

continued from front

51 In the Aztec quadrangle, no water wells are known to penetrate the Ojo Alamo Sandstone. However, according to Brinhal (1973), this unit is a major source of ground water elsewhere in the San Juan Basin. He pointed out that the coarser channel sandstones have the greatest potential for producing good supplies of water. Brinhal reported six wells completed in the Ojo Alamo Sandstone with yields ranging from 35-180 gpm (190-981 m³/d), specific capacities ranging from .20-1.02 gpm/ft., transmissivities ranging from 425-1,230 gpd/ft., and storage coefficients ranging from .0002-.0067.

52 Chemical analysis of waters from the Ojo Alamo was not possible owing to lack of access. Water qualities reported from elsewhere, however, are generally good, ranging from 360 to 824 ppm total dissolved solids from wells up to 747 ft (227 m) deep. Rapp (1959) reported that wells tapping Ojo Alamo Sandstone to the south and east of Farmington produce quantities sufficient for domestic and stock needs; however, the water typically exceeds 1,000 ppm total dissolved solids and is high in sulphate. Although large quantities of water may be present in the Ojo Alamo, electric logs indicate poor quality at the depths encountered in the study area.

Older deposits (Jurassic-Cretaceous)

53 Several rock units beneath the Ojo Alamo Sandstone consist or consist of porous sandstone and are no doubt water bearing. At shallow depths and near outcrops to the west or south of the Aztec quadrangle, these units yield domestic or larger supplies of poor to good quality water. However, all these units are obtained under the study area that drilling is impractical and wells are uneconomically likely to be saline. The potential of deep aquifers is known areas of the San Juan Basin was summarized by Shomaker and Stone (1976).

WATER USE AND SUPPLY

Municipalities

54 The town of Aztec obtains all of its water from the Animas River and stores it in a reservoir north of town (fig. 11). Doubled in size in 1975, the reservoir now has a storage capacity of approximately 7,000,000 gal (26,330 m³). The municipal water-treatment plant, located at the reservoir, treats and distributes an average of 1,600,000 gpd (6,055 m³/d). The Aztec municipal water supply has an average total dissolved-solids content of 550 ppm (New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission and New Mexico State Engineer's Office, 1975). The river water is treated with alum (to settle out sediment), copper sulphate (to kill algae), and chlorine (to kill bacteria).

Farm and rural dwellings

55 Several hundred farms and rural homes are located along the Animas and San Juan Rivers in the Aztec quadrangle. Most of these homes have shallow water wells, usually less than 100 ft deep, dug or drilled into the alluvium of the river valleys. A few wells are drilled into the Nacimiento Formation.

56 While complete data are not available, up to 10 percent of these rural residents probably use river water, stored and treated in a cistern, for domestic use. In many cases, river water is used because the quality of local ground water is too poor for domestic use.

57 In the areas away from the major river valleys most wells used for stock water have been abandoned in favor of surface water supplies. This water is collected in surface reservoirs where small arroyos have been dammed by earthen structures to trap runoff.

58 Few homes are located in the northern part of the study area. Should rural water supplies be required there in the future, the upper part of the Nacimiento Formation is very sandy and appears to have the properties of a good aquifer, although water quality is quite variable (table 3).

59 In areas away from the river valleys where the San Jose Formation is present, it appears to be the best potential source of ground water because of its position at the surface, overall coarse and sandy nature, broad extent, and generally good water quality (tables 1 and 3).

REFERENCES

- Atwood, W. W., and Mather, K. F., 1932, Physiography and Quaternary geology of the San Juan Mountains, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey, Prof. Paper 166, 176 p.
- Balta, E. H., Jr., and West, S. W., 1967, Ground-water resources of the southern part of the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation and adjacent areas, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-supply Paper 1576-B, 89 p.
- Bansford, C. A., 1969, Geomorphology of the Animas River valley, San Juan County, New Mexico: M.S. thesis, University of New Mexico, 88 p.
- Barnes, F. C., 1950, History of development and production of oil and gas in the San Juan Basin, New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 14: first conference, p. 144-148.
- Brinhal, R. M., 1973, Ground water hydrology of Tertiary rocks of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico, in *Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks of the southern Colorado Plateau, a memoir: Four Corners Geological Society*, p. 197-207.
- Brown, D. R., 1976, Hydrogeology and water resources of the Aztec quadrangle, San Juan County, New Mexico: M.S. thesis, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, 174 p.
- Bryan, K., 1928, Historic evidence on changes in the channel of the Rio Puerco, a tributary of the Rio Grande in New Mexico: *Journal of Geology*, v. 36, p. 265-282.
- Cooper, J. B., and Trauger, F. D., 1967, San Juan River basin: geology, geology, and hydrology, in *Water resources of New Mexico: occurrence, development, and use: New Mexico State Planning Office*, p. 185-210.
- Dane, C. H., and Bachman, G. O., 1965, Geologic map of New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, scale 1:500,000.
- Maker, H. J., Ketch, C. W., and Anderson, J. V., 1971, Soil associations and land classifications for irrigation, San Juan County, New Mexico State University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Research Rept. 257, 44 p.
- New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission and New Mexico State Engineer's Office, 1975, San Juan County, water resources assessment for planning purposes: Santa Fe, 44 p.
- New Mexico State Engineer's Office, 1966, Rules and regulations governing drilling of wells and appropriation and use of ground water in New Mexico: Santa Fe, New Mexico State Engineer, 130 p.
- Panel on promising technologies in arid land water development, 1974, *More water for arid lands: Washington, D.C., National Academy of Sciences*, 157 p.
- Powell, J. S., 1973, Paleontology and sedimentation models of the Kimbeto Member of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone: *Four Corners Geological Society, Guidebook 18th field conf.*, p. 111-122.
- Rapp, J. R., 1959, Reconnaissance of the geology and ground-water resources of the Farmington area, San Juan County, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-file Rept. SI-7, 13 p.
- Reeside, J. B., 1924, Upper Cretaceous and Tertiary formations of the western part of the San Juan Basin, Colorado and New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, Prof. Paper 134, 70 p.
- Richmond, G. M., 1965, Quaternary stratigraphy of the Durango area, San Juan Mountains, Colorado: U.S. Geological Survey, Prof. Paper 525-C, p. 137-143.
- Shomaker, J. W., and Stone, W. J., 1976, Availability of ground water for coal development in San Juan Basin, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Circ. 154, p. 43-48.
- Simpson, G. G., 1948, The Eocene of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico: *American Journal of Science*, v. 246, pt. 1, p. 257-282; pt. 2, p. 363-385.
- Stone, W. J., 1976, Index to Bureau water-resource data: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Ann. Rept. for July 1, 1975, to June 30, 1976, p. 38-43.

TABLE 3.—CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF WATER FROM WELLS IN THE AZTEC QUADRANGLE. Well field numbers correspond to those in table 1; see fig. 9 for locations. Ca = calcium, Mg = magnesium, Na = sodium, K = potassium, HCO₃ = bicarbonate, SO₄ = sulfate, Cl = chlorine. Concentrations of constituents given as equivalents per million; TDS = total dissolved solids, ppm = parts per million; μmhos = micromhos.

owner or well name	field no.	date	HCO ₃	Cl	SO ₄	Na	K	Mg	Ca	TDS (ppm)	specific conductance (μmhos)
N.M. Port of Entry	A2	8/73	2.25	0.48	2.24	1.61	0.00	1.85	2.01	3.08	550
A. Flaherty	A4	9/75	0.41	115.66	0.44	95.70	0.17	0.72	19.46	6,784	12,700
C. Lanier	A6	8/75	4.25	1.11	25.44	4.58	0.34	0.58	1.39	687	1,120
M. Bishop	A7	9/75	3.00	0.85	4.89	15.77	0.07	3.17	12.21	1,923	2,600
F. Randallson	A9	8/75	2.59	0.72	3.04	1.57	0.04	1.97	3.00	694	650
A. Hill	A10	8/59	4.61	0.73	3.04	1.52	—	0.56	5.09	484	777
E. Flaherty	A11	8/75	2.25	0.64	9.26	3.09	0.07	0.99	7.59	930	930
G. Foster	A13	8/75	2.75	0.31	2.39	1.07	0.11	0.82	3.73	117	610
L. Likes	A14	8/75	2.51	0.68	12.70	4.22	0.03	1.40	9.73	1,021	1,320
Pan Am Petroleum	A17	4/59	6.00	1.61	7.77	5.83	0.05	2.23	7.34	1,104	1,104
J. Holla	A18	9/75	4.54	0.68	4.64	4.57	0.05	1.73	2.62	1,08	820
E. Flaherty	A20	9/75	4.25	0.41	5.20	2.14	0.49	1.87	5.39	576	780
C. Van Dusen	A22	7/54	4.95	1.07	51.22	26.27	—	—	—	—	4,320
C. Curato	A26	2/76	1.50	0.28	5.33	3.61	0.09	0.52	3.27	512	840
Little Puma	A27	5/75	2.76	0.54	2.76	0.15	0.26	1.14	2.84	461	1,205
Atlantic State #1	N14	11/75	1.75	0.34	11.26	2.00	0.07	1.86	9.46	1,004	1,523
EPNG, Knickerbocker #1	N18	3/72	0.20	0.60	75.00	65.00	—	1.10	8.80	5,204	—
EPNG, Knickerbocker #1	N18	10/74	2.00	1.00	54.00	65.00	—	1.10	10.00	1,921	—

TABLE 4.—CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF WATER FROM SPRINGS IN THE AZTEC QUADRANGLE. Spring field numbers correspond to those in table 2; see fig. 9 for locations. Ca = calcium, Mg = magnesium, Na = sodium, K = potassium, HCO₃ = bicarbonate, SO₄ = sulfate, Cl = chlorine. Concentrations of constituents given as equivalents per million; TDS = total dissolved solids, ppm = parts per million; μmhos = micromhos.

spring name	field no.	date	HCO ₃	Cl	SO ₄	Na	K	Mg	Ca	TDS (ppm)	specific conductance (μmhos)
Cave	S1	6/75	2.51	0.40	16.64	4.44	0.21	1.73	14.20	1,305	1,650
Cattail	S3	6/75	3.75	0.41	5.04	6.05	0.24	1.40	1.60	1,667	820
High Hopes	S4	8/75	2.00	0.17	1.46	0.42	0.05	0.62	2.60	208	350
Arch Rock	S7	6/75	2.25	0.17	1.97	1.34	0.00	0.86	2.26	256	390
Hart #1	S8	6/75	1.25	0.07	1.25	0.07	0.00	1.44	1.31	150	295
Hart #2	S9	6/75	3.35	0.12	3.89	1.50	0.07	1.22	4.61	454	700
Last Chance	S10	6/75	1.25	0.11	0.59	0.68	0.00	0.18	1.09	110	183
Hidden	S11a	6/75	2.75	0.60	19.73	6.96	0.08	3.17	13.61	1,528	1,800
Cottonwood	S18	6/75	2.27	1.17	1.87	1.04	0.07	1.32	2.49	249	390
Mud	S19	9/75	2.00	0.12	8.95	1.70	0.09	1.89	7.37	109	1,000
Garrison	N9	6/75	1.25	0.07	0.99	0.45	0.01	0.34	1.61	136	—
Thurston	N12	6/75	2.75	0.53	41.60	22.29	0.11	2.47	21.50	3,081	2,900

TABLE 1.—RECORDS OF WELLS IN THE AZTEC QUADRANGLE; See fig. 9 for locations. EPNG = El Paso Natural Gas Corp.; Qal = alluvium, Tsj = San Jose Formation, Tn = Nacimiento Formation; D = domestic, S = stock, I = industrial, P & A = plugged and abandoned; SC = specific conductance; * indicates chemical analyses given in table 3; — means information not available.

owner or well name	field no.	location no.	approx. elev. (ft)	total depth (ft)	water depth (ft)/date	principal aquifer	total aquifer thickness (ft)	well type	year constructed	use	pump chemical type analysis?	remarks
Cox Canyon	A1	32.11.23.100	6,400	—	53/9-75	Qal	—	drd	—	S	W	—
R. Heizer	A2	32.10.15.100	5,945	35	—	Qal	35	dug	—	D,S	E	* P&A water softener used
W. Head	A3	32.10.15.200	5,920	30	15/9-74	Qal	30	dug	—	D	E	* 24-inch steel casing and 3,000 amhos
F. Clark	A4	32.10.21.400	5,920	30	24/9-74	Qal	—	drd	1962	D,S	E	* S.C. = 1405 amhos
H. Knowlton	A5	32.10.28.400	5,925	35	16/9-74	Qal	35	dug	1967	D,S	E	—
A. Flaherty	A6	32.10.23.400	5,820	30	—	Qal	30	dug	—	D	E	*
C. Lanier	A7	32.10.3.200	5,870	55	45-55/7	Qal	55	dug	1950	D	E	*
C. Saller	A8	32.10.3.400	5,920	64	36/9-74	Qal	64	dug	—	D	E	—
M. Bishop	A9	31.11.24.400	5,745	40	8/9-74	Qal	40	dug	—	D,S	E	*
F. Randallson	A10	31.11.26.100	5,680	57	—	Qal	57	dug	—	—	—	—
A. Hill	A11	31.11.26.400	5,720	39	23/8-75	Qal	39	dug	1961	D,S	E	*
L. Long	A12	31.11.26.400	5,770	70	—	Qal	70	drd	—	I	E	*
G. Foster	A13	31.11.34.400	5,670	60	7/8-75	Qal	60	dug	—	D	E	*
L. Likes	A14	30.11.34.400	5,680	47	20/7	Qal	47	dug	1974	D	E	*
A. Karlan	A15	31.10.4.200	5,600	—	14/9-74	Qal	—	dug	—	D	E	—
unknown	A16	31.10.5.200	5,834	—	—	Qal	—	dug	—	D,S	E	—
Pan Am Petrol.	A17	31.10.5.000	5,810	27	—	Qal	—	drd	—	—	—	—
J. Holla	A18	31.10.6.400	5,795	30	—	Qal	—	drd	1950	D	E	*
C. Smith	A19	31.10.8.100	5,790	30	5/9-74	Qal	—	dug	1952	D	E	*
E. Flaherty	A20	31.10.8.100	5,780	30	16/9-74	Qal	30	dug	1950	D,S	E	*
J. Euston	A21	30.11.4.400	5,640	50	35/9-74	Qal	35	drd	—	D,S	E	*
C. Van Dusen	A22	30.11.9.000	—	—	—	Qal	—	—	—	—	—	—
A. Moore	A23	30.11.10.000	—	32	—	Qal	—	—	agrd	1958	D	—
R. Chavez	A24	29.9.3.200	5,612	16	6/10-74	Qal	—	dug	1960	D,S	E	*
M. Jaquez	A25	29.9.4.100	5,615	54	36/10-74	Qal	—	dug	1958	D	E	*
C. Gurule	A26	29.9.4.100	5,610	45	—	Qal	45	dug	—	D	E	*
R. Gutierrez	A27	29.9.4.400	5,575	20	9/10-74	Qal	—	dug	1991	D	N	—
EPNG, Barnes #2	S2	32.11.23.300	6,200	585	—	Tj	126	dug	1953	I	—	—
EPNG, Schwerfeger #4	S11b	31.10.10.300	6,230	162	—	Tj	100	dug	1953	I	—	—
EPNG, Riddle #10	S13	31.9.17.300	6,490	550	—	Tj	40	dug	1953	I	—	—
EPNG, Barrett #1	S14	31.9.19.000	6,560	517	—	Tj	55	dug	1952	I	—	—
EPNG, Barrett #2	S18	31.9.20.200	6,260	202	—	Tj	30	dug	—	I	—	—
Little Pump	S19	31.9.28.100	6,180	1109	51/2-76	Qal-Tj	—	—	—	S	—	—
EPNG, Schwerfeger #1	S16	31.9.27.300	6,080	120	—	Tj	25	dug	—	I	—	—
EPNG, Schwerfeger #2	S17	31.9.27.400	6,080	118	—	Tj	34	dug	1952	I	—	—
EPNG, Turner #1	S20	30.10.1.000	6,480	425	345/7	Tj	—	drd	—	—	—	—
EPNG, Florence #1	S22	30.10.24.200	6,280	293	—	Tj	—	drd	1953	I	—	—
EPNG, Barnes #1	N1	32.11.24.200	6,200	105	—	Tn	35	dug	1953	I	—	—
EPNG, Horton #1	N2	32.11.29.300	6,400	588	—	Tn	55	dug	1953	I	—	—
EPNG, Noel #6	N3	32.11.3.200	6,150	321	—	Tn	48	dug	1953	I	—	—
N.M. Port of Entry	N4	32.10.16.400	5,680	750	51/3-75	Tn	—	—	—	—	—	—
M. Randallson	N5	31.11.24.300	5,700	173	7/9-74	Tn	—	drd	—	—	—	—
R. Pettigohn	N6	31.11.34.300	5,720	95	69/9-74	Tn	—	drd	1960	D	E	*
G. Salicio	N11	31.11.35.300	5,720	89	8/9-74	Tn	—	drd	1953	I	E	*
EPNG, Lucerne #1	N8	31.10.10.200	6,120	455	—	Tn	67	dug	1955	I	E	*
EPNG, Kelly	N10	31.10.14.300	6,250	555	—	Tn	28	dug	1954	I	E	*
EPNG, Riddle #20	N11	31.9.20.300	6,520	510	—	Tn	150	dug	1953	I	E	*
K. McCament	N13	31.9.17.100	5,575	143	24/9-74	Tn	—	drd	1954	I	E	*
Atlantic State #1	N14	30.10.2.100	6,360	520	—	Tn	55	dug	1954	I	E	*
B. Redding	N15	30.10.3.400	6,400	320	50/7	Tn	—	drd	1975	D	E	*
Hartman	N16	30.10.28.300	6,190	91/7	—	Tn	—	drd	—	S	W	—
EPNG, Riddle #1	N17	30.10.23.200	6,280	311	—	Tn	20	dug	1952	I	E	*
EPNG, Knickerbocker #1	N18	30.10.23.400	6,219	886	—	Tn	—	drd	1972	I	E	*
Slane Canyon	N19	30.10.27.100	6,180	396	53/9-75	Tn	—	drd	—	S	W	—
EPNG, Quilley #1	N20	30.9.6.300	6,320	396	—	Tn	37	dug	1953	I	E	*
EPNG, Wood River #1	N21	30.9.8.200	6,200	258	—	Tn	123	dug	—	I	E	*
R. Valencia	N22	30.9.35.300	5,620	30	24/10-74	Tn	—	drd	—	D,S	E	*
C. Pacheco	N23	29.9.3.300	5,600	40	13/10-74	Tn	—	drd	1960	—	—	—
F. Montoya	N24	29.9.6.400	5,630	38	22/10-74	Tn	—	drd	1962	D	E	*