Form C-141
Page 5

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	nCH1821833189
District RP	1RP-5145
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Remediation Plan

Remediation Plan Checklist: ***Each of the following items must be included in the plan.***

- ☑ Detailed description of proposed remediation technique
- ☑ Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points
- ☑ Estimated volume of material to be remediated
- ☑ Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC
- ☑ Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required)

Deferral Requests Only: ***Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.***

- ☐ Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.
- ☐ Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.
- ☐ Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or groundwater.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: Charles R. Beauvais II Title: Environmental Coordinator

Signature: Charles R. Beauvais II Date: 03/10/2021

email: charles.r.beauvais@conocophillips.com Telephone: 575-988-2043

OCD Only

Received by: Chad Hensley Date: 10/07/2021

☐ Approved ☒ Approved with Attached Conditions of Approval ☐ Denied ☐ Deferral Approved

Signature: Chad Hensley Date: 10/07/2021

ConocoPhillips

Sam Widmer
ConocoPhillips
SP2
925 North Eldridge Parkway
Houston, TX 77079
+1-281-206-5298

September 9, 2021

New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Subject: **EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release**
Unit Letter O, Section 5 and 32, Township 18 and 17 South, Range 35 East
Lea County, New Mexico
1RP-5145
Incident ID nCH1821833189

Sir or Madam:

ConocoPhillips Company entered into an Agreed Compliance Order (ACO) with the NMOCD on May 9, 2019 related to unresolved releases pursuant to 19.15.29.16(9) NMAC. The ACO required COPC to submit characterization and/or remediation plans with proposed timeframes for the ongoing corrective actions or remediations identified to the NMOCD no later than September 1, 2021.

As of April 19, 2021, COPC has submitted characterization and remediation plans for all of the properties identified and owned; for sites not owned, Asset Sold Letters have been submitted. These documents have been submitted to the NMOCD via CentreStack, a Secure Access & File Sharing platform, at the direction of Mr. Bradford Billings, Hydrologist, NMCOD.

Enclosed is a copy of the Release Characterization and Remediation Work Plan for the subject line incident. This Work Plan has been previously submitted in its entirety via the CentreStack platform. It is now duly submitted separately via the NMOCD Fee Application portal.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 281-206-5298.

Sincerely,

Sam Widmer

Sam A. Widmer
Program Manager – RMR

cc: Site Files

Attachments: Release Characterization and Remediation Work Plan, EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release, Incident ID nCH1821833189

TETRA TECH

March 10, 2021

District Supervisor
Oil Conservation Division, District 1
1625 North French Drive
Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Re: Release Characterization and Remediation Work Plan
ConocoPhillips
EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release
Unit Letter O, Section 5 and 32, Township 18 and 17 South, Range 35 East
Lea County, New Mexico
1RP-5145
Incident ID nCH1821833189

Sir or Madam:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) was contacted by ConocoPhillips (COP) to assess a historical release that occurred at a flowline from the East Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres Unit (EVGSAU) 0546-038 well (API No. 30-025-03059). The release footprint is located approximately 1,415 feet (ft) north of the well in Public Land Survey System (PLSS) Unit Letter O, Section 5, Township 18 South, Range 35 East, in Lea County, New Mexico (Site). The approximate release point occurred at coordinates 32.786173°, -103.478182°, as shown on Figures 1 and 2.

BACKGROUND

According to the State of New Mexico C-141 Initial Report (Appendix A), on July 29, 2018 a release occurred due to a leak on a flowline from the EVGSAU 0546-038 well. The release consisted of 0.25 barrels (bbls) of oil and 6.46 bbls of produced water, which affected an area of 100-ft by 20-ft by 2-inches-deep. During immediate response actions, a vacuum truck recovered 3 bbls of free fluid. The New Mexico Oil Conservation District (NMOCD) received the C-141 report form for the release on August 6, 2018. The release was subsequently assigned the Remediation Permit (RP) number 1RP-5145 and the Incident ID nCH1821833189. The 1RP-5145 release is included in an Agreed Compliance Order-Releases (ACO-R) between COP and the NMOCD signed on May 7 and 9, 2019, respectively.

SITE CHARACTERIZATION

A site characterization was performed and no watercourses, sinkholes, residences, schools, hospitals, institutions, churches, springs, private domestic water wells, springs, playa lakes, wetlands, incorporated municipal boundaries, subsurface mines, or floodplains are located within the distances specified in 19.15.29 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC). The Site is in an area of low karst potential.

According to the New Mexico Office of the State Engineers (NMOSE) reporting system, there are two (2) water wells within 800 meters (approximately ½ mile) of the Site with an average depth to groundwater of 77 ft below ground surface (bgs). The site characterization data is included in Appendix B.

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Based upon the release footprint and in accordance with Subsection E of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, per 19.15.29.11 NMAC, the site characterization data was used to determine recommended remedial action

Tetra Tech
901 West Wall St., Suite 100, Midland, TX 79701
Tel 432.682.4559 Fax 432.682.3946 www.tetratech.com

levels (RRALs) for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (collectively referred to as BTEX), total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), and chlorides in soil.

Based on the site characterization and in accordance with Table I of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, the remediation RRALs for the Site are as follows:

Constituent	Remediation RRAL
Chloride	10,000 mg/kg
TPH	2,500 mg/kg
BTEX	50 mg/kg

Additionally, in accordance with the NMOCD guidance *Procedures for Implementation of the Spill Rule (19.15.29 NMAC)* (September 6, 2019), the following reclamation RRALs for surface soils (0-4 ft bgs) outside of active oil and gas operations are as follows:

Constituent	Reclamation RRAL
Chloride	600 mg/kg
TPH	100 mg/kg
BTEX	50 mg/kg

SITE ASSESSMENT

On behalf of COP, Tetra Tech conducted a visual Site inspection in July 2020 to confirm the release location. The GPS coordinates provided on the initial C-141 corresponded with the associated EVGSAU 0546-038 well rather than a release location along the flowline. Based on correspondence with COP personnel, Tetra Tech personnel walked the flowline from the EVGSAU 0546-038 well north until impacted soils were encountered where the flowline crosses a lease road approximately 1,400 ft north of the well. The release extent was clarified using the release extent dimensions provided in the C-141 and observations made in the field (Figure 3). Two pressurized buried pipelines run through the portion of the observed release extent south of the lease road. Photographic documentation of the visual Site inspection is included as Appendix C.

In order to achieve horizontal and vertical delineation of the release extent, Tetra Tech personnel conducted soil sampling in November and December 2020 and January 2021 on behalf of COP. A total of two (2) borings (BH-1 and BH-2) were installed using an air rotary drilling rig within the release footprint and to the east of the release extent, respectively, to depths of 4 ft bgs. The remaining three (3) borings were installed using a hand auger to the south, west, and north of the release extent, respectively, to complete horizontal delineation of the release. Soils at the Site consist of approximately 1.5 ft of brown silty clay underlain by a caliche cap rock. Figure 3 depicts the release extent and the 2020 and 2021 soil boring locations, and GPS coordinates for the boring locations are presented in Table 1.

A total of seven (7) samples were collected from the five (5) borings (BH-1 through BH-5) and submitted to Pace Analytical National Center for Testing & Innovation (Pace) in Nashville, Tennessee to be analyzed for chlorides via EPA Method 300.0, TPH via EPA Method 8015M, and BTEX via EPA Method 8021B. A copy of the laboratory analytical report and chain-of-custody documentation are included in Appendix D.

SUMMARY OF SAMPLING RESULTS

Results from the November and December 2020 and January 2021 soil sampling event are summarized in Table 2. The analytical results associated with the BH-1 sample location exceeded the Site reclamation RRAL for chloride (600 mg/kg) in the 0-1 ft bgs sample interval. There were no other analytical results which exceeded the Site reclamation RRAL for chloride (600 mg/kg) during the soil assessment. The analytical results associated with the remainder of the samples analyzed were below the Site reclamation RRALs for BTEX (50 mg/kg) and TPH (100 mg/kg).

REMEDIATION WORK PLAN

Based on the analytical results, ConocoPhillips proposes to remove the remaining impacted material as shown in Figure 4. Impacted soils will be excavated using heavy equipment (backhoes, hoe rams, and track hoes) to a maximum depth of 2 ft below the surrounding surface or until a representative sample from the walls and bottom of the excavation is below the RRALs. The areas of the release extent that contain the steel surface line and the buried pipelines will be hand-dug to a depth of 2 ft or the maximum extent practicable and heavy equipment will come no more than 3 ft from any pressurized lines. COP will coordinate with representatives from the pipeline operators before beginning remediation work.

Excavated soils will be transported offsite and disposed of at an NMOCD-approved or permitted facility. Confirmation bottom and sidewall samples will be collected for verification of remedial activities, and analyzed for TPH, BTEX, and chlorides. Once results are received, NMOCD will be notified and the excavation will then be backfilled with clean material to surface grade. The estimated volume of material to be remediated is approximately 235 cubic yards.

ALTERNATIVE CONFIRMATION SAMPLING PLAN

In accordance with 19.15.29.12(D)(1)(b) NMAC, COP proposes the following alternative confirmation sampling plan to adhere with NMOCD requirements. The proposed confirmation sample locations are depicted in Figure 5. Seven (7) confirmation floor samples and nineteen (19) confirmation sidewall samples are proposed for verification of remedial activities. The proposed excavation encompasses a surface area of approximately 3,150 square ft (sf).

These confirmation sidewall and floor samples will be representative of no more than approximately 500 sf of excavated area. Confirmation samples will be sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis of TPH (Method 8015 modified), BTEX (Method 8260B), and chloride (USEPA Method 300.0). Once results are received, NMOCD will be notified and the excavation will then be backfilled with clean material to surface grade.

SITE RECLAMATION AND RESTORATION PLAN

The backfilled areas will be seeded in Spring 2021 (first favorable growing season) to aid in revegetation. Based on the soils at the site, the New Mexico State Land Office (NMSLO) Sandy Loam (SL) Sites Seed Mixture will be used for seeding and will be planted in the amount specified in the pounds pure live seed (PLS) per acre. The seed mixture will be spread by a drill equipped with a depth regulator or a hand-held broadcaster and raked. If a hand-held broadcaster is used for dispersal, the pounds pure live seed per acre will be doubled.

Site inspections will be performed to assess the revegetation progress and evaluate the site for the presence of primary or secondary noxious weeds. If noxious weeds are identified, the NMSLO will be contacted to determine an effective method for eradication. If the site does not show revegetation after one growing season, the area will be reseeded as appropriate. The NMSLO seed mixture details and corresponding pounds pure live seed per acre are included in Appendix E.

CONCLUSION

ConocoPhillips proposes to begin remediation activities at the Site within 1 year of NMOCD plan approval. The EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release (1RP-5145) is included in an Agreed Compliance Order-Releases (ACO-R) between COP and the NMOCD signed on May 7 and 9, 2019, respectively. COP is dedicated to addressing and closing all historical releases included in the ACO-R, and given the number of releases to be addressed, 1 year is anticipated to be a practicable timeline. Upon completion of the proposed work, a final closure report detailing the remediation activities and the results of the confirmation sampling will be submitted to NMOCD.

If you have any questions concerning the soil assessment or the proposed remediation activities for the Site, please call me at (512) 739-7874 or Christian at (512) 338-2861.

Sincerely,
Tetra Tech, Inc.

Samantha K. Abbott, P.G.
Senior Staff Geologist

Christian M. Llull, P.G.
Project Manager

cc:
Mr. Marvin Soriwei, RMR – ConocoPhillips
Mr. Charles Beauvais, GPBU – ConocoPhillips

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Figures:

Tables:

Appendices:

FIGURES



DOCUMENT PATH: D:\CONOCOPHILLIPS\MXD\1RP-5145\FIGURE 1 OVERVIEW_1RP-5145.MXD

TETRA TECH

www.tetratech.com

901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Phone: (432) 682-4559
Fax: (432) 682-3946

CONOCOPHILLIPS

1RP-5145
(32.786173, -103.478182)
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EVGSAU 0546-038 FLOWLINE RELEASE
SITE LOCATION MAP

PROJECT NO.:	212C-MD-02334
DATE:	FEBRUARY 16, 2021
DESIGNED BY:	AAM

Figure No.
1

DOCUMENT PATH: D:\CONOCOPHILLIPS\MXD\1RP-5145\FIGURE 2 TOPO_1RP-5145.MXD

TETRA TECH

www.tetratech.com

901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Phone: (432) 682-4559
Fax: (432) 682-3946

CONOCOPHILLIPS

1RP-5145
(32.786173, -103.478182)
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EVGSAU 0546-038 FLOWLINE RELEASE
TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

PROJECT NO.:	212C-MD-02334
DATE:	FEBRUARY 16, 2021
DESIGNED BY:	AAM

Figure No.

2



DOCUMENT PATH: D:\CONOCOPHILLIPS\MXD\1RP-5145\FIGURE 3 RELEASE_1RP-5145.MXD

TETRA TECH
www.tetratech.com
901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Phone: (432) 682-4559
Fax: (432) 682-3946

CONOCOPHILLIPS

1RP-5145
(32.786173, -103.478182)
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EVGSAU 0546-038 FLOWLINE RELEASE
RELEASE EXTENT AND SITE ASSESSMENT

PROJECT NO.:	212C-MD-02334
DATE:	FEBRUARY 24, 2021
DESIGNED BY:	AAM

Figure No.
3



DOCUMENT PATH: D:\CONOCOPHILLIPS\MXD\1RP-5145\FIGURE 4 REMEDIATION_1RP-5145.MXD

TETRA TECH
www.tetratech.com
901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Phone: (432) 682-4559
Fax: (432) 682-3946

CONOCOPHILLIPS

1RP-5145
(32.786173, -103.478182)
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EVGSAU 0546-038 FLOWLINE RELEASE
PROPOSED REMEDIATION EXTENT

PROJECT NO.:	212C-MD-02334
DATE:	FEBRUARY 24, 2021
DESIGNED BY:	AAM

Figure No.
4



DOCUMENT PATH: D:\CONOCOPHILLIPS\MXD\1RP-5145\FIGURE 5 ACSP_1RP-5145.MXD

TETRA TECH
www.tetratech.com
901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Phone: (432) 682-4559
Fax: (432) 682-3946

CONOCOPHILLIPS

1RP-5145
(32.786173, -103.478182)
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EVGSAU 0546-038 FLOWLINE RELEASE
ALTERNATIVE CONFIRMATION SAMPLING PLAN

PROJECT NO.:	212C-MD-02334
DATE:	FEBRUARY 24, 2021
DESIGNED BY:	AAM

Figure No.
5

TABLES

TABLE 1
BORING LOCATION COORDINATES
SOIL ASSESSMENT - 1RP-5145
CONOCOPHILLIPS
EVGSAU 0546-038 FLOWLINE RELEASE
LEA COUNTY, NM

Boring ID	Latitude	Longitude
BH-1	32.786390	-103.478127
BH-2	32.786279	-103.477930
BH-3	32.785963	-103.478243
BH-4	32.786173	-103.478613
BH-5	32.786634	-103.478407

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SOIL ASSESSMENT - 1RP-5145
CONOCOPHILLIPS
EVGSAU 0546-038 FLOWLINE RELEASE
LEA COUNTY, NM

Sample ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth Interval	Field Screening Results		Chloride[1]		BTEX[2]								TPH[3]							
			Chloride	PID			Benzene		Toluene		Ethylbenzene		Total Xylenes		Total BTEX	GRO[4] C_3 - C_{10}		DRO C_{10} - C_{28}		ORO C_{28} - C_{40}		Total TPH (GRO+DRO+ORO)
		ft. bgs	ppm		mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg
BH-1	11/9/2020	0-1	55.4	1.3	***801***		< 0.00108		< 0.00541		< 0.00271		< 0.00704		-	< 0.104		< 4.16		3.64	J	3.64
		3-4	69.8	0.5	190		< 0.00107		< 0.00533		< 0.00266		< 0.00692		-	< 0.103		1.71	J	3.25	J	4.96
BH-2	11/9/2020	0-1	109	0.8	356		< 0.00105		< 0.00524		< 0.00262		< 0.00681		-	< 0.102		9.36		18.0		27.4
		3-4	84.3	0.1	204		< 0.00108		< 0.00538		< 0.00269		< 0.00699		-	< 0.104		2.24	J	3.26	J	5.50
BH-3	12/14/2020	0-1	-	-	15.3	J	< 0.00107		< 0.00535		< 0.00268		0.00119	J	0.00119	< 0.104		3.56	J	16.3		19.9
BH-4	12/14/2020	0-1	-	-	15.7	J	< 0.00108		< 0.00538		< 0.00269		< 0.00699		-	< 0.104		2.26	J	9.37		11.6
BH-5	1/14/2021	0-1	-	-	28.2		< 0.00127		< 0.00633		< 0.00317		< 0.00823		-	< 0.113		8.29		33.2		41.5

NOTES:

ft. Feet

bgs Below ground surface

ppm Parts per million

mg/kg Milligrams per kilogram

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

GRO Gasoline range organics

DRO Diesel range organics

ORO Oil range organics

Bold and italicized values indicate exceedance of proposed RRALs

Shaded rows indicate intervals proposed for excavation.

1 EPA Method 300.0

2 EPA Method 8260B

3 EPA Method 8015

4 EPA Method 8015D/GRO

QUALIFIERS:

J The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

APPENDIX A
C-141 Forms

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☒ Initial Report ☐ Final Report

Name of Company: **ConocoPhillips**	Contact: **Cullen Rosine**
Address: **29 Vacuum Complex Lane**	Telephone No. **575-391-3133**
Facility Name: EVGSAU 0546-038	Facility Type: Producing Well

Surface Owner: State	Mineral Owner: State	API No.30-025-03059

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
B	05	18S	35E					**Lea**

Latitude 32.7823563 **Longitude** -103.4776917

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release: **Oil & Produced Water**	Volume of Release: 6.46 BPW .25 BBL oil	Volume Recovered: .3 BPW
Source of Release: Flowline	Date and Hour of Occurrence **7-29-2018 0900**	Date and Hour of Discovery **7-29-2018 1430**
Was Immediate Notice Given? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Required	If YES, To Whom? Olivia Yu	
By Whom? **Cullen Rosine**	Date and Hour: **8-1-2018 0824 hours via phone**	
Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☒ No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*

N/A

RECEIVED
By CHernandez at 9:07 am, Aug 06, 2018

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken. – July 29, 2018 at 1430. MSO found a flowline leak that resulted in a 6.71 bbl release. 3 bbl of fluid were recovered. Spill site will be remediated per NMOCD guidelines.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken. *
Area 1 – 100' x 20' x 2"

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature: *Cullen Rosine*

Printed Name: Cullen Rosine

Title: HSE Specialist

E-mail Address: ***Cullen.J.Rosine@conocophillips.com***

Date: 8-1-2018 Phone:575-391-3133

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Approved by Environmental Specialist: SH

Approval Date: 8/6/2018 Expiration Date:

Conditions of Approval:

See attached directive

Attached ☑

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

1RP-5145 pCH1821860030

nCH1821833189

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	_____ (ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Did the release impact areas **not** on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	☐ Yes ☐ No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: ***Each of the following items must be included in the report.***

- ☐ Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- ☐ Field data
- ☐ Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- ☐ Depth to water determination
- ☐ Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- ☐ Boring or excavation logs
- ☐ Photographs including date and GIS information
- ☐ Topographic/Aerial maps
- ☐ Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Form C-141
Page 4

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: _____ Title: _____

Signature: Charles R. Beauvais II Date: _____

email: _____ Telephone: _____

OCD Only

Received by: _____ Date: _____

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Remediation Plan

Remediation Plan Checklist: ***Each of the following items must be included in the plan.***

- ☐ Detailed description of proposed remediation technique
- ☐ Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points
- ☐ Estimated volume of material to be remediated
- ☐ Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC
- ☐ Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required)

Deferral Requests Only: ***Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.***

☐ Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.

☐ Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.

☐ Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or groundwater.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: _____ Title: _____

Signature: Charles R. Beauvais II Date: _____

email: _____ Telephone: _____

OCD Only

Received by: _____ Date: _____

☐ Approved ☐ Approved with Attached Conditions of Approval ☐ Denied ☐ Deferral Approved

Signature: _____ Date: _____

APPENDIX B
Site Characterization Data

1RP-5145



2/8/2021, 3:41:00 PM

1:9,028

0 0.05 0.1 0.2 mi

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 km

Override 1

OCD District Offices

PLSS First Division

PLSS Second Division

OSE Water-bodies

PLJV Probable Playas

OSE Streams

Bureau of Land Management, Texas Parks & Wildlife, Esri, HERE, Garmin,

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

NM OCD Oil and Gas Map. http://nm-emnrd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=4d017f2306164de29fd2fb9f8f35ca75: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

KARST POTENTIAL MAP

1RP-5145



New Mexico Office of the State Engineer
Water Column/Average Depth to Water

(A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a water right file.)

(R=POD has been replaced, O=orphaned, C=the file is closed)

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)
(quarters are smallest to largest)

(NAD83 UTM in meters)

(In feet)

POD Number	POD Code	Sub-basin	County	Q 64	Q 16	Q 4	Sec	Tws	Rng	X	Y	Distance	Depth Well	Depth Water	Water Column
L 04829 S		L	LE	3	4	32	17S	35E	642554	3628586*		47	198	85	113
L 04931		L	LE	1	2	05	18S	35E	642561	3628183*		426	237	70	167

Average Depth to Water: **77 feet**

Minimum Depth: **70 feet**

Maximum Depth: **85 feet**

Record Count: 2

UTMNAD83 Radius Search (in meters):

Easting (X): 642511.67 **Northing (Y):** 3628606.87 **Radius:** 800

***UTM location was derived from PLSS - see Help**

10/16/20 1:18 PM

WATER COLUMN/ AVERAGE DEPTH TO WATER

APPENDIX C
Photographic Documentation

TETRA TECH, INC. PROJECT NO. 212C-MD-02334	DESCRIPTION	View facing west of release area and lease road.	1
	SITE NAME	EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release	7/30/2020



TETRA TECH, INC. PROJECT NO. 212C-MD-02334	DESCRIPTION	View facing southwest of disturbed soils in release area.	2
	SITE NAME	EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release	7/30/2020

TETRA TECH, INC. PROJECT NO. 212C-MD-02334	DESCRIPTION	View facing northwest of EVGSAU 0546-038 flowline where it crosses under lease road.	3
	SITE NAME	EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release	7/30/2020

TETRA TECH, INC. PROJECT NO. 212C-MD-02334	DESCRIPTION	View facing south of flowline at lease road crossing.	4
	SITE NAME	EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release	7/30/2020

TETRA TECH, INC. PROJECT NO. 212C-MD-02334	DESCRIPTION	View facing north of flowline at lease road crossing.	5
	SITE NAME	EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release	7/30/2020

APPENDIX D
Laboratory Analytical Data

Pace Analytical®
National Center for Testing & Innovation

ANALYTICAL REPORT

November 30, 2020

ConocoPhillips - Tetra Tech

Sample Delivery Group:	L1286045
Samples Received:	11/14/2020
Project Number:	212C-MD-02334 TASK28
Description:	EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release (1RP-5145)

Report To: Christian Llull
901 West Wall
Suite 100
Midland, TX 79701

Entire Report Reviewed By: Erica McNeese

Erica McNeese
Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BH-1 (0-1') L1286045-01 Solid

Collected by: Joe Tyler | Collected date/time: 11/09/20 10:00 | Received date/time: 11/14/20 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011	WG1579966	1	11/21/20 01:57	11/21/20 02:17	KDW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1582473	1	11/26/20 00:30	11/26/20 09:14	ELN	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO	WG1580518	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/21/20 22:16	BMB	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B	WG1580340	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/22/20 10:47	JAH	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015	WG1580176	1	11/21/20 00:59	11/22/20 12:04	JN	Mt. Juliet, TN

BH-1 (3-4') L1286045-02 Solid

Collected by: Joe Tyler | Collected date/time: 11/09/20 10:10 | Received date/time: 11/14/20 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011	WG1579966	1	11/21/20 01:57	11/21/20 02:17	KDW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1582473	1	11/26/20 00:30	11/26/20 09:31	ELN	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO	WG1580518	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/21/20 22:39	BMB	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B	WG1580340	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/22/20 11:06	JAH	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015	WG1580176	1	11/21/20 00:59	11/22/20 11:50	JN	Mt. Juliet, TN

BH-2 (0-1') L1286045-03 Solid

Collected by: Joe Tyler | Collected date/time: 11/09/20 10:20 | Received date/time: 11/14/20 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011	WG1579966	1	11/21/20 01:57	11/21/20 02:17	KDW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1582473	1	11/26/20 00:30	11/26/20 09:47	ELN	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO	WG1580518	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/21/20 23:01	BMB	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B	WG1580340	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/22/20 11:25	JAH	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015	WG1580176	1	11/21/20 00:59	11/23/20 03:24	JN	Mt. Juliet, TN

BH-2 (3-4') L1286045-04 Solid

Collected by: Joe Tyler | Collected date/time: 11/09/20 10:30 | Received date/time: 11/14/20 09:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011	WG1579966	1	11/21/20 01:57	11/21/20 02:17	KDW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1582473	1	11/26/20 00:30	11/26/20 10:38	ELN	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO	WG1580518	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/21/20 23:24	BMB	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B	WG1580340	1	11/20/20 11:21	11/22/20 11:45	JAH	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015	WG1580176	1	11/21/20 00:59	11/22/20 12:17	JN	Mt. Juliet, TN

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Erica McNeese
Project Manager

Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	%			date / time	
Total Solids	96.0		1	11/21/2020 02:17	WG1579966

Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Chloride	801		9.58	20.8	1	11/26/2020 09:14	WG1582473

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0226	0.104	1	11/21/2020 22:16	WG1580518
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*99.7*			*77.0-120*		*11/21/2020 22:16*	WG1580518

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000505	0.00108	1	11/22/2020 10:47	WG1580340
Toluene	U		0.00141	0.00541	1	11/22/2020 10:47	WG1580340
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000798	0.00271	1	11/22/2020 10:47	WG1580340
Total Xylenes	U		0.000953	0.00704	1	11/22/2020 10:47	WG1580340
(S) Toluene-d8	*114*			*75.0-131*		*11/22/2020 10:47*	WG1580340
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*89.1*			*67.0-138*		*11/22/2020 10:47*	WG1580340
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*104*			*70.0-130*		*11/22/2020 10:47*	WG1580340

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
C10-C28 Diesel Range	U		1.68	4.16	1	11/22/2020 12:04	WG1580176
C28-C40 Oil Range	3.64	J	0.285	4.16	1	11/22/2020 12:04	WG1580176
(S) o-Terphenyl	*81.6*			*18.0-148*		*11/22/2020 12:04*	WG1580176

Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	%			date / time	
Total Solids	96.8		1	11/21/2020 02:17	WG1579966

Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Chloride	190		9.50	20.7	1	11/26/2020 09:31	WG1582473

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0224	0.103	1	11/21/2020 22:39	WG1580518
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*99.7*			*77.0-120*		*11/21/2020 22:39*	WG1580518

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000498	0.00107	1	11/22/2020 11:06	WG1580340
Toluene	U		0.00138	0.00533	1	11/22/2020 11:06	WG1580340
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000785	0.00266	1	11/22/2020 11:06	WG1580340
Total Xylenes	U		0.000937	0.00692	1	11/22/2020 11:06	WG1580340
(S) Toluene-d8	*111*			*75.0-131*		*11/22/2020 11:06*	WG1580340
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*89.9*			*67.0-138*		*11/22/2020 11:06*	WG1580340
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*106*			*70.0-130*		*11/22/2020 11:06*	WG1580340

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
C10-C28 Diesel Range	1.71	J	1.66	4.13	1	11/22/2020 11:50	WG1580176
C28-C40 Oil Range	3.25	J	0.283	4.13	1	11/22/2020 11:50	WG1580176
(S) o-Terphenyl	*88.5*			*18.0-148*		*11/22/2020 11:50*	WG1580176

Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	%			date / time	
Total Solids	97.7		1	11/21/2020 02:17	WG1579966

Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Chloride	356		9.42	20.5	1	11/26/2020 09:47	WG1582473

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0222	0.102	1	11/21/2020 23:01	WG1580518
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*100*			*77.0-120*		*11/21/2020 23:01*	WG1580518

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000489	0.00105	1	11/22/2020 11:25	WG1580340
Toluene	U		0.00136	0.00524	1	11/22/2020 11:25	WG1580340
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000772	0.00262	1	11/22/2020 11:25	WG1580340
Total Xylenes	U		0.000922	0.00681	1	11/22/2020 11:25	WG1580340
(S) Toluene-d8	*110*			*75.0-131*		*11/22/2020 11:25*	WG1580340
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*92.3*			*67.0-138*		*11/22/2020 11:25*	WG1580340
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*106*			*70.0-130*		*11/22/2020 11:25*	WG1580340

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
C10-C28 Diesel Range	9.36		1.65	4.10	1	11/23/2020 03:24	WG1580176
C28-C40 Oil Range	18.0		0.281	4.10	1	11/23/2020 03:24	WG1580176
(S) o-Terphenyl	*79.7*			*18.0-148*		*11/23/2020 03:24*	WG1580176

Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	%			date / time	
Total Solids	96.4		1	11/21/2020 02:17	WG1579966

Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Chloride	204		9.55	20.8	1	11/26/2020 10:38	WG1582473

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0225	0.104	1	11/21/2020 23:24	WG1580518
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*99.9*			*77.0-120*		*11/21/2020 23:24*	WG1580518

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000502	0.00108	1	11/22/2020 11:45	WG1580340
Toluene	U		0.00140	0.00538	1	11/22/2020 11:45	WG1580340
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000793	0.00269	1	11/22/2020 11:45	WG1580340
Total Xylenes	U		0.000946	0.00699	1	11/22/2020 11:45	WG1580340
(S) Toluene-d8	*112*			*75.0-131*		*11/22/2020 11:45*	WG1580340
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*88.8*			*67.0-138*		*11/22/2020 11:45*	WG1580340
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*106*			*70.0-130*		*11/22/2020 11:45*	WG1580340

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
C10-C28 Diesel Range	2.24	J	1.67	4.15	1	11/22/2020 12:17	WG1580176
C28-C40 Oil Range	3.26	J	0.284	4.15	1	11/22/2020 12:17	WG1580176
(S) o-Terphenyl	*77.5*			*18.0-148*		*11/22/2020 12:17*	WG1580176

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3595802-1 11/21/20 02:17

Analyte	MB Result %	MB Qualifier	MB MDL %	MB RDL %
Total Solids	0.00100			

L1286041-13 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1286041-13 11/21/20 02:17 • (DUP) R3595802-3 11/21/20 02:17

Analyte	Original Result %	DUP Result %	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Total Solids	93.0	93.1	1	0.153		10

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3595802-2 11/21/20 02:17

Analyte	Spike Amount %	LCS Result %	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Total Solids	50.0	50.0	100	85.0-115	

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3598352-1 11/26/20 07:15

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
Chloride	U		9.20	20.0

L1286608-02 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1286608-02 11/26/20 15:09 • (DUP) R3598352-6 11/26/20 15:26

Analyte	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	DUP Result (dry) mg/kg	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Chloride	U	U	1	0.000		20

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3598352-2 11/26/20 07:32

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Chloride	200	205	103	90.0-110	

L1286599-01 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1286599-01 11/26/20 11:29 • (MS) R3598352-4 11/26/20 11:46 • (MSD) R3598352-5 11/26/20 12:03

Analyte	Spike Amount (dry) mg/kg	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Result (dry) mg/kg	MSD Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Chloride	604	U	619	618	102	102	1	80.0-120			0.0952	20

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3595949-2 11/21/20 18:36

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0217	0.100
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*101*			*77.0-120*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3595949-1 11/21/20 17:51

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	5.50	5.48	99.6	72.0-127	
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)			*99.1*	*77.0-120*	

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3596184-2 11/22/20 05:12

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
Benzene	U		0.000467	0.00100
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000737	0.00250
Toluene	U		0.00130	0.00500
Xylenes, Total	U		0.000880	0.00650
(S) Toluene-d8	*110*			*75.0-131*
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*91.9*			*67.0-138*
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*104*			*70.0-130*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3596184-1 11/22/20 04:16

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Benzene	0.125	0.133	106	70.0-123	
Ethylbenzene	0.125	0.135	108	74.0-126	
Toluene	0.125	0.140	112	75.0-121	
Xylenes, Total	0.375	0.401	107	72.0-127	
(S) Toluene-d8			*109*	*75.0-131*	
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene			*91.6*	*67.0-138*	
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			*110*	*70.0-130*	

L1286045-04 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1286045-04 11/22/20 11:45 • (MS) R3596184-3 11/22/20 12:04 • (MSD) R3596184-4 11/22/20 12:23

Analyte	Spike Amount (dry) mg/kg	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Result (dry) mg/kg	MSD Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Benzene	0.134	U	0.132	0.146	98.4	109	1	10.0-149			10.0	37
Ethylbenzene	0.134	U	0.134	0.146	100	109	1	10.0-160			8.43	38
Toluene	0.134	U	0.136	0.152	101	113	1	10.0-156			11.2	38
Xylenes, Total	0.403	U	0.395	0.412	97.9	102	1	10.0-160			4.27	38
(S) Toluene-d8					*109*	*115*		*75.0-131*				
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene					*91.7*	*89.0*		*67.0-138*				
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					*109*	*105*		*70.0-130*				

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3595985-2 11/22/20 07:26

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
C10-C28 Diesel Range	U		1.61	4.00
C28-C40 Oil Range	U		0.274	4.00
(S) o-Terphenyl	*94.0*			*18.0-148*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3595985-1 11/22/20 06:48

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
C10-C28 Diesel Range	50.0	41.5	83.0	50.0-150	
(S) o-Terphenyl			*86.8*	*18.0-148*	

L1286045-03 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1286045-03 11/23/20 03:24 • (MS) R3596187-1 11/23/20 03:36 • (MSD) R3596187-2 11/23/20 03:49

Analyte	Spike Amount (dry) mg/kg	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Result (dry) mg/kg	MSD Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
C10-C28 Diesel Range	50.1	9.36	39.9	43.0	61.1	67.2	1	50.0-150			7.41	20
(S) o-Terphenyl					*69.5*	*81.4*		*18.0-148*				

Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

(dry)	Results are reported based on the dry weight of the sample. [this will only be present on a dry report basis for soils].
MDL	Method Detection Limit.
MDL (dry)	Method Detection Limit.
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
RDL (dry)	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
(S)	Surrogate (Surrogate Standard) - Analytes added to every blank, sample, Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate and Matrix Spike/Duplicate; used to evaluate analytical efficiency by measuring recovery. Surrogates are not expected to be detected in all environmental media.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state “ND” (Not Detected) or “BDL” (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.

Qualifier	Description
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

Pace National is the only environmental laboratory accredited/certified to support your work nationwide from one location. One phone call, one point of contact, one laboratory. No other lab is as accessible or prepared to handle your needs throughout the country. Our capacity and capability from our single location laboratory is comparable to the collective totals of the network laboratories in our industry. The most significant benefit to our one location design is the design of our laboratory campus. The model is conducive to accelerated productivity, decreasing turn-around time, and preventing cross contamination, thus protecting sample integrity. Our focus on premium quality and prompt service allows us to be YOUR LAB OF CHOICE.
* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.
* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace National.

State Accreditations

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN-03-2002-34
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey–NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico [1]	n/a
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina [1]	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina [3]	41
Georgia [1]	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio–VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
Iowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky [1 6]	90010	South Carolina	84004
Kentucky [2]	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee [1 4]	2006
Louisiana [1]	LA180010	Texas	T104704245-18-15
Maine	TN0002	Texas [5]	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN00003
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	460132
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	9980939910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA

Third Party Federal Accreditations

A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 [5]	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA–Crypto	TN00003		

[1] Drinking Water [2] Underground Storage Tanks [3] Aquatic Toxicity [4] Chemical/Microbiological [5] Mold [6] Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

Our Locations

Pace National has sixty-four client support centers that provide sample pickup and/or the delivery of sampling supplies. If you would like assistance from one of our support offices, please contact our main office. Pace National performs all testing at our central laboratory.



ACCOUNT:	PROJECT:	SDG:	DATE/TIME:	PAGE:
ConocoPhillips - Tetra Tech	212C-MD-02334 TASK28	L1286045	11/30/20 13:03	15 of 17

Analysis Request of Chain of Custody Record

L1286045

Tetra Tech, Inc.

901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Tel (432) 682-4559
Fax (432) 682-3946

Client Name:	Conoco Phillips	**Site Manager:**	Christian Llull
Project Name:	EVGSAU 0546-038 Flowline Release (1RP-5145)	**Contact Info:**	Email: christian.llull@tetratech.com Phone: (512) 338-1667
Project Location: (county, state)	Lea County, New Mexico	**Project #:**	212C-MD-02334, Task No. 28
Invoice to:	Accounts Payable 901 West Wall Street, Suite 100 Midland, Texas 79701		
Receiving Laboratory:	Pace Analytical	**Sampler Signature:**	Joe Tyler
Comments:	COPTETRA Acctnum		

ANALYSIS REQUEST
(Circle or Specify Method No.)

LAB # (LAB USE ONLY)	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLING DATE (YEAR: 2020)	SAMPLING TIME	MATRIX: WATER	MATRIX: SOIL		PRESERVATIVE METHOD: HCL	HNO$_3$	ICE	NONE	# CONTAINERS	FILTERED (Y/N)	BTEX 8021B BTEX 8260B	TPH TX1005 (Ext to C35)	TPH 8015M (GRO - DRO - ORO - MRO)	PAH 8270C	Total Metals Ag As Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Hg	TCLP Metals Ag As Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Hg	TCLP Volatiles	TCLP Semi Volatiles	RCI	GC/MS Vol. 8260B / 624	GC/MS Semi. Vol. 8270C/625	PCB's 8082 / 608	NORM	PLM (Asbestos)	Chloride 300.0	Chloride Sulfate TDS	General Water Chemistry (see attached list)	Anion/Cation Balance	TPH 8015R		HOLD
-01	BH-1 (0'-1')	11/09/20	1000		X				X		1	N	X		X												X						
-02	BH-1 (3'-4')	11/09/20	1010		X				X		1	N	X		X												X						
-03	BH-2 (0'-1')	11/09/20	1020		X				X		1	N	X		X												X						
-04	BH-2 (3'-4')	11/09/20	1030		X				X		1	N	X		X												X						

Relinquished by:	Date:	Time:	Received by:	Date:	Time:
[signature]	11-13-20	14:00	[signature]	11-13-20	14:00
[signature]	11-13-20	17:00	[signature]	11-13-20	17:00
			B. Barras	11-14-20	1000

LAB USE ONLY
Sample Temperature

REMARKS:
- [X] Standard
- [ ] RUSH: Same Day 24 hr. 48 hr. 72 hr.
- [ ] Rush Charges Authorized
- [ ] Special Report Limits or TRRP Report

(Circle) HAND DELIVERED FEDEX UPS Tracking #: ____________

ORIGINAL COPY

A055

.2±0=.2 [handwritten initials]

Pace Analytical National Center for Testing & Innovation
Cooler Receipt Form

Client: COPTETRA	L1286045	
Cooler Received/Opened On: 11 / 14 / 20 Temperature:	.2	
Received By: Billy Barras		
Signature: B. Barras		

Receipt Check List	NP	Yes	No
COC Seal Present / Intact?	✓		
COC Signed / Accurate?		✓	
Bottles arrive intact?		✓	
Correct bottles used?		✓	
Sufficient volume sent?		✓	
If Applicable			
VOA Zero headspace?			
Preservation Correct / Checked?			

Pace Analytical®
National Center for Testing & Innovation

ANALYTICAL REPORT

December 30, 2020

ConocoPhillips - Tetra Tech

Sample Delivery Group:	L1298737
Samples Received:	12/18/2020
Project Number:	212C-MD-02334 TASK28
Description:	1RP-5145

Report To: Christian Llull
901 West Wall
Suite 100
Midland, TX 79701

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Chris McCord
Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BH 3 (0-1') L1298737-01 Solid

Collected by: Adrian Garcia
Collected date/time: 12/14/20 12:00
Received date/time: 12/18/20 08:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011	WG1597954	1	12/28/20 15:18	12/28/20 15:27	KDW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1597509	1	12/27/20 13:28	12/27/20 16:15	ELN	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO	WG1596987	1	12/22/20 21:47	12/26/20 17:34	DWR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B	WG1596593	1	12/22/20 21:47	12/23/20 12:45	DWR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015	WG1597481	1	12/25/20 21:01	12/26/20 19:58	JN	Mt. Juliet, TN

BH 4 (0-1') L1298737-02 Solid

Collected by: Adrian Garcia
Collected date/time: 12/14/20 12:10
Received date/time: 12/18/20 08:00

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011	WG1597954	1	12/28/20 15:18	12/28/20 15:27	KDW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1597509	1	12/27/20 13:28	12/27/20 16:53	ELN	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO	WG1596987	1	12/22/20 21:47	12/26/20 17:57	DWR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B	WG1596593	1	12/22/20 21:47	12/23/20 13:04	DWR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015	WG1597481	1	12/25/20 21:01	12/26/20 19:05	JN	Mt. Juliet, TN

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.



Chris McCord
Project Manager

Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	%			date / time	
Total Solids	96.6		1	12/28/2020 15:27	WG1597954

Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Chloride	15.3	J	9.52	20.7	1	12/27/2020 16:15	WG1597509

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0225	0.104	1	12/26/2020 17:34	WG1596987
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*96.9*			*77.0-120*		*12/26/2020 17:34*	WG1596987

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000500	0.00107	1	12/23/2020 12:45	WG1596593
Toluene	U		0.00139	0.00535	1	12/23/2020 12:45	WG1596593
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000789	0.00268	1	12/23/2020 12:45	WG1596593
Total Xylenes	0.00119	J	0.000942	0.00696	1	12/23/2020 12:45	WG1596593
(S) Toluene-d8	*104*			*75.0-131*		*12/23/2020 12:45*	WG1596593
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*94.9*			*67.0-138*		*12/23/2020 12:45*	WG1596593
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*94.6*			*70.0-130*		*12/23/2020 12:45*	WG1596593

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
C10-C28 Diesel Range	3.56	J	1.67	4.14	1	12/26/2020 19:58	WG1597481
C28-C40 Oil Range	16.3		0.284	4.14	1	12/26/2020 19:58	WG1597481
(S) o-Terphenyl	*87.9*			*18.0-148*		*12/26/2020 19:58*	WG1597481

Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	%			date / time	
Total Solids	96.4		1	12/28/2020 15:27	WG1597954

Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Chloride	15.7	J	9.55	20.8	1	12/27/2020 16:53	WG1597509

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0225	0.104	1	12/26/2020 17:57	WG1596987
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*97.5*			*77.0-120*		*12/26/2020 17:57*	WG1596987

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000502	0.00108	1	12/23/2020 13:04	WG1596593
Toluene	U		0.00140	0.00538	1	12/23/2020 13:04	WG1596593
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000793	0.00269	1	12/23/2020 13:04	WG1596593
Total Xylenes	U		0.000947	0.00699	1	12/23/2020 13:04	WG1596593
(S) Toluene-d8	*105*			*75.0-131*		*12/23/2020 13:04*	WG1596593
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*96.1*			*67.0-138*		*12/23/2020 13:04*	WG1596593
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*92.9*			*70.0-130*		*12/23/2020 13:04*	WG1596593

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
C10-C28 Diesel Range	2.26	J	1.67	4.15	1	12/26/2020 19:05	WG1597481
C28-C40 Oil Range	9.37		0.284	4.15	1	12/26/2020 19:05	WG1597481
(S) o-Terphenyl	*87.3*			*18.0-148*		*12/26/2020 19:05*	WG1597481

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3607892-1 12/28/20 15:27

Analyte	MB Result %	MB Qualifier	MB MDL %	MB RDL %
Total Solids	0.000			

L1298717-01 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1298717-01 12/28/20 15:27 • (DUP) R3607892-3 12/28/20 15:27

Analyte	Original Result %	DUP Result %	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Total Solids	78.2	75.0	1	4.18		10

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3607892-2 12/28/20 15:27

Analyte	Spike Amount %	LCS Result %	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Total Solids	50.0	50.0	100	85.0-115	

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3607506-1 12/27/20 14:39

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
Chloride	U		9.20	20.0

L1298737-01 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1298737-01 12/27/20 16:15 • (DUP) R3607506-3 12/27/20 16:24

Analyte	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	DUP Result (dry) mg/kg	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Chloride	15.3	16.2	1	5.93	J	20

L1299447-03 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1299447-03 12/27/20 19:06 • (DUP) R3607506-6 12/27/20 19:16

Analyte	Original Result (dry)	DUP Result (dry)	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Chloride	612	687	1	11.5		20

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3607506-2 12/27/20 14:48

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Chloride	200	201	100	90.0-110	

L1298801-02 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1298801-02 12/27/20 17:12 • (MS) R3607506-4 12/27/20 17:22 • (MSD) R3607506-5 12/27/20 17:31

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	Original Result mg/kg	MS Result mg/kg	MSD Result mg/kg	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Chloride	500	46.5	551	522	101	95.0	1	80.0-120			5.48	20

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3607541-3 12/26/20 13:18

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0217	0.100
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*98.6*			*77.0-120*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3607541-2 12/26/20 12:33

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	5.50	3.98	72.4	72.0-127	
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)			*99.9*	*77.0-120*	

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3607502-3 12/23/20 08:55

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
Benzene	U		0.000467	0.00100
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000737	0.00250
Toluene	U		0.00130	0.00500
Xylenes, Total	U		0.000880	0.00650
(S) Toluene-d8	*103*			*75.0-131*
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*94.2*			*67.0-138*
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*92.5*			*70.0-130*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3607502-1 12/23/20 07:40 • (LCSD) R3607502-2 12/23/20 07:59

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCSD Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	LCSD Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Benzene	0.125	0.128	0.136	102	109	70.0-123			6.06	20
Ethylbenzene	0.125	0.135	0.139	108	111	74.0-126			2.92	20
Toluene	0.125	0.133	0.135	106	108	75.0-121			1.49	20
Xylenes, Total	0.375	0.396	0.404	106	108	72.0-127			2.00	20
(S) Toluene-d8				*102*	*102*	*75.0-131*				
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene				*96.7*	*92.3*	*67.0-138*				
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4				*99.0*	*99.1*	*70.0-130*				

L1298732-02 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1298732-02 12/23/20 11:11 • (MS) R3607502-4 12/23/20 16:51 • (MSD) R3607502-5 12/23/20 17:10

Analyte	Spike Amount (dry) mg/kg	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Result (dry) mg/kg	MSD Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Benzene	0.125	U	0.109	0.108	87.9	87.1	1	10.0-149			0.922	37
Ethylbenzene	0.125	U	0.111	0.109	89.5	87.9	1	10.0-160			1.82	38
Toluene	0.125	U	0.108	0.107	87.1	86.3	1	10.0-156			0.930	38
Xylenes, Total	0.374	U	0.330	0.327	88.4	87.6	1	10.0-160			0.916	38
(S) Toluene-d8					*99.1*	*101*		*75.0-131*				
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene					*92.9*	*93.1*		*67.0-138*				
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4					*95.4*	*93.8*		*70.0-130*				

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3607385-1 12/26/20 11:35

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
C10-C28 Diesel Range	U		1.61	4.00
C28-C40 Oil Range	U		0.274	4.00
(S) o-Terphenyl	*81.4*			*18.0-148*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3607385-2 12/26/20 11:48

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
C10-C28 Diesel Range	50.0	40.4	80.8	50.0-150	
(S) o-Terphenyl			*101*	*18.0-148*	

L1298732-01 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1298732-01 12/28/20 14:05 • (MS) R3607706-1 12/28/20 14:19 • (MSD) R3607706-2 12/28/20 14:32

Analyte	Spike Amount (dry) mg/kg	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Result (dry) mg/kg	MSD Result (dry) mg/kg	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
C10-C28 Diesel Range	50.1	5.49	48.9	52.0	86.6	92.8	1	50.0-150			6.16	20
(S) o-Terphenyl					*98.2*	*107*		*18.0-148*				

Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

(dry)	Results are reported based on the dry weight of the sample. [this will only be present on a dry report basis for soils].
MDL	Method Detection Limit.
MDL (dry)	Method Detection Limit.
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
RDL (dry)	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
(S)	Surrogate (Surrogate Standard) - Analytes added to every blank, sample, Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate and Matrix Spike/Duplicate; used to evaluate analytical efficiency by measuring recovery. Surrogates are not expected to be detected in all environmental media.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state “ND” (Not Detected) or “BDL” (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.

Qualifier	Description
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

Pace National is the only environmental laboratory accredited/certified to support your work nationwide from one location. One phone call, one point of contact, one laboratory. No other lab is as accessible or prepared to handle your needs throughout the country. Our capacity and capability from our single location laboratory is comparable to the collective totals of the network laboratories in our industry. The most significant benefit to our one location design is the design of our laboratory campus. The model is conducive to accelerated productivity, decreasing turn-around time, and preventing cross contamination, thus protecting sample integrity. Our focus on premium quality and prompt service allows us to be YOUR LAB OF CHOICE.
* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.
* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace National.

State Accreditations

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN000032021-1
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey–NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico [1]	TN00003
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina [1]	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina [3]	41
Georgia [1]	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio–VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
Iowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky [1 6]	KY90010	South Carolina	84004
Kentucky [2]	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee [1 4]	2006
Louisiana [1]	LA180010	Texas	T104704245-20-18
Maine	TN00003	Texas [5]	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN00003
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	460132
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	998093910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA

Third Party Federal Accreditations

A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 [5]	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA–Crypto	TN00003		

[1] Drinking Water [2] Underground Storage Tanks [3] Aquatic Toxicity [4] Chemical/Microbiological [5] Mold [6] Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

Our Locations

Pace National has sixty-four client support centers that provide sample pickup and/or the delivery of sampling supplies. If you would like assistance from one of our support offices, please contact our main office. Pace National performs all testing at our central laboratory.



ACCOUNT:	PROJECT:	SDG:	DATE/TIME:	PAGE:
ConocoPhillips - Tetra Tech	212C-MD-02334 TASK28	L1298737	12/30/20 15:17	13 of 15

Analysis Request of Chain of Custody Record

Page : 1 of 1

Tetra Tech, Inc.

901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Tel (432) 682-4559
Fax (432) 682-3946

1125

Client Name:	Conoco Phillips	**Site Manager:**	Christian Llull
Project Name:	1RP-5145	**Contact Info:**	Email: christian.llull@tetratech.com Phone: (512) 338-1667
Project Location: (county, state)	Lea County, New Mexico	**Project #:**	212C-MD-02334 Task 28
Invoice to:	Accounts Payable 901 West Wall Street, Suite 100 Midland, Texas 79701		
Receiving Laboratory:	Pace Analytical	**Sampler Signature:**	Adrian Garcia
Comments:	COPTETRA Acctnum		

ANALYSIS REQUEST
(Circle or Specify Method No.)

LAB # (LAB USE ONLY)	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLING YEAR: 2020 DATE	SAMPLING TIME	MATRIX WATER	MATRIX SOIL	MATRIX	PRESERVATIVE METHOD HCL	PRESERVATIVE METHOD HNO_3	PRESERVATIVE METHOD ICE	PRESERVATIVE METHOD NONE	# CONTAINERS	FILTERED (Y/N)	BTEX 8021B BTEX 8260B	TPH TX1005 (Ext to C35)	TPH 8015M (GRO - DRO - ORO - MRO)	PAH 8270C	Total Metals Ag As Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Hg	TCLP Metals Ag As Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Hg	TCLP Volatiles	TCLP Semi Volatiles	RCI	GC/MS Vol. 8260B / 624	GC/MS Semi. Vol. 8270C/625	PCB's 8082 / 608	NORM	PLM (Asbestos)	Chloride 300.0	Chloride Sulfate TDS	General Water Chemistry (see attached list)	Anion/Cation Balance	TPH 8015R		HOLD
-01	BH 3 (0'-1')	12/14/20	1200		X				X		1	N	X		X												X						
02	BH 4 (0'-1')	12/14/20	1210		X				X		1	N	X		X												X						

Relinquished by:	Date:	Time:	Received by:	Date:	Time:
Adrian Garcia	12/17/20	12:30		12.17.20	12:30
	12.17.20	14:30	SLOA	12.17.20	14:30
	Date:	Time:	Kayley Hills	12/18/20	8:08

ORIGINAL COPY

LAB USE ONLY

Sample Temperature

REMARKS:

- [X] Standard
- [ ] RUSH: Same Day 24 hr. 48 hr. 72 hr.
- [ ] Rush Charges Authorized
- [ ] Special Report Limits or TRRP Report

(Circle) HAND DELIVERED FEDEX UPS Tracking #: _____

MPAS .9+2=1.1

RAD SCREEN: <0.5 mR/hr

Pace Analytical National Center for Testing & Innovation
Cooler Receipt Form

Client: COPTETRA	1283737	
Cooler Received/Opened On: 12 / 18 / 20 Temperature:	1.1	
Received By: Kailey Miller		
Signature: Kailey Miller		

Receipt Check List	NP	Yes	No
COC Seal Present / Intact?	✓		
COC Signed / Accurate?		✓	
Bottles arrive intact?		✓	
Correct bottles used?		✓	
Sufficient volume sent?		✓	
If Applicable			
VOA Zero headspace?			
Preservation Correct / Checked?			

Pace Analytical®
National Center for Testing & Innovation

ANALYTICAL REPORT

January 25, 2021

ConocoPhillips - Tetra Tech

Sample Delivery Group:	L1307340
Samples Received:	01/16/2021
Project Number:	212C-MD-02334 TASK28
Description:	1RP-5145

Report To: Christian Llull
901 West Wall
Suite 100
Midland, TX 79701

Entire Report Reviewed By: Erica McNeese

Erica McNeese
Project Manager



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SAMPLE SUMMARY

BH 5 (0-1') L1307340-01 Solid

Collected by: Adrian Garcia
Collected date/time: 01/14/21 12:00
Received date/time: 01/16/21 09:45

Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011	WG1607928	1	01/22/21 09:30	01/22/21 09:42	KDW	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1606938	1	01/20/21 17:15	01/20/21 19:33	ELN	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO	WG1609773	1	01/20/21 11:05	01/22/21 00:37	TPR	Mt. Juliet, TN
Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B	WG1609427	1	01/20/21 11:05	01/21/21 19:29	BMB	Mt. Juliet, TN
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015	WG1609433	1	01/21/21 22:54	01/22/21 15:06	WCR	Mt. Juliet, TN

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Erica McNeese
Project Manager

Total Solids by Method 2540 G-2011

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	%			date / time	
Total Solids	88.3		1	01/22/2021 09:42	WG1607928

Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Chloride	28.2		10.4	22.7	1	01/20/2021 19:33	WG1606938

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015D/GRO

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0246	0.113	1	01/22/2021 00:37	WG1609773
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*90.8*			*77.0-120*		*01/22/2021 00:37*	WG1609773

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) by Method 8260B

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
Benzene	U		0.000591	0.00127	1	01/21/2021 19:29	WG1609427
Toluene	U		0.00165	0.00633	1	01/21/2021 19:29	WG1609427
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000933	0.00317	1	01/21/2021 19:29	WG1609427
Total Xylenes	U		0.00111	0.00823	1	01/21/2021 19:29	WG1609427
(S) Toluene-d8	*120*			*75.0-131*		*01/21/2021 19:29*	WG1609427
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*97.7*			*67.0-138*		*01/21/2021 19:29*	WG1609427
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*103*			*70.0-130*		*01/21/2021 19:29*	WG1609427

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (GC) by Method 8015

Analyte	Result (dry)	Qualifier	MDL (dry)	RDL (dry)	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg		date / time	
C10-C28 Diesel Range	8.29		1.82	4.53	1	01/22/2021 15:06	WG1609433
C28-C40 Oil Range	33.2		0.310	4.53	1	01/22/2021 15:06	WG1609433
(S) o-Terphenyl	*56.9*			*18.0-148*		*01/22/2021 15:06*	WG1609433

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3615478-1 01/22/21 09:42

Analyte	MB Result %	MB Qualifier	MB MDL %	MB RDL %
Total Solids	0.00100			

L1307337-01 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1307337-01 01/22/21 09:42 • (DUP) R3615478-3 01/22/21 09:42

Analyte	Original Result %	DUP Result %	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Total Solids	94.8	93.4	1	1.46		10

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3615478-2 01/22/21 09:42

Analyte	Spike Amount %	LCS Result %	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Total Solids	50.0	50.0	99.9	85.0-115	

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3614945-1 01/20/21 18:13

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
Chloride	U		9.20	20.0

L1307330-01 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) L1307330-01 01/20/21 18:37 • (DUP) R3614945-3 01/20/21 18:46

Analyte	Original Result (dry) mg/kg	DUP Result (dry) mg/kg	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Chloride	U	U	1	0.000		20

Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP)

(OS) • (DUP) R3614945-6 01/20/21 22:34

Analyte	Original Result	DUP Result mg/kg	Dilution	DUP RPD %	DUP Qualifier	DUP RPD Limits %
Chloride		U	1	0.000		20

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3614945-2 01/20/21 18:22

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Chloride	200	203	101	90.0-110	

Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) • (MS) R3614945-4 01/20/21 20:49 • (MSD) R3614945-5 01/20/21 20:59

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	Original Result	MS Result mg/kg	MSD Result mg/kg	MS Rec. %	MSD Rec. %	Dilution	Rec. Limits %	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD %	RPD Limits %
Chloride	508		536	460	107	92.1	1	80.0-120			15.1	20

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3615563-2 01/21/21 21:20

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	U		0.0217	0.100
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)	*95.4*			*77.0-120*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3615563-1 01/21/21 20:38

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
TPH (GC/FID) Low Fraction	5.50	5.91	107	72.0-127	
(S) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(FID)			*110*	*77.0-120*	

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3615080-2 01/21/21 13:16

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
Benzene	U		0.000467	0.00100
Ethylbenzene	U		0.000737	0.00250
Toluene	U		0.00130	0.00500
Xylenes, Total	U		0.000880	0.00650
(S) Toluene-d8	*123*			*75.0-131*
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene	*97.4*			*67.0-138*
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	*103*			*70.0-130*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3615080-1 01/21/21 12:19

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
Benzene	0.125	0.123	98.4	70.0-123	
Ethylbenzene	0.125	0.149	119	74.0-126	
Toluene	0.125	0.143	114	75.0-121	
Xylenes, Total	0.375	0.434	116	72.0-127	
(S) Toluene-d8			*120*	*75.0-131*	
(S) 4-Bromofluorobenzene			*95.4*	*67.0-138*	
(S) 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4			*110*	*70.0-130*	

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3615428-1 01/22/21 08:39

Analyte	MB Result mg/kg	MB Qualifier	MB MDL mg/kg	MB RDL mg/kg
C10-C28 Diesel Range	U		1.61	4.00
C28-C40 Oil Range	U		0.274	4.00
(S) o-Terphenyl	*64.4*			*18.0-148*

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3615428-2 01/22/21 08:55

Analyte	Spike Amount mg/kg	LCS Result mg/kg	LCS Rec. %	Rec. Limits %	LCS Qualifier
C10-C28 Diesel Range	50.0	34.4	68.8	50.0-150	
(S) o-Terphenyl			*74.3*	*18.0-148*	

Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

(dry)	Results are reported based on the dry weight of the sample. [this will only be present on a dry report basis for soils].
MDL	Method Detection Limit.
MDL (dry)	Method Detection Limit.
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
RDL (dry)	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
(S)	Surrogate (Surrogate Standard) - Analytes added to every blank, sample, Laboratory Control Sample/Duplicate and Matrix Spike/Duplicate; used to evaluate analytical efficiency by measuring recovery. Surrogates are not expected to be detected in all environmental media.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state “ND” (Not Detected) or “BDL” (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.

Qualifier	Description
	The remainder of this page intentionally left blank, there are no qualifiers applied to this SDG.

ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

Pace National is the only environmental laboratory accredited/certified to support your work nationwide from one location. One phone call, one point of contact, one laboratory. No other lab is as accessible or prepared to handle your needs throughout the country. Our capacity and capability from our single location laboratory is comparable to the collective totals of the network laboratories in our industry. The most significant benefit to our one location design is the design of our laboratory campus. The model is conducive to accelerated productivity, decreasing turn-around time, and preventing cross contamination, thus protecting sample integrity. Our focus on premium quality and prompt service allows us to be YOUR LAB OF CHOICE.
* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.
* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace National.

Pace Analytical National 12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN, 37122

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN000032021-1
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey–NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico [1]	TN00003
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina [1]	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina [3]	41
Georgia [1]	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio–VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
Iowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky [1 6]	KY90010	South Carolina	84004002
Kentucky [2]	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee [1 4]	2006
Louisiana	LA018	Texas	T104704245-20-18
Maine	TN00003	Texas [5]	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN000032021-11
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	110033
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	998093910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA
A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 [5]	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA–Crypto	TN00003		

Pace Analytical National 1313 Point Mallard Parkway SE Suite B Decatur, AL, 35601

Alabama	40160
ANSI National Accreditation Board	L2239

Pace Analytical National 660 Bercut Dr. Ste. C Sacramento, CA, 95811

California	2961	Oregon	CA300002
Minnesota	006-999-465	Washington	C926
North Dakota	R-214		

Pace Analytical National 6000 South Eastern Avenue Ste 9A Las Vegas, NV, 89119

Nevada	NV009412021-1

Pace Analytical National 1606 E. Brazos Street Suite D Victoria, TX, 77901

Texas	T104704328-20-18

[1] Drinking Water [2] Underground Storage Tanks [3] Aquatic Toxicity [4] Chemical/Microbiological [5] Mold [6] Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

Analysis Request of Chain of Custody Record

Tetra Tech, Inc.

901 West Wall Street, Suite 100
Midland, Texas 79701
Tel (432) 682-4559
Fax (432) 682-3946

Client Name:	Conoco Phillips	**Site Manager:**	Christian Llull
Project Name:	1RP-5145	**Contact Info:**	Email: christian.llull@tetratech.com Phone: (512) 338-1667
Project Location: (county, state)	Lea County, New Mexico	**Project #:**	212C-MD-02334 Task 28
Invoice to:	Accounts Payable 901 West Wall Street, Suite 100 Midland, Texas 79701		
Receiving Laboratory:	Pace Analytical	**Sampler Signature:**	Adrian Garcia
Comments:	COPTETRA Acctnum		

ANALYSIS REQUEST
(Circle or Specify Method No.)

LAB # (LAB USE ONLY)	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLING DATE	SAMPLING TIME	MATRIX: WATER	MATRIX: SOIL	MATRIX:	PRESERVATIVE METHOD: HCL	PRESERVATIVE METHOD: HNO_3	PRESERVATIVE METHOD: ICE	PRESERVATIVE METHOD: NONE	PRESERVATIVE METHOD:	# CONTAINERS	FILTERED (Y/N)	BTEX 8021B / BTEX 8260B	TPH TX1005 (Ext to C35)	TPH 8015M (GRO - DRO - ORO - MRO)	PAH 8270C	Total Metals Ag As Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Hg	TCLP Metals Ag As Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Hg	TCLP Volatiles	TCLP Semi Volatiles	RCI	GC/MS Vol. 8260B / 624	GC/MS Semi. Vol. 8270C/625	PCB's 8082 / 608	NORM	PLM (Asbestos)	Chloride 300.0	Chloride Sulfate TDS	General Water Chemistry (see attached list)	Anion/Cation Balance	TPH 8015R		HOLD
L1307340																																		
-01	BH 5 (0'-1')	01/14/21	1200		X				X			1	N	X		X											X							

Relinquished by:	Date:	Time:	Received by:	Date:	Time:
	1/15/21	13:00		1-15-21	12:00
	1-15-21	16:00		1-15-21	16:00
				1/16/21	9:45

LAB USE ONLY
Sample Temperature

REMARKS:
- [X] Standard
- [ ] RUSH: Same Day 24 hr. 48 hr. 72 hr.
- [ ] Rush Charges Authorized
- [ ] Special Report Limits or TRRP Report

(Circle) HAND DELIVERED FEDEX UPS Tracking #: _____

Sample Receipt Checklist

COC Seal Present/Intact:	Y N	If Applicable	
COC Signed/Accurate:	Y N	VOA Zero Headspace:	Y N
Bottles arrive intact:	Y N	Pres.Correct/Check:	Y N
Correct bottles used:	Y N		
Sufficient volume sent:	Y N		
[illegible] <0.5 mR/hr:	Y N		

ORIGINAL COPY
C095

2.7 IR GUN

APPENDIX E
NMSLO Seed Mixture Details

United States Department of Agriculture

NRCS

Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Lea County, New Mexico

1RP-5145

December 30, 2020

Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report
Soil Map

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)
- Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils
- Soil Map Unit Polygons
- Soil Map Unit Lines
- Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features
- Blowout
- Borrow Pit
- Clay Spot
- Closed Depression
- Gravel Pit
- Gravelly Spot
- Landfill
- Lava Flow
- Marsh or swamp
- Mine or Quarry
- Miscellaneous Water
- Perennial Water
- Rock Outcrop
- Saline Spot
- Sandy Spot
- Severely Eroded Spot
- Sinkhole
- Slide or Slip
- Sodic Spot
- Spoil Area
- Stony Spot
- Very Stony Spot
- Wet Spot
- Other
- Special Line Features

Water Features
- Streams and Canals

Transportation
- Rails
- Interstate Highways
- US Routes
- Major Roads
- Local Roads

Background
- Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Lea County, New Mexico
Survey Area Data: Version 17, Jun 8, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May 12, 2020

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	3.8	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		**3.8**	**100.0%**

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Lea County, New Mexico

KU—Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tw46
Elevation: 2,500 to 4,800 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 63 degrees F
Frost-free period: 180 to 220 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Kimbrough and similar soils: 45 percent
Lea and similar soils: 25 percent
Minor components: 30 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Kimbrough

Setting

Landform: Plains, playa rims
Down-slope shape: Linear, convex
Across-slope shape: Linear, concave
Parent material: Loamy eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 3 inches: gravelly loam
Bw - 3 to 10 inches: loam
Bkkm1 - 10 to 16 inches: cemented material
Bkkm2 - 16 to 80 inches: cemented material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 4 to 18 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.01 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 95 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s
Hydrologic Soil Group: D
Ecological site: R077DY049TX - Very Shallow 12-17" PZ
Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Lea

Setting

Landform: Plains
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Calcareous, loamy eolian deposits from the blackwater draw formation of pleistocene age over indurated caliche of pliocene age

Typical profile

A - 0 to 10 inches: loam
Bk - 10 to 18 inches: loam
Bkk - 18 to 26 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam
Bkkm - 26 to 80 inches: cemented material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 22 to 30 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 90 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 3.0
Available water capacity: Very low (about 2.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s
Hydrologic Soil Group: D
Ecological site: R077DY047TX - Sandy Loam 12-17" PZ
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Douro

Percent of map unit: 12 percent
Landform: Plains
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R077DY047TX - Sandy Loam 12-17" PZ
Other vegetative classification: Unnamed (G077DH000TX)
Hydric soil rating: No

Kenhill

Percent of map unit: 12 percent
Landform: Plains
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R077DY038TX - Clay Loam 12-17" PZ
Hydric soil rating: No

Spraberry

Percent of map unit: 6 percent
Landform: Plains, playa rims
Down-slope shape: Linear, convex
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R077DY049TX - Very Shallow 12-17" PZ
Other vegetative classification: Unnamed (G077DH000TX)
Hydric soil rating: No

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

NMSLO Seed Mix Loamy (L)

LOAMY (L) SITES SEED MIXTURE:

COMMON NAME	VARIETY	APPLICATION RATE (PLS/Acre)	DRILL BOX
Grasses:			
Black grama	**VNS, Southern**	**1.0**	**D**
Blue grama	**Lovington**	**1.0**	**D**
Sideoats grama	**Vaughn, El Reno**	**4.0**	**F**
Sand dropseed	**VNS, Southern**	**2.0**	**S**
Alkali sacaton	**VNS, Southern**	**1.0**	
Little bluestem	**Cimarron, Pastura**	**1.5**	**F**
Forbs:			
Firewheel (*Gaillardia*)	**VNS, Southern**	**1.0**	**D**
Shrubs:			
Fourwing saltbush	**Marana, Santa Rita**	**1.0**	**D**
Common winterfat	**VNS, Southern**	**0.5**	**F**
	Total PLS/acre	**18.0**	

S = Small seed drill box, D = Standard seed drill box, F = Fluffy seed drill box
VNS = Variety Not Stated, PLS = Pure Live Seed

- Seed mixes should be provided in bags separating seed types into the three categories: small (S), standard (D) and fluffy (F).
- VNS, Southern – Seed should be from a southern latitude collection of this species.
- Double seed application rate for broadcast or hydroseeding.
- If one species is not available, contact the SLO for an approved substitute; alternatively the SLO may require other species proportionately increased.
- Additional information on these seed species can be found on the USDA Plants Database website at http://plants.usda.gov.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410
Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170
District IV
1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505
Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Oil Conservation Division
1220 S. St Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator: CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY 600 W. Illinois Avenue Midland, TX 79701	OGRID: 217817
	Action Number: 47440
	Action Type: [C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141)

CONDITIONS

Created By	Condition	Condition Date
chensley	Remediation approved. Please combine reports NAPP2103564128 and NCH1821833189 and remediate accordingly. One closure report is need for both incidents but same report can be submitted for both.	10/7/2021
chensley	Closure due 01/07/2022	10/7/2021