chronological order, if you would.

A I don't know exactly how to say it, but there is testimony here today that conflicts with what I was told. By the two geologists who had a falling out.

Q Just state it as you know it.

A From information I received from discussion of this matter with Yates Petroleum Company. They indicated that they had asked Harvey Yates to join in the working interest unit with them, and have a West half well, West half of Yates. Mr. Yates flatly refused, it was pointed out.

MR. LOSEY: Excuse me. I realize this is hearsay, I'm not going to object, but I would like to know what the two geologists names are.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Russel Stipp and Mr. J.H. Moore. They are former district geologists and for Chevron Oil Company. They are independents now and are quite well know by Mr. Yates here and the rest of the people in this room.

MR. LOSEY: Russell Stipp and J.H. Moore.

THE WITNESS: Yes sir. They took the formats

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Same a

Ź

õ

 $\hat{\mathbf{6}}$

as I understand it from these companies.

MR. LOSEY; Excuse me. Go ahead.

BY THE WITNESS:

. 22

A They indicated that they refused, that the AMOCO lease expired March 18th, that Mr. Yates' lease expired in October, to quote an employee. I asked Yates amployee participating in the working unit, and I said how in the world could all this happen, that you all lost control of this. And this, I don't know how to say it, except I'll change the language, he says frankly we were blackmailed.

MR. LOSEY: Let me stop again.

THE WITNESS: That's Mr. Randy Patterson, sir.

MR. LOSEY: All right. If I'm going to listen
to hearsay I want to know who it is relating to.

THE WITNESS: That was a conversation I had directly with him it's not exactly hearsay. I believe the next 1 knew I got a call from Mr. George Yates who was in town said we're going to drill a well, why don't you join us. I said, well, what do you want to do in the South half. BY MR. COPFIELD:

Q Just a minute here, Mr. Monaghan. Is this telephone call and so forth the one which preciptated the meeting on the 22nd or 23rd.

A It was Tuesday, January 23rd when our meeting was, at ten o'clock in the morning. He called and asked

if we'd join the well. I said, well, we'd like to see what you got, what you want to do. I still had not heard back from Yates Petroleum or from Russell Stipp about their deal. And I was pretty committed to wait to see what they did. So I said do you have anything you could show us. We don't have a lot of information. We did not have a copy of the Adobe-Hannah well — the Adobe 116 Well. We did not have prive to the tests, or core or anything else. So I said may we come over and talk to you, maybe show us some of your geology, we don't have a lot on that.

These new developments is what we were referring to. So he said yes come over. So I called Mr. Carlton and we went over and sat down, while Mr. Yates was on the telephone Ithink Mr. Lattu showed us a couple of his small scribble maps he'd fixed up to show us. I said, well, do you have anything else to help us make a decision that this is what we ought to do. He said, no, we can't show you anything else. I said do you have anything we could show to our partners to help them and us make a decision. I can't answer for everybody else. To our co-tenants in the thing, and he said no. And we were not shown the log on the Adobe-Hannah so we had no idea what it had in it. Not the Hannah 116.

Mr. Yates came in, they discussed the working interest unit, and I said will we be entitled to pay our way,

and participate in that. He said no. I said we're being asked to pay one-eighth the cost by drilling this well you earn the farm-outs in those sections and half outside of the unit, and you still don't think we're entitled to there. My feeling was if it's dry hole money would be hold the dry hole money and say it's all his because he got it, or would we be allowed to share in that. And I think they are the same thing, that most reasonable and prudent operators with ethics would approve that. Then we kept talking about this, what we could do. He said, well, in no uncertain terms, you can join us and pay your eighth. You will not share in any farm-ins, period. You can't non-consent. You can farm out for a 16th and a 40 percent back in and of course, our thoughts were then the people he's over here forcing for 40 percent back in, when the common deal now is 50 percent in most of these areas, were the people with expiring leases. Ours were brand new leases. We paid a hundred and eighty-seven dollars or something like that an acre for it. We were not in jeopardy. There was a well drilling just South of us that would be down quite a while before, and it's been proved since then, you have to start drilling. I thought we were entitled to some decision on that: He said, well, it's do that or I'm going to forcepool you. That's the only choice you got. Then Ken said, have throughout, cash way, hel'll, well we might just take

 2

3

4

ã

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In James of the

the whole thing and make a whole unit out of it, put a five hundred percent non-consent clause in there. Frankly, I never head of a five hundred percent non-consent clause before, and I just started and set there. Frankly, after that we went outside and I looked at Toby. I said, Toby, I feel like a stepbrother's child. We came over here with our good feelings toward you, and you say that's why we bought the lease, everybody wants to participate in the well that's why we're buying those leases, we bought some 20 or 25 thousand acres up there in the last year. I came out like my lease is expired, I was asking a favor, and still try to help cooperate. So we came back, and I was shook, I got on the phone and called John Hendrix, called the President of the Tree Oil Corporation, also associate buyers in that thing, called Jack Markham and John Wellburn up in Lubbock. I said, what do you think? This is what he's told us he'll do. All of them were astounded that these people would not offer us a chance to participate at their cost on any farm-outs that were required, that a farm-out would only be a 40 percent and that if we didn't we could go to pot. Because they were assured the could sell enough to force-pool us up here. So they said, well, why don't you talk to your attorney about that. So I called Mr. Coffield up, I had to do it the next day because that was that way, January 24th, talked to him and he told me . I have never been to a

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
621 Old Santa Fe Trail ~ Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

. **. . .**

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Commission Hearing like that, I have no idea what the procedures are. I then got on the phone and talked to everybody again and told them what Mr. Coffield's firm felt that situation was. Needs to be decided what we're doing, what we're going to propose. When we got to the meeting and talked around about the situation, the well drilling and how deep the Hannah Well was, it was pointed out that after Mr. Tates and them got the Northwest quarter of 20, they immediately, before Adobe, who's the operator, filed a permit. The viiled one to beat them to the punch. And I thought, well, that's kind of scary, because I also know that when Adobe had a meeting with Harvey Yates and the rest of the people in that group about the No. 116, recommending 160 acre spacing that everybody seemed to agree and a very short time after that they were advised that Harvey Yates came over here and applied for 320 and didn't even advise Adobe and them that they were doing it. So that kind of scared me. That we might be confiscated or our rights taken away from us without due process. So we recommended that the thing to do to protect ourselves against abfull Commission Hearing would be to file a form C-101 on what we like best for our lease and have it adjudicated here before this Commission. You want me to go ahead with the story after that?

Q Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

-13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

新聞教育の表演では、東京教育教育教育教育教育教育教育、1980年に、新聞教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育教育、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1980年に、1

A The form, I think, was probably filed the

Friday the 25th, I think it was, or 6th. Tuesday was the 23rd, it would be the following Friday and then on the following Monday, I believe, it was approved. On Tuesday, Mr. Lattu called me and said Bob, what's going on. I said well, what I saw here, I was afraid we were going to be forced into something we didn't want to we were quite concerned over the conditions and we felt like we had been kind of browbeat and everybody was shocked and actually mad. Some of them are still mad. So I said I think -- why don't you talk to George Yates. He said, well, George is in Colorado. I said well, talk to somebody, see if we can't get to gether and talk about this thing because we're headed for a hearing and I -- even know George Yates said the reason he wanted the South half to the West half was that he wants to unitize all those leases over there in the West half. We got a lot more paperwork and time to do this if we're going to have that way, and if we go to court we're going to have a lot more time. So, I believe it was on February the 1st, a Monday I believe it was, following our talk, I guess, with Mr. Lattu, it was on the 30th. Mr. Bob Strand called me and said he'd been advised of this filing of ours and wanted to know what we could do and everything. I told him we had some problems that we'd like to discuss with them, why didn't he have Mr. George Yates and the others all get together and have a meeting and discuss these that we did not want to go

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

before a hearing, that we thought they could be worked out.

Ĺ

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SALES OF SHAPE

He said, well, I feel two things, we could probably go to the West half, we might go the West half, but I'll tell you what, when you get ready to do it your way we will go non-consent and you will carry us for our interests, and you will prove up that, plus you will prove up our leases to the East and Northeast there. We feel that would be a good deal for us, he said. Actually, I can almost assure, I think I know exactly what George Yates will do when he comes back. Which seemed like another -- what we had before. On February the 2nd I was talking with Yates Petroleum regarding their well in 22-23 that we have joined a large unit with them, and said you all are relatives with these people. I don't know what your relations are, other than I've heard rumors, why can't you all work out a deal where we can all meet. He said, what's the big objection. I said, well, we were given those three options and told there was no way we could participate in the farm-ins, which you all took from Stipp and Moore. I said, would you object and they said, no, I don't think we would. I don't see any reason why we would object. Well please talk to them and lets get something going.

MR. LOSEY: Mr. Monaghan, I'm going to listen to some more hearsay, but tell me who is relating.

THE WITNESS: Randy.

MR. LOSEY: That was Randy Degan?

BY THE WITNESS:

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The state of the s

Yes. I believe it was on the 7th or 6th I talked to Bud Hebert. On the other thing, about some of the operating leases had been signed and AFE's, and I said, Buddy, did you ever get anything. He said well, I think we kind of got turned down. I said, well, please try it again. He said, I will. Two or three days went by, I didn't hear from him. I talked to Randy Patterson, I said Bud never called me back, we're still waiting here, it's getting closer to filing for a hearing. We haven't had a discussion. He said, well frankly, he's in Washington and I don't know what Yates told. I said, well, would you take it on yourself to have some communication with them so that we can do it. I believe it was on -- it was several days after that, after the 7th. Probably a week, Inhave the exact date here somewhere, I even typed up the transcript from the conversation I had a Dictaphone cover it. He said I talked to George Yates and George said he's done all the talking he want's to do. He's not going to talk to you all about anything. He'll see you in Santa Fe.

Now, I think if there's any indication that we haven't tried to communicate and resolve these differences I think they are misstated and misrepresented because we have. And they're both working together every day, we

surely they could do it. And I think we made every effort. 2 MR. LOSEY: Mr. Monaghan, who was this last 3 person we talked to? THE WITNESS: Randy Patterson. MR. LOSEY: Okay. That's right. THE WITNESS: I assume Mr. Patterson will . 7 probably lose his job after this, but this is the actual 8 truth, I hope you won't vindictive. 9 MR. YATES: He doesn't work for us. THE WITNESS: Yes sir, I know that. Do you 10 have any more questions? 11 BY MR. COFFIELD: 12 13 Do you have anything else? Just a summation. 15 All right. 16 I think from what you all have seen here, 17 prepared by Mr. Hendrix and Mr. Carlton who are both quali-18 fied, the predominance of evidence is that two wells can be 19 drilled in that section. That by so doing the state will 20 recover a lot more money, a lot more of it's share of oil 21and gas than you will from one well 660 feet from the South line and no other wells drilled. Frankly, if Yates' threat 2223 is true, I will not go up there and drill a well for a 24 quarter interest with a risk factor like that, for whatever penalties you had, and if it's anywhere what you think it is

I wouldn't go drilling up there anyway, it wouldn't pay my part of it. I think that the basic reason for Mr. Yates' putting the South half which I have been indicating before in testimony that they would have a 120 acres in the West half unit, the South half would have a 160, which gives them a bigger interest in working this unit, and also gets them a little closer to the field. I think the communications have been very serious that from the very start, from the first thing several months ago that they have been browbeating people. Trying to force them to do everything that they wanted to do. And been partially successful. The state will lose 50 percent probably, of the recovery they might get by going along with this attempt, and I think that the intent of High-Co is largely obviously for a selfish interest and does not try to protect higher interests or the state of New Mexico's interests. I think by coming here and filing this petition, that they're attempting to get you gentlemen to lend some shade of respectibility to what they're doing. It's not acceptable for what they're doing to most of the people I know and all the people I know are all against this. He's done it to do his two Adobe down there on 160 acres spaces there, he's done it on the West half of Section 20, which are questionable in themselves. We feel the expressed need of the Commission should not be subjected to these requests that they are making. Approval of forced

ā

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

pooling actions will deprive not only the adjoining land 2 owners who live here, property rights, and protect from drainage but will also protect you. I do not in any way think their request would prevent waste or protect correlative rights of all the parties concerned. 6 Q You think our request would? Yes sir. 8 MR. COFFIELD: Ihave no further questions of 9 this witness. 10 MR. RAMEY: Any questions of the witness? 11 MR. LOSEY: Yes sir. 12 MR. RAMEY: Mr. Losey. 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 15 BY MR. LOSEY: Mr. Monaghan, what day did you talk to Bob 16 Strand on the telephone? 17 The date I had on the call slip was February 18 I brought some of those call slips in, in case -- I 19 believe I may have that. Would you like to see that slip 20 if I have it or will you accept it? I can furnish you my 21 telephone records or we can check it or I can find it later, 22 but up to today I wrote it down. 23

> Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified $^{\mu}$ Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

You wrote February one?

Yes sir.

24

25

Q

		And the state of t	
1		Q Where did you talk to him?	
2		A He called me from somewhere, I presume his	i
3	0	ffice to my office.	
4		Q He called you?	
5	i	A Yes sir it was the day after I talked to Andy	
6	1	attu, I asked if Andy communicated to them that we would	
7	1	ike to have a meeting to the following day if that day was	
8	F	Febraury the 1st or Monday then he returned the call the	
9	1	next day.	
10		Q Did he tell you he was Bob Strand?	
11		A Yes.	
12		Q Did he tell you where he was located?	
1:		A That he was with Yates Petroleum, no sir.	2
	4	Q He was with yates?	
•	5	A I'm Harvey Yates Company, and he talked later,	,
	6	too, when he told me what happened, that's the wayw under-	
	7	stand it, that's what I wrote down.	
	18	O This is Bob Strand?	
	19	A I have never seen the gentleman before in my	
	20	life I still don't know him. I think I asked Mr. Coffield	+
	21	or somebody do you all know Bob Strand. The guy called and	ļ
	22	he talked to me and he said this is what we'll do.	
		Q Tell me the gist of his conversation again?	
	23	A It was very short, he asked if we did it and	
	25	what were our reasons.	
	20	Nov.	

A STATE OF THE STA

•	
1	Q Where did you talk to him?
2	A He called me from somewhere, I presume his
3	office to my office.
4	Q He called you?
5	A Yes sir it was the day after I talked to Andy
6	Lattu, I asked if Andy communicated to them that we would
7	like to have a meeting to the following day if that day was
8	Febraury the 1st or Monday then he returned the call the
9	next day.
10	Q Did he tell you he was Bob Strand?
il	A Yes.
12	Q Did he tell you where he was located?
13	A That he was with Yates Petroleum, no sir.
14	Q He was with yates?
15	A I'm Harvey Yates Company, and he talked later,
16	too, when he told me what happened, that's the waywf under-
17	stand it, that's what I wrote down.
18	Q This is Bob Strand?
19	A I have never seen the gentleman before in my
20	life, I still don't know him. I think I asked Mr. Coffield
21	or somebody do you all know Bob Strand. The guy called and
22	he talked to me and he said this is what we'll do.
23	Q Tell me the gist of his conversation again?
24	A It was very short, he asked if we did it and
25	what were our reasons.

Q You did what Mr. Monaghan? I told him the reason we did it we wanted to protect ourselves and and thought they were being unfair, and we could not participate, that we were afraid we were going to lose our interests that we tried to protect ourselves and we'd like to discuss it with you would you please come over here, and he said well, we may go the West half. I said, well right, that's what we want, that's what Yates Petroleum wants as I understand. He said if we do, you'll have to carry us on any other stuff. I'll tell you what I think George Yates will do soon as he gets back. Bob Strand and talked to you on February 1st?

You're satisfied that this man told you he was

I've got a scribbly paper here if I can just type it.

I'm not concerned about the date, Mr. Monaghan, is the fact of the conversation what a man who related said he told you he was Bob Strand. 6.

Well sir, I have never heard of that name before, I have never heard it since. I don't know where I would have gotten it if somebody hadn't called me and said they were Bob Strand.

Now, you have related all these tactics that you say Harvey Yates Company has done for the Austin-Mississ-One of which was that Harvey Yates Company asked

> Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

 $\mathbf{24}$

25

2

3

4

5

6

Ź

10

11

12

13

14

ា5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1.

١ for 320 acre spaces for the pool, is that correct? 2 In the ---3 Austin-Mississippi. 4 Harvey Yates did, no. Adobe did. Adobe went 5 160 and when Yates left them they understood Harvey Yates 6 left the meeting they understood they agreed with them on 7 160, next thing they knew there was a Commission application 8 filed saying 320 and they were caught. Who was the application by? 10 Mr. Yates, I believe is the way I understood. A 11 Q That is correct as most of your other hearsay? 12 .. <u>A</u> Sir, I have come over here and checked the 13 records on it. 14 If I were to tell you that I filed the 15 application for Yates Petroleum Company and to have the 16 Austin and Mississipian Pay approved according to procedure, 17 would you tell me I was wrong? 18 A No sir. 19 If I were to tell you that Yates Petroleum 20 Corporation was the company that signed the application for 21 the Northwest quarter of Section 20 and not Harvey Yates 22 Company, would you tell me I'm wrong? 23 The maps show that, but I would assume Harvey 24 Yates are involved in it too, aren't they. 25 Q I can not say that.

1	A I think I can tend that to. Maybe Adobe
2	when they said Yates meant both Yates people. I don't know.
3	Q On January the 23rd, in Harvey Yates Company
-1	office you were given the opportunity to join in with the
5	drilling of this well based on the South half of a location
6	in the Southeast of the Southwest, were you not. And pay
7	your one-eighth share of the well?
8	A They did say that I could do that, yes sir.
9	Q Have you ever responded to that offer?
10	A Not in the form of a letter, but it wasn't in
11	the form of a letter that I was asked.
12	Q Did you ever respond by letter or orally?
13	A I did through Mr. Hendrix letter yes, I was
14	previous to writing that and sending it to them yes sir.
15	And I talked to Yates Petroleum people and said could you
16	all not work out something
17	Q In other words, your response to that offer
18	was your exhibit seven, which invites them to join in the
19	drilling of a well spacing the East half?
20	A Well, it was also through our conversations
21	with their various partners, associates in what they should
22	get, Yates Petroleum Company showed a very amicable relation-
23	ship with we were working very closely together.
24	Q But the answer to my question is you never
25	rejected Harvey Yates offer to join?
·	Nye Reporting Service, Inc.

118
A I told Mr. Lattu and Mr. Bob Strand we could
not join on that type of deal, let's get together and talk
about it, yes sir. I don't know that I need to write a
letter and say object to it because I want to talk and work
out something and compromise and get it done and get on with
it.
Q Did you offer the meeting on January 23rd to
pay for the seismic and geological work that had been done
by Harvey Yates Company in that area?
A Sir. to my knowledge they have done no seismic
work with relation to section 8. This was told to us by some
of the people in the working interest unit. They were going
to do it. They were not involved in Section 8. To help
justifiy a location in the North half of Section 16 and they
were doing it for that reason and that was the sole reason
that they were being asked to pay for their share of this
seismic. So I think that's a bunch of, of you want to
address me about Section 8.
Q Did you offer to pay for your share?
A No sir. I wasn't asked to.
Q Thank you, you answered a question.
A No one has told me how much it was since
never showed me.
Q Mr. Monaghan.

-25

A

Yes.

1	Q no I understand you to say that Harvey Yates
2	Company wouldn't let you have their maps to go over with your
3	partner.
-1	A We said do you have anything we could take with
5	you that would help something with what we've got to show
6	them. Spend a lot more time, do a lot more detail work,
7	to come up with, and we do not have Adobe Wells, and we were
8	refused.
9	Q Do I understand you to say you were refused the
10	right to take the maps?
11	A Take anything. Mr. Carlton was allowed to put
12	some points down there and write his own little schedule there
13	Q He took the points off Mr. Lattu's map?
14	A He took some points, I couldn't see across the
15	table, I didn't have my glasses on, I wasn't looking too
16	particularly
17	Q In that meeting, did you all discuss the dril-
18	ling of a well in the North half of Section 8?
19	A We told him that if there's a well drilled there
20	that we didn't think the North half would ever beedrilled,
21	it would be very risky and our lease was going to be just
22	sitting out there and we would get nothing for it, it would
23	never be drained.
24	Q Doesn't Harvey Yates Company have as much
25	acreage or more acreage in the North half of the Section than

1	you people do?
2	A Approximately the same.
3	Q I think they have a 160 acres in the North
. 4	half.
5	A They've got 160 in the South half, sir.
6	Q They've got 160 acres don't they, on the West
7	half of the Northeast and the East half of the Northwest?
8	A The West half, Oh, okay. 160. That's what
9	1 said, 160, I believe in the North half they own 160 in
10	the South half.
11	Q So they own really, more acreage than you do
12	in the North half, also?
13	A I thought you said they own more acreage,
14	period. Yes, they own more than we do, yes.
15	MR. LOSEY: I think that's all.
. 16	MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the witness
17	He may be excused.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
19	MR. RAMEY: Do you have anything further, Mr.
20	Coffield?
21	MR. COFFIELD: No sir.
22	MR. RAMEY: Mr. Losey?
23	MR. LOSEY: I'd like to take about two or
24	three minutes before seeing about the re-direct, Mr. Ramey,
25	

The state of the s

If I might talk to my people. MR. RAMEY: Let's take about fifteen. (Whereupon a discussion was had off the record.) MR. RAMEY: The hearing will come to order, please. Mr. Losey, I assume you have something further. MR. LOSEY: Yes sir. I have some re-direct. RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LOSEY: Q You are the Andy Lattu that testified on Direct Examination? Yes, I am. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the Austin-Mississippi Pay zone or Austin Pay zone is a water dry? A Yes, I do.

> Q What is that opinion?

It is not a water dry. There has been no-show formation water in any that has penetrated the zone. The Phillips Well, which is the highest well on the field is apparently at oranear depletion. It's my understanding that the Phillips has been an attempt to plug and abandon that well in the Austin Pay zone. It has made no water. If it wereaa water dry reservoir I would anticipate the Phillips Well to be one of the last wells to be depleted. If the reservoir did not have a continuous permeability or porosity

> Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters 621 Old Santa Fe Trail - Suite 7 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

throughout it, then it would not be a water dry reservoir, and this is what I think the case is. I think it would be a gas depletion reservoir. MR. HENSLEY: Mr. Commissioner, may I voirdire the witness as to his qualifications as a reservoir engineer? THE WITNESS: I'm not a reservoir engineer. There is, as I said, at the beginning of my statement, that has not been a single show of formation for water in any well that has penetrated. MR.HENSLEY: I didn't understand that you were, that's why I questioned that information. MR. LOSEY: We'll so note, Mr. Hensley, that we know Mr. Lattu's a geologist. I think that's all. FURTHER RE-CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HENSLEY: Q Mr. Lattu, is it your opinion that if this was a water dry reservoir, that the depletion of this Phillips-Austin Well in Section 17, would it ultimately result in the production of produced water in conjunction with hydrocarbons? You mean would it make water before being depleted?

Q Right.

 2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, I feel that that would be an assumption.

_	
1	Q Is that your opinion regardless of the distance
$2 \mid$	the verticle distance between the porosity band and the gas/
3	water contact?
4	A That's my opinion of this reservoir, yes.
5	Q Is permeability a function of whether or not
6	water produced water would be produced in conjunction with
7	a gas reservoir like this?
8	A Well, water dry reservoir requires good perme-
9	ability.
10	Q If permeability was strictly horizontal perme-
. 11	ability, and not vertical into the water producing zone,
12	would you expect to ever encounter any water?
13	A I believe the this is a rulitic (sic) type
14	carbonate, I believe the porosity and permeability are
15	probably equal both vertical and horizontal. And I feel
16	that if this reservoir was a water dry before the Phillips
17	Well was depleted, you would see water.
18	Q Do you have any explanation at all as a geolo-
19	gist, why these good porosity sections which Mr. Carlton
20	described on the logs were never even tested when the wells
21	were drilled?
22	A I believe the porosity and permeability is as
23	good vertical as horizontal as I previously stated. They
24	perforated the upper portion of it. Looking at the logs, the
25	lower portion does not appear to be water wet and therefore

1 1	they may have seen something in the samples that they
2	felt the sonic log porosity was not in fact porosity.
3	Q Isn't it more likely that what they found
1	was high water saturation, if you've got that porosity indi-
5	cation on your logs. Isn't that customary in the industry
6	where you've got a section like that and you only perforate
7 :	a very small portion of the upper interval that you do so
8	because of your fear of the water contact?
9	A I don't believe the lower portion is porosity.
10	It may have been curiosity or something else in the samples
-11	that led them to they didn't test it and they didn't
12	know why. In speculation on both our sides as to who's
13	right but this is my opinion.
14	Q Whydoesa water dry reservoir require uniform
15	permeability?
16	A I didn't say uniform, it requires good permea-
17	bility, you need a larger hole to push a water molecule
18	through than a gas molecule.
19	MR. HENSLEY: No further questions.
20	MR. RAMEY: Do you have any questions?
21	MR. LOSEY: I have no further questions.
22	MR. RAMEY: The witness may be excused. Do
23	you have anything further Mr. Losey?
24	MR. LOSEY: Yes sir. Mr. Strand. He has not
25	been sworn.
	i de la companya de

ROBERT STRAND

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOSEY:

3

ō

6

8

9

10

11.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q You heard Mr. Monaghan testify with respect to a phone conversation with a person who he said called him and told him he was Bob Strand, did you not?

- A Yes sir.
- Q Have you ever talked to your knowledge to Mr.
 Monaghan?
 - A No, I have not.
- Q Did you not call him on February the first and have the telephone conversation that he testified to?
- A No.
- Q Did you ever tell him in a telephone conversation that if he drilled the Bast half he'd have to carry

 Harvey Yates Company and with no penalty?
 - A No sir.
- Q Have you ever had any telephone conversation with Mr. Monaghan?
 - A No sir.
 - Q Did you ever send any letters to Mr. Monaghan?
- A Yes, Mr. Losey, on February first I directed a letter to Cal-Mon, to the attention of Mr. Monaghan, and

enclosed with that letter were an operating agreement and 2 the AFE that's been referred to previously. Requesting them 3 to join and participate in the well. 1 Did you have further correspondence with them? 5 6 Yes, on February eighth, I might back up and 7 state when I sent the first letter the only interest owners 8 that I was aware of that were in ownership in that particular 9 state lease were Cal-Mon Oil Company and John Hendrix Corporation. Subsequent to that I ordered some assignments from 10 an abstractor in Lovington which indicated there were a 11 12 number of other parties that owned working interest in that 13 particular lease. On February 8, 1979, I directed another 14 letter with basically the same terms in it to all of these 15 people, and I believe it also went to Cal-Mon and the Hendrix **i**6 Corporation. 17 I have marked that as Exhibit Six, and your 18 prior letter of February one as Exhibit Five. Is Harvey Yates Company a party to the Adobe 3 operating agreement? 19 20 Yes sir. 21 And that resulted in the well that's been testified to drill in Section 16? 2223 That's correct. 24 Are you familiar with the joint operating agree+ ment that was proposed by Adobe in the drilling of that well?

	A Yes I am.
2	Q Are you familiar with the monthly drilling well
3	rate charged by Adobe to the other owners?
4	A Yes sir, Mr. Losey, I called our office in
5	Rosewell about an hour ago and talked to my secretary and
6	had her get the particular file involved and she pulled out
7	the operating agreement and read it to me, the figures of
8	\$2,620 for drilling, \$275 for producing each per month.
9	Q The proposal of Harvey Yates Company in this
10	case was \$2,700 for drilling and \$270 for producing?
:1	A Yes.
12	Q Did you also determine what the AFE was for
13	drilling of this Adobe 16 Well?
14	A I was unable to locate that, Mr. Losey. I do
15	have that information for Hannah Well drilled in Section
16	17.
17	Q That was the well that is just now being
18	completed in the same pay zone, is it not?
19	A Yes sir.
20	Q And Harvey Yates Company was a party to it?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What was the dry hole cost for that well, the
23	AFE?
24	A This particular AFE, Mr. Losey, indicated a
25	casing point cost of \$588,500.
_	

1	Q	What was a producing well cost?
2	A	\$913,500.
3		MR. LOSEY: I believe that's all Mr. Strand.
4		CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. HENSLEY	?:
6	Q	Mr. Strand, what is your position with Harvey
7	Yates Company	?
8	A	Mr. Hensley, I'm employed by the company as an
9	in-house lawye	er and as a land man.
10	, Q	When you talked to your secretary a few minutes
11	ago, did you	ask her to see if she could locate any telephone
12	tickets for Fo	ebruary one, between your office and Mr. Mona-
13	ghan's office	for Midland?
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No sir, I did not.
15	Q	If you didn't have this conversation with Mr.
16	Monaghan, who	did?
17	A	I haven't the faintest idea.
18	Q	Were you in the office that day?
19	A	Yes, I expect so. This letter was dated Feb-
20	ruary first,	the first letter we put into evidence, the
21	Exhibit Five,	and I'm sure I wrote that letter on February
22	first.	
23		MR. HENSLEY: No further questions.
24		MR. LOSEY: I have no further questions.
25	1	MR. RAMEY: The witness may be excused.
		Nye Reporting Service, Inc. Certified Shorthand Reporters
	1	

FURTHER RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOSEY:

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

23

The state of the s

Q You are the same George Yates who testified on Direct?

A Yes sir, I am.

And you're the same George Yates who offered Mr. Monaghan of Cal-Mon Oil Company in Mr. Carlton's presence the opportunity to, on January 23rd, to 22nd, to participate in drilling a well in the South half of Section 8?

A Yes sir.

Q Have you had any response from Mr. Monaghan since then?

A I have not.

Q Mr. Yates, if the Commission sees fit to approve the dedication of the South half and the drilling of the well you propose in the Southeast/Southwest, and it results in a producer and confirms the geology that Mr. Lattu has presented here today, would Harvey Yates Company be interested in drilling a well in the North half of Section 8?

A If our prospect is confirmed by the drilling of the well in the South half of Section, I would anticipate drilling the North half of Section 8.

Q Actually, your company and the unit in the North half of Section 8, own three quarters of that acreage, do they not?

That's correct. MR. LOSEY: That's all. FURTHER RE-CROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. HENSLEY: 4 Mr. Yates, directing your attention to Hendrix 5 Exhibit number eleven, which is a letter from John Hendrix 6 7 to you dated January 31, 1979, concerning drilling a well in the East half of Section 8, did you ever reply to that 8 letter? 9 I didn't reply to that letter. Harold, the 10 tactics that were being used by Cal-Mon and it's partners 11 it was pretty apparent at that point. I'd never received 12 an answer to my proposal. This was my answer. We sent at 13 that point an AFE and an operating agreement to Cal-Mon 14 and it's partners. 15 So neither of you responded to each other's 16 proposals in writing? 17 A That's correct. 18 MR. HENSLEY: Thank you. 19 MR. RAMEY: Any other questions of the witness? 20 MR. LOSEY: No further questions. 21 MR. RAMEY: You may be excused. Do you have 22 23 anything further, Mr. Losey? MR. LOSEY: No sir, Mr. Ramey. 24 MR. RAMEY: Mr. Hensley? Mr. Coffield? 25

MR. COFFIELD: No sir. MR. RAMRY: The Commission will take the case under advisement, and the hearing is adjourned.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, STEFANIE XANTHULL, a court reporter, DO HEREBY

4 5

Nye Reporting Service, Inc.
Certified Shorthand Reporters
521 Old Santa Fe Trail ~ Suite 7
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of
Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported
by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and
correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the

best of my ability, knowledge and skill, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Stefanie Xanthull, C.S.R.

Losee Lettu Control 280 of 320 211 but NESE Contend structure/ low in E/2 Section 8 Risk 200 % No Upper Penn Bank production Colled Hendrix Jan 22 or 23 George / stes UP of Heyco 913,500 Produced) Delg. costs Cost \$270 } Recommendations for Orly. \$2700 } Operating dests Need Order before 3-18-79 Conrad Confield Hendrix AT Corton Penalty - 100 % John Hendrix 623-6601 794,000 Prod hole Bob Strand Operating Gots Prod \$ 150 / Month



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE

87501

DIRECTOR
JOE D. RAMEY

PHIL R. LUCERO
March 9, 1979



STATE GEOLOGIST
EMERY C. ARNOLD

Mr. Conrad E. Coffield Hinkle, Cox, Eaton, Coffield & Hensley Attorneys at Law P. O. Box 3580 Midland, Texas 79702	CASE NO. 6488 ORDER NO. P-5945 Applicant:
	John H. Hendrix Corporation
Dear Sir:	
Enclosed herewith are two co Commission order recently en	pies of the above-referenced tered in the subject case.
Yours very truly, JOE D. RAMEY Director	
JDR/fd	
Copy of order also sent to:	
Hobbs OCC x Artesia OCC Aztec OCC	

Harold Hensley, A. J. Losee

Other

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING

	CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:
	APPLICATION OF JOHN H. HENDRIX CASE NO. 6488
	CORPORATION FUR CEMI ULSUNT
	MEXICO OTHER NO. R- 5945
	SW .
	ORDER OF THE DIVIDION to as the
	BY THE DIVISION:
-	This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 2
	1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Francisco the Oil Conserval Commission of the State of New Mexico, writing in referred Commission NOW, on this day of March, 19, the Division
4	Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the
	exhibits of the heaving, and being fully advised in the
	premises,
	FINDS:
	(1) That due public notice having been given as required by
	law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject
	matter thereof.
	(2) That the applicant, John H. Hendrix Corporation.
	seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcomb.
	Pennsylvian & Mississippia formation underlying the E/2
į	of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East
	NMPM, Austin Mississippion Pool, Lea County, New
	Mexico.
- 1	(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes
	to drill a well 2/ 2 standard lorstion southon. 8

Aldication of the 5/2 of the Dection 8 in Can No. 6483.

2 quorum b

My My

п

(6) That the evidence indicates that two will be required to effective by

Wells will be required to effective by

Divin said Section 8.

commercially productive wells can be drilled in the 3/2 of 32id Section 8,

Inter the evidence in dicates that a well silled in the N/2 of soid section 8 would in all probability not recover gas in commercial quantities.

better protect worke to better protect vorrelative rights, soid section & should be developed on drilling units comprising the E/2 + the W/2 of the section.

8 into E/2 + W/2 drilling units, each working interest owner will be better offer ded the opportunity to develope his sere age in the section,

Case No. R-

That there are interest owners in the proposed proration (the E/1 of sail Section 8) unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

10

10

60

- protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.
- (6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.
- That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.
- (8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 100% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.
- 169) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.
- /#(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

and extension from the Division for good cause shown.

able charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before <u>Tune 15, 1979</u>, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be,
in the Wolfcarp, Pennsylvian + Mississipp, formations underlying the E/2
of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East,
NMPM, Curdin Missinsippion Ber Pool, Les County, New Mexico,
are hereby pooled to form a standard 320 - acre gas spacing
and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled of 2 5/2ndard location thereon.
PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall
commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15 day of
June, 1979, and shall thereafter continue the drilling
of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the
Mississippian formation;
PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not

commence the drilling of said well on or before the $15\frac{1}{1}$ day of

Unne, 1979, Order (1) of this order shall be null

and void and of no effect whatsoever, unless said operator obtains

a time extension from the Division for good cause shown.

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Division Director and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

- (2) That John H. Hendrix Conference is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.
- (3) That after the effective date of this order and within 30 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Division and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.
- (4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.
- known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Division and the Division has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Division will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.
- (6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided

above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

- (7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:
 - (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
 - (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 100% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs. \$2500 per month while drilling to producing (9) That \$\frac{4}{150}\frac{10}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\frac{11}{10}\f
- charges for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

- (10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.
- (11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.
- well which are not disbursed for any reason shall immediately be placed in escrow in _______ County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Division of the name and address of said escrow agent within 30 days from the date of first deposit with said escrow agent.
- (13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

A. T. CARLETON

Geologist

POST OFFICE BOX 293 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

March 1, 1979

	Caraca I			
1010	No.6488	<u> </u>	: No.	8
	ated by			
lua.	to Data	3/2/	79	

OH, CON

HOE

AUSTIN AREA, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

The Austin Area appears to be on an anticlinal feature north of and on trend with the Caudill Anticline to the south. Production possibilities are primarily related to closure, although some are highly dependent on stratigraphic conditions. The main prospects are San Andres, Wolfcamp, Permo-Pennsylvanian Lime, upper Pennsylvanian Lime, Strawn Lime oil and Atoka, Morrow, Chester Lime and the Siluro-Devonian are the prime prospects here.

The Phillips #1 Austin (M-17-14-36) is the highest well on this feature to have penetrated the Siluro-Devonian. It found the top 140 feet of that section tight, but found good reservoir rock filled with formation water below that point. It was completed from 42 feet of microlog porosity in the Chester Lime for an IP COF 4,925 MCFGPD. It had made 4,023,145 MCFG + 57516 BD to 1-1-78 and was producing at an average rate of 15,000 MCFG + 122 BD per month at that time. Cherry Brothers #1 Austin-State (E-19-14-36) was an attempt to extend this production 3/4 mile to the southwest. This well was 47 feet low on the Siluro-Devonian and found the top 75 feet of that section mainly tight (100' brackish water). On a test of the Chester Lime pay, the packers failed and only the water blanket and 890' Sli GCM were recovered. Final Shut in Pressure was 1448 #/45". This well was completed from a thin (10') Wolfcamp Lime zone (now abandoned) for an IPF 250 BOPD. In April 1978, Adobe #1 State "16" (M-16-14-36) was completed from 33 feet of log porosity in the Chester Lime for an IP COF 2,740 MCFGPD. Since that time, the pay section was re-acidized and reportedly was making gas at the rate of 14,000 MCFGPD. It is understood that Adobe has calculated recoverable reserves of 7 BCFG (based on 320 acre spacing) on their well. The Adobe #1 Hannah (H-17-14-36) has been drilled to 13825 feet in the Lower Mississippian Lime. It found 36 feet of log porosity in the upper 100 feet of the Chester Lime. As of this writing, the operators were preparing to production test the Lower Mississippian Lime and perhaps a zone in the lower Chester Lime section. There are several other wells staked. but none are at the stage where they could aid in evaluating this area. The Zapata #1 Danglade (H-3-14-36) appears to have a very porous Chester section (80' approximately, but no detail log) which had no shows and was not tested. The Texas Crude and Sinclair # 1 Richardson (M-5-14-36) had 43 feet of sonic log porosity in four zones in the Chester Lime. The top 8 feet of porosity was included in a perforated interval which gave up gas at rates up to 2,100 MCFGPD on initial production tests. After repeated treating and testing, the volume of gas declined and apparently the volume of water increased. Lower and better developed porosity was not tested and is assumed to be water bearing. This well is thought to be very near the gas/water contact (-9375 +/-).

It appears that a band of porosity in the Chester Lime runs in a northeast-southwest direction across the generally north-south aligned Austin Anticline. The south half of section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E appears very well located on the structure, but the north half of that section appears to be dipping off on the north plunging axis of the Austin Anticline. With the exception of the Zapata #1 Danglade (which had additional section in the top of the Chester Lime), the main

Austin Chester Lime porosity occurs within the top 100 feet of that unit. Thus, although all of section 8 is shown to be above the estimated gas water contact of (-) 9375 feet, the entire Chester Lime porosity appears to be above this datum only in the south half of the section.

From the above analysis, it appears obvious that the south half of section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E is better located than the north half of that section. There is a very real possibility that the north half is so low as to be uneconomical to drill. Thus, if North Half and South Half Proration Units are approved, there may be only one well drilled in section 8--and that in the South Half. If Proramay be only one well drilled in section 8--and that in the South Half. If Proramay be only one well drilled as East Half and West Half, there could be two wells drilled Units are designated as East Half and West Half, there could find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the which

RESIDENCE PHONE 44441



OIL PRODUCERS 515 MIDLAND TOWER

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

ib +1.2 → 15.5	_
6488.	y
H	endrix
3	-2-79

CAL-MON STATE #1
Location: 1980' FSL & 660' FEL Section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E

Lea County, New Mexico	Completed Well	To Casing Point	Actual
Location and Roads	12,500	12,500	
Damages	2,500	2,500	
Footage Contract <u>10,500</u> ft @ \$16.50	173,250	173,250	
Day Work 35 days @ 4100/Day	143,500	143,500	
Cement and Cementing	25,000	10,000	
Float Equipment, etc.	6,000	1,000	
Mud and Chemicals	35,000	35,000	
Log and Testing Core and Core Analysis	40,000	40,000	
Water	4.000	4.000	14.
Trucking	4,000	2,000	1
Perforating	5,000		
Treating	50,000		
Completion Unit	6,000		
Labor	5,000		
Bits & Rental Equipment	20,000	15,000	
Overhead and Supervision	10,000	3,000	
Contingencies	20,000	10,000	
Total Intangibles	*561,750	451,750	
Surface Casing 400 ft 0 \$17.04	6,816	6,816	•
Intermediate Casing 4700 ft @ \$9.77	45,919	45,919	
2nd Intermediate Casing			
Well Head	20,000	10,000	
0il String <u>13,900</u> ft 0 \$6.33	87,987		
Tubing <u>13,900</u> ft @ \$3.02 Rods	41,978		
Pumping Unit and Base			
Prime Mover			
Misc. Connections	5,000	1,000	
Total Well Tangibles	*207,700	63,735	
Tanks	10,000		
Treater-Separator	8,500		
Connections, Line Pipe, etc.	4,000		
Total Tank Battery	*22,500		
Total Cost	*791,950	515,485	
Your working interest share of the above Please accept and approve in the space provided be	e estimate is \$_elow and return o	ne executed copy t	o this office.
WORKING INTEREST OWNER	JOHN H. I	HENDRIX CORPORATIO	N
Ву	a P		
APPROVED AND ACCEPTED this Day of 19	-•		•

Carlo 6188 10

Sulling D. 3/2/79

A.A.P.L. FORM 610

MODEL FORM OPERATING AGREEMENT-1956

Non-Federal Lands

OPERATING AGREEMENT

DATED

January 26 , 19 79 ,

FOR UNIT AREA IN TOWNSHIP 14 South , RANGE 36 East

Lea COUNTY, STATE OF New Mexico .

Section 8: E/2

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF PETROLEUM LANDMEN
APPROVED FORM.

A.A.P.L. NO. 610
MAY BE ORDERED DIRECTLY FROM THE PUBLISHER
KRAFTBILT PRODUCTS, BOX 800, TULSA 74101

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Poragraph Number		Page
1.	Definitions	. 1
2.	Title Examination, Loss of Leases and Oil and Gas Interests	. 1
3.	Unleased Oil and Gas Interests	. 2
4.	Interests of Parties	. 2
5.	Operator of Unit	. 3
6.	Employees	
7.	Test Well	. 3
8.	Costs and Expenses	. 3
9.	Operator's Lien	. 4
10.	Term of Agreement	. 4
11.	Limitation on Expenditures	. 4
12.	Operations by Less Than All Parties	. 5
13.	Right to Take Production in Kind	6
14.	Access to Unit Area	7
15.	Drilling Contracts	
,. 16.	Abandonment of Wells	. 7
17.	Delay Rentals and Shut-in Well Payments	8
18.	Preferential Right to Purchase	. 8
19.	Selection of New Operator	8
20.	Maintenance of Unit Ownership	9
21.	Resignation of Operator	9
22.	Liability of Parties	9
23.	Renewal or Extension of Leases	9
24.	Surrender of Leases	10
25.	Acreage or Cash Contributions	10
26.	Provision Concerning Taxation	10
27.	Insurance	11
28.	Claims and Lawsuits	11
29.	Force Majeure	11
30.	Notices	11
31.	Other Conditions	12

OPERATING AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT,	entered into this	<u>26th</u> day of	January	, 19 <u>79</u> , between
John H. Hendrix	Corporation			

hereafter designated as "Operator", and the signatory parties other than Operator.

WITNESSETH, THAT:

WHEREAS, the parties to this agreement are owners of oil and gas leases covering and, if so indicated, unleased mineral interests in the tracts of land described in Exhibit "A", and all parties have reached an agreement to explore and develop these leases and interests for oil and gas to the extent and as hereinafter provided;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed as follows:

1. DEFINITIONS

As used in this agreement, the following words and terms shall have the meanings here ascribed to them.

- (1) The words "party" and "parties" shall always mean a party, or parties, to this agreement.
- (2) The parties to this agreement shall always be referred to as "it" or "they", whether the parties be corporate bodies, partnerships, associations, or persons real.
- (3) The term "oil and gas" shall include oil, gas, casinghead gas, gas condensate, and all other liquid or gaseous hydrocarbons, unless an intent to limit the inclusiveness of this term is specifically stated.
- (4) The term "oil and gas interests" shall mean unleased fee and mineral interests in tracts of land lying within the Unit Area which are owned by parties to this agreement.
- (5) The term "Unit Area" shall refer to and include all of the lands, oil and gas leasehold interests and oil and gas interests intended to be developed and operated for oil and gas purposes under this agreement. Such lands, oil and gas leasehold interests and oil and gas interests are described in Exhibit "A".
- (6) The term "drilling unit" shall mean the area fixed for the drilling of one well by order or rule of any state or federal body having authority. If a drilling unit is not fixed by any such rule or order, a drilling unit shall be the drilling unit as established by the pattern of drilling in the Unit Area or as fixed by express agreement of the parties.
- (7) All exhibits attached to this agreement are made a part of the contract as fully as though copied in full in the contract.
- (8) The words "equipment" and "materials" as used here are synonymous and shall mean and include all oil field supplies and personal property acquired for use in the Unit Area.

2. TITLE EXAMINATION, LOSS OF LEASES AND OIL AND GAS INTERESTS

A. Title Examination:

There shall be no examination of title to leases, or to oil and gas interests, except that title to the lease covering the land upon which the exploratory well is to be drilled in accordance with Section 7, shall be examined on a complete abstract record by Operator's attorney, and the title to both the oil and gas lease and to the fee title of the lessors must be approved by the examining attorney, and accepted by all parties. A copy of the examining attorney's opinion shall be sent to each party immediately after the opinion is written, and, also, each party shall be given, as they are written, a copy of all subsequent supplemental attorney's reports. A good faith effort to satisfy the examining attorney's requirements shall be made by the party owning the lease covering the drillsite.

If title to the proposed drillsite is not approved by the examining attorney or the lease is not acceptable for a material reason, and all the parties do not accept the title, the parties shall select a new drillsite for the first exploratory well; provided, if the parties are unable to agree upon another drillsite, this agreement shall, in that case, come to an end and all parties shall then forfeit their rights and be relieved of obligations hereunder. If a new drillsite is selected, title to the oil and gas lease covering it and to the fee title of the lessor shall be examined, and title shall be approved or accepted or rejected in like manner as provided above concerning the drillsite first selected. If title to the oil and gas lease covering the second choice drillsite is not approved or accepted, other drillsites shall be successively selected and title examined, until a drillsite is chosen

to which title is approved or accepted, or until the parties fail to select another drillsite. As in the case of the drillsite first selected, so also with successive choices if the time comes that the parties have not approved title and are unable to agree upon an alternate drillsite, the contract shall, in that case and at that time, come to an end and all parties shall forfeit their rights and be relieved of obligations under this contract.

No well other than the first test shall be drilled in the Unit Area until after (1) the title to the lease covering the lands upon which such well is to be located has been examined by Operator's attorney, and (2) the title has been approved by the examining attorney and the title has been accepted by all of the parties who are to participate in the drilling of the well.

B. Failure of Title:

Should any oil and gas lease, or interest therein, be lost through failure of title, this agreement shall, nevertheless, continue in force as to all remaining leases and interests, and

- (1) The party whose lease or interest is affected by the title failure shall bear alone the entire loss and it shall not be entitled to recover from Operator or the other parties any development or operating costs which it may have theretofore paid, but there shall be no monetary liability on its part to the other parties hereto by reason of such title failure; and
- (2) There shall be no retroactive adjustment of expenses incurred or revenues received from the operation of the interest which has been lost, but the interests of the parties shall be revised on an acreage basis, as of the time it is determined finally that title failure has occurred, so that the interest of the party whose lease or interest is affected by the title failure will thereafter be reduced in the Unit Area by the amount of the interest lost; and
- (3) If the proportionate interests of the other parties here(o in any producing well theretofore drilled on the Unit Area is increased by reason of the title failure, the party whose title has failed shall receive the proceeds attributable to the increase in such interests (less operating costs attributable thereto) until it has been reimbursed for unrecovered costs paid by it in connection with such well; and
- (4) Should any person not a party to this agreement, who is determined to be the owner of any interest in the title which has failed, pay in any manner any part of the cost of operation, development, or equipment, or equipment, or equipment previously paid under this agreement, such amount shall be proportionately paid to the party or parties hereto who in the first instance paid the costs which are so refunded; and
- (5) Any liability to account to a third party for prior production of oil and gas which arises by reason of title failure shall be borne by the party or parties whose title failed in the same proportions in which they shared in such prior production.

C. Loss of Leases for Causes Other Than Title Failure:

If any lease or interest subject to this agreement be lost through failure to develop or because express or implied covenants have not been performed, or if any lease be permitted to expire at the end of its primary term and not be renewed or extended, or if any lease or interest therein is lost due to the fact that the production therefrom is shut in by reason of lack of market, the loss shall not be considered a failure of title and all such losses shall be joint losses and shall be borne by all parties in proportion to their interests and there shall be no readjustment of interests in the Unit Area.

3. UNLEASED OIL AND GAS INTERESTS

If any party owns an unleased oil and gas interest in the Unit Area, that interest shall be treated for the purpose of this agreement as if it were a leased interest under the form of oil and gas lease attached as "Exhibit "B" and for the primary term therein stated. As to such interests, the owner shall receive royalty on production as prescribed in the form of oil and gas lease attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Such party shall, however, be subject to all of the provisions of this agreement relating to lessees, to the extent that it owns the lessee interest.

4. INTERESTS OF PARTIES

Exhibit "A" lists all of the parties, and their respective percentage or fractional interests under this agreement. Unless changed by other provisions, all costs and liabilities incurred in operations under this contract shall be borne and paid, and all equipment and material acquired in operations on the Unit Area shall be owned, by the parties as their interests are given in Exhibit "A". All production of oil and gas from the Unit Area, subject to the payment of lessor's royalties, shall also be owned by the parties in the same manner.

If the interest of any party in any oil and gas lease covered by this agreement is subject to an overriding royalty, production payment, or other charge over and above the usual one-eighth (%) royalty, such party shall assume and alone bear and scheme excess obligations and shall account for them to the owners thereof out of its share of the working interest production of the Unit Area.

5. OPERATOR OF UNIT

John H. Hendrix Corporation

shall be the Operator of the Unit Area, and shall conduct and direct and have full control of all operations on the Unit Area as permitted and required by, and within the limits of, this agreement. It shall conduct all such operations in a good and workmanlike manner, but it shall have no liability as Operator to the other parties for losses sustained, or liabilities incurred, except such as may result from gross negligence or from breach of the provisions of this agreement.

6. EMPLOYEES

The number of employees and their selection, and the hours of labor and the compensation for services performed, shall be determined by Operator. All employees shall be the employees of Operator.

7. TEST WELL

On or before the 29th day of April ,19.79, Operator shall commence the drilling of a well for oil and gas in the following location: 1980 feet from the south line and 660 feet from the east line of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M. Lea County, New Mexico.

and shall thereafter continue the drilling of the well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Mississippian formation or to a depth of 13,900 whichever is the shallower.

unless granite or other practically impenetrable substance is encountered at a lesser depth or unless all parties agree to complete the well at a lesser depth.

Operator shall make reasonable tests of all formations encountered during drilling which give indication of containing oil and gas in quantities sufficient to test, unless this agreement shall be limited in its application to a specific formation or formations, in which event Operator shall be required to test only the formation or formations to which this agreement may apply.

If in Operator's judgment the well will not produce oil or gas in paying quantities, and it wishes to plug and abandon the test as a dry hole, it shall first secure the consent of all parties to the plugging, and the well shall then be plugged and abandoned as promptly as possible.

8. COSTS AND EXPENSES

Except as herein otherwise specifically provided, Operator shall promptly pay and discharge all costs and expenses incurred in the development and operation of the Unit Area pursuant to this agreement and shall charge each of the parties hereto with their respective proportionate shares upon the cost and expense basis provided in the Accounting Procedure attached hereto and marked Exhibit "C". If any provision of Exhibit "C" should be inconsistent with any provision contained in the body of this agreement, the provisions in the body of this agreement shall prevail.

Operator, at its election, shall have the right from time to time to demand and receive from the other parties payment in advance of their respective shares of the estimated amount of the costs to be incurred in operations hereunder during the next succeeding month, which right may be exercised only by submission to each such party of an itemized statement of such estimated costs, together with an invoice for its share thereof. Each such statement and invoice for the payment in advance of estimated costs shall be submitted on or before the 20th day of the next preceding month. Each party shall pay to Operator its proportionate share of such estimate within fifteen (15) days after such estimate and invoice is received. If any party fails to pay its share of said estimate within said time, the amount due shall bear interest at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum until paid. Proper adjustment shall be made monthly between advances and actual cost, to the end that each party shall hear and pay its proportionate share of actual costs incurred, and no more.

9. OPERATOR'S LIEN

Operator is given a first and preferred lien on the interest of each party covered by this contract, and in each party's interest in oil and gas produced and the proceeds thereof, and upon each party's interest in material and equipment, to secure the payment of all sums due from each such party to Operator.

In the event any party fails to pay any amount owing by it to Operator as its share of such costs and expense or such advance estimate within the time limited for payment thereof, Operator, without prejudice to other existing remedies, is authorized, at its election, to collect from the purchaser or purchasers of oil or gas, the proceeds accruing to the working interest or interests in the Unit Area of the delinquent party up to the amount owing by such party, and each purchaser of oil or gas is authorized to rely upon Operator's statement as to the amount owing by such party.

In the event of the neglect or failure of any non-operating party to promptly pay its proportionate part of the cost and expense of development and operation when due, the other non-operating parties and Operator, within thirty (30) days after the rendition of statements therefor by Operator, shall proportionately contribute to the payment of such delinquent indebtedness and the non-operating parties so contributing shall be entitled to the same lien rights as are granted to Operator in this section. Upon the payment by such delinquent or defaulting party to Operator of any amount or amounts on such delinquent indebtedness, or upon any recovery on behalf of the non-operating parties under the lien conferred above, the amount or amounts so paid or recovered shall be distributed and paid by Operator to the other non-operating parties and Operator proportionately in accordance with the contributions theretofore made by them.

10. TERM OF AGREEMENT

This agreement shall remain in full force and effect for as long as any of the oil and gas leases subjected to this agreement remain or are continued in force as to any part of the Unit Area, whether by production, extension, renewal or otherwise; provided, however, that in the event the first well drilled hereunder results in a dry hole and no other well is producing oil or gas in paying quantities from the Unit Area, then at the end of ninety (90) days after abandonment of the first test well, this agreement shall terminate unless one or more of the parties are then engaged in drilling a well or wells pursuant to Section 12 hereof, or all parties have agreed to drill an additional well or wells under this agreement, in which event this agreement shall continue in force until such well or wells shall have been drilled and completed. If production results therefrom this agreement shall continue in force thereafter as if said first test well had been productive in paying quantities, but if production in paying quantities does not result therefrom this agreement shall terminate at the end of ninety (90) days after abandonment of such well or wells. It is agreed, however, that the termination of this agreement shall not relieve any party hereto from any liability which has accrued or attached prior to the date of such termination.

11. LIMITATION ON EXPENDITURES

Without the consent of all parties: (a) No well shall be drilled on the Unit Area except any well expressly provided for in this agreement and except any well drilled pursuant to the provisions of Section 12 of this agreement, it being understood that the consent to the drilling of a well shall include consent to all necessary expenditures in the drilling, testing, completing, and equipping of the well, including necessary tankage; (b) No well shall be reworked, plugged back or deepened except a well reworked, plugged back or deepened pursuant to the provisions of Section 12 of this agreement, it being understood that the consent to the reworking, plugging back or deepening of a well shall include consent to all necessary expenditures in conducting such operations and completing and equipping of said well to produce, including necessary tankage; (c) Operator shall not undertake any single project reasonably estimated to require an expenditure in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$ 10,000.00) except in connection with a well the drilling, reworking, deepening, or plugging back of which has been previously authorized by or pursuant to this agreement; provided, however, that in case of explosion, fire, flood, or other sudden emergency, whether of the same or different nature, Operator may take such steps and incur such expenses as in its opinion are required to deal with the emergency and to safeguard life and property, but Operator shall, as promptly as possible, report the emergency to the other parties. Operator shall, upon request, furnish copies of its "Authority for Expenditures" for any single project costing in excess of \$ 10,000.00

12. OPERATIONS BY LESS THAN ALL PARTIES

If all the parties cannot mutually agree upon the drilling of any well on the Unit Area other than the test well provided for in Section 7, or upon the reworking, deepening or plugging back of a dry hole drilled at the joint expense of all parties or a well jointly owned by all the parties and not then producing in paying quantities on the Unit Area, any party or parties wishing to drill, rework, deepen or plug back such a well may give the other parties written notice of the proposed operation, specifying the work to be performed, the location, proposed depth, objective formation and the estimated cost of the operation. The parties receiving such a notice shall have thirty (30) days (except as to reworking, plugging back or drilling deeper, where a drilling rig is on location, the period shall be limited to forty-eight (48) hours exclusive of Saturday or Sunday) after receipt of the notice within which to notify the parties wishing to do the work whether they elect to participate in the cost of the proposed operation. Failure of a party receiving such a notice to so reply to it within the period above fixed shall constitute an election by that party not to participate in the cost of the proposed operation.

If any party receiving such a notice elects not to participate in the proposed operation (such party or parties being hereafter referred to as "Non-Consenting Party"), then in order to be entitled to the benefits of this section, the party or parties giving the notice and such other parties as shall elect to participate in the operation (all such parties being hereafter referred to as the "Consenting Parties") shall, within thirty (30) days after the expiration of the notice period of thirty (30) days (or as promptly as possible after the expiration of the 48-hour period where the drilling rig is on location, as the case may be) actually commence work on the proposed operation and complete it with due diligence.

The entire cost and risk of conducting such operations shall be borne by the Consenting Parties in the proportions that their respective interests as shown in Exhibit "A" bear to the total interests of all Consenting Parties. Consenting Parties shall keep the leasehold estates involved in such operations free and clear of all liens and encumbrances of every kind created by or arising from the operations of the Consenting Parties. If such an operation results in a dry hole, the Consenting Parties shall plug and abandon the well at their sole cost, risk and expense. If any well drilled, reworked, deepened or plugged back under the provisions of this section results in a producer of oil and/or gas in paying quantities, the Consenting Parties shall complete and equip the well to produce at their sole cost and risk, and the well shall then be turned over to Operator and shall be operated by it at the expense and for the account of the Consenting Parties. Upon commencement of operations for the drilling, reworking, deepening or plugging back of any such well by Consenting Parties in accordance with the provisions of this section, each Non-Consenting Party shall be deemed to have relinquished to Consenting Parties, and the Consenting Parties shall own and be entitled to receive, in proportion to their respective interests, all of such Non-Consenting Party's interest in the well, its leasehold operating rights, and share of production therefrom until the proceeds or market value thereof (after deducting production taxes, royalty, overriding royalty and other interests payable out of or measured by the production from such well accruing with respect to such interest until it reverts) shall equal the total of the following:

- (A) 100% of each such Non-Consenting Party's share of the cost of any newly acquired surface equipment beyond the wellhead connections (including, but not limited to, stock tanks, separators, treaters, pumping equipment and piping), plus 100% of each such Non-Consenting Party's share of the cost of operation of the well commencing with first production and continuing until each such Non-Consenting Party's relinquished interest shall revert to it under other provisions of this section, it being agreed that each Non-Consenting Party's share of such costs and equipment will be that interest which would have been chargeable to each Non-Consenting Party had it participated in the well from the beginning of the operation; and
- (B) 200% of that portion of the costs and expenses of drilling, reworking, deepening or plugging back, testing and completing, after deducting any cash contributions received under Section 25, and 200% of that portion of the cost of newly acquired equipment in the well (to and including the wellhead connections), which would have been chargeable to such Non-Consenting Party if it had participated therein.

In the case of any reworking, plugging back or deeper drilling operation, the Consenting Parties shall be permitted to use, free of cost, all casing, tubing and other equipment in the well, but the ownership of all such equipment shall remain unchanged; and upon abandonment of a well after such reworking, plugging back or deeper drilling, the Consenting Parties shall account for all such equipment to the owners thereof, with each party receiving its proportionate part in kind or in value.

Within sixty (60) days after the completion of any operation under this section, the party conducting the operations for the Consenting Parties shall furnish each Non-Consenting Party with an inventory of the equipment in and connected to the well, and an itemized statement of the cost of drilling, deepening, plugging back testing, completing, and equipping the well for production; or, at its option, the operating party, in lieu of an itemized statement of such costs of operation, may submit a detailed statement of monthly billings. Each month thereafter, during the time the Consenting Parties are being reimbursed as provided above, the Consenting Parties shall furnish the Non-Consenting Parties with an itemized statement of all costs and liabilities incurred in the operation of the well, together with a statement of the quantity of oil and gas produced from it and the amount of proceeds realized from the sale of the well's working interest production during the preceding month. Any amount realized from the sale or other disposition of equipment newly acquired in connection with any such operation which would have been owned by a Non-Consenting Party had it participated therein shall be credited against the total unreturned costs of the work done and of the equipment purchased, in determining when the interest of such Non-Consenting Party shall revert to it as above provided; if there is a credit balance it shall be paid to such Non-Consenting Party.

If and when the Consenting Parties recover from a Non-Consenting Party's relinquished interest the amounts provided for above, the relinquished interests of such Non-Consenting Party shall automatically revert to it and from and after such reversion such Non-Consenting Party shall own the same interest in such well, the operating rights and working interest therein, the material and equipment in or pertaining thereto, and the production therefrom as such Non-Consenting Party would have owned had it participated in the drilling, reworking, deepening or plugging back of said well. Thereafter, such Non-Consenting Party shall be charged with and shall pay its proportionate part of the further costs of the operation of said well in accordance with the terms of this agreement and the accounting procedure schedule, Exhibit "C", attached hereto.

Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section 12, it is agreed that without the mutual consent of all parties, no wells shall be completed in or produced from a source of supply from which a well located elsewhere on the Unit Area is producing, unless such well conforms to the then-existing well spacing pattern for such source of supply.

The provisions of this section shall have no application whatsoever to the drilling of the initial test well on the Unit Area, but shall apply to the reworking, deepening, or plugging back of the initial test well after it has been drilled to the depth specified in Section 7, if it is, or thereafter shall prove to be, a dry hole or non-commercial well, and to all other wells drilled, reworked, deepened, or plugged back, or proposed to be drilled, reworked, deepened, or plugged back, upon the Unit Area subsequent to the drilling of the initial test well.

13. RIGHT TO TAKE PRODUCTION IN KIND

Each party shall take in kind or separately dispose of its proportionate share of all oil and gas produced from the Unit Area, exclusive of production which may be used in development and producing operations and in preparing and treating oil for marketing purposes and production unavoidably lost. Each party shall pay or deliver, or cause to be paid or delivered, all royalties, overriding royalties, or other payments due on its share of such production, and shall hold the other parties free from any liability therefor. Any extra expenditure incurred in the taking in kind or separate disposition by any party of its proportionate share of the production shall be borne by such party.

Each party shall execute all division orders and contracts of sale pertaining to its interest in production from the Unit Area, and shall be entitled to receive payment direct from the purchaser or purchasers thereof for its share of all production.

In the event any party shall fail to make the arrangements necessary to take in kind or separately dispose of its proportionate share of the oil and gas produced from the Unit Area, Operator shall have the right, subject to revocation at will by the party owning it, but not the obligation, to purchase such oil and gas or sell it to others for the time being, at not less than the market price prevailing in the area, which shall in no event be less than the price which Operator receives for its portion of the oil and gas produced from the Unit Area. Any such purchase or sale by Operator shall be subject always to the right of the owner of the production to exercise at any time its right to take in kind, or separately dispose of, its share of all oil and gas not previously delivered to a purchaser. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Operator shall not make a sale into interstate commerce of any other party's share of gas production without first giving such other party sixty (60) days notice of such intended sale.

14. ACCESS TO UNIT AREA

Each party shall have access to the Unit Area at all reasonable times, at its sole risk, to inspect or observe operations, and shall have access at reasonable times to information pertaining to the development or operation thereof, including Operator's books and records relating thereto. Operator shall, upon request, furnish each of the other parties with copies of all drilling reports, well logs, tank tables, daily gauge and run tickets and reports of stock on hand at the first of each month, and shall make available samples of any cores or cuttings taken from any well drilled on the Unit Area.

15. DRILLING CONTRACTS

All wells drilled on the Unit Area shall be drilled on a competitive contract basis at the usual rates prevailing in the area. Operator, if it so desires, may employ its own tools and equipment in the drilling of wells, but its charges therefor shall not exceed the prevailing rates in the field, and the rate of such charges shall be agreed upon by the parties in writing before drilling operations are commenced, and such work shall be performed by Operator under the same terms and conditions as shall be customary and usual in the field in contracts of independent contractors who are doing work of a similar nature.

16. ABANDONMENT OF WELLS

No well, other than any well which has been drilled or reworked pursuant to Section 12 hereof for which the Consenting Parties have not been fully reimbursed as therein provided, which has been completed as a producer shall be plugged and abandoned without the consent of all parties; provided, however, if all parties do not agree to the abandonment of any well, those wishing to continue its operation shall tender to each of the other parties its proportionate share of the value of the well's salvable material and equipment, determined in accordance with the provisions of Exhibit "C", less the estimated cost of salvaging and the estimated cost of plugging and abandoning. Each abandoning party shall then assign to the non-abandoning parties, without warranty, express or implied, as to title or as to quantity, quality, or fitness for use of the equipment and material, all of its interest in the well and its equipment, together with its interest in the leasehold estate as to, but only as to, the interval or intervals of the formation or formations then open to production. The assignments so limited shall encompass the "drilling unit" upon which the well is located. The payments by, and the assignments to, the assignees shall be in a ratio based upon the relationship of their respective percentages of participation in the Unit Area of all assignees. There shall be no readjustment of interest in the remaining portion of the Unit Area.

After the assignment, the assignors shall have no further responsibility, liability, or interest in the operation of or production from the well in the interval or intervals then open. Upon request of the assignees, Operator shall continue to operate the assigned well for the account of the non-abandoning parties at the rates and charges contemplated by this agreement, plus any additional cost and charges which may arise as the result of the separate ownership of the assigned well.

17. DELAY RENTALS AND SHUT-IN WELL PAYMENTS

Delay rentals and shut-in well payments which may be required under the terms of any lease shall be paid by the party who has subjected such lease to this agreement, at its own expense. Proof of each payment shall be given to Operator at least ten (10) days prior to the rental or shut-in well payment date. Operator shall furnish similar proof to all other parties concerning payments it makes in connection with its leases. Any party may request, and shall be entitled to receive, proper evidence of all such payments. If, through mistake or oversight, any delay rental or shut-in well payment is not paid or is erroneously paid, and as a result a lease or interest therein terminates, there shall be no monetary liability against the party who failed to make such payment. Unless the party who failed to pay a rental or shut-in well payment secures a new lease covering the same interest within ninety (90) days from the discovery of the failure to make proper payment, the interests of the parties shall be revised on an acreage basis effective as of the date of termination of the lease involved, and the party who failed to make proper payment will no longer be credited with an interest in the Unit Area on account of the ownership of the lease which has terminated. In the event the party who failed to pay the rental or the shut-in well payment shall not have been fully reimbursed, at the time of the loss, from the proceeds of the sale of oil and gas attributable to the lost interest, calculated on an acreage basis. for the development and operating costs theretofore paid on account of such interest, it shall be reimbursed for unrecovered actual costs theretofore paid by it (but not for its share of the cost of any dry hole previously drilled or wells previously abandoned) from so much of the following as is necessary to effect reimbursement:

- (1) Proceeds of oil and gas, less operating expenses, theretofore accrued to the credit of the lost interest, on an acreage basis, up to the amount of unrecovered costs;
- (2) proceeds, less operating expenses thereafter incurred attributable to the lost interest on an acreage basis, of that portion of oil and gas thereafter produced and marketed (excluding production from any wells thereafter drilled) which would, in the absence of such lease termination, be attributable to the lost interest on an acreage basis, up to the amount of unrecovered costs, the proceeds of said portion of the oil and gas to be contributed by the other parties in proportion to their respective interests; and
- (3) any moneys, up to the amount of unrecovered costs, that may be paid by any party who is, or becomes, the owner of the interest lost, for the privilege of participating in the Unit Area or becoming a party to this contract.

Operator shall attempt to notify all parties when a gas well is shut-in or returned to production, but assumes no liability whatsoever for failure to do so.

18. PREFERENTIAL RIGHT TO PURCHASE

Should any party desire to sell all or any part of its interests under this contract, or its rights and interests in the Unit Area, it shall promptly give written notice to the other parties, with full information concerning its proposed sale, which shall include the name and address of the prospective purchaser (who must be ready, willing and able to purchase), the purchase price, and all other terms of the offer. The other parties shall then have an optional prior right, for a period of ten (10) days after receipt of the notice, to purchase on the same terms and conditions the interest which the other party proposes to sell; and, if this optional right is exercised, the purchasing parties shall share the purchased interest in the proportions that the interest of each bears to the total interest of all purchasing parties. However, there shall be no preferential right to purchase in those cases where any party wishes to mortgage its interests, or to dispose of its interests by merger, reorganization, consolidation, or sale of all of its assets, or a sale or transfer of its interests to a subsidiary or parent company, or subsidiary of a parent company, or to any company in which any one party owns a maniporty of the stock.

19. SELECTION OF NEW OPERATOR

Should a sale be made by Operator of its rights and interests, the other parties shall have the right within sixty (60) days after the date of such sale, by majority vote in interest, to select a new Operator. If a new Operator is not so selected, the transferee of the present Operator shall assume the duties of and act as Operator. In either case, the retiring Operator shall continue to serve as Operator, and discharge its duties in that capacity under this agreement, until its successor Operator is selected and begins to function, but the present Operator shall not be obligated to continue the performance of its duties for more than 120 days after the sale of its rights and interests has been completed.

20. MAINTENANCE OF UNIT OWNERSHIP

For the purpose of maintaining uniformity of ownership in the oil and gas leasehold interests covered by this contract, and notwithstanding any other provisions to the contrary, no party shall sell, encumber, transfer or make other disposition of its interest in the leases embraced within the Unit Area and in wells, equipment and production unless such disposition covers either:

- (1) the entire interest of the party in all leases and equipment and production; or
- (2) an equal undivided interest in all leases and equipment and production in the Unit Area.

Every such sale, encumbrance, transfer or other disposition made by any party shall be made expressly subject to this agreement, and shall be made without prejudice to the rights of the other parties.

If at any time the interest of any party is divided among and owned by four or more co-owners, Operator may, at its discretion, require such co-owners to appoint a single trustee or agent with full authority to receive notices, approve expenditures, receive billings for and approve and pay such party's share of the joint expenses, and to deal generally with, and with power to bind, the co-owners of such party's interests within the scope of the operations embraced in this contract; however, all such co-owners shall enter into and execute all contracts or agreements for the disposition of their respective shares of the oil and gas produced from the Unit Area and they shall have the right to receive, separately, payment of the sale proceeds thereof.

21. RESIGNATION OF OPERATOR

Operator may resign from its duties and obligations as Operator at any time upon written notice of not less than ninety (90) days given to all other parties. In this case, all parties to this contract shall select by majority vote in interest, not in numbers, a new Operator who shall assume the responsibilities and duties, and have the rights, prescribed for Operator by this agreement. The retiring Operator shall deliver to its successor all records and information necessary to the discharge by the new Operator of its duties and obligations.

22. LIABILITY OF PARTIES

The liability of the parties shall be several, not joint or collective. Each party shall be responsible only for its obligations, and shall be liable only for its proportionate share of the costs of developing and operating the Unit Area. Accordingly, the lien granted by each party to Operator in Section 9 is given to secure only the debts of each severally. It is not the intention of the parties to create, nor shall this agreement be construed as creating, a mining or other partnership or association, or to render them liable as partners.

23. RENEWAL OR EXTENSION OF LEASES

If any party secures a renewal of any oil and gas lease subject to this contract, each and all of the other parties shall be notified promptly, and shall have the right to participate in the ownership of the renewal lease by paying to the party who acquired it their several proper proportionate shares of the acquisition cost, which shall be in proportion to the interests held at that time by the parties in the Unit Area.

If some, but less than all, of the parties elect to participate in the purchase of a renewal lease, it shall be owned by the parties who elect to participate therein, in a ratio based upon the relationship of their respective percentage of participation in the unit area to the aggregate of the percentages of participation in the unit area of all parties participating in the purchase of such renewal lease. Any renewal lease in which less than all the parties elect to participate shall not be subject to this agreement.

Each party who participates in the purchase of a renewal lease shall be given an assignment of its proportionate interest therein by the acquiring party.

The provisions of this section shall apply to renewal leases whether they are for the entire interest covered by the expiring lease or cover only a portion of its area or an interest therein. Any renewal lease taken before the expiration of its predecessor lease, or taken or contracted for within six (6) months after the expiration of the existing lease shall be subject to this provision; but any lease taken or contracted for more than six (6) months after the expiration of an existing lease shall not be deemed a renewal lease and shall not be subject to the provisions of this section.

The provisions in this section shall apply also and in like manner to extensions of oil and gas leases.

24. SURRENDER OF LEASES

The leases covered by this agreement, in so far as they embrace acreage in the Unit Area, shall not be surrendered in whole or in part unless all parties consent.

However, should any party desire to surrender its interest in any lease or in any portion thereof, and other parties not agree or consent, the party desiring to surrender shall assign, without express or implied warranty of title, all of its interest in such lease, or portion thereof, and any well, material and equipment which may be located thereon and any rights in production thereafter secured, to the parties not desiring to surrender it. Upon such assignment, the assigning party shall be relieved from all obligations thereafter accruing, but not theretofore accrued, with respect to the acreage assigned and the operation of any well thereon, and the assigning party shall have no further interest in the lease assigned and its equipment and production. The parties assignee shall pay to the party assignor the reasonable salvage value of the latter's interest in any wells and equipment on the assigned acreage, determined in accordance with the provisions of Exhibit "C", less the estimated cost of salvaging and the estimated cost of plugging and abandoning. If the assignment is in favor of more than one party, the assigned interest shall be shared by the parties assignee in the proportions that the interest of each bears to the interest of all parties assignee.

Any assignment or surrender made under this provision shall not reduce or change the assignors' or surrendering parties' interest, as it was immediately before the assignment, in the balance of the Unit Area; and the acreage assigned or surrendered, and subsequent operations thereon, shall not thereafter be subject to the terms and provisions of this agreement.

25. ACREAGE OR CASH CONTRIBUTIONS

If any party receives while this agreement is in force a contribution of cash toward the drilling of a well or any other operation on the Unit Area, such contribution shall be paid to the party who conducted the drilling or other operation and shall be applied by it against the cost of such drilling or other operation. If the contribution be in the form of acreage, the party to whom the contribution is made shall promptly execute an assignment of the acreage, without warranty of title, to all parties to this agreement in proportion to their interests in the Unit Area at that time, and such acreage shall become a part of the Unit Area and be governed by all the provisions of this contract. Each party shall promptly notify all other parties of all acreage or money contributions it may obtain in support of any well or any other operation on the Unit Area.

26. PROVISION CONCERNING TAXATION

Each of the parties hereto elects, under the authority of Section 761(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, to be excluded from the application of all of the provisions of Subchapter K of Chapter 1 of Subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. If the income tax laws of the state or states in which the property covered hereby is located contain, or may hereafter contain, provisions similar to those contained in the Subchapter of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 above referred to under which a similar election is permitted, each of the parties agrees that such election shall be exercised. Each party authorizes and directs the Operator to execute such an election or elections on its behalf and to file the election with the proper governmental office or agency. If requested by the Operator so to do, each party agrees to execute and join in such an election.

Operator shall render for ad valorem taxation all property subject to this agreement which by law should be rendered for such taxes, and it shall pay all such taxes assessed thereon before they become delinquent. Operator shall bill all other parties for their proportionate share of all tax payments in the manner provided in Exhibit "C".

If any tax assessment is considered unreasonable by Operator, it may at its discretion protest such valuation within the time and manner prescribed by law, and prosecute the protest to a final determination, unless all parties agree to abandon the protest prior to final determination. When any such protested valuation shall have been finally determined, Operator shall pay the assessment for the joint account, together with interest and penalty accrued, and the total cost shall then be assessed against the parties, and be paid by them, as provided in Exhibit "C".

27. INSURANCE

At all times while operations are conducted hereunder, Operator shall comply with the Workmen's Compensation Law of the State where the operations are being conducted. Operator shall also carry or provide insurance for the benefit of the joint account of the parties as may be outlined in Exhibit "D" attached to and made a part hereof. Operator shall require all contractors engaged in work on or for the Unit Area to comply with the Workmen's Compensation Law of the State where the operations are being conducted and to maintain such other insurance as Operator may require.

In the event Automobile Public Liability Insurance is specified in said Exhibit "D", or subsequently receives the approval of the parties, no direct charge shall be made by Operator for premiums paid for such insurance for operator's fully owned automotive equipment.

28. CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS

If any party to this contract is sued on an alleged cause of action arising out of operations on the Unit Area, or on an alleged cause of action involving title to any lease or oil and gas interest subjected to this contract, it shall give prompt written notice of the suit to the Operator and all other parties.

The defense of lawsuits shall be under the general direction of a committee of lawyers representing the parties, with Operator's attorney as Chairman. Suits may be settled during litigation only with the joint consent of all parties. No charge shall be made for services performed by the staff attorneys for any of the parties, but otherwise all expenses incurred in the defense of suits, together with the amount paid to discharge any final judgment, shall be considered costs of operation and shall be charged to and paid by all parties in proportion to their then interests in the Unit Area. Attorneys, other than staff attorneys for the parties, shall be employed in lawsuits involving Unit Area operations only with the consent of all parties; if outside counsel is employed, their fees and expenses shall be considered Unit Area expense and shall be paid by Operator and charged to all of the parties in proportion to their then interests in the Unit Area. The provisions of this paragraph shall not be applied in any instance where the loss which may result from the suit is treated as an individual loss rather than a joint loss under prior provisions of this agreement, and all such suits shall be handled by and be the sole responsibility of the party or parties concerned.

Damage claims caused by and arising out of operations on the Unit Area, conducted for the joint account of all parties, shall be handled by Operator and its attorneys, the settlement of claims of this kind shall be within the discretion of Operator so long as the amount paid in settlement of any one claim does not exceed one thousand (\$1000.00) dollars and, if settled, the sums paid in settlement shall be charged as expense to and be paid by all parties in proportion to their then interests in the Unit Area.

29. FORCE MAJEURE

If any party is rendered unable, wholly or in part, by force majeure to carry out its obligations under this agreement, other than the obligation to make money payments, that party shall give to all other parties prompt written notice of the force majeure with reasonably full particulars concerning it; thereupon, the obligations of the party giving the notice, so far as they are affected by the force majeure, shall be suspended during, but no longer than, the continuance of the force majeure. The affected party shall use all possible diligence to remove the force majeure as quickly as possible.

The requirement that any force majeure shall be remedied with all reasonable dispatch shall not require the settlement of strikes, lockouts, or other labor difficulty by the party involved, contrary to its wishes; how all such difficulties shall be handled shall be entirely within the discretion of the party concerned.

The term "force majeure" as here employed shall mean an act of God, strike, lockout, or other industrial disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, blockade, public riot, lightning, fire, storm, flood, explosion, governmental restraint, unavailability of equipment, and any other cause, whether of the kind specifically enumerated above or otherwise, which is not reasonably within the control of the party claiming suspension.

30. NOTICES

All notices authorized or required between the parties, and required by any of the provisions of this agreement, shall, unless otherwise specifically provided, be given in writing by United States mail or Western Union Telegram, postage or charges prepaid, and addressed to the party to whom the notice is given at the

addresses listed on Exhibit "A". The originating notice to be given under any provision hereof shall be deemed given only when received by the party to whom such notice is directed and the time for such party to give any notice in response thereto shall run from the date the originating notice is received. The second or any responsive notice shall be deemed given when deposited in the United States mail or with the Western Union Telegraph Company, with postage or charges prepaid. Each party shall have the right to change its address at any time, and from time to time, by giving written notice thereof to all other parties.

31. OTHER CONDITIONS, IF ANY, ARE:

This agreement may be signed in counterpart, and shall be binding upon the parties and upon their heirs, successors, representatives and assigns.

	OPERATOR				
ATTEST:	*	JOHN H. HENDRIX CORPORATION			
	<u> </u>	By: President			
Secretary	-				
en e					
	NON-OPE	PERATORS			
The state of the s	And the second s				
ATTEST:		CAL-MON OIL COMPANY			
		By:			
,					
ATTEST:		HARVEY E. YATES COMPANY			
		By:			

<u>E X H I B I T " A "</u>

Attached to and made a part of Operating Agreement dated-January 26, 1979, between John H. Hendrix Corporation as Operator and Cal-Mon Oil Company and others as non-operators.

1. Lands Subject to Contract:

Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M.

Section 8: E/2 Lea County, New Mexico

containing 320 Acres more or less.

2. Restrictions as to Formations and Depths:

From the top of the Wolfcamp to deepest depth drilled in the initial Test Well.

3. Percentage Interests of the Parties to this Agreement:

John H. Hendrix Corporation, Cal-Mon Oil Company et al 37.5% Harvey E. Yates Company 62.5% 100.0%

4. Names and Addresses of the Parties hereto for Notice purposes:

John H. Hendrix Corporation 525 Midland Tower Midland, Texas 79701 Harvey E. Yates Company P.O. Box 1933 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Cal-Mon Oil Company P.O. Box 2066 Midland, Texas 79701

EXHIBIT "C"

Attached to and made a part of Operating Agreement dated
January 26, 1979 between John H. Hendrix Corporation
as operator and Cal-Mon Oil Company and others as
non-operators

ACCOUNTING PROCEDURE JOINT OPERATIONS

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Definitions

"Joint Property" shall mean the real and personal property subject to the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached.

"Joint Operations" shall mean all operations necessary or proper for the development, operation, protection and maintenance of the Joint Property.

"Joint Account" shall mean the account showing the charges paid and credits received in the conduct of the Joint Operations and which are to be shared by the Parties.

"Operator" shall mean the party designated to conduct the Joint Operations.

"Non-Operators" shall mean the parties to this agreement other than the Operator.

"Parties" shall mean Operator and Non-Operators.

"First Level Supervisors" shall mean those employees whose primary function in Joint Operations is the direct supervision of other employees and/or contract labor directly employed on the Joint Property in a field operating capacity.

"Technical Employees" shall mean those employees having special and specific engineering, geological or other professional skills, and whose primary function in Joint Operations is the handling of specific operating conditions and problems for the benefit of the Joint Property.

"Personal Expenses" shall mean travel and other reasonable reimbursable expenses of Operator's employees.

"Material" shall mean personal property, equipment or supplies acquired or held for use on the Joint Property.

"Controllable Material" shall mean Material which at the time is so classified in the Material Classification Manual as most recently recommended by the Council of Petroleum Accountants Societies of North America.

2. Statement and Billings

Operator shall bill Non-Operators on or before the last day of each month for their proportionate share of the Joint Account for the preceding month. Such bills will be accompanied by statements which identify the authority for expenditure, lease or facility, and all charges and credits, summarized by appropriate classifications of investment and expense except that items of Controllable Material and unusual charges and credits shall be separately identified and fully described in detail.

3. Advances and Payments by Non-Operators

Unless otherwise provided for in the agreement, the Operator may require the Non-Operators to advance their share of estimated cash outlay for the succeeding month's operation. Operator shall adjust each monthly billing to reflect advances received from the Non-Operators.

Each Non-Operator shall pay its proportion of all bills within fifteen (15) days after receipt. If payment is not made within such time, the unpaid balance shall bear interest monthly at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum or the maximum contract rate permitted by the applicable usury laws in the state in which the Joint Property is located, whichever is the lesser, plus attorney's fees, court costs, and other costs in connection with the collection of unpaid amounts.

4. Adjustments

Payment of any such bills shall not prejudice the right of any Non-Operator to protest or question the correctness thereof; provided, however, all bills and statements rendered to Non-Operators by Operator during any calendar year shall conclusively be presumed to be true and prect after twenty-four (24) months following the end of any such calendar year, unless within the said twenty-four (24) month period a Non-Operator takes written exception thereto and makes claim on Operator for adjustment. No adjustment favorable to Operator shall be made unless it is made within the same prescribed period. The provisions of this paragraph shall not prevent adjustments resulting from a physical inventory of Controllable Material as provided for in Section V.

5. Audits

A. Non-Operator, upon notice in writing to Operator and all other Non-Operators, shall have the right to audit Operator's accounts and records relating to the Joint Account for any calendar year within the twenty-four (24) month period following the end of such calendar year; provided, however, the making of an audit shall not extend the time for the taking of written exception to and the adjustments of accounts as provided for in Paragraph 4 of this Section I. Where there are two or more Non-Operators, the Non-Operators shall make every reasonable effort to conduct joint or simultaneous audits in a manner which will result in a minimum of inconvenience to the Operator. Operator shall bear no portion of the Non-Operators' audit cost incurred under this paragraph unless agreed to by the Operator.

6. Approval by Non-Operators

Where an approval or other agreement of the Parties or Non-Operators is expressly required under other sections of this Accounting Procedure and if the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached contains no contrary provisions in regard thereto, Operator shall notify all Non-Operators of the Operator's proposal, and the agreement or approval of a majority in interest of the Non-Operators shall be controlling on all Non-Operators.

II. DIRECT CHARGES

Operator shall charge the Joint Account with the following items:

1. Rentals and Royalties

Lease rentals and royalties paid by Operator for the Joint Operations.

2. Lahor

- A. (1) Salaries and wages of Operator's field employees directly employed on the Joint Property in the conduct of Joint Operations.
 - (2) Salaries of First Level Supervisors in the field,
 - (3) Salaries and wages of Technical Employees directly employed on the Joint Property if such charges are excluded from the Overhead rates.
- B. Operator's cost of holiday, vacation, sickness and disability benefits and other customary allowances paid to employees whose salaries and wages are chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II. Such costs under this Paragraph 2B may be charged on a "when and as paid basis" or by "percentage assessment" on the amount of salaries and wages chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II. If percentage assessment is used, the rate shall be based on the Operator's cost experience.
- C. Expenditures or contributions made pursuant to assessments imposed by governmental authority which are applicable to Operator's costs chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraphs 2A and 2B of this Section II.
- D. Personal Expenses of those employees whose salaries and wages are chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraph 2A of this Section II.

3. Employee Benefits

Operator's current costs of established plans for employees' group life insurance, hospitalization, pension, retirement, stock purchase, thrift, bonus, and other benefit plans of a like nature, applicable to Operator's labor cost chargeable to the Joint Account under Paragraphs 2A and 2B of this Section II shall be Operator's actual cost not to exceed twenty per cent (20%).

4. Material

Material purchased or furnished by Operator for use on the Joint Property as provided under Section IV. Only such Material shall be purchased for or transferred to the Joint Property as may be required for immediate use and is reasonably practical and consistent with efficient and economical operations. The accumulation of surplus stocks shall be avoided.

5. Transportation

Transportation of employees and Material necessary for the Joint Operations but subject to the following limitations:

- A. If Material is moved to the Joint Property from the Operator's warehouse or other properties, no charge shall be made to the Joint Account for a distance greater than the distance from the nearest reliable supply store, recognized barge terminal, or railway receiving point where like material is normally available, unless agreed to by the Parties.
- B. If surplus Material is moved to Operator's warehouse or other storage point, no charge shall be made to the Joint Account for a distance greater than the distance to the nearest reliable supply store, recognized barge terminal, or railway receiving point unless agreed to by the Parties. No charge shall be made to the Joint Account for moving Material to other properties belonging to Operator, unless agreed to by the Parties.
- C. In the application of Subparagraphs A and B above, there shall be no equalization of actual gross trucking cost of \$200 or less excluding accessorial charges.

6. Services

The cost of contract services, equipment and utilities provided by outside sources, except services excluded by Paragraph 9 of Section II and Paragraph 1. ii of Section III. The cost of professional consultant services and contract services of technical personnel directly engaged on the Joint Property if such charges are excluded from the Overhead rates. The cost of professional consultant services or contract services of technical personnel not directly engaged on the Joint Property shall not be charged to the Joint Account unless previously agreed to by the Parties.

7. Equipment and Facilities Furnished by Operator

- A. Operator shall charge the Joint Account for use of Operator owned equipment and facilities at rates commensurate with costs of ownership and operation. Such rates shall include costs of maintenance, repairs, other operating expense, insurance, taxes, depreciation, and interest on investment not to exceed eight per cent (8%) per annum. Such rates shall not exceed average commercial rates currently prevailing in the immediate area of the Joint Property.
- B.. In fieu of charges in Paragraph 7A above, Operator may elect to use average commercial rates prevailing in the immediate area of the Joint Property less 20%. For automotive equipment, Operator may elect to use rates published by the Petroleum Motor Transport Association.

8. Damages and Losses to Joint Property

All costs or expenses necessary for the repair or replacement of Joint Property made necessary because of damages or losses incurred by fire, flood, storm, theft, accident, or other cause, except those resulting from Operator's gross negligence or willful misconduct. Operator shall furnish Non-Operator written notice of damages or losses incurred as soon as practicable after a report thereof has been received by Operator.

9. Legal Expense

Expense of handling, investigating and settling litigation or claims, discharging of liens, payment of judgments and amounts paid for settlement of claims incurred in or resulting from operations under the agreement or necessary to protect or recover the Joint Property, except that no charge for services of Operator's legal staff or fees or expense of outside attorneys shall be made unless previously agreed to by the Parties. All other legal expense is considered to be covered by the overhead provisions of Section III unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, except as provided in Section I, Paragraph 3.

10. Taxes

All taxes of every kind and nature assessed or levied upon or in connection with the Joint Property, the operation thereof, or the production therefrom, and which taxes have been paid by the Operator for the benefit of the Parties.

11. Insurance

Net premiums paid for insurance required to be carried for the Joint Operations for the protection of the Parties. In the event Joint Operations are conducted in a state in which Operator may act as self-insurer for Workmen's Compensation and/or Employers Liability under the respective state's laws, Operator may, at its election, include the risk under its self-insurance program and in that event, Operator shall include a charge at Operator's cost not to exceed manual rates.

12. Other Expenditures

Any other expenditure not covered or dealt with in the foregoing provisions of this Section II, or in Section III, and which is incurred by the Operator in the necessary and proper conduct of the Joint Operations.

III. OVERHEAD

1. Overhead - Drilling and Producing Operations

- i. As compensation for administrative, supervision, office services and warehousing costs, Operator shall charge drilling and producing operations on either:
 - (X) Fixed Rate Basis, Paragraph 1A, or
 -) Percentage Basis, Paragraph 1B.

Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, such charge shall be in lieu of costs and expenses of all offices and salaries or wages plus applicable burdens and expenses of all personnel, except those directly chargeable under Paragraph 2A, Section II. The cost and expense of services from outside sources in connection with matters of taxation, traffic, accounting or matters before or involving governmental agencies shall be considered as included in the Overhead rates provided for in the above selected Paragraph of this Section III unless such cost and expense are agreed to by the Parties as a direct charge to the Joint Account.

- ii. The salaries, wages and Personal Expenses of Technical Employees and/or the cost of professional consultant services and contract services of technical personnel directly employed on the Joint Property shall () shall not (X) be covered by the Overhead rates.
- A. Overhead Fixed Rate Basis
 - (1) Operator shall charge the Joint Account at the following rates per well per month:

Drilling Well Rate \$ 2500.00
Producing Well Rate \$ 150.00

- (2) Application of Overhead Fixed Rate Basis shall be as follows:
 - (a) Drilling Well Rate
 - [1] Charges for onshore drilling wells shall begin on the date the well is spudded and terminate on the date the drilling or completion rig is released, whichever is later, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of drilling operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days.
 - [2] Charges for offshore drilling wells shall begin on the date when drilling or completion equipment arrives on location and terminate on the date the drilling or completion equipment moves off location or rig is released, whichever occurs first, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of drilling operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days
 - [3] Charges for wells undergoing any type of workover or recompletion for a period of five (5) consecutive days or more shall be made at the drilling well rate. Such charges shall be applied for the period from date workover operations, with rig, commence through date of rig release, except that no charge shall be made during suspension of operations for fifteen (15) or more consecutive days.
 - (b) Producing Well Rates
 - [1] An active well either produced or injected into for any portion of the month shall be considered as a one-well charge for the entire month.
 - [2] Each active completion in a multi-completed well in which production is not commingled down hole shall be considered as a one-well charge providing each completion is considered a separate well by the governing regulatory authority.
 - [3] An inactive gas well shut in because of overproduction or failure of purchaser to take the production shall be considered as a one-well charge providing the gas well is directly connected to a permanent sales outlet.
 - [4] A one-well charge may be made for the month in which plugging and abandonment operations are completed on any well.
 - [5] All other inactive wells (including but not limited to inactive wells covered by unit allowable, lease allowable, transferred allowable, etc.) shall not qualify for an overhead charge.
- (3) The well rates shall be adjusted as of the first day of April each year following the effective date of the agreement to which this Accounting Procedure is attached. The adjustment shall be computed by multiplying the rate currently in use by the percentage increase or decrease in the average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers for the last calendar year compared to the calendar year preceding as shown by the index of average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Fields Production Workers as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, or the equivalent Canadian index as published by Statistics Canada, as applicable. The adjusted rates shall be the rates currently in use, plus or minus the computed adjustment.

B. Overhead - Percentage Basis

- (1) Operator shall charge the Joint Account at the following rates:
 - (a) Development

Percent (%) of the cost of Development of the Joint Property exclusive of costs provided under Paragraph 9 of Section II and all salvage credits.

(b) Operating

Percent (%) of the cost of Operating the Joint Property exclusive of costs provided under Paragraphs 1 and 9 of Section II, all salvage credits, the value of injected substances purchased for secondary recovery and all taxes and assessments which are levied, assessed and paid upon the mineral interest in and to the Joint Property.

(2) Application of Overhead - Percentage Basis shall be as follows:

For the purpose of determining charges on a percentage basis under Paragraph 1B of this Section III, development shall include all costs in connection with drilling, redrilling, deepening or any remedial operations on any or all wells involving the use of drilling crew and equipment; also, preliminary expenditures necessary in preparation for drilling and expenditures incurred in abandoning when the well is not completed as a producer, and original cost of construction or installation of fixed assets, the expansion of fixed assets and any other project clearly discernible as a fixed asset, except Major Construction as defined in Paragraph 2 of this Section III. All other costs shall be considered as Operating.

2. Overhead - Major Construction

To compensate Operator for overhead costs incurred in the construction and installation of fixed assets, the expansion of fixed assets, and any other project clearly discernible as a fixed asset required for the development and operation of the Joint Property, Operator shall either negotiate a rate prior to the beginning of construction, or shall charge the Joint Account for Overhead based on the following rates for any Major Construction project in excess

A. ______;

A. ________;

of total costs if such costs are more than \$________but less than \$______;

plus

B. ________% of total costs in excess of \$________but less than \$1,000,000; plus

C. _____% of total costs in excess of \$1,000,000.

Total cost shall mean the gross cost of any one project. For the purpose of this paragraph, the component parts of a single project shall not be treated separately and the cost of drilling and workover wells shall be excluded.

3. Amendment of Rates

The Overhead rates provided for in this Section III may be amended from time to time only by mutual agreement between the Parties hereto if, in practice, the rates are found to be insufficient or excessive.

IV. PRICING OF JOINT ACCOUNT MATERIAL PURCHASES, TRANSFERS AND DISPOSITIONS

Operator is responsible for Joint Account Material and shall make proper and timely charges and credits for all material movements affecting the Joint Property. Operator shall provide all Material for use on the Joint Property; however, at Operator's option, such Material may be supplied by the Non-Operator. Operator shall make timely disposition of idle and/or surplus Material, such disposal being made either through sale to Operator or Non-Operator, division in kind, or sale to outsiders. Operator may purchase, but shall be under no obligation to purchase, interest of Non-Operators in surplus condition A or B Material. The disposal of surplus Controllable Material not purchased by the Operator shall be agreed to by the Parties.

1. Purchases

Material purchased shall be charged at the price paid by Operator after deduction of all discounts received. In case of Material found to be defective or returned to vendor for any other reason, credit shall be passed to the Joint Account when adjustment has been received by the Operator.

2. Transfers and Dispositions

Material furnished to the Joint Property and Material transferred from the Joint Property or disposed of by the Operator, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, shall be priced on the following bases exclusive of cash discounts:

A. New Material (Condition A)

- (1) Tubular goods, except line pipe, shall be priced at the current new price in effect on date of movement on a maximum carload or barge load weight basis, regardless of quantity transferred, equalized to the lowest published price f.o.b. railway receiving point or recognized barge terminal nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.
- (2) Line Pipe
 - (a) Movement of less than 30,000 pounds shall be priced at the current new price, in effect at date of movement, as listed by a reliable supply store nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.
 - (b) Movement of 30,000 pounds or more shall be priced under provisions of tubular goods pricing in Paragraph 2A (1) of this Section IV.
- (3) Other Material shall be priced at the current new price, in effect at date of movement, as listed by a reliable supply store or f.o.b. railway receiving point nearest the Joint Property where such Material is normally available.

B. Good Used Material (Condition B)

Material in sound and serviceable condition and suitable for reuse without reconditioning:

- (1) Material moved to the Joint Property
 - (a) At seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV.
- (2) Material moved from the Joint Property
 - (a) At seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV, if Material was originally charged to the Joint Account as new Material, or

(b) at sixty-five percent (65%) of current new price, as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV, if Material was originally charged to the Joint Account as good used Material at seventy-five percent (75%) of current new price.

The cost of reconditioning, if any, shall be absorbed by the transferring property.

C. Other Used Material (Condition C and D)

(1) Condition C

Material which is not in sound and serviceable condition and not suitable for its original function until after reconditioning shall be priced at fifty percent (50%) of current new price as determined by Paragraph 2A of this Section IV. The cost of reconditioning shall be charged to the receiving property, provided Condition C value plus cost of reconditioning does not exceed Condition B value.

(2) Condition D

All other Material, including junk, shall be priced at a value commensurate with its use or at prevailing prices. Material no longer suitable for its original purpose but usable for some other purpose, shall be priced on a basis comparable with that of items normally used for such other purpose. Operator may dispose of Condition D Material under procedures normally utilized by the Operator without prior approval of Non-Operators.

D. Obsolete Material

Material which is serviceable and usable for its original function but condition and/or value of such Material is not equivalent to that which would justify a price as provided above may be specially priced as agreed to by the Parties. Such price should result in the Joint Account being charged with the value of the service rendered by such Material.

E. Pricing Conditions

- (1) Loading and unloading costs may be charged to the Joint Account at the rate of fifteen cents (15¢) per hundred weight on all tubular goods movements, in lieu of loading and unloading costs sustained, when actual hauling cost of such tubular goods are equalized under provisions of Paragraph 5 of Section II.
- (2) Material involving erection costs shall be charged at applicable percentage of the current knocked-down price of new Material.

3. Premium Prices

Whenever Material is not readily obtainable at published or listed prices because of national emergencies, strikes or other unusual causes over which the Operator has no control, the Operator may charge the Joint Account for the required Material at the Operator's actual cost incurred in providing such Material, in making it suitable for use, and in moving it to the Joint Property; provided notice in writing is furnished to Non-Operators of the proposed charge prior to billing Non-Operators for such Material. Each Non-Operator shall have the right, by so electing and notifying Operator within ten days after receiving notice from Operator, to furnish in kind all or part of his share of such Material suitable for use and acceptable to Operator.

4. Warranty of Material Furnished by Operator

Operator does not warrant the Material furnished. In case of defective Material, credit shall not be passed to the Joint Account until adjustment has been received by Operator from the manufacturers or their agents.

V. INVENTÒRIES

The Operator shall maintain detailed records of Controllable Material.

1. Periodic Inventories, Notice and Representation

At reasonable intervals, Inventories shall be taken by Operator of the Joint Account Controllable Material. Written notice of intention to take inventory shall be given by Operator at least thirty (30) days before any inventory is to begin so that Non-Operators may be represented when any inventory is taken. Failure of Non-Operators to be represented at an inventory shall bind Non-Operators to accept the inventory taken by Operator.

2. Reconciliation and Adjustment of Inventories

Reconciliation of a physical inventory with the Joint Account shall be made, and a list of overages and shortages shall be furnished to the Non-Operators within six months following the taking of the inventory. Inventory adjustments shall be made by Operator with the Joint Account for overages and shortages, but Operator shall be held accountable only for shortages due to lack of reasonable diligence.

3. Special Inventories

Special Inventories may be taken whenever there is any sale or change of interest in the Joint Property. It shall be the duty of the party selling to notify all other Parties as quickly as possible after the transfer of interest takes place. In such cases, both the seller and the purchaser shall be governed by such inventory.

4. Expense of Conducting Periodic Inventories

The expense of conducting periodic Inventories shall not be charged to the Joint Account unless agreed to by the Parties.

EXHIBIT "D"

SCHEDULE OF INSURANCE

Unit Operator shall carry and require its contractor and sub-contractors to carry the following insurance at the expense and for the benefit of the parties hereto covering its operations under the terms of this agreement, to-wit:

- 1. Workmen's Compensation Insurance as required by the laws of the State of New Mexico.
- 2. Employer's Liability Insurance with minimum limits as required by the laws of the State of New Mexico
- 3. Contractor's or Comprehensive General Public Liability Insurance with minimum limits of at lease \$100,000.00 for injuries to one person; \$300,000.00 for injury in one accident and \$100,000.00 for property damage in one accident.
- 4. Automobile Public Liability and Property Damage Insurance (with an endorsement covering non-owned and hired cars) with minimum limits of at least \$100,000.00 for injuries to one person; \$300,000.00 for injuries in one accident and \$100,000.00 for property damage in any one accident.
- 5. Insurance coverage on equipment as the operator deems necessary for the protection of the joint account.

January 31, 1979

Mr. George M. Yates c/o H. E. Yates Company P. O. Box 1933 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

> Re: Austin-Missippian Field T-14-S, R-33-E Lea County, New Mexico

Dear George:

We have not heard from you since your meeting with Robert L. Monaghan and A. T. Carleton in Midland on Tuesday, January 23, 1979, regarding the problems raised with regard to the drilling of a test well in the subject area; therefore we have decided to proceed with the drilling of a well at a location in the SE/4 of Section 8.

We are therefore asking that you join us in the proration unit consisting of the E/2 of Section 8 as was approved by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on January 29, 1979.

As a joint interest owner with Cal-Mon Oil Company in this lease, we will act as Operator if this is satisfactory to you and will be more than happy to furnish you with our AFE and Operating Agreement for your approval.

Yours very truly,

JOHN H. HENDRIX CORPORATION

Jøhn H. Hendrix, President

JHH/wh

cc: Cal-Mon Oil Company

Dockets Nos. 10-79 and 11-79 are tentatively set for hearing on Narch 14 and 28, 1979. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - FRIDAY - MARCH 2, 1979

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 6483: (Continued from February 28, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Harvey E. Yates Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp, Pennsylvanian, and Mississippian formations underlying the S/2 of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6488:

Application of John N. Hendrix Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Mississippian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be diffled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 6222: (Rehearing) (Continued from August 23, 1978, Commission Hearing)

Application of Paul Hamilton for salt water disposal well shut-in, Lea County, New Mexico. Upon application of Paul Hamilton there will be a rehearing of Case No. 6222, Order No. R-5753. This case involves the application of Paul Hamilton for an order shutting down salt water disposal operations in the Texaco Inc., New Mexico State "BO" SWD Well No. 3, located in Unit D of Section 24, Township 11 South, Range 32 East, Moore-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Pursuant to Commission Order No. R-5753-A, evidence at said rehearing shall be limited to evidence relating to data regarding water quality and water level obtained from an observation well completed next to the aforesaid SWD Well No. 3, and to other new evidence unavailable at the time of the original hearing of this case on May 31, 1978.

LAW OFFICES

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

1000 FIRST NATIONAL BANK TOWER

OF COUNSEL CLARENCE E. HINKLE

Post Office Box 3580

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79702 (915) 683-4691 W. E.BONDURANT, JR. (1914-1973)

ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO OFFICE

(505) 622-6510

ONLY ATTYS. COFFIELD, MARTIN, BOZARTH, BOHANNON, FOSTER, ALLEN, ALLEN & BURFORD LICENSEO IN TEXAS

February 12, 1979

LERY A 1818 M.

Mr. Dick Stamets Oil Conservation Division Post Office Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

> Re: John H. Hendrix Corporation Application for Compulsory Pooling versus Harvey E. Yates

Co., Inc.

Dear Dick:

LEWIS C. COX,UR.

PAUL W. EATON, JR.

STUART D. SHANOR

PAUL J. KELLY, JR.

JAMES H. BOZARTH

DOUGLAS LILUNSFORD

J. DOUGLAS FOSTER K.DQJIGLAS PERRIN

JACQUELINE W ALLEN

T. CALDER EZZELL, JR. WILLIAM B. BURFORD JOHN S. NELSON RICHARD E. OLSON

C.RAY ALLEN

C. D. MARTIN

CONRAD E.COFFIELD HAROLD L.HENSLEY, JR.

Transmitted herewith you will find triplicate executed Applications on the above referenced matter relative to a well which John H. Hendrix Corporation seeks to have located in the E½ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico. It is my understanding that in addition to Harvey E. Yates, Inc., the other owners of the working interests in the E½ of said Section 8 which must be force pooled in connection with this E½ Section 8 include Yates Petroleum Company, with both Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc. and Yates Petroleum Company either owning the working interest in the W½NE½, S½SE¾ and NW½SE¾ Section 8 or having the same within their control pursuant to farmout agreements.

Pursuant to our telephone conversation today, I am assuming that unless and until I hear from you to the contrary, that this matter will be set on the docket for a full Commission hearing on March 2, 1979, along with the Application of Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc. covering a force pool application for the S^1_2 of said Section 8.

I trust that the enclosed Applications will provide all you need in order to have this matter set on the docket for

Carry Company of Marin Company

March 2 (or the March 14 Examiners hearing if it is necessary), but if anything in addition is needed or if you need additional information in this regard, please do not hesitate to call.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

Conrad E. Coffield

CEC:rf

John H. Hendrix Corporation xc:

525 Midland Tower Building Midland, Texas 79701 Cal-Mon Oil Company Post Office Box 2066 Midland, Texas 79702 xc:

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION	OF	JOHN	H.	HENDRIX)
CORPORATION	FO	R COM	PULS	SORY)
POOLING, LEA	A CO	YTNUC	, Ni	EW MEXICO)

APPLICATION

John H. Hendrix Corporation, by its undersigned attorneys, hereby makes application for an Order pooling all mineral interests in the Mississippian formation underlying E½ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, and in support thereof would show:

- 1. Applicant is entitled to proceed with the drilling of a well located in E½ Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M. under the authority of Applicant's ownership of oil and gas leasehold interests. Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al are the owners of the working interest in W½NE½, S½SE½, NW½SE½ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.
- 2. Applicant proposes to drill a gas well 660 feet from the East line and 1,980 feet from the South line of Section 8, to a depth of 13,900 feet or to a depth sufficient to test the Mississippian formation and seeks to dedicate the E½ of Section 8 to the well pursuant to the field rules for the Austin Mississippian Pool. Applicant has requested Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al, as owners of the working interest in the W½NE¼, S½SE¼, NW¼SE¼ of Section 8, to agree to participate in the drilling of said well or to farmout or otherwise commit their interest to said well, but Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al so far have refused to do so.
- 3. The pooling of all interests in the Mississippian formation in the E½ of Section 8 will avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be set on the earliest possible docket, before an Examiner or, if appropriate, before the full Oil Conservation Commission.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

By:

Conrad E. Coffield
Post Office Box 3580
Midland, Texas 79702
Attorneys for
John H. Hendrix Corporation

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION OF JOHN H. HENDRIX CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION

John H. Hendrix Corporation, by its undersigned attorneys, hereby makes application for an Order pooling all mineral interests in the Mississippian formation underlying E¹₂ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, and in support thereof would show:

- 1. Applicant is entitled to proceed with the drilling of a well located in E½ Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M. under the authority of Applicant's ownership of oil and gas leasehold interests. Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al are the owners of the working interest in W½NE¼, S½SE¼, NW½SE¼ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.
- 2. Applicant proposes to drill a gas well 660 feet from the East line and 1,980 feet from the South line of Section 8, to a depth of 13,900 feet or to a depth sufficient to test the Mississippian formation and seeks to dedicate the E½ of Section 8 to the well pursuant to the field rules for the Austin Mississippian Pool. Applicant has requested Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al, as owners of the working interest in the W½NE½, S½SE½, NW½SE½ of Section 8, to agree to participate in the drilling of said well or to farmout or otherwise commit their interest to said well, but Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al so far have refused to do so.
- 3. The pooling of all interests in the Mississippian formation in the E½ of Section 8 will avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

4. Applicant requests that this matter be set on the earliest possible docket, before an Examiner or, if appropriate, before the full Oil Conservation Commission.

HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

Conrad E. Coffield
Post Office Box 3580
Midland, Texas 79702
Attorneys for
John H. Hendrix Corporation

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS STATE OF NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION	OF	JOHN	Η.	HENDRIX	
CORPORATION	FOF	R COMI	PUL	SORY	
POOLING, LEA	A- CC	YTNUC	, _N	EW MEXIC	O

APPLICATION

John H. Hendrix Corporation, by its undersigned attorneys, hereby makes application for an Order pooling all mineral interests in the Mississippian formation underlying E¹/₂ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, and in support thereof would show:

- 1. Applicant is entitled to proceed with the drilling of a well located in E½ Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M. under the authority of Applicant's ownership of oil and gas leasehold interests. Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al are the owners of the working interest in W½NE¾, S½SE¾, NW¼SE¼ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 36 East, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico.
- 2. Applicant proposes to drill a gas well 660 feet from the East line and 1,980 feet from the South line of Section 8, to a depth of 13,900 feet or to a depth sufficient to test the Mississippian formation and seeks to dedicate the E½ of Section 8 to the well pursuant to the field rules for the Austin Mississippian Pool. Applicant has requested Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al, as owners of the working interest in the W½NE¼, S½SE¼, NW½SE¼ of Section 8, to agree to participate in the drilling of said well or to farmout or otherwise commit their interest to said well, but Harvey E. Yates Co., Inc., et al so far have refused to do so.
- 3. The pooling of all interests in the Mississippian formation in the E½ of Section 8 will avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

Applicant requests that this matter be set on the earliest possible docket, before an Examiner or, if appropriate, before the full Oil Conservation Commission.

> HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

Conrad E. Coffield
Post Office Box 3580
Midland, Texas 79702
Attorneys for

John H. Hendrix Corporation

Application 2-12-79 Conrod Coffield John H. Hendrix Corp. Force Pooling E/2 8-145-36 Mississippian form For a well to be dulled of statoloc.

See care 6483

RLL

A. T. CARLETON

Geologist

POST OFFICE BOX 293 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

March 1, 1979

AUSTIN AREA, LEA COUNTY, NÉW MEXICO

3483 1.8 Hendras 3/2/29

HOL

The Austin Area appears to be on an anticlinal feature north of and on trend with the Caudill Anticline to the south. Production possibilities are primarily related to closure, although some are highly dependent on stratigraphic conditions. The main prospects are San Andres, Wolfcamp, Permo-Pennsylvanian Lime, upper Pennsylvanian Lime, Strawn Lime oil and Atoka, Morrow, Chester Lime and the Siluro-Devonian are the prime prospects here.

The Phillips #1 Austin (M-17-14-36) is the highest well on this feature to have penetrated the Siluro-Devonian. It found the top 140 feet of that section tight, but found good reservoir rock filled with formation water below that point. It was completed from 42 feet of microlog porosity in the Chester Lime for an IP COF 4,925 MCFGPD. It had made 4,023,145 MCFG + 57516 BD to 1-1-78 and was producing at an average rate of 15,000 MCFG + 122 BD per month at that time. Cherry Brothers #1 Austin-State (E-19-14-36) was an attempt to extend this production 3/4mile to the southwest. This well was 47 feet low on the Siluro-Devonian and found the top 75 feet of that section mainly tight (100' brackish water). On a test of the Chester Lime pay, the packers failed and only the water blanket and 890' Sli GCM were recovered. Final Shut in Pressure was 1448 #/45". This well was completed from a thin (10') Wolfcamp Lime zone (now abandoned) for an IPF 250 BOPD. In April 1978, Adobe #1 State "16" (M-16-14-36) was completed from 33 feet of log porosity in the Chester Lime for an IP COF 2,740 MCFGPD. Since that time, the pay section was re-acidized and reportedly was making gas at the rate of 14,000 MCFGPD. It is understood that Adobe has calculated recoverable reserves of 7 BCFG (based on 320 acre spacing) on their well. The Adobe #1 Hannah (H-17-14-36) has been drilled to 13825 feet in the Lower Mississippian Lime. It found 36 feet of log porosity in the upper 100 feet of the Chester Lime. As of this writing, the operators were preparing to production test the Lower Mississippian Lime and perhaps a zone in the lower Chester Lime section. There are several other wells staked, but none are at the stage where they could aid in evaluating this area. The Zapata #1 Danglade (H-3-14-36) appears to have a very porous Chester section (80' approximately, but no detail log) which had no shows and was not tested. The Texas Crude and Sinclair # 1 Richardson (M-5-14-36) had 43 feet of sonic log porosity in four zones in the Chester Lime. The top 8 feet of porosity was included in a perforated interval which gave up gas at rates up to 2,100 MCFGPD on initial production tests. After repeated treating and testing, the volume of gas declined and apparently the volume of water increased. Lower and better developed porosity was not tested and is assumed to be water bearing. This well is thought to be very near the gas/water contact (-9375 +/-).

It appears that a band of porosity in the Chester Lime runs in a northeast-southwest direction across the generally north-south aligned Austin Anticline. The south half of section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E appears very well located on the structure, but the north half of that section appears to be dipping off on the north plunging axis of the Austin Anticline. With the exception of the Zapata #1 Danglade (which had additional section in the top of the Chester Lime), the main

L

Austin Chester Lime porosity occurs within the top 100 feet of that unit. Thus, although all of section 8 is shown to be above the estimated gas water contact of (-) 9375 feet, the entire Chester Lime porosity appears to be above this datum only in the south half of the section.

From the above analysis, it appears obvious that the south half of section 8, T-14-S, R-36-E is better located than the north half of that section. There is a very real possibility that the north half is so low as to be uneconomical to drill. Thus, if North Half and South Half Proration Units are approved, there may be only one well drilled in section 8--and that in the South Half. If Prora-Units are designated as East Half and West Half, there could be two wells drilled which would be higher on the structure and both of which should find most of the Chester Lime Austin Pay Porosity above the estimated water table. This would result in more gas being recovered. It could also mean that the State of New Mexico would have all of its approximately 320 acres in this section committed to wells and potentially revenue earning. With only one well drilled in the south half of the section, the State of New Mexico would have only 160 acres committed to production.