

Incident Number: nAPP2325449632

Release Assessment and Closure

Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H Section 16, Township 26 South, Range 30 East API: 30-015-44487 County: Eddy Vertex File Number: 23E-05227

Prepared for: WPX Energy Permian, LLC

Prepared by: Vertex Resource Services Inc.

Date: October 2023 WPX Energy Permian, LLC Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H

Release Assessment and Closure October 2023

Release Assessment and Closure Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H Section 16, Township 26 South, Range 30 East API: 30-015-44487 County: Eddy

Prepared for: WPX Energy Permian, LLC 5315 Buena Vista Drive Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division – District 2 811 S. 1st Street Artesia, New Mexico 88210

Prepared by: Vertex Resource Services Inc. 3101 Boyd Drive Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220

Hunter Klein

Hunter Klein, B.Sc. ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNICIAN, REPORTING 10/17/2023

Date

Chance Dixon

Chance Dixon, B.Sc. PROJECT MANAGER, REPORT REVIEW

10/17/2023

Date

WPX Energy Permian, LLC
Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	. 1
2.0	Incident Description	. 1
	Site Characteristics	
4.0	Closure Criteria Determination	. 2
	Remedial Actions Taken	
6.0	Closure Request	.4
	References	
	Limitations	

.

Table

- Table 1.Closure Criteria Determination
- Table 2. Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release

Figure

Figure 1. Characterization Site Schematic

List of Appendices

- Appendix A. NMOCD C 141 Report
- Appendix B. Closure Criteria Research Documentation
- Appendix C. Daily Field Report
- Appendix D. Notification

WPX Energy Permian, LLC Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H

1.0 Introduction

WPX Energy Permian, LLC (WPX) retained Vertex Resource Services Inc. (Vertex) to conduct a Release Assessment and Closure for a produced water release discovered on August 8, 2023, at Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H API 30-015-44487 (hereafter referred to as the "site"). WPX submitted an initial C-141 Release Notification (Appendix A) to New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) District 2 on September 11, 2023. Incident ID number nAPP2325449632 was assigned to this incident.

This report provides a description of the release assessment and remediation activities associated with the site. The information presented demonstrates that closure criteria established in Table I of 19.15.29.12 of the *New Mexico Administrative Code* (NMAC; New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, 2018) related to NMOCD has been met and all applicable regulations are being followed. This document is intended to serve as a final report to obtain approval from NMOCD for the closure of this release as per NMAC 19.15.29.13.

2.0 Incident Description

The release occurred on August 8, 2023, due to a pinhole leak in a water dump line. The incident was reported on September 11, 2023, and involved the release of approximately 5 barrels (bbl.) of produced water into a lined containment. Approximately 5 bbl. of free fluid was removed during the initial clean-up and it was determined that all release fluids remained within the containment. Additional details relevant to the release are presented in the C-141 Report. Daily Field Reports (DFRs) with site photographs are included in Appendix C.

3.0 Site Characteristics

The site is located approximately 16.5 miles southeast of Malaga, New Mexico (Google Inc., 2023). The legal location for the site is Section 16, Township 26 South and Range 30 East in Eddy County, New Mexico. The release area is located on Bureau of Land Management property. An aerial photograph and site schematic are presented on Figure 1.

The Geological Map of New Mexico (New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, 2023) indicates the site's surface geology primarily comprises Qep – Eolian and piedmont deposits. Predominant soil texture on the site is Upton-Simona Complex, 1 to 15% slopes, eroded. Additional soil characteristics include a drainage class of well drained with a runoff class of high. The karst geology potential for the site is medium (United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, 2018).

The location is typical of oil and gas exploration and production sites in the Permian Basin and is currently used for oil and gas production and storage. The following sections specifically describe the release area at the site within containment on the constructed pad (Figure 1).

The surrounding landscape is associated with ridges and sediment fans with elevations ranging between 2,000 and 5,700 feet. The climate is semiarid with average annual precipitation ranging between 6 and 14 inches. Using information from the United States Department of Agriculture, it was determined grasses with shrubs and half-shrubs dominate the historic plant community (United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation

Service, 2023). Limited to no vegetation is allowed to grow on the compacted production pad, in the lined containment, right-of-way, or access road.

4.0 Closure Criteria Determination

The nearest active well to the site is a New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) monitoring well located approximately 0.07 miles west of the location (United States Geological Survey, 2023). Data from 2023 shows the NMOSE borehole recorded a depth to groundwater of greater than 55 feet below ground surface. Information pertaining to the depth to groundwater determination is included in Appendix B.

There is no surface water present at the site. The nearest significant watercourse, as defined in Subsection P of 19.15.17.7 NMAC, is an unarmed Riverine located approximately 0.29 miles east of the site (United States Fish and Wildlife Service, 2023).

At the site, there are no continuously flowing watercourses or significant watercourses, lakebeds, sinkholes, playa lakes or other critical water or community features as outlined in Paragraph (4) of Subsection C of 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

WPX Energy Permian, LLC

Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H

Page 7 of 76

	e: Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #004H rdinates:	X: 32.0491921	Y: -103.8787382	
-	ific Conditions	Value	Unit	
1	Depth to Groundwater	>55	feet	
	Within 300 feet of any continuously flowing			
2	watercourse or any other significant watercourse	1,520	feet	
	Within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole or playa lake			
3	(measured from the ordinary high-water mark)	3,145	feet	
4	Within 300 feet from an occupied residence, school,	22.204	fact	
4	hospital, institution or church	23,284	feet	
	i) Within 500 feet of a spring or a private, domestic			
_	fresh water well used by less than five households for	3,549	feet	
5	domestic or stock watering purposes, or			
	ii) Within 1000 feet of any fresh water well or spring	3,549	feet	
	Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a			
	defined municipal fresh water field covered under a			
6	municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to Section 3-27-	No	(Y/N)	
	3 NMSA 1978 as amended, unless the municipality			
	specifically approves			
7	Within 300 feet of a wetland	11,862	feet	
8	Within the area overlying a subsurface mine	No	(Y/N)	
		Medium	Critical	
9	Within an unstable area (Karst Map)		High	
5			Medium	
			Low	
10	Within a 100-year Floodplain	500	year	
11	Soil Type	Upton-Simona		
11		opton-simona		
12	Ecological Classification	Shallow sands		
13	Geology	Qep		
	NMAC 19.15.29.12 E (Table 1) Closure Criteria	51-100'	<50' 51-100' >100'	

The closure criteria determined for the site are associated with the following constituent concentration limits as

.

presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release			
Minimum depth below any point within the horizontal boundary of the release to groundwater			
less than 10,000 mg/l TDS	Constituent	Limit	
	Chloride	10,000 mg/kg	
	TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO)	2,500 mg/kg	
51 feet - 100 feet	GRO+DRO	1,000 mg/kg	
	BTEX	50 mg/kg	
	Benzene	10 mg/kg	

TDS – total dissolved solids

TPH – total petroleum hydrocarbons, GRO – gas range organics, DRO – diesel range organics, MRO – motor oil range organics BTEX – benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes

5.0 Liner Inspection

Notification that a liner inspection was scheduled to be completed was provided to the NMOCD on September 20, 2023. Visual observation of the liner was completed on all sides and the base of the containment, around equipment, and of all seams in the liner. As evidenced in the DFR (Appendix C), it was verified that the liner was intact and had the ability to contain the release. The Liner Inspection Notification email is included in Appendix D.

6.0 Closure Request

Vertex recommends no remediation action to address the release at the site. The secondary containment was intact and contained the release. There are no anticipated risks to human, ecological, or hydrological receptors associated with the release site.

Vertex requests that this incident (nAPP2325449632) be closed as all closure requirements set forth in Subsection E of 19.15.29.12 NMAC have been met. WPX certifies that all information in this report and the appendices is correct and that they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in Division rules and directives to meet NMOCD requirements to obtain closure on the release at the site.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact Chance Dixon at 575.988.1472 or cdixon@vertex.ca.

7.0 References

Google Inc. (2023). Google Earth Pro (Version 7.3.3) [Software]. Retrieved from https://earth.google.com

- New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources. (2023). *Interactive Geologic Map*. Retrieved from https://maps.nmt.edu/
- New Mexico Department of Surface Water Quality Bureau. (2023). Assessed and Impaired Waters of New Mexico. Retrieved from https://gis.web.env.nm.gov/oem/?map=swqb
- New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department. (2023). OCD Permitting Spill Search. Retrieved from https://wwwapps.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/ocdpermitting/Data/Spills/Spills.aspx
- New Mexico Mining and Minerals Division. (2023). *Coal Mine Resources in New Mexico*. Retrieved from https://nmemnrd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5f80f3b0faa545e58fe747cc7b037a93
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. (2023a). *Point of Diversion Location Report New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System*. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/wellSurfaceDiversion.html
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. (2023b). Water Column/Average Depth to Water Report New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/waterColumn.html
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. (2023c). Well Log/Meter Information Report New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/meterReport.html
- New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. (2018). *New Mexico Administrative Code Natural Resources and Wildlife Oil and Gas Releases*. Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. (2023). *Web Soil Survey*. Retrieved from https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx
- United States Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency. (2023). FEMA Flood Map Service: Search by Address. Retrieved from https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=malaga% 20new%20mexico#searchresultsanchor
- United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. (2018). *New Mexico Cave/Karst*. Retrieved from https://www.nm.blm.gov/shapeFiles/cfo/carlsbad_spatial_data.html
- United States Geological Survey. (2023). National Water Information System: Web Interface. Retrieved from https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. (2023). *National Wetland Inventory Surface Waters and Wetlands*. Retrieved from https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/

8.0 Limitations

This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of WPX Energy Permian, LLC. This document may not be used by any other person or entity, with the exception of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division and the Bureau of Land Management, without the express written consent of Vertex Resource Services Inc. (Vertex) and WPX Energy Permian, LLC. Any use of this report by a third party, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, or damages suffered as a result of the use of this report are the sole responsibility of the user.

The information and conclusions contained in this report are based upon work undertaken by trained professional and technical staff in accordance with generally accepted scientific practices current at the time the work was performed. The conclusions and recommendations presented represent the best judgement of Vertex based on the data collected during the assessment. Due to the nature of the assessment and the data available, Vertex cannot warrant against undiscovered environmental liabilities. Conclusions and recommendations presented in this report should not be considered legal advice.

FIGURE



Released to Imaging: 2/26/2024 3:00:14 PM

APPENDIX A - NMOCD C-141 Report

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Page 14 of 76

Incident ID	nAPP2325449632
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Responsible Party

Responsible Party WPX Energy Permian, LLC	OGRID 246289
Contact Name Jim Raley	Contact Telephone 575-689-7597
Contact email Jim.Raley@dvn.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD) nAPP2325449632
Contact mailing address 5315 Buena Vista Drive, Carlsbad, NM 88220	

Location of Release Source

Latitude <u>32.0491893</u>

Longitude <u>-103.8789793</u> (NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Site Name: TUCKER DRAW 9 4 FEDERAL COM #004H	Site Type Oil Well
Date Release Discovered: 8/8/2023	API# (if applicable) 30-015-44487

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
А	16	26S	30E	Eddy

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name:

Nature and Volume of Release

Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls) 5	Volume Recovered (bbls) 5
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)

Cause of Release: Pinhole leak developed in water dump line. Allowing the release of approx. 5 bbls produced water to lined secondary containment.

Volume Release Estimate = Recovered Volume.

Page 2

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release?
Yes No	
If YES, was immediate no	otice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)?

Initial Response

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 \boxtimes The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

_____ Date: ___9/11/2023_____

Printed Name: Jim Raley Title: Environmental Professional

Signature: In Roly

email: jim.raley@dvn.com Telephone: 575-689-7597

OCD Only

Received by: _____ Date: _____

Page 3

Oil Conservation Division

	Page 16 of 7
Incident ID	nAPP2325449632
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	<u>>55</u> (ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	Yes X No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	Yes X No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🗌 Yes 🗶 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- X Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- X Field data
- n/a Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- \underline{X} Depth to water determination
- X Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- X Boring or excavation logs
- X Photographs including date and GIS information
- X Topographic/Aerial maps
- ^{n/a} Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Received by OCD: 10/24/2023 9: Form C-141	56:42 AM				Page 17 of 76
				Incident ID	nAPP2325449632
Page 4	Oil Conservation Division			District RP	
				Facility ID	
				Application ID	
regulations all operators are require public health or the environment. T failed to adequately investigate and	n given above is true and complete to the l ed to report and/or file certain release noti The acceptance of a C-141 report by the C I remediate contamination that pose a thre 41 report does not relieve the operator of	fications CD does at to gro responsi Title: Date:	and perform cc s not relieve the undwater, surfa bility for compl	rrective actions for rele operator of liability shi ce water, human health iance with any other fee al Professional	ases which may endanger ould their operations have or the environment. In
OCD Only					
Received by: <u>Shelly Wells</u>			Date: <u>10/24/</u>	2023	

Page 6

Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	nAPP2325449632
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Page 18 of 76

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

Ma A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

X Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

Printed Name: Jim Raley	Title: Environmental Professional
Signature:	Date:
email: Jim.Raley@dvn.com	Telephone: <u>575-685-7597</u>
OCD Only	
Received by: <u>Shelly Wells</u>	Date: <u>10/24/2023</u>
	of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible for regulations.
Closure Approved by:	Date:
Printed Name:	Title:

APPENDIX B – Closure Criteria Research Documentation



2904 W 2nd St. Roswell, NM 88201 volce: 575.624.2420 fax: 575.624.2421 www.afkinseng.com

August 4, 2022

DII-NMOSE 1900 W 2nd Street Roswell, NM 88201

Hand Delivered to the DII Office of the State Engineer

Re: Well Record C-4655 Pod1

To whom it may concern:

Attached please find a well log & record and a plugging record, in duplicate, for a one (1) soil borings, C-4655 Pod1.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 575.499.9244 or lucas@atkinseng.com.

Sincerely,

Gron Middle

Lucas Middleton

Enclosures: as noted above

DSE DJI AUG 8 2022 #10:14

PAGE 1 OF 2

WELL TAG ID NO.



WELL RECORD & LOG

OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

05E 000 ALIG \$ 2022 #410114

NO	ose pod no POD 1 (TV		.)		WELL TAG ID NO. N/A			OSE FILE NO C-4655	(\$).			
OCATI	WELL OWNI Devon Ene	. ,						PHONE (OPT) 575-748-18				
WELL L	WELL OWNI 6488 7 Riv		ADDRESS					CITY Artesia			tate IM 88210	ZIP
GENERAL AND WELL LOCATION	WELL LOCATIO (FROM GP	s)	TITUDE	GREES 32 103	MINUTES 2 52	SECO1 58. 48.	26 _N	* ACCURACY * DATUM RE			OF A SECOND	
1. GENE		ON RELATIN	NGITUDE NG WELL LOCATION TO F26S R30E, NMPN	STREET ADD		_		S (SECTION, TO	WNSHJIP, RA	NGE) WHER	E AVAILABLE	
	LICENSE NO		NAME OF LICENSED		Jackie D. Atkins						ING COMPANY eering Associates, I	nc.
	DRILLING ST 7/28/2		DRILLING ENDED 7/28/2022		MPLETED WELL (FT mporary Well)		e depth (ft) ±55	DEPTH W	ATER FIRST	ENCOUNTERED (FT) N/A	
Z	COMPLETEI	O WELL IS:		V DRY HO	LE T SHALLOV	W (UNCO	NFINED)		WATER LEV PLETED WEI		DATE STATIC 7/28/22,	
110	DRILLING FI	LUID:	AIR	MUD	ADDITIVE	ES – SPE	CIFY:					
RMA	DRILLING M	iethod: [ROTARY HAMA	AER 🗌 CAB	le tool 🔽 othe	R – SPE	CIFY: H	Iollow Stem	Auger	CHECK HE INSTALLE	ERE IF PITLESS ADA D	PTER IS
INFO	DEPTH	(feet bgl)	BORE HOLE	CASING	MATERIAL AND	/OR	CA	SING	CASI	NG	CASING WALL	SLOT
2. DRILLING & CASING INFORMATION	FROM	то	DIAM (inches)		GRADE each casing string, a sections of screen)	and	Т	VECTION YPE ing diameter)	INSIDE (inch		THICKNESS (inches)	SIZE (inches)
び る	0	55	±6.5		Boring-HSA			-				
ŊG												
וורו		4:										
DR						_						
6												
				1								
ġ									-			
		(feet bgl)	BORE HOLE DIAM. (inches)		ST ANNULAR SE					OUNT vic feet)	METHO PLACEN	
RIA	FROM	то									_	
ATE											_	
RM			_									
ALA ULA												
ANNULAR MATERIAL												
3. A												
	OSE INTER	NAL USE								ECORD &	LOG (Version 01/2	8/2022)
FILE	E NO.				POD NO			TRN	NO.			

LOCATION

.

										-	
	DEPTH (1 FROM	èeet bgl) TO	THICKNESS (feet)	INCLUDE WATE	D TYPE OF MATERIAL EI R-BEARING CAVITIES O plemental sheets to fully do	R FRAC	TURE ZONE	s	WA1 BEAR (YES /	ING?	ESTIMATED YIELD FOR WATER- BEARING ZONES (gpm)
	0	4	4	Sand	l, Fine-grained, poorly grade	d. Brow	п		Y	√ N	
	4	48	44		ined, poorly graded, with cal				Y	✓ N	
	48	55	7		Fine-grained, poorly graded,				Y	√ N	
			· · · · ·						Y	N	
									Y	N	
								_	Y	N	
HYDROGEOLOGIC LOG OF WELL			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Y	N	
DF W		-							Y	N	
ğ									Y	N	
CL									Y	N	
D Q									Y	N	
EOI									Y	N	
BOS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Y	N	
Ē									Y	N	
4 . H									Y	N	
									Y	N	
									Y	N	
		n							Y	N	
									Y	N	
				14					Y	N	
									Y	N	
	METHOD U	SED TO ES	TIMATE YIELD	OF WATER-BEARING	G STRATA:			TOT	AL ESTIM	ATED	
	PUM	P □A	IR LIFT	BAILER OT	HER – SPECIFY:			WEI	L YIELD	(gpm):	0.00
NOISI	WELL TES	T TEST	RESULTS - ATT T TIME, END TI	ACH A COPY OF DAT ME, AND A TABLE SH	A COLLECTED DURING ' IOWING DISCHARGE AN	WELL T D DRAV	ESTING, INC WDOWN OVI	CLUDI ER TH	NG DISCI E TESTIN	IARGE N G PERIO	METHOD, ID.
ISIA	MISCELLA	VEOUS INI	ORMATION: TA	emporary well materia	l removed and soil boring	a hackfi	illed using dr	ill cutt	tings from	n total de	oth to ten feet
TEST; RIG SUPERV			be	low ground surface(b)	gs), then hydrated benton	ite chip	s ten feet bg	s to su	rface.		
G SU								10. DB - 48 - 44			
IN .								SE DI	1 406 8	3 2022	awijijić
EST	PRINT NAM	IE(S) OF D	RILL RIG SUPER	VISOR(S) THAT PRO	VIDED ONSITE SUPERVIS	SION O	F WELL CON	STRU	CTION OT	THER TH	IAN LICENSEE:
5.1	Shane Eldrie			.,							
URE	CORRECT I	ECORD O	F THE ABOVE I	DESCRIBED HOLE AN	EST OF HIS OR HER KNO D THAT HE OR SHE WIL PLETION OF WELL DRILI	L FILE '	GE AND BEL THIS WELL I	IEF, T RECOF	HE FORE	GOING I THE STA	S A TRUE AND ATE ENGINEER
SIGNATURE	Jack .				kie D. Atkins				8/4/2	2022	
6.8		SIGNAT	URE OF DRILLE	R / PRINT SIGNEE I	NAME					DATE	
rei							WP 20 WE		COPD & 1	OG OV	rsion 01/28/2022)
	<u>R OSE INTER:</u> E NO.	NAL USE			POD NO.		TRN NO.		COND of I	200 [vei	131011 V1/20/2022)
-	CATION					WELL	TAG ID NO.				PAGE 2 OF 2



PLUGGING RECORD



NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

Shane Eldridge, Cameron Pruitt 4) Date well plugging began: 8/2/2022 5) GPS Well Location: Latitude: 32 deg, 2 min, 58.26 sec 5) GPS Well Location: Latitude: 103 deg, 52 min, 58.26 sec 6) Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as: 55 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner: water level probe 7) Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: n/a ft bgl 8) Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: 7/8/2022 9) Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? Yes If not, please desc differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed) [ERAL / WELL OWNERSHIP:
Mailing address: 6488 7 Rivers Hwy City: Artesia State: New Mexico Zip code: 88210 II. WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION: Jackie D. Atkins (Atkins Engineering Associates Inc.) 1) Name of well drilling company that plugged well: Jackie D. Atkins (Atkins Engineering Associates Inc.) 2) New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1249 Expiration Date: 04/30/23 3) Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):	State E	Bruen Energy 575 748 1838
City: Artesia State: New Mexico Zip code: 88210 II. WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION: Image: State: Jackie D. Atkins (Atkins Engineering Associates Inc.) 1) Name of well drilling company that plugged well: Jackie D. Atkins (Atkins Engineering Associates Inc.) 2) New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1249 Expiration Date: 04/30/23 3) Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):	Well o	ner: <u>Devon Energy</u> Phone No.: <u>375-746-7656</u>
II. WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION: 1) Name of well drilling company that plugged well: _Jackie D. Atkins (Atkins Engineering Associates Inc.) 2) New Mexico Well Driller License No.: _1249	Mailin	address:
1) Name of well drilling company that plugged well: Jackie D. Atkins (Atkins Engineering Associates Inc.) 2) New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1249 Expiration Date: 04/30/23 3) Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):	City:	rtesia State: New Mexico Zip code: 88210
1) Name of well drilling company that plugged well: Jackie D. Atkins (Atkins Engineering Associates Inc.) 2) New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1249 Expiration Date: 04/30/23 3) Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):		
 New Mexico Well Driller License No.: <u>1249</u> Expiration Date: <u>04/30/23</u> Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s): <u>Shane Eldridge, Cameron Pruitt</u> Date well plugging began: <u>8/2/2022</u> Date well plugging concluded: <u>8/2/2022</u> GPS Well Location: Latitude: <u>32</u> deg, <u>2</u> min, <u>58.26</u> sec Longitude: <u>103</u> deg, <u>52</u> min, <u>48.37</u> sec, WGS 84 Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as: <u>55</u> ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner: <u>water level probe</u> Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: <u>n/a</u> ft bgl Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>7/8/2022</u> Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please desce differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed 	<u>II. W</u>	LL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
 New Mexico Well Driller License No.: <u>1249</u> Expiration Date: <u>04/30/23</u> Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s): <u>Shane Eldridge, Cameron Pruitt</u> Date well plugging began: <u>8/2/2022</u> Date well plugging concluded: <u>8/2/2022</u> GPS Well Location: Latitude: <u>32</u> deg, <u>2</u> min, <u>58.26</u> sec Longitude: <u>103</u> deg, <u>52</u> min, <u>48.37</u> sec, WGS 84 Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as: <u>55</u> ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner: <u>water level probe</u> Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: <u>n/a</u> ft bgl Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>7/8/2022</u> Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please desce differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed 	1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:
Share Eldridge, Cameron Pruitt 4) Date well plugging began: 8/2/2022 5) GPS Well Location: Latitude: 32 deg, 2 min, 58.26 sec 5) GPS Well Location: Latitude: 103 deg, 52 min, 58.26 sec 6) Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as: 55 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner: water level probe 7) Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: n/a ft bgl 8) Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: 7/8/2022 9) Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? Yes If not, please desc differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed) [2)	
 GPS Well Location: Latitude: <u>32</u> deg, <u>2</u> min, <u>58.26</u> sec Longitude: <u>103</u> deg, <u>52</u> min, <u>48.37</u> sec, WGS 84 Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as: <u>55</u> ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner: <u>water level probe</u> Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: <u>n/a</u> ft bgl Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>7/8/2022</u> Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please desc differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed 	3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s): Shane Eldridge, Cameron Pruitt
 b) Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:52 min,48.37 sec, WGS 84 b) Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:55 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner: water level probe 7) Static water level measured at initiation of plugging:ft bgl 8) Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer:	4)	Date well plugging began: 8/2/2022 Date well plugging concluded: 8/2/2022
 by the following manner: water level probe 7) Static water level measured at initiation of plugging:ft bgl 8) Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer:	5)	
 8) Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>7/8/2022</u> 9) Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please desc. differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed 	6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner: water level probe
 Bate wen plugging plan of operations was approved by the state Engineer	7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging:ft bgl
differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed	8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer:7/8/2022
8SE 00 AUG 8 2022 #10:1	9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):
BGE 0// AUG 8 2022 ext0.1		
BGE 0// PL/G 8 2022 #10:1		
	1	USE OF AUG 8 2022 #10114/

Version: September 8, 2009 Page 1 of 2 10) Log of Plugging Activities - Label vertical scale with depths, and indicate separate plugging intervals with horizontal lines as necessary to illustrate material or methodology changes. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	<u>Theoretical Volume</u> of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
	0-10' Hydrated Bentonite	Approx. 15 gallons	15 gallons	Augers	
, j	10'-55'				
3 4	Drill Cuttings	Approx. 71 gallons	71 gallons	Boring	
				OSE ON	AUG 8 2022 pm10:14
2 <u></u>					
		MULTIPLY E cubic feet x 7.4 cubic yards x 201.5	BY AND OBTAIN 1805 = gallons 17 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

III. SIGNATURE:

I, <u>Jackle D. Atkins</u>, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Jack Atkins 8/4/2022

Signature of Well Driller

Date

Version: September 8, 2009 Page 2 of 2

31_C-4655_WR-20 Well Record and Log-forsign

Final Audit Report

2022-08-04

Created:	2022-08-04	
Ву:	Lucas Middleton (lucas@atkinseng.com)	
Status:	Signed	
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAA_5040-wmvWNvta5TAYYJLKwG9RHyq1i5	

"31_C-4655_WR-20 Well Record and Log-forsign" History

- Document created by Lucas Middleton (lucas@atkinseng.com) 2022-08-04 - 9:48:16 PM GMT- IP address: 64.17.71.25
- Document emailed to Jack Atkins (jack@atkinseng.com) for signature 2022-08-04 - 9:48:44 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Jack Atkins (jack@atkinseng.com) 2022-08-04 - 9:48:57 PM GMT- IP address: 64.90.153.232
- Document e-signed by Jack Atkins (jack@atkinseng.com) Signature Date: 2022-08-04 - 9:49:29 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 64.90.153,232
- Agreement completed. 2022-08-04 - 9:49:29 PM GMT

OSE OIT AUG 8 2022 #10;14



Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #007H





8/7/2023, 1:09:11 PM



1:9,028



Esri, HERE, iPC, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Esri, HERE, Garmin, iPC, Maxar

0/21/2022 0.56-12 AM Rece ed by OCD

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory

Watercourse - Tucker Draw 9 4 Fed Com #007H 1,520 feet away (0.29 miles)



Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Released to Imaging: 2/26/2024 3:00:14 PM

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory

Lakebed - Tucker Draw 9 4 Fed Com #007H 3,145 feet away (0.61 miles)



July 7, 2023

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- Freshwater Pond

Lake Other Riverine

This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.



Tucker Draw 9 4 Federal Com #007H



Override 1
 OSE District Boundary
 GIS WATERS PODs
 New Mexico State Trust Lands
 Active
 Both Estates
 Stream River
 SiteBoundaries



Esri, HERE, iPC, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Esri, HERE, Garmin, iPC, Maxar



Received by QCD: 10/24/2023 9:56:42 AM

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

National Wetlands Inventory

Page 32 of 76 Wetland - Tucker Draw 9 4 Fed Com #007H 11,862 feet away (2.25 miles)



Other

Riverine

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Freshwater Pond

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Released to Imaging: 2/26/2024 3:00:14 PM

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper Received by OCD: 10/24/2023 9:56:42 AM



7/7/2023, 2:36:28 PM



Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, FEMA, Texas Parks & Wildlife, CONANP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA



Received by OCD: 10/24/2023 9:56:42 AM National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

Page 35 of 76



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Eddy Area, New Mexico


Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

•

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	
Soil Map	
Soil Map	9
Legend	
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Eddy Area, New Mexico	13
US—Upton-Simona complex, 1 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	13
References	15

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

.

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



Released to Imaging: 2/26/2024 3:00:14 PM

•

•

Custom Soil Resource Report

	MAP LEGEND		MAP INFORMATION	
	(AOI) a of Interest (AOI)	Spoil AreaStony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.	
Soil Soil Special Point I Special Point I	Features /out Wat	 Very Stony Spot Wet Spot Other Special Line Features Streams and Canals Sportation 	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Clos Grav Grav	Spot ed Depression vel Pit velly Spot	 Rails Interstate Highways US Routes Major Roads 	Please fely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
۸۰ ا	Elow	Local Roads kground Aerial Photography	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
O Pere ↓ Rocł	ellaneous Water ennial Water < Outcrop ne Spot		This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data a of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 18, Sep 8, 2022	
a Seve	dy Spot erely Eroded Spot hole e or Slip c Spot		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May 12, 2020 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
US	Upton-Simona complex, 1 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	38.5	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		38.5	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Eddy Area, New Mexico

US—Upton-Simona complex, 1 to 15 percent slopes, eroded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1w66 Elevation: 2,000 to 5,700 feet Mean annual precipitation: 6 to 14 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 70 degrees F Frost-free period: 180 to 260 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Upton and similar soils: 40 percent *Simona and similar soils:* 35 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Upton

Setting

Landform: Ridges, fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, rise Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Residuum weathered from limestone

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 9 inches: gravelly loam H2 - 9 to 13 inches: gravelly loam H3 - 13 to 21 inches: cemented H4 - 21 to 60 inches: very gravelly loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 7 to 20 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to moderately high (0.01 to 0.60 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 75 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R070BC025NM - Shallow Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Simona

Setting

Landform: Plains, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam

- H2 6 to 20 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam
- H3 20 to 24 inches: indurated

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 5 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 7 to 20 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R070BD002NM - Shallow Sandy Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Rock outcrop

Percent of map unit: 9 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Dune land

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Pajarito

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Ecological site: R070BD003NM - Loamy Sand Hydric soil rating: No

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Eddy Area, New Mexico



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

•

Contents

Preface	2
Soil Information for All Uses	
Ecological Sites	5
All Écological Sites —	
Map—Dominant Ecological Site	
Legend—Dominant Ecological Site	
Table—Ecological Sites by Map Unit Component	
References	9

Soil Information for All Uses

Ecological Sites

Individual soil map unit components can be correlated to a particular ecological site. The Ecological Site Assessment section includes ecological site descriptions, plant growth curves, state and transition models, and selected National Plants database information.

All Ecological Sites —

An "ecological site" is the product of all the environmental factors responsible for its development. It has characteristic soils that have developed over time; a characteristic hydrology, particularly infiltration and runoff, that has developed over time; and a characteristic plant community (kind and amount of vegetation). The vegetation, soils, and hydrology are all interrelated. Each is influenced by the others and influences the development of the others. For example, the hydrology of the site is influenced by development of the soil and plant community. The plant community on an ecological site is typified by an association of species that differs from that of other ecological sites in the kind and/or proportion of species or in total production.

An ecological site name provides a general description of a particular ecological site. For example, "Loamy Upland" is the name of a rangeland ecological site. An "ecological site ID" is the symbol assigned to a particular ecological site.

The map identifies the dominant ecological site for each map unit, aggregated by dominant condition. Other ecological sites may occur within each map unit. Each map unit typically consists of one or more components (soils and/or miscellaneous areas). Each soil component is associated with an ecological site. Miscellaneous areas, such as rock outcrop, sand dunes, and badlands, have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation and therefore are not linked to an ecological site. The table below the map lists all of the ecological sites for each map unit component in your area of interest.



Released to Imaging: 2/26/2024 3:00:14 PM

•

.

MAP LEGEN	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI)	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Area of Interest	1:20,000.
Soils	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Soil Rating Polygons R070BC025NM	
	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
Not rated or not	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Soil Rating Lines	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed
R070BC025NM	scale.
Not rated or not	
Soil Rating Points	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
R070BC025NM	measurements.
Not rated or not	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Water Features	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Streams and Ca	
Transportation	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
+++ Rails	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
Interstate Highw	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
JS Routes	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
ᠵ Major Roads	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
Local Roads	of the version date(s) listed below.
Background	
Aerial Photograp	Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 18, Sep 8, 2022
	Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
	1:50,000 or larger.
	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May
	12, 2020
	The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were
	compiled and digitized probably differs from the background
	imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor
	shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Ecological Sites by Map Unit Component

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Component name (percent)	Ecological site	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
	complex, 1 to 15	Upton (40%)	R070BC025NM — Shallow	38.5	100.0%
	percent slopes, eroded	Simona (35%)	R070BD002NM — Shallow Sandy		
		Rock outcrop (9%)		-	
		Dune land (8%)			
		Pajarito (8%)	R070BD003NM — Loamy Sand		
Totals for Area of In	terest			38.5	100.0%

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



App State

Click to restore the map extent and layers visibility where you left off.

Retensed to Thingsting: 2/26/2024 3:00:14 PM

2mi

-103.693 32.159 Degrees

APPENDIX C – Daily Field Report



Devon Energy Corporation	Inspection Date:	9/25/2023			
Tucker Draw 9-4-4	Report Run Date:	9/25/2023 6:18 PM			
Dale Woodall	API #:				
405-318-4697					
	Project Owner:				
	Project Manager:				
Summary of Times					
9/25/2023 9:18 AM					
9/25/2023 9:58 AM					
	Corporation Tucker Draw 9-4-4 Dale Woodall 405-318-4697 9/25/2023 9:18 AM	CorporationTucker Draw 9-4-4Report Run Date:Dale WoodallAPI #:405-318-4697Project Owner:Project Manager:Project Manager:Summary of Summary of 9/25/2023 9:18 AM			

Field Notes

12:15 Arrived on site and began inspection of liner. Took photos.

Next Steps & Recommendations

1 Liner inspection was good; no issues noted.





Site Photos Viewing Direction: South Viewing Direction: North South from northeast corner of containment Looking north between tanks 2 and 3 Viewing Direction: North Viewing Direction: North tanks 1 and 2 Looking north from the southwest corner of Looking north between tanks 1 and 2 containment





containment





















Looking north between tanks 3 and 4

Run on 9/25/2023 6:18 PM UTC



Daily Site Visit Signature

Inspector: Angela Mohle

Signature:

Run on 9/25/2023 6:18 PM UTC

•

APPENDIX D – Notification



Dhugal Hanton <vertexresourcegroupusa@gmail.com>

48-Hour Notification - Tucker Draw 9 4 Fed Com #004H

2 messages

Dhugal Hanton <vertexresourcegroupusa@gmail.com> To: "Enviro, OCD, EMNRD" <OCD.Enviro@emnrd.nm.gov> Cc: "Raley, Jim" <jim.raley@dvn.com> Bcc: AMohle@vertex.ca Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 11:16 AM

All,

Please accept this email as 48-hour notification that Vertex Resource Services has scheduled a liner inspection to be conducted for the following release.

nAPP2325449632

This work will be done on behalf of Devon Energy Production Company.

On Monday, September 25, 2023, at approximately 8:00 a.m., Angela Mohle will be on site to conduct a liner inspection. She can be reached at 575-361-2689. If you need directions to the site, please do not hesitate to contact her. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this notification, please call me at 575-988-1472.

Thank you,

Chance Dixon B.Sc.

Project Manager

Vertex Resource Services Inc. 3101 Boyd Drive, Carlsbad, NM 88220

C 575.988.1472

Rodgers, Scott, EMNRD <Scott.Rodgers@emnrd.nm.gov> Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 11:19 AM To: Dhugal Hanton <vertexresourcegroupusa@gmail.com>, "Hamlet, Robert, EMNRD" <Robert.Hamlet@emnrd.nm.gov>, "Bratcher, Michael, EMNRD" <mike.bratcher@emnrd.nm.gov> Cc: "Raley, Jim" <jim.raley@dvn.com>

The OCD has received your notification. Include a copy of this and all notifications in the remedial and/or closure reports to ensure the notifications are documented in the project file.

Scott Rodgers • Environmental Specialist

Environmental Bureau

EMNRD - Oil Conservation Division

8801 Horizon Blvd. NE, Suite 260 | Albuquerque, NM 87113

505.469.1830 | scott.rodgers@emnrd.nm.gov

http://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd



From: Dhugal Hanton <vertexresourcegroupusa@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, September 20, 2023 11:17 AM To: Enviro, OCD, EMNRD <OCD.Enviro@emnrd.nm.gov> Cc: Raley, Jim <jim.raley@dvn.com> Subject: [EXTERNAL] 48-Hour Notification - Tucker Draw 9 4 Fed Com #004H

CAUTION: This email originated outside of our organization. Exercise caution prior to clicking on links or opening attachments.

[Quoted text hidden]

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
WPX Energy Permian, LLC	246289
Devon Energy - Regulatory	Action Number:
Oklahoma City, OK 73102	278742
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141)
CONDITIONS	

Created By	Condition	Condition Date
rhamlet	We have received your Remediation Closure Report for Incident #NAPP2325449632 TUCKER DRAW 9 4 FEDERAL COM #004H, thank you. This Remediation Closure Report is approved. Areas reasonably needed for production or subsequent drilling operations will need to be reclaimed and revegetated as soon as they are no longer reasonably needed. A report for reclamation and revegetation including pictures of the contoured backfilled excavation surface and a thorough discussion on reseeding mixture, vegetation ratio, timelines, etc, will need to be submitted and approved prior to this incident receiving the final status of "Restoration Complete".	2/26/2024
rhamlet	• The reclamation report will need to include: Executive Summary of the reclamation activities; Scaled Site Map including sampling locations; Analytical results including, but not limited to, results showing that any remaining impacts meet the reclamation standards and results to prove the backfill is non-waste containing if the back fill is coming from a rancher's pit or other local source AND/OR proof from the landfill/landfarm that their backfill is non-waste containing; pictures of the backfilled areas showing that the area is back, as nearly as practical, to the original condition or the final land use and maintain those areas to control dust and minimize erosion to the extent practical; pictures of the top layer, which is either the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater; and a revegetation plan.	2/26/2024

CONDITIONS

Page 76 of 76

Action 278742