

February 8, 2019

NMOCD District 2 Mr. Robert Hamlet 811 S. First Street Artesia, New Mexico 88210

SUBJECT: Remediation Plan for the Oil CDP Release (2RP-5174), Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Hamlet:

On behalf of Matador Resources Company (Matador), Souder, Miller & Associates (SMA) has prepared this Remediation Plan that describes the delineation and proposed remediation for a release of liquids related to oil and gas production activities at the Oil CDP site. The site is in Unit E, Section 31, Township 23S, Range 28E, Eddy County, New Mexico, on private land. Figure 1 illustrates the vicinity and site location on an USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle map.

Table 1 summarizes information regarding the release.

	Table 1: Release Informati	on and Closure	Criteria				
Name	Oil CDP	Company	Matador Resources Company				
API Number	fAB1901439524	32.263008° -104.134550°					
Incident Number		2RP-5174					
Estimated Date of Release	December 14, 2018	Date Reported to NMOCD	12/28/2018				
Land Owner	Longwood Midstream Delaware, LLC	Reported To	NMOCD				
Source of Release	Equipment failure	-					
Released Volume	33 bbls	Released Material	Crude Oil				
Recovered Volume	25 bbls	Net Release	8 bbls				
NMOCD Closure Criteria	>100 feet to groundwater						
SMA Response Dates	1/3/2019						

#5E26816-BG27

Souder, Miller & Associates 201 S. Halagueno St. Carlsbad, NM 88220

Oil CDP Remediation Plan (2RP-5174) February 8, 2019

## 1.0 Background

On December 14, 2018, a release was discovered at the Oil CDP site due to equipment failure causing crude oil to be released onto the well pad. Initial response activities were conducted by Matador, and included hydrovacing free standing fluid and excavating impacted soil which recovered approximately 25 barrels of fluid. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the vicinity and site location, Figure 3 illustrates the release location. The C-141 form is included in Appendix A.

## 2.0 Site Information and Closure Criteria

The Oil CDP is located approximately 2.6 miles southwest of Loving, New Mexico on privately-owned land at an elevation of approximately 3,144 feet above mean sea level (amsl).

Based upon New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) water well data (Appendix B), depth to groundwater in the area is estimated to be 219 feet below grade surface (bgs). There are four (4) known water sources within ½-mile of the location, according to the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) online water well database (https://gis.ose.state.nm.us/gisapps/ose\_pod\_locations/; accessed 2/7/2019). The nearest significant watercourse is the Southern Canal, located approximately 2,868 feet to the north. Figure 2 illustrates the site with 200 and 300-foot radii to indicate that it does not lie within a sensitive area as described in 19.15.29.12.C(4) NMAC.

Based on the information presented herein, the applicable NMOCD Closure Criteria for this site is for groundwater depth of greater than 100 feet bgs. Unless a deferral is approved by NMOCD per 19.15.29.12.B.(2), the site will be restored to meet the standards of Table I of 19.15.29.12 NMAC. Table 2 demonstrates the Closure Criteria applicable to this location. Pertinent well data is attached in Appendix B.

## 3.0 Release Characterization Activities and Findings

On January 3, 2018, SMA personnel arrived on site in response to the release associated with the Oil CDP. SMA performed site delineation activities by collecting soil samples around the release site and throughout the visibly stained area. Soil samples were field-screened for chloride using an electrical conductivity (EC) meter.

A total of seven (7) sample locations (Pad BG, BH1-BH6) were investigated using a power auger, to depths up to twelve (12) feet bgs. A minimum of two samples were collected at each sampling location and field-screened using the method above. A total of eighteen (18) samples were collected for laboratory analysis for total chloride using EPA Method 300.0; benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX) using EPA Method 8021B; and motor, diesel and gasoline range organics (MRO, DRO, and GRO) by EPA Method 8015D. Table 3 itemizes the samples and field-screening results as well as identifying any variances from the typical specification of two samples per boring. Locations for all samples are depicted on Figure 3.

Samples were placed into laboratory supplied glassware, labeled, and maintained on ice until delivery to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico (Appendix C).

As summarized in Table 3, results indicate that an area around BH4 approximately to 1-foot bgs has been impacted.

Oil CDP Remediation Plan (2RP-5174) February 8, 2019 Page 3 of 3

## 4.0 Proposed Soil Remediation Work Plan

In accordance with 19.15.29.12.B(2), a deferral is being requested for the area around sample location BH4. This area is bound on both sides by underground electric lines and equipment on either side. Remediation in this area could cause safety issues or cause a major facility deconstruction. As described above, the contamination has been delineated and does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or groundwater. It is also the only sample location where impact exceeded NMOCD closure criteria for the location.

## 5.0 Scope and Limitations

The scope of our services included: assessment sampling; verifying release stabilization, regulatory liaison, and preparing this remediation plan. All work has been performed in accordance with generally accepted professional environmental consulting practices for oil and gas releases in the Permian Basin in New Mexico.

If there are any questions regarding this report, please contact either Austin Weyant at 575-689-8801 or Shawna Chubbuck at 505-325-7535.

Submitted by: SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES

Ashley Maxwell Project Scientist

Reviewed by:

Jours ( hubbuck

Shawna Chubbuck Senior Scientist

### ATTACHMENTS:

Figures:

Figure 1: Vicinity and Well Head Protection Map Figure 2: Surface Water Radius Map

Figure 3: Site and Sample Location Map

### Tables:

Table 2: NMOCD Closure Criteria Justification Table 3: Summary of Sample Results

## Appendices:

Appendix A: Forms C141 Appendix B: Water Wells Report Appendix C: Laboratory Analytical Reports

Engineering • Environmental • Surveying

## FIGURES

Engineering • Environmental • Surveying

.

Received by OCD: 12/6/2023 8:36:23 AM



Received by OCD: 12/6/2023 8:36:23 AM



Received by OCD: 12/6/2023 8:36:23 AM



•

Matador Oil CPD

Sample ID	Sample	Depth	Proposed Action/	BTEX	Benzene	GRO	DRO	MRO	Total TPH	CI-
	Date	(feet bgs)	Action Taken	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg
N	MOCD Clos	ure Criteria		50	10	10	00		2500	1000
	1/3/2019	Sur	Sampled							67
Pad BG	1/3/2019	1	Sampled							280
	1/3/2019	2	Sampled							100
BH 1	1/3/2019	1	Sampled			<5.0	<10	<50	<65	31
БПТ	1/3/2019	2	Sampled	<0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	41
BH 2	1/3/2019	Sur	Sampled			<5.0	18	<50	18	100
	1/3/2019	1	Sampled	<0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	100
BH 3	1/3/2019	2	Sampled			<5.0	<10	<50	<65	110
рп э	1/3/2019	4	Sampled	<0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	<30
	1/3/2019	1	Sampled			260	2600	720	3580	<30
	1/3/2019	2	Sampled			26	520	220	766	<30
BH 4	1/3/2019	3	Sampled			<5.0	64	<50	64	<30
	1/3/2019	6	Sampled			<5.0	<10	<50	<65	<30
	1/3/2019	12	Sampled	< 0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	430
	1/3/2019	1	Sampled			28	610	240	878	<30
	1/3/2019	2	Sampled			<5.0	100	<50	100	50
BH 5	1/3/2019	4	Sampled	< 0.225	<0.025	7.5	77	<50	84.5	51
	1/3/2019	8	Sampled			<5.0	22	<51	22	280
" = Not Analy										

"--" = Not Analyzed

## TABLES

Engineering • Environmental • Surveying

www.soudermiller.com

.

.

Site Information (19.15.29.11.A(2, 3, and 4) NMAC	Source/Notes		
Depth to Groundwater (feet bgs)	219	9 NMOSE, Figure 1	
Hortizontal Distance From All Water Sources Within 1/2 Mile (ft)	1,650	0 NMOSE, Figure 1	
Hortizontal Distance to Nearest Significant Watercourse (ft)	2,868	8 USGS, Figure 1	

Closure Criteria (19.15.29.12.B(4) and Table 1 NMAC)										
				Closure Criteria (units in mg/kg)						
Depth to Groundwater	Chloride *numerical limit or background, whichever is greater	ТРН	GRO + DRO	BTEX	Benzene					
< 50' BGS		600	100		50	10				
51' to 100'		10000	2500	1000	50	10				
>100'		20000	2500	1000	50	10				
Surface Water		if ye	s, then							
<300' from continuously flowing watercourse or other significant watercourse? <200' from lakebed, sinkhole or playa lake? Water Well or Water Source	No No	-								
<500 feet from spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than 5 households for domestic or stock watering purposes? <1000' from fresh water well or spring?	No No	-								
Human and Other Areas		600	100		50	10				
<300' from an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church? within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	No	-								
:100' from wetland? No										
within area overlying a subsurface mine										
within an unstable area?	No									
within a 100-year floodplain?	No									

<u>SMA</u>

#### Table 3: Summary of Sample Results

Sample ID	Sample	Depth	Action	BTEX	Benzene	GRO	DRO	MRO	Total TPH	CI-
	Date	(feet bgs)		mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg
N	MOCD Clos	ure Criteria		50	10	10	00		2500	20,000
	1/3/2019	Surface	Sampled							67
Pad BG	1/3/2019	1	Sampled							280
	1/3/2019	2	Sampled							100
BH 1	1/3/2019	1	Sampled			<5.0	<10	<50	<65	31
DITT	1/3/2019	2	Sampled	<0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	41
BH 2	1/3/2019	Surface	Sampled			<5.0	18	<50	18	100
DITZ	1/3/2019	1	Sampled	<0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	100
BH 3	1/3/2019	2	Sampled			<5.0	<10	<50	<65	110
БПЭ	1/3/2019	4	Sampled	<0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	<30
	1/3/2019	1	Sampled			260	2,600	720	3,580	<30
	1/3/2019	2	Sampled			26	520	220	766	<30
BH 4	1/3/2019	3	Sampled			<5.0	64	<50	64	<30
	1/3/2019	6	Sampled			<5.0	<10	<50	<65	<30
	1/3/2019	12	Sampled	< 0.225	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	430
	1/3/2019	1	Sampled			28	610	240	878	<30
BH 5	1/3/2019	2	Sampled			<5.0	100	<50	100	50
вп э	1/3/2019	4	Sampled	< 0.225	<0.025	7.5	77	<50	84.5	51
	1/3/2019	8	Sampled			<5.0	22	<51	22	280

"--" = Not Analyzed

## APPENDIX A FORM C141

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## **Release Notification**

#### **Responsible Party**

Responsible Party Matador Resources Company	OGRID 228937
Contact Name John Hurt	Contact Telephone 972-371-5200
Contact email JHurt@matadorresources.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD)
Contact mailing address5400 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1500 Dallas,TX 75240	

### **Location of Release Source**

Latitude 32.263008°\_

Longitude -104.134550°

(NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Site Name Oil CDP	Site Type Gathering Facility
Date Release Discovered 12/14/18	API# (if applicable) n/a

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
Е	31	238	28E	Eddy

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name: LONGWOOD MIDSTREAM DELAWARE LLC\_\_\_)

## Nature and Volume of Release

Materia	I(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific	justification for the volumes provided below)		
Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls) 33	Volume Recovered (bbls) 25		
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)		
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No		
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)		
🗌 Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)		
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)		

Cause of Release:

Equipment failure occurred allowing fluids to be released on to the pad. Backhoe was on site and excavated soils associated with this release. A vacuum truck called to site to recover free fluids.

### Received by OCD: 12/6/2023 8:36:23 AM

erveu by OCD. 12/0/2025 0		1 uge 14					
Form C-141	State of New Mexico	Incident ID					
Page 2	Oil Conservation Division	District RP					
		Facility ID					
		Application ID					
Was this a major	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible part	ty consider this a major release?					
release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	This release was over 25 bbls						
19.15.29.7(A) INIVIAC :							
Yes 🗌 No							
If VES, was immediate n	If YES, was immediate notice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)?						
	Griswold; Robert.Hamlet; Mike.Bratcher by email	en and by what means (phone, eman, etc):					
	La iti al Desa en a	_					
	Initial Respons	e					
The responsible	party must undertake the following actions immediately unless the	y could create a safety hazard that would result in injury					
[		1					
The source of the rele	ease has been stonned						
		on mont					
· ·	The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.						
Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.							
All free liquids and re	All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.						
If all the actions described spread, so no containment	d above have <u>not</u> been undertaken, explain why: The t devices were used.	release was on even ground and did not continue to					

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name:	John Hurt	Title:	RES S	pecialist
Signature:	matto		Date:	12/28/18
email:JHurt	@matadorresources.com		Telephone:	972-371-5200
OCD Only				
Received by:			Date:	i

Received by OCD: 12/6/2023 8:36:23 AM

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Page 15 of 49

Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

Incident ID	
District RP	2RP-5174
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## **Release Notification**

## **Responsible Party**

Responsible Party Matador Resources Company	OGRID 228937
Contact Name John Hurt	Contact Telephone 972-371-5200
Contact email JHurt@matadorresources.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD)
Contact mailing address5400 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1500 Dallas,	an a
TX 75240	

## **Location of Release Source**

Latitude 32.263008°\_

[NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places]

Site Name Oil CDP	Site Type Gathering Facility
Date Release Discovered 12/14/18	API# (if applicable) n/a

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
Е	31	238	28E	Eddy

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (*Name:* LONGWOOD MIDSTREAM DELAWARE LLC\_\_\_)

## Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below)

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls) 33	Volume Recovered (bbls) 25
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
🗌 Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)

Cause of Release:

Equipment failure occurred allowing fluids to be released on to the pad. Backhoe was on site and excavated soils associated with this release. A vacuum truck called to site to recover free fluids.

#### Received-b4 OCD: 12/6/2023 8:36:23 A Mate of New Mexico

Page Z	Page 2	2
--------	--------	---

 Page	16	of 4	

Oil Conservation Divis	sion
------------------------	------

Incident ID	0 ,
District RP	2RP-5174
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release? This release was over 15 bbls	
🛛 Yes 🗌 No		
а. А.		
If YES, was immediate notice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)?		
Yes on 12/18/18 to Jim.G	riswold; Robert.Hamlet; Mike.Bratcher by email	

## **Initial Response**

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 $\boxtimes$  The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why: The release was on even ground and did not continue to spread, so no containment devices were used.

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name:	_ John Hurt	Title:RES Special	ist
Signature:	w AA	Date: 2/8	119
email:JHurt@	matadorresources.com	Telephone:	972-371-5200
OCD Only			
Received by:		Date:	

**Oil Conservation Division** 

Incident ID	Page 17 of 49
District RP	2RP-5174
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	<u>219</u> (ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	📋 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- Field data
- Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- Depth to water determination
- Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- Boring or excavation logs
- Photographs including date and GIS information
- Topographic/Aerial maps  $\boxtimes$
- $\boxtimes$ Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Received_by OCD: 12/6/20	23 8:36:23 AMate of New Mexico			Page 18 of 49
	Oil Conservation Division		Incident ID	
Page 4	On Conservation Division	l	District RP	2RP-5174
			Facility ID	
			Application ID	
regulations all operators ar public health or the environ failed to adequately investi addition, OCD acceptance and/or regulations. Printed Name: Signature: email: JHurt@	Formation given above is true and complete to the required to report and/or file certain release no nment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the igate and remediate contamination that pose a th of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of a C-141 report. Title:	otifications and perform co OCD does not relieve the reat to groundwater, surface	rrective actions for rele operator of liability sho ce water, human health iance with any other fee	ases which may endanger ould their operations have or the environment. In
OCD Only				
Received by:		Date:		

.

Received-by OCD: 12/6/2023	8 8:36:23 A Matate of New Mexico
Page 5	Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	Page 19 of 49
District RP	2RP-5174
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## **Remediation Plan**

Remediation Plan Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.
<ul> <li>Detailed description of proposed remediation technique</li> <li>Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points</li> <li>Estimated volume of material to be remediated</li> <li>Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC</li> <li>Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required)</li> </ul>
Deferral Requests Only: Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.
Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.
Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.
Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or groundwater.
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.  Printed Name:
OCD Only
Received by:    Date:
Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of Approval Denied Deferral Approved
Signature: Date:

# APPENDIX B NMOSE WELLS REPORT

## New Mexico Office of the State Engineer Water Column/Average Depth to Water

(A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a water right file.)	(R=POD has been replaced, O=orphaned, C=the file is closed)	(	••					2=NE 3 st to lar	3=SW 4=SE) gest) (NA	) AD83 UTM in me	eters)	(1	n feet)	
POD Number	POD Sub- Code basin C	ounty		Q 16		Sec	Tws	Rng	х	Y	Distance	-	-	Water Column
C 04085 POD1	CUB	ED	1	4	1	31	23S	28E	582039	3570027 🌍	537	250	200	50
C 04085 POD2	CUB	ED	2	4	1	31	23S	28E	582083	3569982 🌍	573	240	100	140
C 04281 POD1	С	ED	2	4	1	31	23S	28E	582193	3570055 🌍	693	200	100	100
C 04037 POD1	С	ED	4	3	2	31	23S	28E	582576	3569872 🌍	1063	99	60	39
										Avera	ge Depth to	Water:	115	feet
											Minimum	Depth:	60	feet
											Maximum	Depth:	200	feet
Record Count: 4					_									

UTMNAD83 Radius Search (in meters):

Easting (X): 581513.51

Northing (Y): 3569917.39

Radius: 1610

Page 21 of 49

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

## APPENDIX C LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS



January 11, 2019

Austin Weyant Souder, Miller & Associates 201 S Halagueno Carlsbad, NM 88221 TEL: (575) 689-7040 FAX Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

RE: Oil CPD

OrderNo.: 1901145

Dear Austin Weyant:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 18 sample(s) on 1/5/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis	s Laboratory, I	nc.			Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2	019
CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates Project: Oil CPD	N	Coll		e: 1/3	8/2019 9:30:00 AM	
Lab ID:         1901145-001           Analyses	Matrix: SOIL Result				5/2019 11:50:00 AM Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS Chloride	67	30	mg/Kg	20	Analys 1/9/2019 3:06:28 PM	st: <b>smb</b> 42508

Qualifiers:	*
-------------	---

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Hall Environmental Analysis	s Laboratory, I	Inc.			Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/20	)19
CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates Project: Oil CPD Lab ID: 1901145-002	Matrix: SOIL	Coll		e: 1/3	d BG-1 5/2019 9:35:00 AM 5/2019 11:50:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS Chloride	280	30	mg/Kg	20	Analys 1/9/2019 3:18:53 PM	t: <b>smb</b> 42508

Qualifiers:	*
-------------	---

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Hall Environmental Analysis	s Laboratory, I	nc.			Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/20	)19
CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates Project: Oil CPD Lab ID: 1901145-003	Matrix: SOIL	Coll		e: 1/3	d BG-2 3/2019 9:40:00 AM 5/2019 11:50:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	PQL Qı	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS Chloride	100	30	mg/Kg	20	Analys 1/9/2019 3:31:17 PM	t: <b>smb</b> 42508

Qualifiers:	*
-------------	---

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 3 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Lab ID:

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Oil CPD

1901145-004

Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145

Lab Order **1901145** Date Reported: **1/11/2019** 

	Client Sample ID: BH 1-1
	Collection Date: 1/3/2019 10:15:00 AM
Matrix: SOIL	Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL Q	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: smb
Chloride	31	30	mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 3:43:41 PM	42508
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS					Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.5	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 11:05:54 PM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	47	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 11:05:54 PM	42480
Surr: DNOP	84.3	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 11:05:54 PM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 8:09:49 PM	42476
Surr: BFB	103	73.8-119	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 8:09:49 PM	42476

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е
			-

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 4 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Project: Oil CPD

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

**Analytical Report** 

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2019

Client Sample ID: BH 1-2 Collection Date: 1/3/2019 10:20:00 AM

Lab ID: 1901145-005	Matrix: SOIL	<b>Received Date:</b> 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM					
Analyses	Result		Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: smb	
Chloride	41	30	mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 3:56:06 PM	42508	
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	: Irm	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.5	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 11:29:47 PM	42480	
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	47	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 11:29:47 PM	42480	
Surr: DNOP	89.1	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 11:29:47 PM	42480	
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst	: NSB	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 8:32:24 PM	42476	
Surr: BFB	104	73.8-119	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 8:32:24 PM	42476	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB	
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 8:32:24 PM	42476	
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 8:32:24 PM	42476	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 8:32:24 PM	42476	
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 8:32:24 PM	42476	

113

80-120

%Rec

1

1/8/2019 8:32:24 PM

42476

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*
-------------	---

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 5 of 22 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Lab ID:

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Oil CPD

1901145-006

**Analytical Report** 

Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2019

Client Sample ID: BH 2-Sur Collection Date: 1/3/2019 10:30:00 AM Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	t: smb
Chloride	100	30	mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 4:33:19 PM	42508
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE C	ORGANICS				Analyst	t: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	18	9.5	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 11:53:50 PM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	48	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 11:53:50 PM	42480
Surr: DNOP	82.7	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 11:53:50 PM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 8:54:57 PM	42476
Surr: BFB	105	73.8-119	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 8:54:57 PM	42476

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 6 of 22 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

**Analytical Report** 

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2019

1/8/2019 9:17:32 PM

1/8/2019 9:17:32 PM

42476

42476

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates		Client Sample ID: BH 2-1						
Project: Oil CPD		3/2019 10:35:00 AM						
Lab ID: 1901145-007	Matrix: SOIL	Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM						
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	smb		
Chloride	100	30	mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 4:45:44 PM	42508		
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	GE ORGANICS				Analyst	Irm		
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.6	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 12:17:47 AM	42480		
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	48	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 12:17:47 AM	42480		
Surr: DNOP	86.1	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/10/2019 12:17:47 AM	42480		
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	IGE				Analyst	NSB		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 9:17:32 PM	42476		
Surr: BFB	106	73.8-119	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 9:17:32 PM	42476		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB		
Benzene	ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 9:17:32 PM	42476		
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 9:17:32 PM	42476		
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 9:17:32 PM	42476		

ND

116

0.098

80-120

mg/Kg

%Rec

1

1

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*
-------------	---

Xylenes, Total

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 7 of 22 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

**Analytical Report** Lab Order 1901145

Date Reported: 1/11/2019

<b>CLIENT:</b>	Souder, Miller & Associates		Clier	nt Sample II	D: BH	H 3-2		
Project:	Oil CPD		Со	llection Dat	<b>e:</b> 1/3	3/2019 11:15:00 AM		
Lab ID:	1901145-008	Matrix: SOIL	Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM					
Analyses		Result	PQL Q	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA MET	HOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: <b>smb</b>	

Chloride	110	30	mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 4:58:08 PM	42508
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OR	GANICS				Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.3	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 12:41:54 AM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	47	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 12:41:54 AM	42480
Surr: DNOP	84.0	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/10/2019 12:41:54 AM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 9:40:05 PM	42476
Surr: BFB	107	73.8-119	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 9:40:05 PM	42476

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 8 of 22 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Oil CPD

**Analytical Report** 

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2019

Client Sample ID: BH 3-4 Collection Date: 1/3/2019 11:20:00 AM Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Lab ID: 1901145-009	Matrix: SOIL		5/2019 11:50:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: smb
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 5:10:32 PM	42508
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	GE ORGANICS				Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 1:05:54 AM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 1:05:54 AM	42480
Surr: DNOP	84.7	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/10/2019 1:05:54 AM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	IGE				Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 10:02:35 PM	42476
Surr: BFB	107	73.8-119	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 10:02:35 PM	42476
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 10:02:35 PM	42476
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 10:02:35 PM	42476
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 10:02:35 PM	42476
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 10:02:35 PM	42476
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	116	80-120	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 10:02:35 PM	42476

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 9 of 22 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Lab ID:

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

1901145-010

Oil CPD

Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145

Lab Order **1901145** Date Reported: **1/11/2019** 

	Client Sample ID: BH 4-1
	Collection Date: 1/3/2019 11:45:00 AM
Matrix: SOIL	Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analys	t: smb
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 5:22:56 PM	42508
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OR	GANICS					Analys	t: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	2600	98		mg/Kg	10	1/10/2019 12:11:29 PM	1 42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	720	490		mg/Kg	10	1/10/2019 12:11:29 PM	42480
Surr: DNOP	0	50.6-138	S	%Rec	10	1/10/2019 12:11:29 PM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	260	4.9		mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 11:32:33 PM	42476
Surr: BFB	1320	73.8-119	S	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 11:32:33 PM	42476

Qualifiers: *	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	
---------------	--	--

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 10 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Lab ID:

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Oil CPD

1901145-011

Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145

Lab Order **1901145** Date Reported: **1/11/2019** 

	Client Sample ID: BH 4-2
	Collection Date: 1/3/2019 11:50:00 AM
Matrix: SOIL	<b>Received Date:</b> 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analys	t: smb
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	1/9/2019 5:35:20 PM	42508
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORG	GANICS					Analys	t: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	520	9.4		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 12:33:24 PM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	220	47		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 12:33:24 PM	42480
Surr: DNOP	103	50.6-138		%Rec	1	1/10/2019 12:33:24 PM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	26	4.6		mg/Kg	1	1/8/2019 11:54:56 PM	42476
Surr: BFB	330	73.8-119	S	%Rec	1	1/8/2019 11:54:56 PM	42476

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 11 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Lab ID:

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Oil CPD

1901145-012

Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145

Date Reported: 1/11/2019

Client Sample ID: BH 4-3 Collection Date: 1/3/2019 11:55:00 AM Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	: CJS
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	1/10/2019 11:35:31 AM	42529
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OR	GANICS					Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	64	9.9		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 1:17:33 PM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 1:17:33 PM	42480
Surr: DNOP	103	50.6-138		%Rec	1	1/10/2019 1:17:33 PM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.6		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 12:17:23 AM	42476
Surr: BFB	140	73.8-119	S	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 12:17:23 AM	42476

Matrix: SOIL

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.						
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix						

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 12 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Surr: BFB

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1901145

Date Reported: 1/11/2019

1/9/2019 12:39:49 AM

42476

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates	Client Sample ID: BH 4-6							
Project: Oil CPD	Collection Date: 1/3/2019 12:00:00 PM							
Lab ID: 1901145-013	Matrix: SOIL Received Da				te: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	CJS		
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	1/10/2019 12:12:45 PM	42529		
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	: Irm		
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.9	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 2:41:54 AM	42480		
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 2:41:54 AM	42480		
Surr: DNOP	85.2	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/10/2019 2:41:54 AM	42480		
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst	: NSB		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 12:39:49 AM	42476		

117

73.8-119

%Rec

1

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Ana
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Valı

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 13 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified
Surr: BFB

Benzene

Toluene

Ethylbenzene

Xylenes, Total

**EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** 

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

**Analytical Report** 

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2019

1/9/2019 1:02:13 AM

42476

42476

42476

42476

42476

42476

Analyst: NSB

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associ	sociates Client Sample ID: BH 4-12									
Project: Oil CPD		Collection Date: 1/3/2019 12:05:00 PM								
Lab ID: 1901145-014	Matrix: SOIL	Matrix: SOIL         Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM								
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: CJS				
Chloride	430	30	mg/Kg	20	1/10/2019 12:25:09 PM	42529				
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL	RANGE ORGANICS				Analyst	: Irm				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.3	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 3:05:54 AM	42480				
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	47	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 3:05:54 AM	42480				
Surr: DNOP	86.4	50.6-138	%Rec	1	1/10/2019 3:05:54 AM	42480				
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE	RANGE				Analyst	: NSB				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 1:02:13 AM	42476				

122

ND

ND

ND

ND

135

73.8-119

0.024

0.047

0.047

0.094

80-120

S

S

%Rec

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

%Rec

1

1

1

1

1

1

Qualifiers: *	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
---------------	--

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limit Page 14 of 22 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

**Project:** 

Lab ID:

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Oil CPD

1901145-015

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1901145

Lab Order **1901145** Date Reported: **1/11/2019** 

	Client Sample ID: BH 5-1
	Collection Date: 1/3/2019 1:10:00 PM
Matrix: SOIL	Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	CJS
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	1/10/2019 12:37:34 PM	42529
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE O	RGANICS					Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	610	9.3		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 3:29:49 AM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	240	47		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 3:29:49 AM	42480
Surr: DNOP	100	50.6-138		%Rec	1	1/10/2019 3:29:49 AM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	28	4.9		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 1:24:39 AM	42476
Surr: BFB	328	73.8-119	S	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 1:24:39 AM	42476

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte d
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Value abo
	н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	I	Analyte d

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 15 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

**Project:** 

Lab ID:

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Oil CPD 1901145-016 Analytical Report Lab Order 1901145

Lab Order **1901145** Date Reported: **1/11/2019** 

	Client Sample ID: BH 5-2
	Collection Date: 1/3/2019 1:15:00 PM
Matrix: SOIL	<b>Received Date:</b> 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	CJS
Chloride	50	30		mg/Kg	20	1/10/2019 12:49:59 PM	42529
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE C	ORGANICS					Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	100	9.8		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 1:39:38 PM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 1:39:38 PM	42480
Surr: DNOP	107	50.6-138		%Rec	1	1/10/2019 1:39:38 PM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.6		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 1:47:03 AM	42476
Surr: BFB	139	73.8-119	S	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 1:47:03 AM	42476

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte dete
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Value above

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 16 of 22
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

**Analytical Report** 

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2019

CLIENT	: Souder, Miller & Associates		Client Sample ID: BH 5-4
Project:	Oil CPD		Collection Date: 1/3/2019 1:25:00 PM
Lab ID:	1901145-017	Matrix: SOIL	Received Date: 1/5/2019 11:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	: CJS
Chloride	51	30		mg/Kg	20	1/10/2019 1:02:22 PM	42529
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OR	GANICS					Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	77	9.7		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 4:17:48 AM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49		mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 4:17:48 AM	42480
Surr: DNOP	96.5	50.6-138		%Rec	1	1/10/2019 4:17:48 AM	42480
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	7.5	4.7		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 2:09:26 AM	42476
Surr: BFB	166	73.8-119	S	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 2:09:26 AM	42476
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.024		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 2:09:26 AM	42476
Toluene	ND	0.047		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 2:09:26 AM	42476
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 2:09:26 AM	42476
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.094		mg/Kg	1	1/9/2019 2:09:26 AM	42476
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	145	80-120	S	%Rec	1	1/9/2019 2:09:26 AM	42476

Qualifiers: *	
---------------	--

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limit Page 17 of 22 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Surr: DNOP

Surr: BFB

**EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** 

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

**Analytical Report** 

Lab Order 1901145 Date Reported: 1/11/2019

1/10/2019 4:42:06 AM

1/9/2019 2:31:50 AM

1/9/2019 2:31:50 AM

42480

42476

42476

Analyst: NSB

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates		Clien	t Sample II	D: BH	H 5-8	
Project: Oil CPD		Col	lection Dat	e: 1/3	3/2019 1:30:00 PM	
Lab ID: 1901145-018	Matrix: SOIL	Re	ceived Dat	<b>e:</b> 1/5	5/2019 11:50:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	PQL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: CJS
Chloride	280	30	mg/Kg	20	1/10/2019 1:39:36 PM	42529
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS				Analyst	: Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	22	10	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 4:42:06 AM	42480
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	51	mg/Kg	1	1/10/2019 4:42:06 AM	42480

50.6-138

73.8-119

4.9

S

%Rec

mg/Kg

%Rec

1

1

1

87.0

ND

129

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Ana
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Val
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Ana
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	San
	PQL	Practical Quanitative Limit	RL	Rep
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W	San

- nalyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- alue above quantitation range
- nalyte detected below quantitation limits Page 18 of 22
- mple pH Not In Range
- eporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Client: Project:	Souder, Oil CPI	Miller & Ass D	sociate	es							
Sample ID	MB-42529	SampTy	pe: MI	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: <b>42</b>	529	F	RunNo: 5	6924				
Prep Date:	1/10/2019	Analysis Da	ite: 1/	/10/2019	S	SeqNo: 1	904618	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5								
Sample ID	LCS-42529	SampTy	pe: <b>LC</b>	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: <b>42</b>	529	F	RunNo: 5	6924				
Prep Date:	1/10/2019	Analysis Da	ite: 1/	/10/2019	5	SeqNo: 1	904619	Units: <b>mg/K</b>	ģ		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	94.8	90	110			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

1901145

11-Jan-19

WO#:

Page 19 of 22

# QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client:	Souder, N	/liller & A	ssociate	es							
Project:	Oil CPD										
Sample ID	LCS-42480	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	n ID: 42	480	F	RunNo: 5	6854				
Prep Date:	1/8/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	9/2019	5	SeqNo: 1	903633	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range C	Drganics (DRO)	40	10	50.00	0	79.3	70	130			
Surr: DNOP		4.1		5.000		81.9	50.6	138			
Sample ID	MB-42480	SampT	ype: MI	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	n ID: 42	480	F	RunNo: 5	6854				
Prep Date:	1/8/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	9/2019	5	SeqNo: 1	903634	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range C	•	ND	10								
	e Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP		9.0		10.00		90.2	50.6	138			
Sample ID	LCS-42516	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	n ID: 42	516	F	RunNo: 5	6890				
Prep Date:	1/9/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	10/2019	S	SeqNo: 1	903681	Units: %Rec	;		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP		4.5		5.000		90.1	50.6	138			
Sample ID	MB-42516	SampT	ype: MI	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	n ID: 42	516	F	RunNo: 5	6890				
Prep Date:	1/9/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	10/2019	5	SeqNo: 1	903682	Units: %Rec	;		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP		8.5		10.00		85.2	50.6	138			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

1901145

11-Jan-19

WO#:

Page 20 of 22

# QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	Souder, N Oil CPD	Ailler & As	ssociate	es							
Sample ID	MB-42476	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gasol	ine Rang	e	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 42	476	R	unNo: 5	6843				
Prep Date:	1/7/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	8/2019	S	eqNo: 1	902347	Units: mg/Kg	9		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Rang Surr: BFB	e Organics (GRO)	ND 910	5.0	1000		91.4	73.8	119			
Sample ID	LCS-42476	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gasol	ine Rang	e	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 42	476	R	unNo: 5	6843				
Prep Date:	1/7/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	8/2019	S	eqNo: 1	902348	Units: mg/Kg	9		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
	e Organics (GRO)	26	5.0	25.00	0	102	80.1	123			
Surr: BFB		1000		1000		102	73.8	119			
Sample ID	MB-42491	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gasol	ine Rang	e	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 42	491	R	unNo: 5	6872				
Prep Date:	1/8/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	9/2019	S	eqNo: 1	903162	Units: %Rec			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB		980		1000		98.0	73.8	119			
Sample ID	LCS-42491	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gasol	ine Rang	e	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 42	491	R	unNo: 5	6872				
Prep Date:	1/8/2019	Analysis D	ate: 1/	9/2019	S	eqNo: 1	903163	Units: %Rec			
Analyte		Result	PQL		SPK Ref Val		LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB		1100		1000		110	73.8	119			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

1901145

11-Jan-19

WO#:

Page 21 of 22

# QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	Souder, N Oil CPD	/liller & A	ssociate	es								
Sample ID	MB-42476	Samp	ype: MI	BLK	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID:	PBS	Batc	h ID: 42	476	F	RunNo: 5	6843					
Prep Date:	1/7/2019	Analysis E	Date: 1/	8/2019	S	SeqNo: 1	902380	Units: mg/K	g			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene		ND	0.025			,			,			
Toluene		ND	0.050									
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.050									
Xylenes, Total		ND	0.10									
-	ofluorobenzene	0.92		1.000		91.9	80	120				
Sample ID	LCS-42476	Samp	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volati	iles			
Client ID:	LCSS	Batc	h ID: 42	476	F	RunNo: 5	6843					
Prep Date:	1/7/2019	Analysis E	Date: 1/	8/2019	S	SeqNo: 1	902381	Units: mg/K	g			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene		0.93	0.025	1.000	0	92.7	80	120				
Toluene		0.97	0.050	1.000	0	96.9	80	120				
Ethylbenzene		0.98	0.050	1.000	0	97.7	80	120				
Xylenes, Total		3.0	0.10	3.000	0	98.8	80	120				
Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	0.94		1.000		94.0	80	120				
Sample ID	MB-42491	Samp	уре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volati	iles			
Client ID:	PBS	Batc	h ID: 42	491	F	RunNo: 5	6872					
Prep Date:	1/8/2019	Analysis E	Date: 1/	9/2019	S	SeqNo: 1	903187	Units: %Rec	;			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		99.9	80	120				
Sample ID	LCS-42491	Samp	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volati	iles			
Client ID:	LCSS	Batc	h ID: 42	491	F	RunNo: 5	6872					
Prep Date:	1/8/2019	Analysis E	Date: 1/	9/2019	S	SeqNo: 1	903188	Units: %Rec	:			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		101	80	120				

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

1901145

11-Jan-19

WO#:

Page 22 of 22

2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       No         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       No         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       No         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       No       Image: Containers received broken?         9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       Image: Containers received broken?       Yes       No       Image: Provide test test test test test test test te	RcptNo: 1
Completed By:       Erin Melendrez       1/7/2019 8:58:33 AM       UMU         Reviewed By:       VV2       1/1 [1]       Imu       Imu       Imu         Chain of Custody       1       Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not F         2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier       Courier       Imu	
Completed By:       Erin Melendrez       1/7/2019 8:58:33 AM       UMU         Reviewed By:       VV2       1/1/1/1       Image: Second	
Chain of Custody         1. Is Chain of Custody complete?         Yes         2. How was the sample delivered?         Log In         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?         Yes       No         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes         Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes         9. VOA viats have zero headspace?       Yes         11. Does papenwork match bottle labels?       Yes         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes         Yes       No       Ch	
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not F         2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       No         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No       No         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       Yes       No       Image: Courier         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       Image: Courier       No         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       Image: Courier       No         9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       Image: Courier       Yes       No       Image: Courier         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       Image: Courier       Image: Courier       Image: Courier         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Image: Courier       Image: Courier         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Image: Courier       Courier         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Image: Courier       Courier	
2. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No          5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No           6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No           7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No           8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No            9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No         # of pre bottles of for pH:         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No         for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No        Are         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No        Are       Are       Are         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No        Ch       Ch	
Log In         3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No         9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       If of prebottles of for pH:         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       If or pH:         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       If or pH:         14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       No       Ch	_
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       ✓       No         4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       ✓       No         5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       ✓       No       □         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       ✓       No       □         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       ✓       No       □         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       □       No       □         9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       □       No       ✓       If of pre         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       □       If of pre         11. Does papenwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       □       If of pre         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       □       If of pre         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       □       If of pre         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       □       Ch	-
<ul> <li>4. Were all samples received at a temperature of &gt;0° C to 6.0°C</li> <li>Yes ♥</li> <li>No</li> <li>Sample(S) in proper container(S)?</li> <li>Yes ♥</li> <li>No</li> <li>G. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?</li> <li>Yes ♥</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes ♥</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes ♥</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>Was preservative added to bottles?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>No ♥</li> <li>YoA vials have zero headspace?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>No ♥</li> <li>YoA vials have zero headspace?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>No ♥</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>No ♥</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>No ♥</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes<!--</td--><td>_</td></li></ul>	_
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       ✓       No         6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       ✓       No         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       ✓       No         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       ✓       No         9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       ✓         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       ✓         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       ✓       # of pre bottles of for pH:         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       ✓       No       ✓         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       ✓       No       ✓         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       ✓       No       ✓         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       ✓       No       ✓       Ch	NA 🗌
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No         7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No         9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of prebottles of for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Are Motion of custody?       Yes       No       Chain of Chain of Custody?         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Chain of Custody.       Yes       No       Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Chain Chain of	
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No         8. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No         9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       ✓         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       ✓       # of pre bottles of for pH.         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       ✓       No       ✓       # of pre bottles of for pH.         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       ✓       No       ✓       Are         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       ✓       No       ✓       Ch         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       ✓       Yes       ✓       No       ✓	
<ul> <li>8. Was preservative added to bottles?</li> <li>9. VOA vials have zero headspace?</li> <li>10. Were any sample containers received broken?</li> <li>11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?</li> <li>11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?</li> <li>12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?</li> <li>13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?</li> <li>14. Were all holding times able to be met?</li> <li>(If no, notify customer for authorization.)</li> </ul>	
9. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No VOA         10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       Image: matrix and state in the s	
10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       # of pre bottles of for pH:         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of pre bottles of for pH:         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of pre bottles of for pH:         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       A         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       A         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Ch         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       No       Ch	NA 🗌
10. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       # of pre bottles of for pH:         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of pre bottles of for pH:         11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of pre bottles of for pH:         12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       A         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       A         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Ch         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       No       Ch	Vials 🔽
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       Yes       No       bottles of for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Are         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       Yes       No       Are         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       Yes       No       Ch         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       No       Ch	THE BL
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       Yes       No       for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       ✓       No       Are         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       ✓       No       Are         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       ✓       No       Ch         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       ✓       No       Ch	
12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?         13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       Yes       No       Are matrices         14. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       Yes       No       Chain of Custody?         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       Yes       No       Chain of Custody?	(S2 or >12 unless noted)
14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes V No Ch (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	usted?
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)	
	acked by: DAD 1/7/19
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No	NA 🗹
Person Notified: Date:	
By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Pe	son
Regarding:	
Client Instructions:	
16. Additional remarks:	
17. <u>Cooler Information</u>	
Cooler No         Temp °C         Condition         Seal Intact         Seal No         Seal Date         Signed By           1         1.2         Good         Yes         Ves	

.

Page 1 of 1

Rece	AL		:D: 1	2/6/2	2023	8:3	86:23 A	M																		Page 4
	HALL ENVIRONMENTAL	lanvironmant	4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque NM 87109	10	Analysis		<del>)S "O</del>	<del>) ''' (</del>	A)	10 of 10 of 10 of	58 y 9M 5 (AO) (AO)	EDB (M 32CRA 8 32CRA 8 3220 (V 3220 (S 5220 (S 5220 (S		X	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		ador , 10	1040
			4901 Hav	Tel. 505		_	N MRC	35 H	808/ 1 / O	səpi Nə	estic 1 2D(	8081 P	» >			×	XX	×	XX	Х	XX	×	×	×	Remarks: Matad	
d Time:	d - Rush Day		L CYO			ager:	eyent	* jun	Ves DNo		D(Including CF): / . Lo-CF-O. += 1,2	Preservative	100-	-002	- 603	-004	-005	-NOLO	- 707	- 008	-000	-010	-011	-012	Via: Date Time	Mia: Date Time
Turn-Around Time:	Standard	Project Name:	Ö	Project #:		Project Manage	M.K	1.22	On Ice:	# of Coolers:	Cooler Temp(ind	Container Type and #	704	/				/	/		_	_	-	->	Received by:	Received by:
Chain-of-Custody Record	Cortshord						C Level 4 (Full Validation)	inclusion in the second				Sample Name	Pad BC - Sur	1- DB 624	Pad BU.J	BH 1 - 1	2H1-2	BH2-Sur	BH 2 - 1	BH 3 - 2	BH @ 3-4	BHU-1	8H 4 - 2	RH 4 - 3	Blinguished by: Damanthal Watson	od by:
hain-of-Cu	SUNA	,	Mailing Address:		4	Fax#:	<sup>a</sup> ackage: dard			(ed)		Time Matrix	30	9:35 /	01:40	10:15	10:30	10:30	10:35	11:15	00:11	N:45	1 05:1	R	200	Time: Relinquished by
	Clien	) Ima		: 12	Hone #:	520 email or Fax#:	C:65:8 □ Standard	4				Date	6.1	/					_		_	-		-		Date: 1

Received by OCD: 12/6/2023	2 8:36:23 AM	88	
HALL ENVIRONMENTA ANALYSIS LABORATOR Mww.hallenvironmental.com 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107 Analvsis Request			Remarks: Matador 2 0
Project #: Project #:	Project Manager: A. W. W. K. M. A. M.	x -014 -014 -015 -015 -015 -015 -018	by Via: Acto Time F by Ita: 1941 140
Client: SMAr - Carlsbad Proje Mailing Address:	email or Fax#: QA/QC Package: Candard Level 4 (Full Validation) Accreditation: Date Compliance Accreditation: Az Compliance NELAC Dother Container Date Time Matrix Sample Name Type and Container Conta	844 - 12 844 - 12 845 - 1 845 - 2 845 - 4 845 - 4	Date: Time: Relinquished by: 1.4.9.9.10 Camantha Watson Received Date: Time: Relinquished by: Redeived

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV 1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

### **State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division** 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY	228937
One Lincoln Centre	Action Number:
Dallas, TX 75240	291646
	Action Type:
	[IM-SD] Incident File Support Doc (ENV) (IM-BNF)

#### CONDITIONS

Created By		Condition Date
amaxwell	Historical document upload.	12/6/2023

Action 291646