SITE REMEDIAL PROPOSAL

CONOCOPHILLIPS STATE E LEASE EPI REF: #150010 NMOCD: 1RP#1183

UL-I (NE¼ OF THE SE¼) OF SECTION 20, T 22 S, R 36 E ~8.2 MILES WEST- SOUTHWEST OF EUNICE, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO LATITUDE: N 32° 22' 31.75" LONGITUDE: W 103° 16' 44.61"

MARCH 2007

PREPARED BY:

Environmental Plus, Inc. 2100 Avenue O Eunice, New Mexico 88231

PREPARED FOR:

ConocoPhillips

Distribution List

ł

Site Remedial Proposal

ConocoPhillips State E Lease

NMOCD Ref. 1RP#1183; EPI Ref. #150010

e-mail	larry.johnson@state.nm.us	Jesse.A.Sosa@conocophillips.com	c-john.coy@conocophillips.com		dduncan@envplus.net
Mailing Address	1625 N. French Drive Hobbs, New Mexico 88240	ConocoPhillips 1410 N. West County Road Hobbs, New Mexico 88240	ConocoPhillips 1410 N. West Country Road Hobbs, New Mexico 88240	Millard Deck Estate c/o Harding and Carbone, Inc. 3903 Bellaire Blvd. Houston Tx. 77025	2100 Avenue 'O' P.O. Box 1558 Eunice, NM 88231
Company or Agency	New Mexico Oil Conservation Division- Hobbs	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	1	Environmental Plus, Inc.
Title	Environmental Engineer	HES Champion	Operations Supervisor	Property Owner	1
Name	Larry Johnson	Jesse Sosa	C. John Coy	Millard Deck Estate	File

STANDARD OF CARE

Site Remedial Proposal ConocoPhillips - State E Lease (NMOCD Ref. #1RP-1183; EPI Ref. #150010)

The information provided in this report was collected consistent with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases* (August 13, 1993), the NMOCD *Unlined Surface Impoundment Closure Guidelines* (February, 1993) and Environmental Plus, Inc. (EPI) *Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan.* The conclusions are based on field observations and laboratory analytical reports as presented in the report. Recommendations follow NMOCD guidance and represent the professional opinions of EPI staff. These opinions were derived using currently accepted geologic, hydrogeologic and engineering practices at this time and location. The report was prepared or reviewed by a certified or registered professional with a background in engineering, environmental and/or natural sciences.

This report was prepared by:

David P. Duncan Civil Engineer

This report was reviewed by:

Jason Stegemoller Environmental Scientist Date

Date

Table of Contents

1.0	Project Synopsis	iv
2.0	Site and Release Information	1
3.0	NMOCD Site Ranking	2
4.0	Excavation Soil Information	3
5.0	Sampling Information	4
6.0	Analytical Results	5
7.0	Discussion	6
8.0	Conclusion and Recommendations	7

FIGURES

Figure 1: Area Map Figure 2: Site Location Map Figure 3: Site Map Figure 4: Soil Boring Location Map

TABLES

Table 1: Well Data Table 2: Summary of Soil Boring Soil Sample Field Analyses and Laboratory Analytical Results

APPENDICES

Appendix I: Laboratory Analytical Reports and Chain-of-Custody Forms Appendix II: Project Photographs Appendix III: Soil Boring Logs Appendix IV: Copy of Initial NMOCD Form C-141

1.0 PROJECT SYNOPSIS

Site Specific:

- Company Name: ConocoPhillips
- Facility Name: State E Lease
- Project Reference: NMOCD Ref. #1RP-1183; EPI Ref #150010
- Company Contact(s): John Abney
- Site Location: WGS84 N32° 22' 31.75"; W103° 16' 44.61"
- ◆ Legal Description: Unit Letter-I, (NE¼ of the SE¼), Section 20, T 22 S, R 36 E
- General Description: Approximately 8.2-miles west-southwest of Eunice, New Mexico
- *Elevation:* ~3,536-ft amsl
- ♦ Land Ownership: Land- Millard Deck Estate; Minerals-State of New Mexico
- ◆ EPI Personnel: Project Consultant David P. Duncan

Release Specific:

- **Product Released:** Produced water
- Volume Released: ~88-bbls
- *Release Source*: Spill release from a produced water polypropylene pipeline
- ♦ Initial Surface Area Affected: ~ 1,600 square feet

Remediation Specific:

• Final Vertical extent of contaminates: ~ 5-feet bgs (based on analytical data from soil borings)

♦ Volume Recovered: Zero

- Water wells within 1,000-ft: None
- Private domestic water sources within 200-ft: None
- Depth to Ground Water: >100-ft bgs
- Surface water bodies within 1,000-ft: None
- *NMOCD Site Ranking Index:* Zero (0) points (>100-ft to top of water table and >1,000-ft from water source)
- Remedial goals for Soil: TPH 5,000 mg/Kg; BTEX 50 mg/Kg; Benzene 10 mg/Kg; Chloride residuals may not be capable of impacting groundwater above NMWQCC groundwater standards of 250 mg/Kg.
- **RCRA Waste Classification:** Exempt
- Remediation Option Proposed: a) Impacted soil above NMOCD remedial threshold goals will be excavated and transported to a State approved disposal facility; b) conduct laboratory analyses to confirm removal of soil impacted above NMOCD remedial threshold goals in sidewalls and bottom of the excavation; c) if necessary, isolate residual chlorides in excavation bottom with a compacted clay or polyethylene barrier; d) backfill excavation with caliche to within two-feet (2') of original ground surface and remainder with clean topsoil ; e) grade area to allow natural drainage; f) seed area with a blend preferred by the land owner
- *Treatment/Disposal Facility:* Impacted soil will be transported to a State approved disposal facility
- Volume disposed: Not Applicable
- Project Completion Date: Ongoing

2.0 SITE AND RELEASE INFORMATION

- 2.1 Describe the land use and pertinent geographic features within 1,000 feet of the site. Surface rights for the land surrounding the release site are owned by the Millard Deck Estate and mineral rights are owned by the State of New Mexico. The area is an established oil field with pump jacks, tank batteries, pipelines, lease roads and other petroleum related facilities. The surrounding land is also used for livestock grazing.
- 2.2 Identify and describe the source or suspected source(s) of the release. Produced water release from a three inch (3") diameter polypropylene pipeline
- 2.3 What was the volume of the release? (if known): ~88 barrels of produced water
- 2.4 What was the volume recovered? (if known): Zero (0) barrels
- 2.5 When did the release occur? (if known): Date-7-April-2005

2.6 Geological Description

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) Ground-Water Report 6, "Geology and Ground-Water Conditions in Southern Lea County, New Mexico," A. Nicholson and A. Clebsch, 1961, describes the near surface geology of southern Lea County as "an intergrade of the Quaternary Alluvium (QA) sediments (i.e., fine to medium sand, with the mostly eroded Cenozoic Ogallala (CO) formation). Typically, the QA and CO formations in the area are capped by a thick interbed of caliche and generally overlain by sandy soil."

The release site is located in the Eunice Plains physiographic subdivision, described by Nicholson & Clebsch as an area "underlain by a hard caliche surface and is entirely covered by reddish-brown dune sand." The thickness of sand cover ranges from 2 to 5 feet in most areas to as much as 20-30 feet in drift areas.

2.7 Ecological Description

The site is located in the Eunice Plains physiographic subdivision. Vegetation consists of semi-desert grasslands interspersed with Honey Mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*), annual and perennial forbs. Mammals represented include Orrd's and Merriam's Kangaroo Rats, Deer Mouse, White Throated Wood Rat, Cottontail Rabbit, Black Tailed Jackrabbit, Mule Deer, Bobcat, Red Fox and Coyote. Reptiles, amphibians, and birds are numerous and typical of area. A survey of *Listed*, *Threatened*, or *Endangered* species was not conducted.

2.8 Area Groundwater

The unconfined groundwater aquifer at this site is projected to be >100-ft bgs based on water depth data obtained from the New Mexico State Engineers Office and United States Geological Survey data base (reference *Table 2*).

2.9 Area Water Wells

No public water supply wells are located within 1,000-feet of the release site. In addition, no private domestic fresh water wells or springs used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes exist within 200-feet of the release site (reference *Table 1* and *Figure 2*).

2.10 Area Surface Water Features

No surface water features exist within 1,000 feet of the release site (reference Figure 2).

3.0 <u>NMOCD SITE RANKING</u>

Contaminant delineation and remedial work done at this site indicate chemical parameters of the soil and physical parameters of the groundwater were consistent with the characterization and remediation/abatement goals and objectives set forth in the following New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) publications:

- Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases (August 13, 1993)
- <u>Unlined Surface Impoundment Closure Guidelines (February, 1993)</u>
- Pit and Below-Grade Tank Guidelines (November, 2004)

Acceptable thresholds for contaminants/constituents of concern (CoC) were determined based on the NMOCD Ranking Criteria as follows:

- Depth to Groundwater (i.e., distance from the lower most acceptable concentration to groundwater);
- Wellhead Protection Area (i.e., distance from fresh water supply wells);
- Distance to Surface Water Body (i.e., horizontal distance to all down gradient surface water bodies).

Based on the proximity of the site to protectable area water wells, surface water bodies and depth to groundwater from the lower most contamination, the NMOCD ranking score for the site is Zero (0) points with the soil remedial goals highlighted in the Site Ranking table presented below:

1. GROI	UNDWATER	2. WELLHEAD	PROTECTION AREA	3. DISTANCE TO SURFACE WATER				
Depth to GW <5	50 feet: 20 points	If <1.000' from wat	ter source, or <200' from	<200 horizontal feet: 0 points				
Depth to GW 50 10 points) to 99 feet:	private domestic v	vater source: 20 points	200-1,0	00 horizontal feet: 10 points			
Depth to GW >1	100 feet: 0 points	If >1,000' from wat private domestic v	er source, or >200' from vater source: <i>0 points</i>	>1,000 horizontal feet: <i>0 points</i>				
Site Rank (1+2+	·3) = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 p	ooints						
	Total Site	Ranking Score and	Ranking Score and Acceptable Remedial Goal Concentrations					
Parameter	20 (or >	10	T	0			
Benzene ¹	10 p	pm	10 ppm		10 ppm			
BTEX ¹	50 p	pm	50 ppm		50 ppm			
ТРН	100 (ppm	1,000 ppm		5,000 ppm			

A field soil vapor headspace measurement of 100 ppm can be substituted in lieu of laboratory analyses for benzene and BTEX.

4.0 EXCAVATED SOIL INFORMATION

4.1 Was soil excavated for off-site treatment or disposal? Date excavated: Not applicable

🗌 Yes 🛛 No

Total volume removed: Not applicable

4.2 Indicated soil treatment type:

 Disposal

 Land Treatment

 Composting/Biopiling

 Other ()

Name and location of treatment/disposal facility: Impacted soil will be disposed at a State approved disposal facility.

5.0 <u>SAMPLING INFORMATION</u>

5.1 Briefly describe the field screening methods used to distinguish contaminated from uncontaminated soil.

During the advancement of two (2) soil borings (BH-1 and BH-2), soil samples were collected at five foot (5-ft) intervals. Soil samples were analyzed in the field for organic vapor and chloride concentrations utilizing the methods described below:

Organic Vapor Concentrations – A portion of each soil sample was inserted into a selfsealing polyethylene bag to allow for volatilization of organic vapors. After the samples equilibrated to $\sim 70^{\circ}$ F, they were analyzed for organic vapor concentrations utilizing a MiniRae® Photoionization Detector (PID) equipped with a 10.6 electron volt (eV) lamp.

Chloride Concentrations – A LaMotte Chloride Test Kit was used for analyses of chloride concentrations.

Soil samples collected during the excavation of impacted material will be analyzed for organic vapor and chloride concentrations utilizing the methods as described above.

5.2 Briefly describe the soil analytical sampling and handling procedures used.

Soil samples were collected during the advancement of two (2) soil borings utilizing a hollow core drill. Soil samples were collected at five foot (5-ft) intervals from original ground surface to total depth (TD) of each respective boring hole.

A portion of each soil sample collected was immediately put into laboratory containers, appropriately labeled and placed on ice for submittal to an independent laboratory for quantification of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX) and chloride concentrations. The remaining portion of each sample was analyzed in the field for chloride and organic vapor concentrations utilizing methods described in Section 5.0, *Sampling Information*, Subsection 5.1.

5.3 Discuss sample locations and provide rationale for their locations.

From April 19 through April 27, 2005, two (2) soil borings were advanced to varying depths within the confines of the release area to delineate vertical extent of contamination (reference *Figure 4*). Soil boring hole BH-1 was advanced to a total depth (TD) of sixty-five feet (65-ft). Soil samples were collected at ground surface and at five foot (5-ft) intervals thereafter to delineate the vertical extent of soil contamination. Locale for BH-1 was chosen to be within close proximity of the point of release which should contain elevated concentration of contaminants (reference Appendix III, *Soil Boring Logs*).

Soil boring hole BH-2, located approximately twenty-five feet (25-ft) south of BH-1, was advanced to a total depth (TD) of thirty-five feet (35-ft). Soil samples were collected at ground surface and at five foot (5-ft) intervals thereafter to delineate vertical extent of contamination. Locale for BH-2 was chosen to indicate lateral as well as vertical extent of the impacted area (reference Appendix III, *Soil Boring Logs*).

6.0 <u>ANALYTICAL RESULTS</u>

6.1 Describe the vertical and horizontal extent and magnitude of soil contamination.

Laboratory analyses of soil samples collected on the surface area prior to advancement of soil boring hole BH-1 indicated concentrations of BTEX at 18.7 mg/Kg, TPH at 4,140 mg/Kg and chloride at 37,000 mg/Kg. TPH concentrations exceeded NMOCD threshold goals of 5,000 mg/Kg while chloride concentrations exceeded remedial threshold goals of 250 mg/Kg. Analysis of soil samples collected at five feet (5-ft) below ground surface (bgs) indicated concentrations of BTEX and TPH were at or below laboratory analytical method detection limits (MDL). Laboratory analyses of BTEX and TPH concentrations were not conducted in the intervals of ten feet (10-ft) to sixty-five feet (65-ft) as field analyses of organic vapor concentrations were non-detectable. However, during these intervals chloride concentrations ranged from 294 mg/Kg (10-ft bgs) to 1,070 mg/Kg (65-ft bgs) (reference *Figure 4* and *Table 2*).

Laboratory analyses of soil samples collected on the surface prior to advancement of soil boring hole BH-2 indicated concentrations of BTEX at 0.103 mg/Kg, TPH at 18,100 mg/Kg and chloride at 1,030 mg/Kg. TPH and chloride concentrations exceeded NMOCD remedial threshold goals of 5,000 mg/Kg and remedial threshold goals of 250 mg/Kg, respectively. BTEX concentrations were below NMOCD threshold goals of 50 mg/Kg. Analyses of soil samples collected at five feet (5-ft) bgs indicated concentrations of BTEX and TPH were at or below laboratory analytical method detection limits (MDL). Laboratory analyses for BTEX and TPH were not conducted in the intervals of ten feet (10-ft) bgs to thirty-five feet (35-ft) bgs as field analyses of organic vapor concentrations were non-detectable. Chloride concentrations during these intervals ranged from 431 mg/Kg (10-ft bgs) to 717 mg/Kg (15-ft bgs) exceeding remedial threshold goals of 250 mg/Kg (reference *Figure 4* and *Table 2*).

In reviewing analytical data in *Table 2*, the vertical extent of soil impacted with BTEX and TPH constituents exists from ground surface to approximately five feet (5-ft) bgs. Chloride concentrations of concern extended from ground surface to sixty-five feet (65-ft) bgs. Horizontal extent of BTEX, TPH and chloride contamination is uniform in the interval between the two (2) soil borings. A background soil sample collected in the vicinity near the release area indicated chloride concentration at 320 mg/Kg. This indicates natural soil in vicinity of the release area may have elevated concentrations of chloride.

Is surface soil contamination present at the site (i.e., soil in the uppermost two feet that is visibly stained, contaminated at greater than 10 ppm (PID) or hydrocarbon saturated)?

🛛 yes 🗌 no

If yes, attach a site map identifying extent(s) of surface soil contamination.

Figure 4 shows the two (2) soil boring holes locales within the confines of the contaminated area. The surface area contains high concentrations of BTEX, TPH and chloride. Staining of soil by these contaminants is noticeable in photographs of the release area (reference Appendix II, *Project Photographs*).

7.0 **DISCUSSION**

7.1 Discuss the risks associated with the remaining soil contamination:

Based on depth to groundwater (>100-ft bgs), chloride residuals in the soil should not be capable of impacting groundwater above NMWQCC Groundwater Standards of 250 mg/L. In the event concerns about possible contamination of groundwater exist, an impermeable barrier (i.e., compacted clay, PVC liner or equivalent) can be placed on bottom of the excavation to retard vertical migration of residual chlorides.

7.2 Discuss the risks associated with the impacted groundwater: Not Applicable

7.3 Discuss other concerns not mentioned above: Not Applicable

8.0 <u>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

8.1 **Recommendation for the site:**

Site Closure

Additional Groundwater Monitoring Corrective Action

- 8.2 Base the recommendation above on <u>Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and</u> <u>Releases (August 13, 1993)</u>. Describe below how you applied the policy to support your recommendation. If closure is recommended, please summarize significant site investigative events and describe how site specific risk issues have been adequately addressed or minimized to acceptable low risk levels. Not Applicable
- 8.3 If additional groundwater and monitoring is recommended, indicate the proposed monitoring schedule and frequency. Conduct quarterly monitoring until the NMOCD responds to this report. Not Applicable

8.4 If corrective action is recommended, provide a conceptual approach.

A review of *Table 2* indicates soil is contaminated from original ground surface to approximately five feet (5-ft) bgs. Recommended remedial activity for this site is excavation of the entire visible contaminated surface area (~ 1.600 ft²) to a depth of five feet (5-ft) plus two feet (2-ft) below bottom of existing produced waterline. During the course of excavation, soil samples collected at random locations will be analyzed in the field for chloride and volatile organic vapors concentrations per analytical methods described in Section 5, Sampling Information, under Subsection 5.1. Areas showing concentrations in excess of remedial threshold goals for either contaminant, especially sidewalls, will be excavated until the area comes into compliance. Upon completion of excavation to the desired depth (~5-ft bgs), soil samples collected from sidewalls and bottom will be analyzed in the field for chloride and organic vapor concentrations. With field verification of organic vapors and chloride concentrations below NMOCD remedial threshold goals for this site, soil samples will be collected at random locations from sidewalls and bottom of the excavated area with submittal to an independent laboratory for analyses of BTEX, TPH and chloride concentrations. Upon receipt of laboratory analytical results indicating contaminants are below NMOCD remedial threshold goals, backfilling of the excavated area will commence.

Restoration of the excavated area will consist of backfilling with caliche to within two feet (2-ft) of original ground surface and clean top soil in the upper two foot (2-ft) section. The produced water polypropylene pipeline will be enveloped in bedding sand for protection and isolation from the caliche backfill. Although chloride concentrations are elevated from a vertical depth of five feet (5-ft) to sixty-five feet (65-ft) bgs, migration of this contaminant to groundwater (>100-ft bgs) is not anticipated. In the event concerns are raised about this occurrence, an impermeable barrier (i.e., compacted clay, PVC liner or equivalent) can be installed in the bottom of the excavation to mitigate the possibility of groundwater contamination.

After completion of backfill operations, the entire disturbed area will be graded to allow natural drainage. The disturbed area is to be seeded with a grass blend preferred by the property owner.

FIGURES







ļ



TABLES

TABLE 1 <u>Well Data</u>

Well Number	Diversion ^A	Owner	Use	Twsp	Rng	Sec q q q	Latitude	Longitude	Date Measured	Surface Elevation ^B	Depth to Water
					_						(ft bgs)
CP 000702	3	MCVAY DRILLING CO.	STK	22S	36E	16 1 2 2	N32° 23' 42.95"	W103° 16' 26.28"	05-Oct-72	3,565	170
USGS #1				22S	36E	16 211			15-Feb-96	3,549	175.28
USGS #2				22S	36E	16 211			07-Mar-86	3,549	174.09
USGS #3				22S	36E	17 141			03-Dec-70	3,565	484.06

^A = in acre feet per annum ^B = Elevation interpolated from USGS topographical map based on referenced location STK = 72-12-1 Livestock watering quarters are 1=NW, 2=NE, 3=SW, 4=SE; quarters are biggest to smallest Shaded areas indicate wells not shown on Figure 2

TABLE 2

Summary of Soil Boring Soil Sample Field Analyses and Laboratory Analytical Results

ConocoPhillips

State E Lease

NMOCD 1RP-#1183; EPI Ref. #150010

Chloride (mg/Kg)	37,000	241	294	576	809	529	577	165	446	305	389	461	718	1,070
Total TPH (mg/Kg)	5,190	<20.0	1	1	1	1	1	١	1	1	١	1	}	1
TPH (as diesel) (mg/Kg)	4,140	<10.0	1	1	1	1	1	I		1	1	1	1	ł
TPH (as gasoline) (mg/Kg)	1050	<10.0	I	1	1	I	1	I	1	1	ł	J	I	I
Total BTEX (mg/Kg)	26.5	<0.1250	1	I	I	1	1	I	•	I	I	-	I	I
Total Xylenes (mg/Kg)	18.7	<0.0500	1	1		I	:	;	1	1	1	ł	ł	1
Ethylbenzene (mg/Kg)	4.55	<0.0250	1	;	1	1	1	1	ı	I	1	:	1	1
Toluene (mg/Kg)	2.51	<0.0250	I		;	1	1	1	I	ł	ł	I	1	1
Benzene (mg/Kg)	0.749	<0.0250	1	ł	1	-	;	I	I	ł		1	;	1
Field Chloride Analyses (mg/Kg)	12,000	480	400	560	720	720	640	560	480	400	480	480	800	1,200
PID Field Analysis (ppm)	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sample Date	19-Apr-05	19-Apr-05	19-Apr-05	19-Apr-05	19-Apr-05	19-Apr-05	26-Apr-05	27-Apr-05						
Soil Status	In-Situ	In-situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ	In-Situ
Depth (feet)	Surface	S	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65
Sample I.D.	BH-1 (surface)	BH-1 (5')	BH-1 (10')	BH-1 (15')	BH-1 (20')	BH-I (25')	BH-1 (30')	BH-1 (35')	BH-1 (40')	BH-1 (45')	BH-1 (50')	BH-1 (55')	BH-1 (60')	BH-1 (65')

TABLE 2

Summary of Soil Boring Soil Sample Field Analyses and Laboratory Analytical Results

ConocoPhillips

State E Lease

NMOCD 1RP-#1183; EPI Ref. #150010

Chloride (mg/Kg)	1,030	174	431	717	539	580	479	526	22	250 ¹
Total TPH (mg/Kg)	18,501	<20.0	;	I	1	1	1	I	1	5,000
TPH (as dicsel) (mg/Kg)	18,100	J [6.55}	1	ł	I	I	I	I	1	
TPH (as gasoline) (mg/Kg)	401	<10.0	ì	1	I	I	I	1	I	
Total BTEX (mg/Kg)	0.103	<0.1250	1	;	1	:	I	1	:	50
Total Xylenes (mg/Kg)	0.029	<0.0500	1	ł	1	1	1	ł	1	
Ethylbenzene (mg/Kg)	0.0368	<0.0250	I	1	ł	1	ł	I	1	
Toluene (mg/Kg)	0.0369	<0.0250	1	ł	1	ł	1	I	I	
Benzene (mg/Kg)	<0.0250	<0.0250	1	1		-	1	1	1	10
Field Chloride Analyses (mg/Kg)	12,000	320	560	800	560	560	560	560	320	
PID Field Analysis (ppm)	4.9	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100
Sample Date	27-Apr-05	27-Apr-05	27-Apr-05	27-Apr-05	27-Apr-05	27-Apr-05	27-Apr-05	27-Apr-05	19-Apr-05	Goals
Soil Status	In-Situ	In-situ	In-situ	In-situ	In-situ	In-situ	In-situ	In-situ	In-situ	dial Threshold
Depth (feet)	Surface	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	Surface	OCD Reme
Sample I.D.	BH-2 (surface)	BH-2 (5')	BH-2 (10')	BH-2 (15')	BH-2 (20')	BH-2 (25')	BH-2 (30')	BH-2 (35')	Background	NN

excess of NMOCD Remediation Thresholds Bolded values are in

¹ Chloride and sulfate residuals may not be capable of impacting groundwater above NMWQCC Groundwater Standards of 250 mg/L and 600 mg/L, respectively J = Detected, but below Reporting Limits. Therefore, result ia an estimated concentration (CLP J-Flag)

-- = Not Analyzed ND = Not Detected BH = Boring Hole

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY FORM



Analytical Report

Prepared for:

Iain Olness Environmental Plus, Incorporated P.O. Box 1558 Eunice, NM 88231

Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Location: None Given

Lab Order Number: 5D29014

Report Date: 05/05/05

Environmental Plus, Incorporated	Project:	Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease
P.O. Box 1558	Project Number:	150010
Eunice NM, 88231	Project Manager:	Iain Olness

Fax: 505-394-2601

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
BH-1 (Surface)	5D29014-01	Soil	04/19/05 09:27	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (5')	5D29014-02	Soil	04/19/05 09:32	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (10')	5D29014-03	Soil	04/19/05 11:36	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (15')	5D29014-04	Soil	04/19/05 12:58	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (20')	5D29014-05	Soil	04/19/05 13:38	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (25')	5D29014-06	Soil	04/19/05 15:30	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (30')	5D29014-07	Soil	04/26/05 08:40	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (35')	5D29014-08	Soil	04/26/05 09:37	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (40')	5D29014-09	Soil	04/26/05 10:20	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (45')	5D29014-10	Soil	04/26/05 11:27	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (50')	5D29014-11	Soil	04/26/05 12:44	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (55')	5D29014-12	Soil	04/26/05 14:59	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (60')	5D29014-13	Soil	04/26/05 16:10	04/29/05 14:10
BH-1 (65')	5D29014-14	Soil	04/27/05 09:00	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (Surface)	5D29014-15	Soil	04/27/05 10:15	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (5')	5D29014-16	Soil	04/27/05 10:39	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (10')	5D29014-17	Soil	04/27/05 11:06	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (15')	5D29014-18	Soil	04/27/05 11:18	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (20')	5D29014-19	Soil	04/27/05 11:45	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (25')	5D29014-20	Soil	04/27/05 12:31	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (30')	5D29014-21	Soil	04/27/05 12:44	04/29/05 14:10
BH-2 (35')	5D29014-22	Soil	04/27/05 14:59	04/29/05 14:10
Background	5D29014-23	Soil	04/19/05 00:00	04/29/05 14:10

Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Project Manager: Iain Olness

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

Organics by GC

Environmental Lab of Texas

		Reporting							
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
BH-1 (Surface) (5D29014-01) Soil									
Benzene	0.749	0.100	mg/kg dry	100	EE50202	04/29/05	05/02/05	EPA 8021B	
Toluene	2.51	0.100	н	· n	**	n	"	11	
Ethylbenzene	4.55	0.100	"		"	*1	н	n	
Xylene (p/m)	13.8	0.100	"	"	"	"	14		
Xylene (o)	4.89	0.100	"	"	"		"	n 	
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene		153 %	80-1	120	"	"	"	"	S-04
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		132 %	80-1	120	"	"	"	"	S-04
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	1050	10.0	mg/kg dry	1	ED52904	04/29/05	04/29/05	EPA 8015M	
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	4140	10.0	11		n	"		n	
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	5190	10.0	"		"	N	"	n 	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane		114 %	70-1	130	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane		71.0 %	70-1	130	"	"	"	"	
BH-1 (5') (5D29014-02) Soil									
Benzene	ND	0.0250	mg/kg dry	25	EE50306	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 8021B	
Toluene	ND	0.0250	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0250	"		"	"	"	"	
Xylene (p/m)	ND	0.0250	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Xylene (o)	ND	0.0250	"	"	n	**	"	"	
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene		85.6 %	80-1	120	"	"		"	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		91.0 %	80-1	120	"	"	"	"	
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	ND	10.0	mg/kg dry	1	EE50205	05/02/05	05/02/05	EPA 8015M	
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	ND	10.0	"		n	н	"	и	
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	ND	10.0	"	"	"	**	11	"	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane		82.2 %	70-1	130	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane		74.2 %	70-1	130	"	"	"	"	
BH-2 (Surface) (5D29014-15) Soil									
Benzene	ND	0.0250	mg/kg dry	25	EE50202	04/29/05	05/02/05	EPA 8021B	
Toluene	0.0369	0.0250	"	"	"	"	"	**	
Ethylbenzene	0.0368	0.0250	н	"	н	"	11	"	
Xylene (p/m)	0.0997	0.0250	**	"	"	"	11	**	
Xylene (o)	0.0294	0.0250	"	и	и	"	14	H	
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene		83.8 %	80-1	120	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		85.3 %	80-1	120	"	"	"	"	
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	401	50.0	mg/kg dry	5	ED52904	04/29/05	04/29/05	EPA 8015M	
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	18100	50.0	n	"	11	"	**	*1	
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	18500	50.0		··· ··· ···	""	"	"	W	

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

12600 West I-20 East - Odessa, Texas 79705 - (432) 563-1800 - Fax (432) 563-1713

Environmental Plus, Incorporated P.O. Box 1558 Eunice NM, 88231 Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Project Manager: Iain Olness

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

Organics by GC

Environmental Lab of Texas

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
BH-2 (Surface) (5D29014-15) Soil									
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane		11.6 %	70-13	0	ED52904	04/29/05	04/29/05	EPA 8015M	S-06
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane		13.0 %	70-13	0	"	"	"	"	S-06
BH-2 (5') (5D29014-16) Soil									
Benzene	ND	0.0250	mg/kg dry	25	EE50306	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 8021B	
Toluene	ND	0.0250	"		11	11	"		
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0250	"	**	"	w	**	**	
Xylene (p/m)	ND	0.0250	"	11		"	"	"	
Xylene (o)	ND	0.0250		"	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene		85.0 %	80-120	0	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		94.3 %	80-12	0	"	"	"	"	
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	ND	10.0	mg/kg dry	1	EE50205	05/02/05	05/02/05	EPA 8015M	
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	J [6.55]	10.0	н	"	11	"	"	"	J
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	ND	10.0	"	**	**	11	"	11	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane		81.0 %	70-13	0	"	"	"	"	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane		73.2 %	70-130	0	"	"	"	"	

Environmental Lab of Texas

Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Project Manager: Iain Olness

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

General Chemistry Parameters by EPA / Standard Methods

Environmental Lab of Texas

Analyte	Recult	Reporting	Unite	Dituite	Datel	Duor 4	A.n1	Mathe	N
BH-1 (Surface) (5D29014-01) Soil	ксэші 		Onits	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Chlorida	37000	5000	ma/kg	10000	EE60202	05/02/05		FPA 300.0	
% Moisture	12.5	0.1	т <u>е</u> /к <u>е</u> %	10000	EE50303	05/02/05	05/02/05	% calculation	
		011		-	2200200	0 11 2 2 7 0 3	00702703		
BH-1 (5') (5D29014-02) Soil									
Chloride	241	10.0	mg/kg	20	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
% Moisture	11.8	0.1	%	1	EE50301	05/02/05	05/03/05	% calculation	
BH-1 (10') (5D29014-03) Soil									
Chloride	294	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (15') (5D29014-04) Soil								_	
Chloride	576	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (20') (5D29014-05) Soil									
Chloride	608	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (25') (5D29014-06) Soil									
Chloride	529	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	<u></u>
BH-1 (30') (5D29014-07) Soil									
Chloride	577	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (35') (5D29014-08) Soil									
Chloride	591	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (40') (5D29014-09) Soil									
Chloride	446	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	·
BH-1 (45') (5D29014-10) Soil									
Chloride	305	10.0	mg/kg	20	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	

Environmental Lab of Texas

Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Project Manager: Iain Olness

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

General Chemistry Parameters by EPA / Standard Methods

Environmental Lab of Texas

				·····					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Propagad	Analyzed	Method	Notes
BH-1 (50') (5D29014-11) Soil									
Chloride	389	20.0	mg/kg	40	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (55') (5D29014-12) Soil									
Chloride	461	20.0	mg/kg	40	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (60') (5D29014-13) Soil									
Chloride	718	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-1 (65') (5D29014-14) Soil									
Chloride	1070	50.0	mg/kg	100	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-2 (Surface) (5D29014-15) Soil									
Chloride	1030	50.0	mg/kg	100	EE50303	05/02/05	05/02/05	EPA 300.0	
% Moisture	0.7	0.1	%	1	EE50206	04/29/05	05/02/05	% calculation	
BH-2 (5') (5D29014-16) Soil									
Chloride	174	10.0	mg/kg	20	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
% Moisture	12.1	0.1	%	1	EE50301	05/02/05	05/03/05	% calculation	
BH-2 (10') (5D29014-17) Soil									
Chloride	431	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-2 (15') (5D29014-18) Soil									
Chloride	717	50.0	mg/kg	100	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-2 (20') (5D29014-19) Soil									
Chloride	539	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-2 (25') (5D29014-20) Soil									
Chloride	580	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	

Environmental Lab of Texas

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

General Chemistry Parameters by EPA / Standard Methods

Environmental Lab of Texas

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
BH-2 (30') (5D29014-21) Soil									
Chloride	479	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
BH-2 (35') (5D29014-22) Soil									
Chloride	526	25.0	mg/kg	50	EE50409	05/03/05	05/03/05	EPA 300.0	
Background (5D29014-23) Soil									
Chloride	21.8	5.00	mg/kg	10	EE50303	05/02/05	05/02/05	EPA 300.0	

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

Page 6 of 14

12600 West I-20 East - Odessa, Texas 79705 - (432) 563-1800 - Fax (432) 563-1713

Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Project Manager: Iain Olness

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

Organics by GC - Quality Control

Environmental Lab of Texas

		Reporting	TT 10.	Spike	Source	0/DEC	%REC		RPD	N
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch ED52904 - Solvent Extraction (GC)										
Blank (ED52904-BLK1)				Prepared &	k Analyzed:	04/29/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	ND	10.0	mg/kg wet	~						
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	ND	10.0	**							
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	ND	10.0	н							
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	36.3		mg/kg	50.0		72.6	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	38.7		"	50.0		77.4	70-130			
LCS (ED52904-BS1)				Prepared &	k Analyzed:	04/29/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	430	10.0	mg/kg wet	500		86.0	75-125			
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	445	10.0		500		89.0	75-125			
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	875	10.0	Ħ	1000		87.5	75-125			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	35.6		mg/kg	50.0		71.2	70-130	4		
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	36.6		"	50.0		73.2	70-130			
Calibration Check (ED52904-CCV1)				Prepared &	Analyzed:	04/29/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	464		mg/kg	500		92.8	80-120			
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	519		17	500		104	80-120			
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	983		"	1000		98.3	80-120			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	46.2		"	50.0		92.4	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	37.3		"	50.0		74.6	70-130			
Matrix Spike (ED52904-MS1)	Sou	irce: 5D29001	1-01	Prepared &	Analyzed:	04/29/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	482	10.0	mg/kg dry	533	ND	90.4	75-125			
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	575	10.0	"	533	ND	108	75-125			
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	1060	10.0	"	1070	ND	99.1	75-125			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	44.0		mg/kg	50.0		88.0	70-130		- · ·	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	36.6		"	50.0		73.2	70-130			
Matrix Spike Dup (ED52904-MSD1)	Sou	trce: 5D29001	-01	Prepared &	Analyzed:	04/29/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	483	10.0	mg/kg dry	533	ND	90.6	75-125	0.207	20	
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	561	10.0	11	533	ND	105	75-125	2.46	20	
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	1040	10.0	и	1070	ND	97.2	75-125	1.90	20	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	42.7		mg/kg	50.0		85.4	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	36.2		"	50.0		724	70-130			

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

12600 West I-20 East - Odessa, Texas 79705 - (432) 563-1800 - Fax (432) 563-1713

Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Project Manager: Iain Olness

Reported:

05/05/05 11:47

Organics by GC - Quality Control

Environmental Lab of Texas

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Patch EE50202 EDA 5020C (CC)										
Datch EE50202 - EFA 5050C (GC)						0.4/0.0/0.7				
Blank (EE50202-BLK1)				Prepared &	2 Analyzed	: 04/29/05				
Benzene	ND	0.0250	mg/kg wet							
Toluene	ND	0.0250	"							
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0250	N	•						
Xylene (p/m)	ND	0.0250	**							
Xylene (o)	ND	0.0250	"							
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	94.7		ug/kg	100		94.7	80-120			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101		"	100		101	80-120			
LCS (EE50202-BS1)				Prepared &	k Analyzed	: 04/29/05				
Benzene	94.7		ug/kg	100		94.7	80-120			
Toluene	99.0		и	100		99.0	80-120			
Ethylbenzene	98.0		"	100		98.0	80-120			
Xylene (p/m)	220		"	200		110	80-120			
Xylene (0)	104		н	100		104	80-120			
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene			"	100			80-120			·
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	113		"	100		113	80-120			
Calibration Check (EE50202-CCV1)				Prepared: (04/29/05 A	nalyzed: 05	/02/05			
Benzene	89.0		ug/kg	100		89.0	80-120			
Toluene	92.0		"	100		92.0	80-120			
Ethylbenzene	90.0		и	100		90.0	80-120			
Xylene (p/m)	203		"	200		102	80-120			
Xylene (0)	98.4		**	100		98.4	80-120			
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trìfluorotoluene	105		"	100		105	80-120			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	111		"	100		111	80-120			
Matrix Spike (EE50202-MS1)	Sou	rce: 5D28002	2-05	Prepared: (04/29/05 A	nalyzed: 04	/30/05			
Benzene	2310		ug/kg	2500	ND	92.4	80-120			
Toluene	2340		n	2500	ND	93.6	80-120			
Ethylbenzene	2180		"	2500	ND	87.2	80-120			
Xylene (p/m)	4770		н	5000	47.5	94.4	80-120			
Xylene (0)	2150		n	2500	ND	86.0	80-120			
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	101		"	100		101	80-120	· · ·		- · · ·
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100		"	100		100	80-120			

Environmental Lab of Texas

Reported:

05/05/05 11:47

Organics by GC - Quality Control

Environmental Lab of Texas

Analyte	Dacult	Reporting	Unite	Spike	Source	%PFC	%REC	RDU	RPD Limit	Notes
	Nçodli					/antit				
Batch EE50202 - EPA 5030C (GC)								<u> </u>		
Matrix Spike Dup (EE50202-MSD1)	Sourc	e: 5D28002	!-05	Prepared: 0)4/29/05 Ai	nalyzed: 04	/30/05			
Benzene	2380		ug/kg	2500	ND	95.2	80-120	2.99	20	
Toluene	2440		"	2500	ND	97.6	80-120	4.18	20	
Ethylbenzene	2370		"	2500	ND	94.8	80-120	8.35	20	
Xylene (p/m)	5240		н	5000	47.5	104	80-120	9.68	20	
Xylene (0)	2410		11	2500	ND	96.4	80-120	11.4	20	
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	96.1			100		96.1	80-120			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	114		"	100		114	80-120			
Batch EE50205 - Solvent Extraction (GC))									
Blank (EE50205-BLK1)				Prepared &	: Analyzed:	05/02/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	ND	10.0	mg/kg wet							
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	ND	10.0	"							
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	ND	10.0	n							
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	38.5		mg/kg	50.0		77.0	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	37.4		"	50.0		74.8	70-130			
LCS (EE50205-BS1)				Prepared &	: Analyzed:	05/02/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	411	10.0	mg/kg wet	500		82.2	75-125			
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	444	10.0	**	500		88.8	75-125			
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	855	10.0	"	1000		85.5	75-125			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	35.7		mg/kg	50.0		71.4	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	39.8		"	50.0		79.6	70-130			
Calibration Check (EE50205-CCV1)				Prepared &	: Analyzed:	05/02/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	428		mg/kg	500		85.6	80-120			
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	520		11	500		104	80-120			
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	948			1000		94.8	80-120			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	46.4		и –	50.0		92.8	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	38.2		"	50.0		76.4	70-130			

Environmental Lab of Texas

Project: Conoco Phillips/ State E Lease Project Number: 150010 Project Manager: Iain Olness Fax: 505-394-2601

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

Organics by GC - Quality Control

Environmental Lab of Texas

Analyte	Paculi	Reporting	Inite	Spike	Source	%PEC	%REC	ppn	RPD Limit	Notes
Ratch FF50205 Solvent Extraction (C)	7)	Lunt	Onits	Level	Result	/0KEC	Linns		Linn	110105
Matrix Snike (EE50205-MS1)	- <i>J</i> Som	rce: 5E02003	2-01	Prenared &	analyzed	05/02/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	411	10.0	mo/ko dry		ND	81 7	75-125			
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	545	10.0	" "	503	ND	108	75-125			
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	956	10.0		1010	ND	94.7	75-125			
Surrayate: 1-Chlarooctane	40.7		maka			81.4	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	36.1		"	50.0		72.2	70-130			
Matrix Spike Dup (EE50205-MSD1)	Sou	-ce: 5E02002	2-01	Prepared &	k Analyzed:	05/02/05				
Gasoline Range Organics C6-C12	495	10.0	mg/kg dry	503	ND	98.4	75-125	18.5	20	· ··
Diesel Range Organics >C12-C35	523	10.0	"	503	ND	104	75-125	4.12	20	
Total Hydrocarbon C6-C35	1020	10.0	"	1010	ND	101	75-125	6.48	20	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	42.0		mg/kg	50.0		84.0	70-130			
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	35.8		n	50.0		71.6	70-130			
Batch EE50306 - EPA 5030C (GC)										
Blank (EE50306-BLK1)				Prepared &	Analyzed:	05/03/05				
Benzene	ND ND	0.0250	mg/kg wet							
Toluene	ND	0.0250	н							
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0250	*							
Xylene (p/m)	ND	0.0250	"							
Xylene (0)	ND	0.0250	11							
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	87.8	· · _ ·	ug/kg	100		87.8	80-120			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	94.7		"	100		94.7	80-120			
LCS (EE50306-BS1)				Prepared &	Analyzed:	05/03/05				
Benzenc	86.9		ug/kg	100		86.9	80-120			
Toluene	90.9		"	100		90.9	80-120			
Ethylbenzene	91.8		"	100		91.8	80-120			
Xylene (p/m)	208		"	200		104	80-120			
Xylene (o)	99.3		"	100		99.3	80-120			
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	104		"	100		104	80-120			· ·
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	117		"	100		117	80-120			

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

12600 West I-20 East - Odessa, Texas 79705 - (432) 563-1800 - Fax (432) 563-1713

Organics by GC - Quality Control

Environmental Lab of Texas

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch EE50306 - EPA 5030C (GC)										
Calibration Check (EE50306-CCV1)				Prepared: (nalyzed: 05	/04/05			
Benzene	86.1		ug/kg	100		86.1	80-120			
Toluene	87.3	4	"	100		87.3	80-120			
Ethylbenzene	82.6		"	100		82.6	80-120			
Xylene (p/m)	178		n	200		89.0	80-120			
Xylene (o)	85.5			100		85.5	80-120			
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	99.5		<i>"</i>	100		99.5	80-120			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	88.0		"	100		88.0	80-120			
Matrix Spike (EE50306-MS1)	Sou	rce: 5D29014-(02	Prepared: 0	05/03/05 Ai	nalyzed: 05	/04/05			
Benzene	90.6		ug/kg	100	ND	90.6	80-120			
Toluene	93.5		н	100	ND	93.5	80-120			
Ethylbenzene	93.6		**	100	ND	93.6	80-120			
Xylene (p/m)	211		н	200	ND	106	80-120			
Xylene (o)	101		"	100	ND	101	80-120			
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	101		"	100		101	80-120			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	106		"	100		106	80-120			
Matrix Spike Dup (EE50306-MSD1)	Sou	rce: 5D29014-(02	Prepared &	Analyzed:	05/03/05				
Benzene	83.2		ug/kg	100	ND	83.2	80-120	8.52	20	
Toluene	85.0		n	100	ND	85.0	80-120	9.52	20	
Ethylbenzene	82.2		"	100	ND	82.2	80-120	13.0	20	
Xylene (p/m)	182		"	200	ND	91.0	80-120	15.2	20	
Xylene (o)	88.5		n	100	ND	88.5	80-120	13.2	20	
Surrogate: a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	96.0		"	100		96.0	80-120			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	113		"	100		113	80-120			

Environmental Lab of Texas

Reported:

05/05/05 11:47

General Chemistry Parameters by EPA / Standard Methods - Quality Control

Environmental Lab of Texas

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch EE50206 - General Preparation (Prep)								<u> </u>		
Blank (EE50206-BLK1)				Prepared:	04/29/05 A	nalyzed: 05	02/05			
% Moisture	ND	0.1	%							
Duplicate (EE50206-DUP1)	Sou	rce: 5D29001-	01	Prepared: (04/29/05 A	nalyzed: 05	/02/05			
% Moisture	6.3	0.1	%		6.2			1.60	20	
Batch EE50301 - General Preparation (Prep)										
Blank (EE50301-BLK1)				Prepared: (05/02/05 A	nalyzed: 05	/03/05			
% Moisture	ND	0.1	%							,
Duplicate (EE50301-DUP1)	Sou	rce: 5E02002-	01	Prepared: (05/02/05 A	nalyzed: 05	/03/05			
% Moisture	0.5	0.1	%		0.5			0.00	20	
Batch EE50303 - Water Extraction										
Blank (EE50303-BLK1)				Prepared &	k Analyzed	: 05/02/05				
Chloride	ND	0.500	mg/kg							
LCS (EE50303-BS1)				Prepared 8	k Analyzed:	: 05/02/05				
Chloride	9.94		mg/L	10.0		99.4	80-120			
Calibration Check (EE50303-CCV1)				Prepared 8	k Analyzed:	05/02/05				
Chloride	10.9	,	mg/L	10.0		109	80-120			
Duplicate (EE50303-DUP1)	Sou	rce: 5D28007-	04	Prepared &	k Analyzed:	05/02/05				
Chloride	71.7	5.00	mg/kg		72.3			0.833	20	

Environmental Lab of Texas

Reported: 05/05/05 11:47

General Chemistry Parameters by EPA / Standard Methods - Quality Control

Environmental Lab of Texas

		Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch EE50409 - Water Extraction										
Blank (EE50409-BLK1)				Prepared &	Analyzed:	05/03/05				
Chloride	ND	0.500	mg/kg							
LCS (EE50409-BS1)				Prepared &	Analyzed:	05/03/05				
Chloride	10.3		mg/L	10.0		103	80-120			
Calibration Check (EE50409-CCV1)				Prepared &	Analyzed:	05/03/05				
Chloride	10.5		mg/L	10.0		105	80-120			
Duplicate (EE50409-DUP1)	Sou	rce: 5D29014-	-02	Prepared &	Analyzed:	05/03/05				
Chloride	217	10.0	mg/kg		241			10.5	20	

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

Page 13 of 14

12600 West I-20 East - Odessa, Texas 79705 - (432) 563-1800 - Fax (432) 563-1713

Notes and Definitions

- S-06 The recovery of this surrogate is outside control limits due to sample dilution required from high analyte concentration and/or matrix interference's.
- S-04 The surrogate recovery for this sample is outside of established control limits due to a sample matrix effect.
- J Detected but below the Reporting Limit; therefore, result is an estimated concentration (CLP J-Flag).
- DET Analyte DETECTED
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- NR Not Reported
- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- LCS Laboratory Control Spike
- MS Matrix Spike
- Dup Duplicate

Report Approved By:

Raland K Just Date:

5/5/2005

Raland K. Tuttle, Lab Manager Celey D. Keene, Lab Director, Org. Tech Director Peggy Allen, QA Officer Jeanne Mc Murrey, Inorg. Tech Director James L. Hawkins, Chemist/Geologist Sandra Sanchez, Lab Tech.

This material is intended only for the use of the individual (s) or entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and confidential.

If you have received this material in error, please notify us immediately at 432-563-1800.

Environmental Lab of Texas

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the samples received in the laboratory. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety, with written approval of Environmental Lab of Texas.

Page 14 of 14

12600 West I-20 East - Odessa, Texas 79705 - (432) 563-1800 - Fax (432) 563-1713

Environmental]	Labs of	Tey	Xa	Ø												•	<u>C</u>	in c	270	ISN	00	Но	EL.
12600 West I-20 East, Odessa (915) 563-1800 FAX: (915) 56	a, TX 79763 53-1713																						
Company Name En	vironmental	Plus, I	цс.							8							W	818	BE		15		
EPI Project Manager Iai	n Olness													F	┢─	-	┡				-	 	
Mailing Address P.(O. BOX 1558					r									****			-		indra ¢ey			
City, State, Zip Eu	Inice New Me	xico 8	823	-			ł.	Š	Ś	<u> </u>							-				-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	
EPI Phone#/Fax# 50	5-394-3481 / :	505-39	4-2	õ		r)	5	5	5					و برون المراجع		***					مستعري	
Client Company Co	onoco Philips																	*******		ر بر	ana na si		
Facility Name Sta	ate E Lease					r			Att	ы Ч	h	Abney			Accesce.								**********
Project Reference 15	0010							4	10 V	Vest	ŝ	inty Road,			*******		Andre San			nin din din d	-		
EPI Sampler Name Ma	anuel Gonzale	Sé							Ho	obs,	NN	88240	i		-							an and the state	
				┢──┘		Ň	TRIX			PRES	SERV	SAMP	LING		addir cirtich		-				لإخطرييي		
			dWO(:	Last Sr										tem in education		0 <u>)</u> (I)	1 20			***		With any distance	
LABI.D. SAN	APLE I.D.		<u>ה או</u>	(SIN)	37.41		710		ي من الأولي من الأول من الأولي من الأولي م من الأولي من الأولي م	35				818	W) 53	~\~~		<<		a di Kalendaria	والمحافظات	
5P 29014) 8AH(E	ATNUS	Waten	017	S BOUR	зэалт	зязнто	CID/BA	THER		Ļ	TEX 80:	9108 HG		H	СГЪ	< Raht	НΑ		an a	
- 01BH-1 (surfac	(e)	Ŧ		+-	<u>\</u>	ľ	<u>×</u>	\$	十	╬	1	19-Anr	9:27	i ×			1	L	>		╋	+	T
, 02 BH-1 (5')		F	G	╞	┢	Ě	 	Γ	T	F	L	19-Apr	9:32	×	×			5]	EN			Γ
- 03 BH-1 (10')			5			Ľ				╞╴	F	19-Apr	11:36	×	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$				Ļ			Γ
~ ₀ 4 BH-1 (15')		-	G			×				F	Ŀ	19-Apr	12:58	×	×	×							Γ
- 05 BH-1 (20')		_	ច		_	X				Ω		19-Apr	13:38	×	Х	×							
- 06 BH-1 (25')		_	G		_	×				$\hat{}$	-	19-Apr	15:30	×	X	×							
- 07 BH-1 (30')			G		-	Ž				4	Ĥ	26-Apr	8:40	×	×	X							
~ 08 BH-1 (35')		Ť	G	-		Ň				4	-	26-Apr	9:37	×	×	×				_			
~ 09 BH-1 (40')			5	-		X						26-Apr	10:20	X	×	X							Γ
- 10 BH-1 (45')		-	Ċ			×				$\widehat{}$		26-Apr	11:27	×	X	X				-			
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	16 18				10								1 18 18		din				18			and the	
Sampter Relinquistrad:	27年		eceiv		V					шæ	mail MAR	results to: [i (S: Only analyzi	olness@h e aubsequer	otma t sam	il.cor Des f	e e	h soil	borine	if an	alvies	are de	ected.	
Relinquished by:	1 ma	J. ↓		N B N N	(ap)	staff)		[]		Ť	evione	semple, ANY (DUESTIONS,	PLEA	SE CA		ji						Alexandra -
WHE IN	1.X.		Ø	5	Y.	202	3	E.w.					1 20	, (ð,	- No	bels.	2 0	õ	4 Q.	223	۱	nyanana anan Y K
Dellagreet en		Sample C	901 &	Intact No			đΠ	ecked X X	Š,			4 0 7 4			<i>)</i>	N/N	cals	C O	20,00	. m . s	~	с, Т	J.
	1	ł								-													

Street 1 of 3

Í

as
X
Ĕ
Jf.
S S
ab
Ĩ
1 E
lent
iment
onment
ironment
avironment

12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79763

REMARKS: Only analyze subsequent samples from each soil boring if analytes are detected in previous sample. ANY CNESTIONS, PLEASE CALL IAIN. SEE REMARKS SEE REMARKS ANMAY VSISTREPULSY H∀d <<< REHTO 410T Hq ("+OS) SETARIUS CHLORIDES (CI) × × × × × × × × × × E-mail results to: ioiness@hotmail.com × × × × × MS108 HGT × × 81508 X3T8 × × × × 10:15 10:39 11:18 16:10 11:06 12:44 11:45 TIME 14:59 00:6 12:31 SAMPLING # see bd7 1410 West County Road, 27-Apr 27-Apr 26-Apr 27-Apr 27-Apr 27-Apr 27-Apr 26-Apr 26-Apr 27-Apr DATE Hobbs, NM 88240 ConocoPhillips Attn: John Abney PRESERV. яанто × × × ICE/COOF × × × × × × × **BSAB/GIDA** :язнто Checked By r un BOONS Xerre menuny MATRIX CRUDE OIL 7105 × × × × × × × × × × **H**ATAWAT2AW RECOUND WATER Sample Cool & Intact Yes No 505-394-3481 / 505-394-2601 **# CONTAINERS** Eunice New Mexico 88231 Environmental Plus, Inc. G C G G 3 G G G G G .9MO()) RO 8AR()) Dr35 24/24/05 Tre 2:10) Manuel Gonzales P.O. BOX 1558 **Conoco Phillips** State E Lease lain Olness SAMPLE I.D. (915) 563-1800 FAX: (915) 563-1713 150010 - 15 BH-2 (surface) - 13 BH-1 (60') - (4 BH-1 (65') 17 BH-2 (10') ¹⁸|BH-2 (15¹) BH-1 (50") - 12 BH-1 (55') 9 BH-2 (20' -30 BH-2 (25') (6 BH-2 (5¹) EPI Project Manager **EPI Sampler Name** Project Reference EPI Phone#/Fax# Mailing Address Company Name **Client Company** City, State, Zip ţ Facility Name 'ı 1502-9014 LAB I.D.

Sheet 2013

Chain of Custody Form

Environmental Labs of Texas

12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79763

:	uest 🐳 🛔											MARKS												ries are detected in TriONS PI FASE CALL	
	951	┢──							***	***	лин отнея >>>		Γ	\vdash	┢──		-		┢	┢		1039		r anan OliFS	
	9	┢──			interlation de la	n tanimit Angle	inin aini				LCLP	SEE				 	-		┟──	┢─				ANA	
											H	Ţ	ļ						T.	ţ				soll by	
											SULFATES (SO, ⁷)	5											•	eacn : or chi	
	- 35° 5										(.13) езанотна	× آر	×	×									Ę.	non able fo	
			-								N9108 Hd.	. ×	×										ail.c	10103 11 2817	1
				_							81208 X3T6	×	×									-	otm	nu san	
								_		PLING	HW H	12:44	14:59										olness@h	re the back	
					2		bnev	ty Road,	8240	SAMF	DATE	27-Apr	27-Apr	19-Apr									sults to: i	: Uniy anaryz amole, Analy	
	01						N A	no	NN 8	Ъ.	яанто												ail r	ATA5	
	41			Ğ			Jo Lo	to to	ŝ	ESE	CE/COOF	ı ×	×	×									ш- <u>ш</u>	Drev i	NI VI
					2		ttn:	We	qqo	ď	CID/BASE	1											_	$\langle $	
					2		4	410	T		:язнтс	1	[ļ			N		
				Į.	Ş			quin			PLADGE	<u>ال</u>								L			$\langle \rangle$	\sum	
				1. 					:	ATRI.														Л	
		 			r	r	<u> </u>	T	T	Ż		<u> </u>	Ě	Ě						ļ			\backslash	N	staff)
								I			AJIAW UNDOR	-	 	\square					-	-	\vdash			N	(Iab
				~~	5						SHEWERS	<u> </u>	╞								\square		AB BY		LAR-DE
	č			823	4-2					-	THO(2) HO BAR(5	0	σ	5						┢──			leceive	Y	eceive
	us, I			800	5-39									Ē							Η		Ш	ť	r k
15) 563-1713	Environmental PI	lain Olness P.O. BOX 1558 Eunice New Mexico 8 505-394-3481 / 505-39 Conoco Phillips State E Lease 150010					State E Lease	150010	Manuel Gonzales		SAMPLE I.D.	(.0	(2·)	ound									1/62/h	0835	L OC WILL
FAX: (9)	le Ie	nager	SS		X#	ý		nce	ame			L1 BH-2 (3	-2 BH-2 (3	- 3 Backgr	4	5	6	7	30	6	10	ind.		S	
(915) 563-1800	915) 565-1600 Company Name EPI Project Man Mailing Address City, State, Zip EPI Phone#/Fax Client Company Facility Name Project Referen							Project Refere	EPI Sampler N		LABI.D.	[2	2									Sampler Relinquished:	Nor wor	Heingusned by:

* See by T

Checked By:

Sample Cool & Intact Yes No

Jam menung

10429-05 1410

Fred by

Chain of Custody Form

share rays

Environmental Lab of Texas Variance / Corrective Action Report – Sample Log-In

Client: <u>E</u>	PI
Date/Time:	64-29-05 E 1410
Order #:	5 D 2 9 0 1 4
Initials:	JMM

Sample Receipt Checklist

Temperature of container/cooler?	(Yey	No	4.5 C
Shipping container cooler in good condition?	res	No	
Custody Seals Intact on shipping container/cooler?	Yes	No	Not present
Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? Seals on bags of cont.	Yes	No	Not present
Chain of custody present?	(es)	No	
Sample Instructions complete on Chain of Custody?	res	No	
Chain of Custody signed when relinquished and received?	res	No	
Chain of custody agrees with sample label(s)	1	No	
Container labels legible and intact?	(TES)	No	
Sample Matrix and properties same as on chain of custody?	(Per)	No	
Samples in proper container/bottle?	Yes	No	
Samples properly preserved?	Aes	No	
Sample bottles intact?	res	No	
Preservations documented on Chain of Custody?	(ES)	No]	
Containers documented on Chain of Custody?	(es)	No	
Sufficient sample amount for indicated test?	(CES	No	
All samples received within sufficient hold time?	(ED)	No	
VOC samples have zero headspace?	(Yes)	No	Not Applicable

Other observations:

Variance Documentation:

Contact Person: -	-	Date/Time:	 Contacted I	by: 🔔	
Regarding:					

Corrective Action Taken:

APPENDIX II

PROJECT PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph #1 – Produced water pipeline ROW marker



Photograph #3-Looking north at impacted area and soil excavated to repair the ruptured pipeline



Photograph #2-Looking north at impacted area. Stained area is contaminated soil



Photograph #4- Excavated area at point of release and dresser repair clamp

APPENDIX III

SOIL BORING LOGS

						l	_og (]f Test	t Borings (NATE - Page 2 of 2)					
ſ							Ť	Projec	t Number: 150010					
	للر		Елул	RONME	ntal F	, Lus, Ii	NC.	Project Name: ConocoPhilling State E Longo						
	CONSULTING AND REMEDIAL CONSTRUCTION EUNICE, NEW MEXICO 505-394-3481							Project Name: LonocoPhillips State E Lease						
								Borino N	lumber: SB-2 Surface Elevation: 2.526-fact and					
ŀ			5	۵	ر س	0110 0								
	me	mple /pe	over hes)	tur		Y, V,	nbol.	Pth ret)	Start Date: $04-27-05$ [me: 1013]					
	ц	-Tor	Re Cinc	Mois	L a d	Ang Ang Ang	S'U S'Y	P E F	Description					
ľ									35' SAND					
ſ								T	End of Soil Boring at 35' bgs					
								—	_					
							<u> </u>	40						
									_					
								—	_					
								45						
								-	—					
								<u> </u>	_					
								50						
								—	_					
									_					
								-	—					
									—					
									_					
								<u> </u>						
								<u> </u>	_					
								60						
									_					
				2										
								\vdash						
								65						
								-						
ļ		Vate	r Leve	Meas	Surement	s (feet	;) n \/-		llling Method: HSA 3.5' ID					
	DUTE		De Sa	epth	Depth	Depth		evel R	ackfill Method: Bentonite					
þ	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-	-		FI	eld Representative:					
L					· · · · ·	I	_							

					l	_og]f ⊺est	Boring	5	(NDTE - Page 1 of 2)		
h,	հ	_		_	· -		Project Number: 150010					
		ENVI		NTAL F	'lus, I Nd	NC.	Project	: Name:	ConocoPhillips Sto	ate E Lease		
		REM FI					Location: UL-I, Section 20, Township 22 South, Range 36 Eas					
1	1-		505-3	94-3481	AICD	Ī	Boring N	umberi	SB-2 Surfo	ce Elevation: 3,536-feet am		
	e.	۳ کر	e L	sb	ຊ ະເດີ	30	وتح		Start Date: 04-27	-05 Time: 10:15		
Time	Type		İstu	PII P adin	indra A/S	S.C.S.	lept fee		Completion Date:	04-27-05 Time: 15:02		
-	<u>v</u>	₿. Ŝ	£	8	₽₹ ê	⊃ø			Description			
1015	22			4.9	12,000		Topsoil	_		_		
							-		Topsoil Oily G	iround Soll		
							-			-		
							-			-		
1039	SS			0.0	320				5' CLAY,	, Red		
										-		
										-		
							-			-		
1106	22			0.0	560		10	$\overline{}$	10' CALICH	F. Clay		
							+	\				
										-		
	- -									-		
							15	\neg		_		
1118	22			0.0	800		+		15' SA	AND		
							-			-		
							-			-		
							20			-		
1145	22			0.0	560				20' SA	AND		
										-		
										-		
										-		
1231	22			0.0	560		25	$\overline{}$	25' \$4	AND		
		1					\top	~				
										-		
1227		<u> </u>		0.0	520					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
1957	33			0.0	000				30'_SA			
										-		
										-		
								_		-		
1502	SS			0.0	560				35' SA			

					L	og D	f Tes	st Borings (NOTE - Page 2 of 2)
.1							Proje	ect Number: 150010
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Еnv		NTAL F	LUS, IN	с. 🗌	Proje	ect Name: ConocoPhillips State E Lease
	厂	RE	CUNSU MEDIAL	CONSTRU	UI CTION		_ocati	ion: UL-I, Section 20, Township 22 South, Range 36 Eas
4	P	E	EUNICE, 505-3	NEW MEX 394-3481	KICD	B	orino	Number: SR-1 Sunface Elevation: 3.536-feet an
	<u> </u>							
ð	a de	ver (se	ture			bol bol	et	Start Date: 04-13-05 Time: 05:27
Ē	L So L		loist	Id boa	hlo hal	S'J M	Dep (fe	Completion Date: <u>04-27-05</u> Time: <u>09:00</u>
	1	020	<u>Σ</u>	œ				Description
							$\vdash$	-
							$\vdash$	-
							<b>—</b>	-
								-
1020	SS			0.0	480			40' SAND
							$\top$	
		1						-
								45
1127	22			0.0	400		<b>`</b>	45' SAND
								_
								-
								-
	ļ						<u> </u>	50
1244	52			0.0	480		+	50' SAND
							$\vdash$	-
							<b> </b>	-
							$\vdash$	-
1459		1			400		5	
1433	33			0.0	480		+	55' SANU
				,			┝	-
							$\vdash$	-
	ļ						$\vdash$	-
1610	22			0.0	800		6	
1010							+-	
				:				-
								-
							Γ,	65' SAND
0900	22			0.0	1,200		$\Box$	End of Soil Boring at 65' bgs
Date	Wate	er Lev	vel Meas	surement Casino	ts (feet	)   Wa-	ter	Drilling Method: HSA 3.5" ID
	-		Depth	Depth	Depth	Le	vel	Backfill Methodu Bentonite
	+		-			+-	-	
								Field Representative: JR

					L	_og []	f Test	Boring	s (NDTE - Page 1 of 2)		
	Project Number: 150010										
ENVIRONMENTAL PLUS, INC.								t Name:	ConocoPhillips State E Lease		
						Γ	ocation	יר UL-I	, Section 20, Township 22 South, Range 36 Ec	າຂ	
EUNICE, NEW MEXICD 505-394-3481								umber:	SB-1 Surface Elevation: 3,536-feet o	.— 1.m	
	<u>.</u>	2	ų	s.	an c				Stort Date: 04-19-05 Time: 09:27		
a m	ype	hes	stur		A/Sold	S.C.S	eet	-	Completion Date: 04-27-05 Time: 09:00		
	T So	Cince Cince	Mol	L es d	L Å Č	SUC	۳ ۳		Description		
0927	22			6.3	12,000		Topsoil		·	~	
							Ľ		Topsoil SAND	_ _	
										_	
							<b>_</b>			_	
0022	22			0.0	480		5		5' CLAY Rod	~	
0732				0.0	-100		+			-	
							F			-	
							E			-	
										_	
1136	SS			0.0	400		⊥ ″		10' CALICHE, Sand		
										_	
							<b> </b> -			_	
							$\vdash$			_	
1250	22			0.0	560		15		15/ CALICHE Sand	~	
1230				0.0	500		+			-	
										-	
										_	
										_	
1338	22			0.0	720				20' CALICHE, Sand	, _	
							_			_	
							$\vdash$			_	
							$\vdash$			-	
1530	22			0.0	720		-25	$\vdash$	25' SAND	~	
							+			-	
										-	
							Γ			-	
							30			_	
0840	22			0.0	640		$\perp$		30' SAND	, _	
				l			F			_	
							$\vdash$			_	
							$\vdash$			-	
0937	22			0.0	560				35' SAND	~	
			•	•		•		·` <b>`</b>		-	

# **APPENDIX IV**

•

# COPY OF INITIAL NMOCD FORM C-141

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

Santa	Fe, NM 87505						
	on and Corrective Actio	n					
	OPERATOR	🔀 Initial Report 🔲 Final Repo					
Name of Company ConocoPhillips Company	Contact John Abney						
Address 4001 Penbrook Street Odessa, TX 79762	Telephone No. (505)391-3128						
Facinity Name State E	Tracinity Type water Italisier Lin						
Surface Owner Lowell Cypert Mineral Owne	rState of NM	Lease No. B-1536					
LOCATIO	ON OF RELEASE						
Unit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the Not	rth/South Line Feet from the East/	/West Line County					
L 21 228 36E		Lea					
Latitude <u>32</u> 22.519N	Longitude 103 16.715W						
NATUR	E OF RELEASE	V 390					
Type of Release Produced Water	Volume of Release88 bbls	Volume Recovered 0					
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?	J AAN and Mour of Discovery 4/1/105 Ball					
Yes 🗋 No 🗋 Not Require	ed Sylvia Dickey						
By Whom? Stanley Moran	Date and Hour 4/07/05 2:30 pm	A					
Yes X No	If YES, Volume-impacting the wa	lercourse.					
If a Watercourse was Impacted. Describe Fully.*							
NA							
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*	the treated with said. The line was						
placed on the line until the line can be repaired properly.	was treated with acid. The life was s	shut in dug up and a dresser sieeve was					
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
The affected area is 15' X 105'. There was no fluid recovered the	e site is being delinated to determine	e the necessary clean up procedures.					
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to	the best of my knowledge and understa	and that pursuant to NMOCD rules and					
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release	e notifications and perform corrective ac	tions for releases which may endanger					
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remed	the NMOCD marked as "Final Report"	does not relieve the operator of liability					
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report	t does not relieve the operator of response	sibility for compliance with any other					
rederal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	OIL CONSERV						
	<u>OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION</u>						
Signature:	TCAN INTERNET						
Printed Name: John Abney	Approved by District Supervisor:	Iplasa					
Title: SHEaR Specialist	Approval Date: 3.13.07	Expiration Date: 6,23,07					
E-mail Address: john.h.abney@conocophillips.com	Conditions of Approval:						
D. (c) 04/25/2005 DL (c) (505)201 2128		Attached L					
Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary	1	·····					
1-11 CONTOTOSSY	14895						
Paulity - HTTLOTOS	1101.7						
- ( ) a pA(07085)	14 101						
Incident - TIT TO TO S	-11-089	onthe 1100					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	545001	DDH 103					
application of the		RF 11=					