Submit 1 Copy To Appropriate District	State of New Me	xico	Form C-103				
Office <u>District I</u> – (575) 393-6161	Energy, Minerals and Natur	ral Resources	Revised August 1, 2011				
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240			WELL API NO.				
<u>District II</u> – (575) 748-1283 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210	OIL CONSERVATION	DIVISION	30-015-26592				
District III - (505) 334-6178	1220 South St. Fran	cis Dr.	5. Indicate Type of Lease				
1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410	Santa Fe, NM 87		STATE FEE				
<u>District IV</u> – (505) 476-3460 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM	5anta 1 6, 1411 67	505	6. State Oil & Gas Lease No. B-2071-28				
87505			B-20/1-28				
SUNDRY NOTION (DO NOT USE THIS FORM FOR PROPOSE DIFFERENT RESERVOIR. USE "APPLICATION OF THE PROPOSE OF THE PRO	7. Lease Name or Unit Agreement Name Mewbourne WDW-1						
PROPOSALS.)	Cas Wall Cother Injection W	all	8. Well Number WDW-1				
	Gas Well Other Injection W	en	9. OGRID Number				
2. Name of Operator Navajo Refining Company			9. OGRID Number				
3. Address of Operator			10. Pool name or Wildcat: Navajo Permo-				
Post Office Box 159, Artesia, New	/ Mexico 88211		Penn 96918				
	THE RESERVE TO THE RE		1 cm 70710				
4. Well Location							
Unit Letter O:	feet from the <u>South</u>		feet from the <u>East</u> line				
Section 31	Township 17S	Range 28E	NMPM County Eddy				
	11. Elevation (Show whether DR,	RKB, RT, GR, etc.,					
	3678' GL	<u></u>					
12. Check A	appropriate Box to Indicate N	ature of Notice,	Report or Other Data				
	•		*				
NOTICE OF IN	TENTION TO: PLUG AND ABANDON ☐		SEQUENT REPORT OF:				
PERFORM REMEDIAL WORK	K ALTERING CASING						
TEMPORARILY ABANDON	CHANGE PLANS	COMMENCE DRI	-				
PULL OR ALTER CASING	MULTIPLE COMPL	CASING/CEMEN	T JOB				
DOWNHOLE COMMINGLE							
			_				
OTHER: PERFORM PRESSURE F	ALLOFF TEST	OTHER:					
13 Describe proposed or comp	leted operations (Clearly state all)	ertinent details an	d give pertinent dates, including estimated date				
13. Describe proposed or completed operations. (Clearly state all pertinent details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work). SEE RULE 19.15.7.14 NMAC. For Multiple Completions: Attach wellbore diagram of proposed completion or recompletion.							
N 1 14 2011 I (MDM 4 THE	W 2 1 1 1 4 6				
	I bottomhole gauges into WDW-1,	WDW-2, and WDV	W-3 by 11:45am. Continue injection into all				
three wells.	tinue injection into all three wells.						
		ad WDW 2 will be	shut-in. A constant injection rate will be				
	d continue for a 30 hour injection pe						
			riod. WDW-2 and WDW-3 will remain shut-				
in.	oopin, we will be shat in for t	a so nour runoir pe	riod. WDW 2 and WDW 3 will remain shut-				
	hree wells will continue to be shut i	n while monitoring	falloff pressure in all three wells.				
			ee wells. Tag bottom of fill and come out of				
hole very slowly, making 7-minute gradient stops while coming out of WDW-1 every 1000 feet (7000 ft, 6000 ft, 5000 ft, 4000							
ft, 3000 ft, 2000 ft, 1000 ft, surface). Run in hole with a temperature tool and conduct temperature survey from the surface to the							
top of the fill. Turn the we	lls back to Navajo personnel.	•					
			•				
·							
			•				
<u> </u>							
Spud Date:	Rig Release Da	ate.					
Space Date.							
•	•						
I horoby postify that the information	shove is true and complete to the L	est of my knowled-	va and helief				
I hereby certify that the information above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.							
T-A		n: 1 +					
SIGNATURE AMOS	TITLE	roject to	ngineer DATE 10/3/11				
SIGNITION JUNAT GU	7 (/						

Type or print name	Timothy	Jones	E-mail address:	Hones @subsurface group comPHON	E: 713-880-4640
For State Use Only	1				

APPROVED BY: Lang Ching TITLE Environmentel Engineen DATE 10/19/2011 Conditions of Approval (if any): See E-mail Conditions dated 10/19/2011 Attached.

Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD

To:

Ken Davis

Cc:

Moore, Darrell; Sanchez, Daniel J., EMNRD; Dade, Randy, EMNRD; Bonham, Sherry,

EMNRD

Subject:

Navajo Refining Company C-103a for UIC Class I (NH) Injection Wells WDWs 1, 2 & 3

(UICI-008) Fall Off Tests (2011)

Ken, et al.:

Please find the OCD's approval with conditions stipulated below for the C-103 submittals dated October 3, 2011 from Subsurface Technologies, Inc. for WDWs 1, 2 & 3 Fall-Off Tests

OCD Santa Fe will post in the OCD RBDMS Well Files and on OCD Online "UICI-8" WDW-1 FOT File

Please contact me if you have questions. Thank you.

Carl J. Chavez, CHMM

New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Dept.

Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau

1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Office: (505) 476-3490 Fax: (505) 476-3462

E-mail: CarlJ.Chavez@state.nm.us

Website: http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/

"Why not Prevent Pollution; Minimize Waste; Reduce the Cost of Operations; & Move Forward with the Rest of the

Nation?" To see how, go to "Pollution Prevention & Waste Minimization" at:

http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/environmental.htm#environmental)

From: Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 4:06 PM

To: 'Moore, Darrell'

Cc: Sanchez, Daniel J., EMNRD; VonGonten, Glenn, EMNRD; Dade, Randy, EMNRD

Subject: Navajo Refining Company UIC Class I (NH) Injection Wells WDWs 1, 2 & 3 (UICI-008) Fall Off Test Plan

(August 2011)

Darrell:

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) is in receipt of your above subject test plan. OCD has already approved the Fall-Off Test (FOT) Plan with conditions on July 28, 2009. The OCD notes that it is also in the process of reviewing C-103s Sundry Notices for the upcoming FOTs.

OCD observes some changes in this FOT Plan submittal that are not acceptable to the OCD. For example, Exhibit 1 is not an acceptable exhibit to the OCD for reasons specified in the 2010 FOT report review and later during the May 2011 meeting in Santa Fe. However, the operator continues to submit exhibits with certain assumptions that have not been accepted or approved by the OCD, i.e., that the injection wells are show interconnection with the injection zone during past FOTs. Perhaps the operator can conduct the 2011 FOT with the information and exhibits needed to prove the interconnection of injection wells with the injection zone? The Certified PE should provide the exhibits in the 2011 FOT Report with the analysis and conclusions supporting any claims for the OCD to review and consider before approving. This is apparently a FOT frequency per well issue that the operator is attempting to prove.

The OCD provides the following comments, observations, and/or recommendations on the above subject plan below.

Comments:

- The OCD approved the original Fall-Off Test (FOT) Plan based on OCD Guidance dated December 3, 2007.
 There should not be any significant changes to this FOT Plan because it is flexible where needed to allow operators to implement it on each injection well.
- OCD likes to be notified to witness the installation of bottom hole gauges and to be present at least one hour before injection shut-off and commencement of FOT monitoring.
- OCD is concerned about the Section VI No. 1(e) WDW-3 Cement Bond Log quality being poor from 900 ft. to 1200 ft- especially at the depths: 2662 2160; 4876 5372; and 6750 7600 ft. micro annulus scenario.

Observations:

- Section V No. 2: The objective of the FOT is NOT to achieve or limit a 100 psig pressure differential before vs. after FOT injection vs. shut-off, but it is a minimum pressure differential that OCD stipulates in its guidance for a successful FOT and injection zone that may still continue to be utilized for disposal, i.e., not too pressured up and subject to continued fracturing under daily allowed maximum surface injection pressure operational limits.
- Section V No. 7 and Exhibit 1: OCD observes a bottom hole pressure chart for WDWs 1, 2 and 3 at 7660 feet that the operator presented in the 2010 FOT and again during a May 2011 meeting in Santa Fe, New Mexico to show the interconnection between injection wells and the injection formation. The OCD had commented that there was no explanation or conclusion provided from the Certified PE who conducted and completed the 2010 FOT report that supports the operator's claim that all injection wells are interconnected based on Exhibit 1.

Furthermore, the OCD requested a statement or information supporting the operator's claim by the Certified PE, but never received one. At the meeting, the OCD explained that based on Exhibit 1, there was no support for the claim. In order to make the interconnection determination, during each FOT at each well and off-set injection wells (WDWs not being FOT'd) before and throughout the FOT would need bottom hole pressures monitored in tandem at each well location to establish the interconnectivity of the injection wells with the receiving injection formation under a uniform time scale. This would be a chart that could be plotted that would show during the test the interconnectivity of the wells for each FOT. The OCD doubts that the operator can make the case for interconnectivity between injection wells and injection formation because of the significant distance between the injection wells and fact that sedimentation in formation varies laterally and uniformity in sedimentation, saturated porosity and permeability due to variation in sedimentation would by chance make the injection formation aerially extensive and uniform over a 3 to 5 mile radius from each injection well. Also, even if by chance there was uniformity over the mileage specified, the distance between injection wells and corresponding pressure would likely not be observed.

• Exhibit 6: OCD observes in Section B a proposed MIT once every 5 years. OCD's UIC Program requires annual MITs and/or after down hole work is performed on a well.

Recommendations:

- Operator is running survey logs to the bottom of fill or below USDW (fresh water) zones, which excludes an evaluation of casing in the fresh water zone. Please run logs up to surface.
- Be sure to also record and provide injection flow rate and pressure leading up to shut-off and monitoring throughout the FOT monitoring period. OCD needs to confirm that a pseudo steady-state condition was achieved before shut-off. This data is also needed for software modeling of the FOT.
- Please provide electronic data from the FOTs at each well in order for the OCD to run its software model to confirm the results in the report.
- Section V No. 13: Surface pressure monitoring and Horner Plot during injection should be used to confirm radial flow condition is achieved instead of waiting a set period if operator wishes to reduce the injection period.

Disclaimer: Please be advised that OCD has already approved with conditions Navajo Refining Company's Fall-Off Test (FOT) Plan on July 28, 2009, and is not providing approval of this FOT Plan; however, comments, observations and recommendations herein should help Navajo Refining Company understand the OCD's concerns based on the submittal.

Please contact me if you have questions. Thank you.

Carl J. Chavez, CHMM New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Dept. Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau 1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 Office: (505) 476-3490

Fax: (505) 476-3462

E-mail: CarlJ.Chavez@state.nm.us Website: http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/

"Why not Prevent Pollution; Minimize Waste; Reduce the Cost of Operations; & Move Forward with the Rest of the Nation?" To see how, go to "Pollution Prevention & Waste Minimization" at: http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/environmental.htm#environmental)