NM OIL CONSERVATION

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 ARTESIA DISTRICT

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

APR 2 7 2017

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

RECEIVED

			Rel	ease Notifi	catio	on and C	orrective A	ction	 I				
NABI	7118-	6365 M PIERCE	OPERAT	al Report		Final Report							
Name of C RESOURC	ompany JII ES,LLC.	M PIERCE	OPERATOR x Initial Report ☐ Final Report Contact Buddy DeLong										
Address 1	1438 Lovir	ngton Hwy.	Telephone No. 575 513 0472										
Facility Na	me Ten	neco State E	Facility Type Oil and water storage/ Tank Battery										
L													
Surface Ov	vner Ne	w Mexico	New Mexico API No. 30-015-24904						904				
				LOCA	ATIC	N OF RE	LEASE						
Unit Letter D	Section 2	Township 19s	Range 29e	Feet from the 990	1	h/South Line NORTH	Feet from the 330	East/West Line WEST		County EDDY			
32.649225 Latitude 32.6942212133 Longitude 104.052947741 104.05295 NATURE OF RELEASE													
Type of Release OIL AND WATER							Volume of Release 26.72 BBLS Volume Recovered 20 BBLS +/-						
Source of Release OIL STORAGE TANK							and Hour of Date and Hour of Discovery						
Was Immediate Notice Given?							Occurrence4/17/17 5:30 PM 4//1/17 @ 5:30 Pm If YES, To Whom?						
		[]	Yes [] No 🔲 Not R	equirec	4/18/2017 TONY MORALES							
By Whom? BUDDY DELONG							Date and Hour 9:00 AM						
Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☑ No						If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.							
If - W-t	I	pacted, Descr											
REMOVA	L OF OLD		GE TANK				T CAUSING LEA	.K. REC	COVERED	FLUID AN	D REM	MOVED	
regulations a public health should their or the enviro	Il operators or the environment operations homent. In a	are required tronment. The lave failed to	o report ar acceptance adequately OCD accep	nd/or file certain ince of a C-141 report investigate and incertain incertains and incertain incertains and incertain incertain incertains and incertain incertains and incertain incertains and incertain inc	release ort by the remedia	notifications a he NMOCD mate contamination	knowledge and und perform correct arked as "Final Reform that pose a three the operator of r	tive acti eport" de eat to gr	ons for rele oes not reli ound water	eases which leve the oper s, surface wa	may en ator of ter, hu	ndanger f liability man health	
1 Ar A OV							OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION						
Signature: R Very S							Annual Designation of the Control of						
Printed Nam	e: H.E. BU	Approved by Environmental Specialist, les Sensescen											
Title: M	EMBER/ M	Approval Da	1.4/28/1	1 I	Expiration	Date: N	IA						
E-mail Addr	ess: buddy_	delong@msn	.com			Conditions or	~	1	À	Attached	X		
Date: April 27, 2017 Phone: 575 513 0472 See attached													
Attach Addi	tional Shee	ets If Necess	ary							2	LRP	-4192	

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on $\frac{4/27/17}{200}$ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number $\frac{200-4193}{200}$ has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold
OCD Environmental Bureau Chief
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
505-476-3465
jim.griswold@state.nm.us