

File

Johnson, Roy

From: Johnson, Roy
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2001 9:00 AM
To: 'John Lapaglia'
Subject: RE: Sonoma #2 Gas Analysis

John, I have yet to receive any OCD reports on the # 1 or # 2 wells and will not approve any other drilling permits until the paper work on the first two wells has been completed. The required reports and instructions can be found on web site. Secondly, I will be taking vacation in October and you may want to call so that your operations will not be delayed. ROY

-----Original Message-----

From: John Lapaglia [mailto:johnl@lcc.net]
Sent: Saturday, September 15, 2001 6:15 AM
To: Bill Morris; David Lillywhite; George Abercia; Glen Runnels; Joe Acosta; John Bonney; John Martin; Ken Thomet; Louis Abercia; Ralph Abercia; Richard H Bate; Robbie Walters; Steven @ office McKenzie
Cc: Roy Johnson
Subject: Fw: Sonoma #2 Gas Analysis

Gentlemen,

Enclosed are the results of the gas analysis from the Sonoma # 2 state well. The BTU rating of the gas is to low for commercial application. We have ordered another lab to test the gas once more, and if the results are the same, the well will not be fracture stimulated. We will keep the well for future injection purposes in the event we ever find any oil with low reservoir pressure.

It is unlawful to discharge so much carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, and there is no way to burn it. Operators usually separate the carbon dioxide and sell it for injection into oil wells, but we do not have enough production to make it worthwhile.

I have requested our people to now permit the Sonoma # 3 well, to be drilled on a lease about three miles East of the Sonoma # 2 state well where we have located a large trap discovered from recent seismic data, and this trap sits about 500 feet higher up-structure than the Sonoma # 2 well.

John Lapaglia/CEO
 Exploration & Development
 Sonoma Energy Corporation

----- Original Message -----

From: Steven McKenzie
To: John Lapaglia
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2001 2:16 PM
Subject: Sonoma #2 Gas Analysis

John,

I tried to call you. I spoke with Russel Walker at Pan Tex Labs, and recieved the following preliminary results on sample #1.

| <u>Component</u> | <u>Mole %</u> |
|------------------|---------------|
| Carbon Dioxide | 40.080 |
| Nitrogen | 33.333 |
| Methane | 22.30 |
| Ethane | 2.0 |
| Propane | 1.1 |

9/27/2001

BTU Dry: 316
BTU Wet: 311.5

They did not check for helium. It will cost \$25.00. I do not know if any would be present. He is waiting to hear from us. He will fax the final report when finished.

Call me to discuss.

Steve