Vew Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Mark Fesmin

Division Director

Oil Conservation Division

Bill Richardson Governor

Joanna Prukop Cabinet Secretary Reese Fullerton Deputy Cabinet Secretary

October 14, 2008

Arena Resources Inc 2130 W Bender Hobbs, NM 88240

Reference: North Benson Queen Unit 016 30 015 10087 J-28-18S-30E Eddy County, New Mexico 2RP-226, 2RP-219, 2RP-249

Operator,

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division District 2 Office (OCD) is in receipt of a remediation work plan (plan) dated September 22, 2008 for the remediation of a release of produced fluids that occurred at the above referenced facility. The

plan was submitted on behalf of operator by TECH Environmental & Safety Solutions, Inc.

The proposed method of soil washing to attain established remediation levels is approved with the following stipulations:

- Notify OCD 48 hours prior to commencement of activities including cell construction.
- Upon proposed excavation of south cell, contaminated materials to be stockpiled are to be placed on a liner. A
  perimeter berm shall be constructed and maintained around the contaminated soils to control water run-on and/or
  run-off.
- If a breach of any liner occurs, OCD shall be immediately notified.
- Contaminated soils shall be remediated so that residual contaminant concentrations are below established
  remediation action levels. Confirmation soil samples—to include but not limited to TPH, BTEX, and chloride
  constituents—will be required. In the event that soil action levels cannot practicably be attained, an alternate work
  plan will be required.
- Results of analytical data obtained through sampling shall be forwarded to OCD for approval prior to any backfilling activities.
- Upon satisfactory completion of the washing project and prior to backfilling, washing cell components (PVC piping, membranes, gravels, etc) shall be removed.
- Please notify OCD 48 hours prior to obtaining samples where analyses of samples obtained are to be submitted to OCD.
- Remediation requirements may be subject to change as site conditions warrant.
- Remediation actions are to be completed on or before December 15, 2008.
- Upon satisfactory completion of activities, please submit a closure report summarizing all actions taken and a Final Report Form C-141 for each release.

Remediation requirements may be subject to other federal, state, local laws and/or regulations. Additionally, please be advised that OCD approval does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that may pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment.

Thank you for your attention to these matters. If I can be of assistance, you may reach me at the contact information listed below.

Respectfully,

Sherry Bonham NMOCD District 2 1301 West Grand Avenue Artesia, NM 88210 (505) 748-1283 Ext.109 sherry.bonham@state.nm.us

cc: Fred Holmes

TECH Environmental & Safety Solutions, Inc







# Corrective Action Plan North Benson Queen Unit Tank Battery & Well No. 16 Produced Water Spills

Prepared For: Arena Resources, Inc. 2130 West Bender Blvd. Hobbs, NM 88240

Etech Environmental & Safety Solutions, Inc. September 22, 2008 094-1748 & 1749-000



Prepared By:

Date Prepared.

Project Number:



.

# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
Assessment	1
NBQU Well 16	1
NBQU Battery	3
Delineation Summary	6
Geologic & Hydrogeological Setting	7
Remediation Scope of Work	7
Area of Impacted Soil for Remediation	7
Proposed Soil Remediation – NBQU Well 16	7
Proposed Soil Remediation – NBQU Battery	7
Sampling and Analysis	8
Reclamation	8
Reporting	8

\_\_\_\_

1

.

`

# Attachments

Attachment A:	Site Maps
Attachment B:	Spill Reports
Attachment C:	Analytical Reports
Attachment D:	Soil Report
Attachment E:	Remediation System Diagrams





## Introduction

On July 29, 2008 a release of produced water occurred at the Arena Resources, Inc (Arena) North Benson Queen Unit (NBQU) Well No. 16. On August 3, 2008, a second produced water release occurred at the NBQU Tank Battery. Before cleanup of the spills, a third release occurred on August 28, 2008 at the NBQU Tank Battery. Particulars on each spill are as follows:

Site:		NBQU Well 16
Unit No:		NMNM70993X
Latitude:	·	N32° 42' 56.300"
Longitude:.		W103° 58' 26.600
Quantity Re	eleased:	125 BBLs.
Cause:	Ruptured injection	n line.

Site:		NBQU Tank Battery		
Unit No:		NMNM70993X		
Latitude:		N32° 42' 57.100"		
Longitude:		W103° 58" 17.900"		
Quantity Rel	eased:	580 BBLs.		
Cause:	Electrical storm shut do	wn power to the facility.	Sand tanks contin	

 Electrical storm shut down power to the facility. Sand tanks continued to send water to the water tank causing it to run over.

Site:		NBQU Tank Battery	
Unit No:		NMNM70993X	
Latitude:		N32° 42' 57.100"	
Longitude:		W103° 58" 17.900"	
Quantity Re	leased:	120 BBLs.	
Cause:	Lightning stru explode.	k the north tank. A fire ensued causing the tank adjacent to the south	to

A site location map is provided in Attachment A. A copy of the spill reports is provided in Attachment B.

On August 4, 2008, Area contracted Etech Environmental & Safety Solutions, Inc. (Etech) to assess and remediate the spills. As both sites are in close proximity to each other, a single corrective action plan has been prepared to address mitigation of both sites and is provided in the following pages.

# Assessment

## **NBQU Well 16**

When the spill occurred, the release moved in a west and north direction following storm water pathways where the liquids eventually pooled. The first segment flowed west approximately 450 feet before pooling in an area approximately 30 feet in diameter. The other segment flowed northward approximately 150 feet before dividing and flowing around a large sand dune then converging and pooling in an area approximately 30 feet in diameter. The liquids eventually overfilled this area and pooled in a second area adjoining the northeast side of the first. This second area measured approximately 30 feet in diameter. Oil staining associated with the west segment was spotty and confined to the pooled area. The oil staining on the north segment appeared to be largely confined to the pooled areas and to a depth of the first 1/4 inch of the surface. There was some spot staining observed in two areas along the spill pathway. Representations of the pooled areas are provided in Photographs 1 & 2 presented on the following page.









Photograph 1: View from the pooled are of the west segment looking east back towards the NBQU Tank Battery.



Photograph 2: View from the last pooled area on the north segment looking southeast. The NBQU Battery can be observed in the background.

The storm water pathways on the west segment are very narrow averaging of 12 inches in width. The pathways on the north segment range from 1-3 feet in width. No vegetation was observed in the majority of the bottoms of the pathways. Representations of the pathways are provided in Photographs 3 & 4 presented on the following page.





Photograph 3: Bottom of the storm water pathway on the west segment of the spill.



Photograph 4: Bottom of the storm water pathway on the north segment of the spill.

### **NBQU Battery**

The majority of the produced water from the first release accumulated in the two (2) earthen bermed secondary containments located on the southeast side of the tank battery pad. Some of the liquids migrated outside of the east side of the southern containment flowing northward around the containment terminating underneath a transformer unit on the north side of the tank battery pad. All free liquids had been removed at the time of the assessment.

Arena reported that the north containment has a liner in place. The south containment does not. Also, the south containment is noted to be one of the original containments on the site which was built prior to the acquisition of the site by Arena. Hydrocarbon staining was prevalent across most of the bottom of both containments and in the surface soils. The staining in the soils outside of the containment appeared



to be confined to the first  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch of surface soils. Representations of the pathways are provided in Photographs 5 & 6 presented below.



Photograph 5: View of the staining in the northern containment and adjoining area on the east side. A portion of the southern containment is in the foreground, lower right.



Photograph 6: View of the south side of the interior of the southern containment.

The second spill of produced water filled the containment located on the east side of the site. A breach of the east firewall occurred allowing some produced water to release along the east side of the containment and migrate northward. All fluids from the releases stayed within the confines of the tank battery pad. Photographs of this release event are provided in Photographs 7 & 8 presented on the following page:







Photograph 7: View from the southeast corner of the containment looking west northwest of the two tanks involved in the release.



Photograph 8: View from the northeast corner looking south of the facility following the release.

A site map showing the spill pathways and collection areas for both sites is provided in Attachment A.



### **Delineation Summary**

Five (5) soil borings were advanced to an average depth of 2.5 feet along the spill pathway for the north and west spills. The purpose of these borings was to collect general information on the potential of the impact from the spill from the ruptured injection line. Since the same material was spilled at the tank battery and in the pooled areas, these sites were not sampled at the time of the assessment, but deferred until mitigation activities are performed. Samples from the soil borings were collected at specific intervals and subjected to laboratory analysis for chlorides. The results are presented below in Table 1.

TABLE1 SAMPLING EVENT No. 1 ANALYTICAL SUMMARY					
Sample I.D.	Chlorides (Mg/Kg)		Sample I.D.	Chlorides (Mg/Kg)	
BG - 1 (Background) 🦾	<1 <u>00</u>		SB3 – 1.5-2"	751	
BG – 2 (Background)	<100		SB3 - 2.5-3'	1570	
SB1-0-4"	.7,000		SB4 - 0-4"	242	
SB1 – 1.5-2'	916		SB4 – 1.5-2'	3010	
SB2 - 0-4"	107		SB5 - 0-4"	2290	
SB2 – 2-2.5'	3920		SB5 – 1.5-2'	5220	
SB3-0-4"				1 <u>1</u> 1	

A second sampling event was conducted on August 28, 2008. During this sampling event, two (2) additional soil borings were conducted. The first (SB6) was advanced inside of the north containment where the third release occurred. The second soil boring (SB7) was conducted inside the southern containment on the east side. This site was selected as it was in the down-gradient side of the containment. Samples from the soil borings were collected at specific intervals and analyzed in-house for chlorides using EPA Methodology. The results are presented below in Table 2.

TABLE 2 SAMPLING EVENT No. 2 ANALYTICAL SUMMARY				
Sample I.D.	Chlorides (Mg/Kg)	. Sample I.D.	Chlorides (Mg/Kg)	
SB6-4-6"	278	'SB7 - 1.5'	1787	
SB6 – 2'	119	SB7 – 2.5' – 3'	2779	
SB6 - 3'	<u>S 2119 (* 1</u>	SB7 - 4 - 4.5'	2978	
		SB7 – 5.5 – 6'	3573	

Visual observations in the north containment revealed the area underneath the liner to be dry and no visible signs of hydrocarbon or chloride impacts. Soil samples in boring SB6 were very dry in the first 18 inches and slightly moist throughout the remainder on the boring. The area where SB7 was completed found some minor staining in the first 2-3 inches of surface soils. The soil in all samples collected was moist with a slight stagnant odor similar to produced water was noted.

Based upon the results of the analysis, it is concluded the chloride levels in the spill pathway and within the southern containment were found to be elevated and require corrective action. A copy of the analytical reports is provided in Attachment C.





# **Geologic & Hydrogeological Setting**

A review of a soil resource report prepared by the United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) depicts the soils in the area to be Kermit Berino fine sands, 0-3 percent slopes. Typical profile of the soils is as follows:

0-17" Fine sand 17-50" Fine sandy loam 50-58" Loamy sand

A review of the State of New Mexico, Engineers report for water wells in the area did not find any water wells in the section where the sites are located. A copy of the NRCS report is provided in Attachment D.

# **Remediation Scope of Work**

## Area of Impacted Soil for Remediation

Based upon visual inspection and a review of the analytical data, the area of impacted soil requiring active remediation includes the spill pathways and pooled areas from the spill at the NBQU 16 site and the areas within, as well as the area immediately adjacent to, the east and north of the containments at the NBQU Battery.

### **Proposed Soil Remediation – NBQU Well 16**

The spill pathways, particularly to the west are quite narrow and have a relatively small surface area. These areas will be treated with granular calcium nitrate at a rate of 200 lbs per acre-foot and tilled into the soil at a depth of 6-8 inches. This reagent was selected as it has been very successful in treating spills of this type and dissolves very quickly into the soil providing a high level of calcium for ion exchange. This remediation approach to this area was selected because; 1. The areas are mostly void of vegetation due to storm water runoff and will likely never vegetate and, 2. This approach will provide the minimal amount of disturbance to the surrounding soil.

The pooled areas will be excavated until a chloride level of 500 mg/kg has been reached. The impacted soil will be transported to a remediation cell located at the NBQU Battery site. At this point, the bottom of the excavation will treated with calcium nitrate at a rate of 200 lbs. per acre. The area will then be graded to achieve a minimum of 3 feet of cover over the remaining impacted area.

### **Proposed Soil Remediation – NBQU Battery**

The remediation of the site will occur in three phases. In Phase I, all impacted soils in the north containment will be removed until the levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and chlorides reach 5,000/500 mg/kg or less (respectively). The impacted soils will be treated on-site via soil washing in a cell constructed on this site.

In Phase II, the tanks and associated equipment in the southern containment will be removed and the permanently staged to the northern containment. Once this is done, the impacted soils will be treated onsite via soil washing and the area backfilled with clean soil to-grade. In Phase II any impacted soils from the spill outside of the containment will be will be treated on-site via soil washing as well.

Soil washing has been used for many years to remove inorganic contaminants such as salts and heavy metals from soils. The process is relatively simple where a given quantity of solvent, in this case clean fresh water, is passed over and percolate through the impacted soil removing the contaminants from the soil. The water would then be collected and either treated or, as in this case, disposed of. The rationale for selection of this approach is as follows:

- 1. The soils in this area are largely medium to coarse sand which is a perfect medium to wash salts from,
- 2. There is a readily available disposal method for the wash water (the saltwater disposal system), and





3. The clean soil will be used for backfilling the excavated areas. This is an ideal case of resource conservation which is one of the main aspects of the environmental regulations.

Diagrams showing the location, and general design, of the treatment cell are provided in Attachment E.

## Sampling and Analysis

During the course of remediation activities, samples will be collected and analyzed on-site for TPH and chlorides. Once objectives have been reached, confirmation samples will be collected and sent to a third party laboratory for analysis.

## Reclamation

Once remediation activities are complete at the NBQU Well 16 site all disturbed areas will be seeded by broadcast with a mixture approved by the Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM). The site will be monitored quarterly to ensure the site is revegetating properly.

## Reporting

At the conclusion of all remediation activities, a report will be prepared documenting all remediation activities and the results of all analyses.





Ø

.

Attachment A Site Maps

,

.

.



.



Data use subject to license © DeLorme XMap® 5.2 Professional www.delorme.com



Data use subject to license © DeLorme. XMap® 5 2 Professional. www.delorme.com



Attachment B Spill Reports

.





.

.

,

ĩ

1

.

**e**5 - 1

NBQU#16

Form NM 3162-1 (Revised - August 2004)

> UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Bureau of Land Management New Mexico State Office

#### REPORT OF THE UNDESIRABLE EVENT

DATE OF OCCURRENCE/DISCOVERY: 1. 29.08TIME OF OCCURRENCE: 2.00 pm
DATE REPORTED TO BLM: 8.4.09 TIME REPORTED: 10.000
BLM OFFICE REPORTED TO: (FIELD/DISTRICT/OTHER)
LOCATION: (1/4 1/4) ANN SE SECTION 28 T. 185 R. 30E MERIDIAN
COUNTY: _ Eddy STATE: pm Well NAME NBQU # 14
OPERATOR: COMPANY NAME Arena Despurces PHONE NO. 573.739.1739 CONTACT PERSON'S NAME TONY THEKER
SURFACE OWNER: <u>BLM</u> MINERAL OWNER: <u>BLM</u> (FEDERAL/INDIAN/FEE/STATE)
LEASE NO.: NO.: RIGHT-OF-WAY NO.:
UNIT NAME / COMMUNITIZATION AGREEMENT NO .: DOCH BUNSI- QUEUN UNIT
TYPE OF EVENT, CIRCLE APPROPRIATE ITEM (S):
BLOWOUT, FIRE, FATALITY, INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, OIL SPILL, GALTWATER SPILL, OIL AND SALTWATER SPILL, TOXIC FLUID SPILL, HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SPILL, UNCONTROLLED FLOW OF WELLBORE FLUIDS, OTHER (SPECIFY):
CAUSE OF EVENT: Ruptured Injection Line
HazMat Notified: (for spills)
Law Enforcement Notified: (for thefts)
CAUSE AND EXTENT OF PERSONAL INJURIES/CAUSE OF DEATH(S):
Safety Officer Notified:
EFFECTS OF EVENT: Water Sould into the sound at the location
ACTION TAKEN TO CONTROL EVENT: Shur In, repaired cline
LENGTH OF TIME TO CONTROL BLOWOUT OR FIRE:
VOLUMES DISCHARGED: OIL WATER _ 125 bbls_ GAS

.

۱ ۱

PAGE 03/03

.

.

.

ACTION TAKEN OR TO BE TAKEN	I TO PREVENT RECURRENCE	3:
FINAL INVESTIGATION: TEAM NAME(S)		
FIELD INSPECTION DATE		
SUMMARY OF RESULTS O	OF INSPECTION	
RESOURCE LOSS WAS (CIRCLE IT)	EM): AVOIDABLE	UNAVOIDABLE
DATE OF MEMO NOTIFYING MINE	ALS MANAGEMENT SSERVI	CF. THAT LOSS WAS AVOI
DATE/TIME/PERSON NOTIFIED:	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
STATE OFFICE		
WASHINGTON OFFICE		
SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF RECLA	MATION/CORRECTIVE ACT	ION;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
кемаккз		
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFIC	CER	. ,

.

۰.

.

Form NM 3162-1 (Revised - August 2004)

> UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Bureau of Land Management New Mexico State Office

#### **REPORT OF THE UNDESIRABLE EVENT**

DATE OF OCCURRENCE/DISCOVERY: $8.3.08$ TIME OF OCCURRENCE: $8.20$ Am
DATE REPORTED TO BLM: 8.4.08 TIME REPORTED: 10:00 Am
BLM OFFICE REPORTED TO: (FIELD/DISTRICT/OTHER)
LOCATION: (1/4 1/4) ANN SE SECTION 29 T. 185 R. 30E MERIDIAN
COUNTY: Eddy STATE: nm WELL NAME ABOU BATTERY
OPERATOR: COMPANY NAME Arms Resources Inc. PHONE NO. 575-738-1739 CONTACT PERSON'S NAME TONY TUCKIE
SURFACE OWNER: <u>BLM</u> MINERAL OWNER: <u>BLM</u> (PEDERAL/INDIAN/FEE/STATE)
LEASE NO.: MMAMJOGA3X RIGHT-OF-WAY NO.:
UNIT NAME / COMMUNITIZATION AGREEMENT NO .: DOILL BUSSE Quero Unit
TYPE OF EVENT, CIRCLE APPROPRIATE ITEM (S):
BLOWOUT, FIRE, FATALITY, INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, OIL SPILLS SALTWATER SPILL, OIL AND SALTWATER SPILL, TOXIC FLUID SPILL, HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SPILL, UNCONTROLLED FLOW OF WELLBORE FLUIDS, OTHER (SPECIFY):
CAUSE OF EVENT: Clictuical Storm shut down power, the sand tomks continued to Sond water to the water tank causing it to two over
HazMat Notified: (for spills)
Law Enforcement Notified: (for thefts)
CAUSE AND EXTENT OF PERSONAL INJURIES/CAUSE OF DEATH(S):
Safety Officer Notified:
EFFECTS OF EVENT: It was contained inside the firewall at the battery.
ACTION TAKEN TO CONTROL EVENT:
LENGTH OF TIME TO CONTROL BLOWOUT OR FIRE:
VOLUMES DISCHARGED: OIL WATER 580 6645 GAS

,

۰,

.

.

.

PAGE 03/03

,

ACTION TAKEN OR TO BE TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:	N TAKEN OR TO BE TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:	OTHER AGENCIES	NOTIFIED: <u><u><u>n</u></u></u>	C.D	
FINAL INVESTIGATION: TEAM NAME(S) FIELD INSPECTION DATE SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF INSPECTION RESOURCE LOSS WAS (CIRCLE ITEM): A VOIDABLE UNAVOIDABLE DATE OF MEMO NOTIFYING MINEALS MANAGEMENT SSERVICE THAT LOSS WAS AVO DATE/TIME/PERSON NOTIFIED: STATE OFFICE WASHINGTON OFFICE SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF RECLAMATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION: REMARKS: REMARKS: SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER DATE: TITLE:	NVESTIGATION:         TEAM NAME(S)         FIELD INSPECTION DATE         SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF INSPECTION         SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF INSPECTION         SCE LOSS WAS (CIRCLE ITEM):         AVOIDABLE         UNAVOIDABLE         F MEMO NOTIFYING MINEALS MANAGEMENT SSERVICE THAT LOSS WAS AVOID.         ME/PERSON NOTIFIED:         STATE OFFICE         VASHINGTON OFFICE         RY OF RESULTS OF RECLAMATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION:         S:         S:         RE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER         TITLE:	ACTION TAKEN OF	TO BE TAKEN TO PRI	EVENT RECURRENCE	
PIELD INSPECTION DATE	FIELD INSPECTION DATE	FINAL INVESTIGA TEAM NAM	TION: IE(S)	·	
SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF INSPECTION	SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF INSPECTION	FIELD INSP	ECTION DATE		
RESOURCE LOSS WAS (CIRCLE ITEM):       AVOIDABLE       UNAVOIDABLE         DATE OF MEMO NOTIFYING MINEALS MANAGEMENT SSERVICE THAT LOSS WAS AVOIDABLE       DATE/TIME/PERSON NOTIFIED:         STATE OFFICE	CCE LOSS WAS (CIRCLE ITEM): AVOIDABLE UNAVOIDABLE   F MEMO NOTIFYING MINEALS MANAGEMENT SSERVICE THAT LOSS WAS AVOID.   ME/PERSON NOTIFIED:   STATE OFFICE   VASHINGTON OFFICE   RY OF RESULTS OF RECLAMATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION:   S:   RE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER   TITLE:	SUMMARY	OF RESULTS OF INSPE	CTION	
DATE OF MEMO NOTIFYING MINEALS MANAGEMENT SSERVICE THAT LOSS WAS AVO DATE/TIME/PERSON NOTIFIED: STATE OFFICE	F MEMO NOTIFYING MINEALS MANAGEMENT SSERVICE THAT LOSS WAS AVOID/ ME/PERSON NOTIFIED: STATE OFFICE	RESOURCE LOSS W	AS (CIRCLE ITEM):	AVOIDABLE	UNAVOIDABLE
DATE/TIME/PERSON NOTIFIED:       STATE OFFICE	ME/PERSON NOTIFIED: STATE OFFICE	DATE OF MEMO NO	TIFYING MINEALS MA	NAGEMENT SSERVIC	CE THAT LOSS WAS AVOIDA
STATE OFFICE	STATE OFFICE	DATE/TIME/PERSON	1 NOTIFIED:	<i>,</i> .	
WASHINGTON OFFICE         SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF RECLAMATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION:	VASHINGTON OFFICE	STATE OFFI	CE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF RECLAMATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION:	RY OF RESULTS OF RECLAMATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION:	WASHINGTO	ON OFFICE		
REMARKS:	S:	SUMMARY OF RESU	LTS OF RECLAMATIO	N/CORRECTIVE ACTION	ON:
REMARKS:	S:	· · ·			
REMARKS:     SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER     DATE:     TITLE:	S:		•		
REMARKS:	S:				
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	RE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	REMARKS:		****	
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	RE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER				
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	RE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER				
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	RE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER			······································	·····
DATE: TITLE:	TITLE:	SIGNATURE OF AUT	IORIZED OFFICER		·
		)ATE:	TIT	LE:	

\*

r

•

.

Form NM 3162-1 (Revised - August 2004)

> UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Bureau of Land Management New Mexico State Office

#### REPORT OF THE UNDESIRABLE EVENT

DATE OF OCCURRENCE/DISCOVERY: 1:20:08
DATE REPORTED TO BLM: 8.28.08 TIME REPORTED: 10:50 Am
BLM OFFICE REPORTED TO: (FIELD/DISTRICT/OTHER)
LOCATION: (1/4 1/4) (1/4 56 SECTION 28 T. 185 R. 306 MERIDIAN
COUNTY: Eddy STATE: <u>nm</u> Well NAME <u>DBQU Battery Injection Facility</u>
OPERATOR: COMPANY NAME Arena Besources Inc. PHONE NO. 575. 738-1739 CONTACT PERSON'S NAME TODY TUCKIO
SURFACE OWNER: <u>BLM</u> MINERAL OWNER: <u>BLM</u> (FEDERAL/INDIAN/FEE/STATE)
LEASE NO.: nmnm 70993K RIGHT-OF-WAY NO.:
UNIT NAME / COMMUNITIZATION AGREEMENT NO .: A Orth Benson Queen Unit
TYPE OF EVENT, CIRCLE APPROPRIATE ITEM (S):
BLOWOUT, FIRE, FATALITY, INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE, OIL SPILK, SALTWATER SPILL, OIL AND SALTWATER SPILL, TOXIC FLUID SPILL, HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SPILL, UNCONTROLLED FLOW OF WELLBORE FLUIDS, OTHER (SPECIFY):
CAUSE OF EVENT: Lighting Struck north tank - file nan into cline Causing South tank Causing it to blow
HazMat Notified: (for spills)
Law Enforcement Notified: (for thefts)
CAUSE AND EXTENT OF PERSONAL INJURIES/CAUSE OF DEATH(S):
Safety Officer Notified:
EFFECTS OF EVENT: The spill stay contained inside the furnall
ACTION TAKEN TO CONTROL EVENT:
LENGTH OF TIME TO CONTROL BLOWOUT OR FIRE:
VOLUMES DISCHARGED: OIL WATER _/ad gas

ي

• •

.

•

ACTION TAKEN OK	TO BE TAKEN TO PRE	EVENT RECURRENCE:	
FINAL INVESTIGAT TEAM NAMI	ION: E(S)		
FIELD INSPE	CTION DATE		
SUMMARY C	of results of inspe	CTION	
RESOURCE LOSS WA	AS (CIRCLE ITEM):	AVOIDABLE	UNAVOIDABLE
DATE OF MEMO NOT	TIFYING MINEALS MA	NAGEMENT SSERVIC	F. THAT LOSS WAS AVOIDA
DATE/TIME/PERSON	NOTIFIED:	· · ·	
STATE OFFIC	e	·	
WASHINGTO	N OFFICE		
SUMMARY OF RESUL	TS OF RECLAMATION	N/CORRECTIVE ACTION	
			<u>`</u>
	······································		
······································			
LEMARKS:			
	······		
			•
		·	
CNATURE OF AUTO	ORIZED OFFICER		

	District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210	State o Energy Mineral	f New Mexic s and Natural	co Resources		Form C-141 Revised October 10, 2003
	District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410	1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 UII Conserv				Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance
1	<sup>2</sup> District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505	1220 Sou Santa J	th St. Francis	s Dr. 15		with Rule 116 on back side of form
		lease Notificatio	on and Co	rrective A		
			OPERAT	OR	Initia	al Report 🛛 Final Report
	Name of Company Arena Resources In	c	Contact T	ony Tucker		
	Address 2130 W. Bender Hobbs, N	IM 88240	Telephone No	b. <u>575-738-1</u>	739	
	Facility Name NBQU Battery		Facility Type	Production B	attery	
	Surface Owner BLM	Mineral Owner	BLM	·	Lease N	lo. 33129
		LOCATIO	N OF REL	EASE		
	Unit Letter Section Township Range	Feet from the Nort	h/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County FDDY
	<u> </u>		и	/04		
	L		Longituae			
	Time of Balance Deschood Water	NATURE	COF RELE	ASE 120	Nature D	looguand 120
	Source of Release		Date and Ho	ur of Occurrence	e Date and	Hour of Discovery
	Produced Water Tank Ble	ew Up	8-28-08	1:00 AM		
	Yes [	] No 🗍 Not Required	Mike H	vnom? Bratcher		
	By Whom? Colleen Robinson		Date and Hor	ur 8-28-08	11:00 AM	
	Was a Watercourse Reached?	71 No.	If YES, Volu	me Impacting th	ne Watercourse.	
	If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully	*	<u> </u>			
	Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action	on Taken.*		<u></u>		
	Lighting struck the north tank, the fire ran into t	he line to the South Tank	causing it to blo	W ,		
	Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Ta	ken.*				
	The spill stay contained inside the firewall at the	e battery				
	I hereby certify that the information given above regulations all operators are required to report an public health or the environment. The acceptance should their operations have failed to adequately or the environment. In addition, NMOCD accept federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	e is true and complete to t nd/or file certain release n ce of a C-141 report by the investigate and remediate tance of a C-141 report d	he best of my kn otifications and e NMOCD mark e contamination oes not relieve th	owledge and un perform correcti ed as "Final Rep that pose a threa he operator of re	derstand that pursu we actions for rele- port" does not relie at to ground water, sponsibility for co	uant to NMOCD rules and ases which may endanger eve the operator of liability surface water, human health mpliance with any other
	Signature: our phonesis			OIL CONS	ERVATION	DIVISION
	Printed Name: Colleen Robinson		Approved by Di	strict Supervisor		
	Title: Compliance Analyst		Approval Date:		Expiration D	Date:
	E-mail Address: crobinson@arenaresourcesinc.	com	Conditions of Ap	oproval:		Attached
	Date: 8-29-08 Phone: 575-738-17	39				
مغتيثينا	Auach Additional Sheets If Necessary					



Attachment C Analytical Reports

.

.







Report Date: August 8, 2008 094-1748-000

# **Summary Report**

Fred Holmes Etech Environmental Safety

P.O. Box 8469 Midland, TX, 79708

Report	Date:	August	8,	2008
--------	-------	--------	----	------

# Work Order: 8080651

Project Location:TXProject Name:North Benson Queen Unit #016Project Number:094-1748-000

			,	Date	Time	Date
	Sample	Description	Matrix	Taken	Taken	Received
2	169722	Benson 016 BG - 1	soil	2008-08-05	11:05	2008-08-06
Ŋ	169723	Benson 016 BG - 2	soil	2008-08-05	11:20	2008-08-06
Y AN	169724	Benson 016 SB1 0-4	soil	2008-08-05	11:45	2008-08-06
	169725	Benson 016 SB1 1.5-2'	soil	2008-08-05	12:00	2008-08-06
	169726	Benson 016 SB2 0-4 in.	soil	2008-08-05	12:20	2008-08-06
	169727	Benson 016 SB2 2-2.5'	soil	2008-08-05	12:30	2008-08-06
	169728	Benson 016 SB3 0-4 in.	soil	2008-08-05	12:55	2008-08-06
	169729	Benson 016 SB3 1.5-2'	soil	2008-08-05	13:05	2008-08-06
	169730	Benson 016 SB3 2.5-3'	soil	2008-08-05	13:15	2008-08-06
	169731	Benson 016 SB4 0-4 in.	soil	2008-08-05	13:50	2008-08-06
	169732	Benson 016 SB4 1.5-2'	soil	2008-08-05	14:00	2008-08-06
	169733	Benson 016 SB5 0-4 in.	soil	2008-08-05	14:25	2008-08-06
	169734	Benson 016 SB5 1.5-2'	soil	2008-08-05	14:30	2008-08-06

#### Sample: 169722 - Benson 016 BG - 1

Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		<100	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169723 - Benson 016 BG - 2

Param	Flag	Result	Units	, <u>,</u>	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		<100	mg/Kg		2.00



TraceAnalysis, Inc. • 6701 Aberdeen Ave., Suite 9 • Lubbock, TX 79424-1515 • (806) 794-1296 This is only a summary. Please, refer to the complete report package for quality control data.

100	

#### Sample: 169724 - Benson 016 SB1 0-4

Param	Flag	Result	Units	RL
Chloride		7000	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169725 - Benson 016 SB1 1.5-2'

Param	Flag	Result	Units	RL
Chloride		916	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169726 - Benson 016 SB2 0-4 in.

Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		107	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169727 - Benson 016 SB2 2-2.5'

Param	Flag	$\mathbf{Result}$	Units	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		3920	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169728 - Benson 016 SB3 0-4 in.

Param	Flag	Result	Units	RL
Chloride		12500	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169729 - Benson 016 SB3 1.5-2'

Param	Flag	$\mathbf{Result}$	Units	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		751	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169730 - Benson 016 SB3 2.5-3'

Param	Flag	Result	$\mathbf{Units}$	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		1570	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169731 - Benson 016 SB4 0-4 in.

Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		242	mg/Kg	2.00

TraceAnalysis, Inc. • 6701 Aberdeen Ave., Suite 9 • Lubbock, TX 79424-1515 • (806) 794-1296 This is only a summary. Please, refer to the complete report package for quality control data.



#### Sample: 169732 - Benson 016 SB4 1.5-2'

Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		3010	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169733 - Benson 016 SB5 0-4 in.

Param	Flag	Result	Units	RL
Chloride		2290	mg/Kg	2.00

#### Sample: 169734 - Benson 016 SB5 1.5-2'

Param	Flag	Result	Units	$\mathbf{RL}$
Chloride		5220	mg/Kg	2.00







## **Field Analysis Sheet**

Date:	8/28/2008	Client:	Arena Reso	urces		
Site:	NBQ Inj. Facility	/		Pro	ject Number:	094-1749-000
Technican:	Johnny Titswort	h		- (	Contaminant:	Chlorides
Sample ID		Milliliters of Sample Used	Dilution	AgNO3 0.028(N)	Result (mg/kg)	Notations
SB-6 4"-6"		10	4	0.700	277.928	
SB-6 2'		10	4	0.300	119.112	
SB-6 3'		10	4	0.300	119.112	
		1	2		0	
SB-7 1.5'		10	4	4.500	1786.68	
SB-7 2.5'-3'		10	4	7.000	2779.28	
SB-7 4'-4.5'		10	4	7.500	2977.8	
SB-7 5.5'-6'		10	4	9.000	3573.36	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	······
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		<u> </u>	2		0	
		1	2		0	-
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
		1	2		0	
	İ.	1	2		0	

F = (ml AgNO<sub>3</sub>)(N)(35450)/ml. sample used

Soil Sample Volume: 10 Grams Deionized Water Volume = 20 ml



Attachment D Soil Report

.

4

•

\$



United States Department of Agriculture

> Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Eddy Area, New Mexico

Arena - North Benson Queen







# Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Soil Data Mart Web site or the NRCS Web Soil Survey. The Soil Data Mart is the data storage site for the official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.





# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	4
Soil Map	6
Soil Map	7
Legend	8
Map Unit Legend	9
Map Unit Descriptions	9
Eddy Area, New Mexico Version date:1/28/2007 7:42:44 PM	11
BB—Berino complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	11
KM—Kermit-Berino fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes	12
SM—Simona-Bippus complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes	13
Soil Information for All Uses	16
Soil Reports	16
Land Classifications	16
Taxonomic Classification of the Soils	16
Soil Chemical Properties	18
Chemical Soil Properties	18
Soil Physical Properties	21
Engineering Properties	21
Physical Soil Properties	25
Soil Qualities and Features	29
Soil Features	29
References	32

,







# How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic classes has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the





individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.



# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

6



Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



٢





Custom Soil Resource Report Legend





Eddy Area, New Mexico (NM614)								
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI					
ВВ	Berino complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	91.0	7.2%					
КМ	Kermit-Berino fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes	1,004.0	79.0%					
SM	Simona-Bippus complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes	175.2	13.8%					
Totals for Area of Interest (AC	DI),	1,270.2	100.0%					

# **Map Unit Legend**

# **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic classes rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that





have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and gualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An association is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.





## Eddy Area, New Mexico Version date:1/28/2007 7:42:44 PM

#### BB—Berino complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded

#### Map Unit Setting

*Elevation:* 3,000 to 4,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 10 to 15 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 60 to 64 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 200 to 220 days

#### Map Unit Composition

Berino and similar soils: 60 percent Pajarito and similar soils: 25 percent

#### **Description of Berino**

#### Setting

Landform: Fan piedmonts, plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

#### Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 40 percent

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (2.0 to 4.0 mmhos/ cm)

Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0 Available water capacity: Moderate (about 8.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7e Ecological site: Loamy Sand (R042XC003NM)

#### **Typical profile**

0 to 17 inches: Fine sand 17 to 58 inches: Sandy clay loam 58 to 60 inches: Loamy sand

#### **Description of Pajarito**

#### Setting

Landform: Interdunes, plains, dunes Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex





#### Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 40 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 8.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e Land capability (nonirrigated): 7e Ecological site: Loamy Sand (R042XC003NM)

#### **Typical profile**

0 to 9 inches: Loamy fine sand 9 to 72 inches: Fine sandy loam

#### KM---Kermit-Berino fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

*Elevation:* 3,100 to 4,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 10 to 14 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 60 to 64 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 190 to 230 days

#### Map Unit Composition

*Kermit and similar soils:* 50 percent *Berino and similar soils:* 35 percent

#### **Description of Kermit**

#### Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise, talf Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very high (20.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.0 mmhos/cm)







Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0 Available water capacity: Low (about 3.1 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7e Ecological site: Deep Sand (R042XC005NM)

#### **Typical profile**

0 to 7 inches: Fine sand 7 to 60 inches: Fine sand

#### **Description of Berino**

#### Setting

Landform: Fan piedmonts, plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 40 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (2.0 to 4.0 mmhos/

cm)

Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0 Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.2 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e Land capability (nonirrigated): 7e Ecological site: Loamy Sand (R042XC003NM)

#### **Typical profile**

0 to 17 inches: Fine sand 17 to 50 inches: Fine sandy loam 50 to 58 inches: Loamy sand

#### SM—Simona-Bippus complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

*Elevation:* 3,000 to 4,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 10 to 14 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 60 to 64 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 200 to 220 days

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Simona and similar soils: 55 percent Bippus and similar soils: 30 percent





#### **Description of Simona**

#### Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 7 to 20 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water capacity: Very low (about 2.1 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7e Ecological site: Shallow Sandy (R042XC002NM)

#### **Typical profile**

0 to 19 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 19 to 23 inches: Indurated

#### **Description of Bippus**

#### Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, flood plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise, talf Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 5 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: Occasional
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 40 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 8.7 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e Land capability (nonirrigated): 3e



Ecological site: Bottomland (R042XC017NM)

Typical profile

0 to 37 inches: Silty clay loam 37 to 60 inches: Clay loam

.

.





ł

# **Soil Information for All Uses**

# **Soil Reports**

The Soil Reports section includes various formatted tabular and narrative reports (tables) containing data for each selected soil map unit and each component of each unit. No aggregation of data has occurred as is done in reports in the Soil Properties and Qualities and Suitabilities and Limitations sections.

The reports contain soil interpretive information as well as basic soil properties and qualities. A description of each report (table) is included.

# Land Classifications

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present a variety of soil groupings. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Land classifications are specified land use and management groupings that are assigned to soil areas because combinations of soil have similar behavior for specified practices. Most are based on soil properties and other factors that directly influence the specific use of the soil. Example classifications include ecological site classification, farmland classification, irrigated and nonirrigated land capability classification, and hydric rating.

### **Taxonomic Classification of the Soils**

The system of soil classification used by the National Cooperative Soil Survey has six categories (Soil Survey Staff, 1999 and 2003). Beginning with the broadest, these categories are the order, suborder, great group, subgroup, family, and series. Classification is based on soil properties observed in the field or inferred from those observations or from laboratory measurements. This table shows the classification of the soils in the survey area. The categories are defined in the following paragraphs.

ORDER. Twelve soil orders are recognized. The differences among orders reflect the dominant soil-forming processes and the degree of soil formation. Each order is identified by a word ending in *sol*. An example is Alfisols.

SUBORDER. Each order is divided into suborders primarily on the basis of properties that influence soil genesis and are important to plant growth or properties that reflect the most important variables within the orders. The last syllable in the name of a suborder indicates the order. An example is Udalfs (*Ud*, meaning humid, plus *alfs*, from Alfisols).





GREAT GROUP. Each suborder is divided into great groups on the basis of close similarities in kind, arrangement, and degree of development of pedogenic horizons; soil moisture and temperature regimes; type of saturation; and base status. Each great group is identified by the name of a suborder and by a prefix that indicates a property of the soil. An example is Hapludalfs (*Hapl*, meaning minimal horizonation, plus *udalfs*, the suborder of the Alfisols that has a udic moisture regime).

SUBGROUP. Each great group has a typic subgroup. Other subgroups are intergrades or extragrades. The typic subgroup is the central concept of the great group; it is not necessarily the most extensive. Intergrades are transitions to other orders, suborders, or great groups. Extragrades have some properties that are not representative of the great group but do not indicate transitions to any other taxonomic class. Each subgroup is identified by one or more adjectives preceding the name of the great group. The adjective *Typic* identifies the subgroup that typifies the great group. An example is Typic Hapludalfs.

FAMILY. Families are established within a subgroup on the basis of physical and chemical properties and other characteristics that affect management. Generally, the properties are those of horizons below plow depth where there is much biological activity. Among the properties and characteristics considered are particle-size class, mineralogy class, cation-exchange activity class, soil temperature regime, soil depth, and reaction class. A family name consists of the name of a subgroup preceded by terms that indicate soil properties. An example is fine-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Hapludalfs.

SERIES. The series consists of soils within a family that have horizons similar in color, texture, structure, reaction, consistence, mineral and chemical composition, and arrangement in the profile.

#### References:

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436.

Soil Survey Staff. 2003. Keys to soil taxonomy. 9th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. (The soils in a given survey area may have been classified according to earlier editions of this publication.)

#### **Report—Taxonomic Classification of the Soils**

[An asterisk by the soil name indicates a taxadjunct to the series]

Taxonomic Classification of the Soils- Eddy Area, New Mexico							
Soil name	Family or higher taxonomic classification						
Berino	Fine-loamy, mixed, thermic Typic Haplargids						
Bippus	Fine-silty, mixed, superactive, thermic Cumulic Haplustolls						
Kermit	Siliceous, thermic Typic Torripsamments						
Pajarito	Coarse-loamy, mixed, thermic Typic Camborthids						
Simona	Loamy, mixed, thermic, shallow Typic Paleorthids						





# **Soil Chemical Properties**

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present soil chemical properties. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Soil chemical properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory. Examples of soil chemical properties include pH, cation exchange capacity, calcium carbonate, gypsum, and electrical conductivity.

## **Chemical Soil Properties**

This table shows estimates of some chemical characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the layers of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Cation-exchange capacity is the total amount of extractable bases that can be held by the soil, expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil at neutrality (pH 7.0) or at some other stated pH value. Soils having a low cation-exchange capacity hold fewer cations and may require more frequent applications of fertilizer than soils having a high cation-exchange capacity. The ability to retain cations reduces the hazard of ground-water pollution.

*Effective cation-exchange capacity* refers to the sum of extractable bases plus aluminum expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil. It is determined for soils that have pH of less than 5.5.

*Soil reaction* is a measure of acidity or alkalinity. It is important in selecting crops and other plants, in evaluating soil amendments for fertility and stabilization, and in determining the risk of corrosion.

*Calcium carbonate* equivalent is the percent of carbonates, by weight, in the fraction of the soil less than 2 millimeters in size. The availability of plant nutrients is influenced by the amount of carbonates in the soil. Incorporating nitrogen fertilizer into calcareous soils helps to prevent nitrite accumulation and ammonium-N volatilization.

*Gypsum* is expressed as a percent, by weight, of hydrated calcium sulfates in the fraction of the soil less than 20 millimeters in size. Gypsum is partially soluble in water. Soils that have a high content of gypsum may collapse if the gypsum is removed by percolating water.

Salinity is a measure of soluble salts in the soil at saturation. It is expressed as the electrical conductivity of the saturation extract, in millimhos per centimeter at 25 degrees C. Estimates are based on field and laboratory measurements at representative sites of nonirrigated soils. The salinity of irrigated soils is affected by the quality of the irrigation water and by the frequency of water application. Hence, the salinity of soils in individual fields can differ greatly from the value given in the table. Salinity affects the suitability of a soil for crop production, the stability of soil if used as construction material, and the potential of the soil to corrode metal and concrete.

Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) is a measure of the amount of sodium (Na) relative to calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) in the water extract from saturated soil paste. It is





the ratio of the Na concentration divided by the square root of one-half of the Ca + Mg concentration. Soils that have SAR values of 13 or more may be characterized by an increased dispersion of organic matter and clay particles, reduced saturated hydraulic conductivity and aeration, and a general degradation of soil structure.







.



### Custom Soil Resource Report

Chemical Soil Properties– Eddy Area, New Mexico									
Map symbol and soil name	Depth	Cation- exchange capacity	Effective cation- exchange capacity	Soil reaction	Calcium carbonate	Gypsum	Salinity	Sodium adsorption ratio	
	In	meq/100g	meq/100g	pН	Pct	Pct	mmhos/cm		
BB—Berino complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded									
Berino	0-17	3.0-7.0	_	6.6-7.3	0.	0	0.0-2.0	0-1	
	17-58	7.0-20		6.6-7.8	0	0	2.0-4.0	0-1	
	58-60	0.0-1.0	_	7.9-8.4	15-40	0	2.0-4.0	0-1	
Pajarito	0-9	3.0-9.0	_	7.4-8.4	0-5	0	0.0-1.0	0-1	
	9-72	6.0-10	_	7.9-8.4	15-40	0	0.0-1.0	0-1	
KM—Kermit-Berino fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes									
Kermit	0-7	1.0-1.0	_	6.6-7.3	0	0	0.0-1.0	0-1	
	7-60	1.0-1.0	_	6.6-7.3	0	0	0.0-1.0	0-1	
Berino	0-17	3.0-7.0		6.6-7.3	0	0	0.0-1.0	0-1	
	17-50	7.0-20		6.6-7.3	0	0	2.0-4.0	0-1	
······································	50-58	0.0-1.0	_	7. <del>9</del> -8.4	15-40	0	2.0-4.0	0-1	
SM—Simona-Bippus complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes									
Simona	0-19	7.0-15		7.4-7.8	0-15	0	0.0-2.0	0-1 ´	
	19-23	_	_		_	`		-	
Bippus	0-37	6.0-15	—	7.4-8.4	0-15	0	0.0-2.0	0-1	
	37-60	8.0-20	-	7.9-8.4	15-40	0	0.0-2.0	0-1	



# **Soil Physical Properties**

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present soil physical properties. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Soil physical properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory. Examples of soil physical properties include percent clay, organic matter, saturated hydraulic conductivity, available water capacity, and bulk density.

### **Engineering Properties**

This table gives the engineering classifications and the range of engineering properties for the layers of each soil in the survey area.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

*Texture* is given in the standard terms used by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. These terms are defined according to percentages of sand, silt, and clay in the fraction of the soil that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. "Loam," for example, is soil that is 7 to 27 percent clay, 28 to 50 percent silt, and less than 52 percent sand. If the content of particles coarser than sand is 15 percent or more, an appropriate modifier is added, for example, "gravelly."

*Classification* of the soils is determined according to the Unified soil classification system (ASTM, 2005) and the system adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO, 2004).

The Unified system classifies soils according to properties that affect their use as construction material. Soils are classified according to particle-size distribution of the fraction less than 3 inches in diameter and according to plasticity index, liquid limit, and organic matter content. Sandy and gravelly soils are identified as GW, GP, GM, GC, SW, SP, SM, and SC; silty and clayey soils as ML, CL, OL, MH, CH, and OH; and highly organic soils as PT. Soils exhibiting engineering properties of two groups can have a dual classification, for example, CL-ML.

The AASHTO system classifies soils according to those properties that affect roadway construction and maintenance. In this system, the fraction of a mineral soil that is less than 3 inches in diameter is classified in one of seven groups from A-1 through A-7 on the basis of particle-size distribution, liquid limit, and plasticity index. Soils in group A-1 are coarse grained and low in content of fines (silt and clay). At the other extreme, soils in group A-7 are fine grained. Highly organic soils are classified in group A-8 on the basis of visual inspection.

If laboratory data are available, the A-1, A-2, and A-7 groups are further classified as A-1-a, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5, A-2-6, A-2-7, A-7-5, or A-7-6. As an additional refinement, the suitability of a soil as subgrade material can be indicated by a group index number. Group index numbers range from 0 for the best subgrade material to 20 or higher for the poorest.

*Rock fragments* larger than 10 inches in diameter and 3 to 10 inches in diameter are indicated as a percentage of the total soil on a dry-weight basis. The percentages are estimates determined mainly by converting volume percentage in the field to weight percentage.



*Percentage (of soil particles) passing designated sieves* is the percentage of the soil fraction less than 3 inches in diameter based on an ovendry weight. The sieves, numbers 4, 10, 40, and 200 (USA Standard Series), have openings of 4.76, 2.00, 0.420, and 0.074 millimeters, respectively. Estimates are based on laboratory tests of soils sampled in the survey area and in nearby areas and on estimates made in the field.

*Liquid limit* and *plasticity index* (Atterberg limits) indicate the plasticity characteristics of a soil. The estimates are based on test data from the survey area or from nearby areas and on field examination.

#### References:

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.









Engineering Properties– Eddy Area, New Mexico												
Map unit symbol and soil	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	ication	Frag	ments	Perce	ntage pass	ing sieve n	umber	Liquid	Plasticity
name			Unified	AASHTO	>10 inches	3-10 inches	4	10	40	200	- limit	index
	In				Pct	Pct	1	1	1	1	Pct	
BB—Berino complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded												
Benno	0-17	Fine sand	SM	A-2	0	0	95-100	95-100	50-95	10-35	0-0	NP
	17-58	Sandy clay loam	CL, CL- ML, SC, SC-SM	A-4, A-6	0	0	95-100	95-100	65-80	35-60	20-35	5-15
	58-60	Loamy sand	SM	A-2	0	0	95-100	95-100	55-75	15-25	0-0	NP
Pajarito	0-9	Loamy fine sand	SM	A-2	0	0	100	100	85-100	25-35	0-0	NP
	9-72	Fine sandy loam	SM	A-2, A-4	0	0	90-100	85-100	60-100	25-45	15-20	NP-5
KM—Kermit-Berino fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes												
Kermit	0-7	Fine sand	SM	A-2, A-3	0	0	100	100	85-100	5-15	0-0	NP
	7-60	Fine sand	SP, SP- SM	A-3	0	0	90-100	75-100	60-80	0-10	0-0	NP
Berino	0-17	Fine sand	SM	A-2	0	0	95-100	95-100	50-95	10-35	0-0	NP
	17-50	Sandy clay loam, fine sandy loam	CL, CL- ML, SC, SC-SM	A-4, A-6	0	0	95-100	<b>95-100</b>	65-80	35-60	20-35	5-15
	50-58	Loamy sand	SM	A-2	0	0	95-100	9 <b>5-</b> 100	55-75	15-25	0-0	NP

.





Engineering Properties- Eddy Area, New Mexico												
Map unit symbol and soil	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Fragments		Perce	ntage pass	Liquid	Plasticity		
name			Unified	AASHTO	>10 inches	3-10 inches	4	10	40	200	limit	index
	In				Pct	Pct	1				Pct	
SM—Simona-Bippus complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes												
Simona	0-19	Gravelly fine sandy loam	GM, SM	A-2, A-4	0	0-10	60-80	55-75	50-70	30-50	20-25	NP-5
	19-23	Indurated	-	_				_			-	
Bippus	0-37	Silty clay loam	CL, CL- ML, SC, SC-SM	A-2-4, A-4	0	0	100	95-100	80-98	30-60	18-25	4-10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	37-60	Clay loam	CL, SC, SC-SM	A-4, A-6	0	0	100	95-100	85-98	36-75	22-40	7-20

.

۰.

3

## **Physical Soil Properties**

This table shows estimates of some physical characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the layers of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Particle size is the effective diameter of a soil particle as measured by sedimentation, sieving, or micrometric methods. Particle sizes are expressed as classes with specific effective diameter class limits. The broad classes are sand, silt, and clay, ranging from the larger to the smaller.

Sand as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.05 millimeter to 2 millimeters in diameter. In this table, the estimated sand content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

Silt as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.002 to 0.05 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated silt content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

*Clay* as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated clay content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of sand, silt, and clay affects the physical behavior of a soil. Particle size is important for engineering and agronomic interpretations, for determination of soil hydrologic qualities, and for soil classification.

The amount and kind of clay affect the fertility and physical condition of the soil and the ability of the soil to adsorb cations and to retain moisture. They influence shrink-swell potential, saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat), plasticity, the ease of soil dispersion, and other soil properties. The amount and kind of clay in a soil also affect tillage and earthmoving operations.

Moist bulk density is the weight of soil (ovendry) per unit volume. Volume is measured when the soil is at field moisture capacity, that is, the moisture content at 1/3- or 1/10bar (33kPa or 10kPa) moisture tension. Weight is determined after the soil is dried at 105 degrees C. In the table, the estimated moist bulk density of each soil horizon is expressed in grams per cubic centimeter of soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. Bulk density data are used to compute linear extensibility, shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, total pore space, and other soil properties. The moist bulk density of a soil indicates the pore space available for water and roots. Depending on soil texture, a bulk density of more than 1.4 can restrict water storage and root penetration. Moist bulk density is influenced by texture, kind of clay, content of organic matter, and soil structure.

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) refers to the ease with which pores in a saturated soil transmit water. The estimates in the table are expressed in terms of micrometers per second. They are based on soil characteristics observed in the field, particularly structure, porosity, and texture. Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) is considered in the design of soil drainage systems and septic tank absorption fields.



Available water capacity refers to the quantity of water that the soil is capable of storing for use by plants. The capacity for water storage is given in inches of water per inch of soil for each soil layer. The capacity varies, depending on soil properties that affect retention of water. The most important properties are the content of organic matter, soil texture, bulk density, and soil structure. Available water capacity is an important factor in the choice of plants or crops to be grown and in the design and management of irrigation systems. Available water capacity is not an estimate of the quantity of water actually available to plants at any given time.

*Linear extensibility* refers to the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state. It is an expression of the volume change between the water content of the clod at 1/3- or 1/10-bar tension (33kPa or 10kPa tension) and oven dryness. The volume change is reported in the table as percent change for the whole soil. The amount and type of clay minerals in the soil influence volume change.

Linear extensibility is used to determine the shrink-swell potential of soils. The shrinkswell potential is low if the soil has a linear extensibility of less than 3 percent; moderate if 3 to 6 percent; high if 6 to 9 percent; and very high if more than 9 percent. If the linear extensibility is more than 3, shrinking and swelling can cause damage to buildings, roads, and other structures and to plant roots. Special design commonly is needed.

*Organic matter* is the plant and animal residue in the soil at various stages of decomposition. In this table, the estimated content of organic matter is expressed as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. The content of organic matter in a soil can be maintained by returning crop residue to the soil.

Organic matter has a positive effect on available water capacity, water infiltration, soil organism activity, and tilth. It is a source of nitrogen and other nutrients for crops and soil organisms.

*Erosion factors* are shown in the table as the K factor (Kw and Kf) and the T factor. Erosion factor K indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and Ksat. Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water.

*Erosion factor Kw* indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments.

*Erosion factor Kf* indicates the erodibility of the fine-earth fraction, or the material less than 2 millimeters in size.

*Erosion factor* T is an estimate of the maximum average annual rate of soil erosion by wind and/or water that can occur without affecting crop productivity over a sustained period. The rate is in tons per acre per year.

Wind erodibility groups are made up of soils that have similar properties affecting their susceptibility to wind erosion in cultivated areas. The soils assigned to group 1 are the most susceptible to wind erosion, and those assigned to group 8 are the least susceptible. The groups are described in the "National Soil Survey Handbook."

Wind erodibility index is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to wind erosion, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to wind erosion.







There is a close correlation between wind erosion and the texture of the surface layer, the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence wind erosion.

#### Reference:

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. (http://soils.usda.gov)



.



### Custom Soil Resource Report

Physical Soil Properties Eddy Area, New Mexico														
Map symbol and soil name	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moist bulk density	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	Available water capacity	Linear extensibility	Organic matter	Erosion factors			Wind	Wind
										Kw	Kf	т	erodibility group	erodibility index
	In	Pct	Pct	Pct	g/cc	micro m/sec	in/in	Pct	Pct	ŀ				
BB—Berino complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded						-								
Berino	0-17		-	5-10	1.55-1.65	14.11-42.34	0.09-0.11	0.0-2.9	0.3-0.6	.17	.17	4	2	134
	17-58	·	-	20-35	1.20-1.30	4.23-14.11	0.13-0.17	3.0-5.9	0.2-0.3	.32	.32			
	58-60		_	0-10	1.40-1.50	14.11-42.34	0.06-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.2	.17	.17			
Pajarito	0-9	_	-	5-12	1.40-1.50	14.11-42.34	0.09-0.11	0.0-2.9	0.4-0.7	.17	.17	5	2	134
	9-72		-	15-20	1:45-1.55	14.11-42:34	0.13-0.15	0.0-2.9	0.2-0.3	.24	.24			
KM—Kermit- Berino fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes														
Kermit	0-7	-		2-8	1.40-1.50	141.14-141.14	0.05-0.07	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.2	.17	.17	5	1	220
	7-60	-	_	2-8	1.40-1.50	141.14-141.14	0.04-0.06	0.0-2.9	0.0-0.2	.15	.15	1		
Berino	0-17	-	-	5-10	1.55-1.65	14.11-42.34	0.09-0.11	0.0-2.9	0.3-0.6	.17	.17	4	2.	134
	17-50	-	-	18-35	1:20-1.30	4.23-14.11	0.13-0.17	3.0-5.9	0.2-0.5	.32	.32			
	50-58	-		0-10	1.40-1.50	14.11-42.34	0.06-0.08	0.0-2.9	0.1-0.3	.17	.17			
SM—Simona- Bippus complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes														
Simona	0-19		_	15-20	1.45-1.55	14.11-42.34	0.09-0.12	0.0-2.9	0.4-0.7	.15	.28	1	4	86
	19-23	-	_	_	i –	0.00-0.42		-	_					
Bippus	0-37 ·	<u> </u>	-	27-35	1.45-1.65	14.11-42.34	0.11-0.15	0.0-2.9	1.0-3.0	.24	.24	5	3	86
	37-60		-	27-35	1.40-1.65	4.23-14.11	0.14-0.20	3.0-5.9	0.2-0.5	.28	.28			



# **Soil Qualities and Features**

This folder contains tabular reports that present various soil qualities and features. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

## **Soil Features**

This table gives estimates of various soil features. The estimates are used in land use planning that involves engineering considerations.

A *restrictive layer* is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers. The table indicates the hardness and thickness of the restrictive layer, both of which significantly affect the ease of excavation. *Depth to top* is the vertical distance from the soil surface to the upper boundary of the restrictive layer.

Subsidence is the settlement of organic soils or of saturated mineral soils of very low density. Subsidence generally results from either desiccation and shrinkage, or oxidation of organic material, or both, following drainage. Subsidence takes place gradually, usually over a period of several years. The table shows the expected initial subsidence, which usually is a result of drainage, and total subsidence, which results from a combination of factors.

Potential for frost action is the likelihood of upward or lateral expansion of the soil caused by the formation of segregated ice lenses (frost heave) and the subsequent collapse of the soil and loss of strength on thawing. Frost action occurs when moisture moves into the freezing zone of the soil. Temperature, texture, density, saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat), content of organic matter, and depth to the water table are the most important factors considered in evaluating the potential for frost action. It is assumed that the soil is not insulated by vegetation or snow and is not artificially drained. Silty and highly structured, clayey soils that have a high water table in winter are the least susceptible. Frost heave and low soil strength during thawing cause damage to pavements and other rigid structures.

*Risk of corrosion* pertains to potential soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that corrodes or weakens uncoated steel or concrete. The rate of corrosion of uncoated steel is related to such factors as soil moisture, particle-size distribution, acidity, and electrical conductivity of the soil. The rate of corrosion of concrete is based mainly on the sulfate and sodium content, texture, moisture content, and acidity of the soil. Special site examination and design may be needed if the combination of factors results in a severe hazard of corrosion. The steel or concrete in installations that intersect soil boundaries or soil layers is more susceptible to corrosion than the steel





or concrete in installations that are entirely within one kind of soil or within one soil layer.

For uncoated steel, the risk of corrosion, expressed as *low*, *moderate*, or *high*, is based on soil drainage class, total acidity, electrical resistivity near field capacity, and electrical conductivity of the saturation extract.

For concrete, the risk of corrosion also is expressed as *low*, *moderate*, or *high*. It is based on soil texture, acidity, and amount of sulfates in the saturation extract.

30





Soil Features- Eddy Area, New Mexico										
Map symbol and soil name		Res	strictive Layer		Subsidence		Potential for frost	Risk of corrosion		
	Kind	Depth to top	Thickness	Hardness	Initial	Total	action	Uncoated steel	Concrete	
		In	In		In	In				
BB—Berino complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded										
Berino		-			_	-	Low	High	Low	
Pajarito		-	_		_	-	Low	High	Low	
KM—Kermit-Berino fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes										
Kermit						[	Low	Moderate	Low	
Berino		_	- '			_	Low	High	Low	
SM—Simona- Bippus complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes										
Simona	Petrocalcic	7-20	4-17	Indurated	-	- :	Low	High	Low	
Bippus		_				_	Low	Moderate	Low	

>

\$

# References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://soils.usda.gov/

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://soils.usda.gov/

Soil Survey Staff. 2006. Keys to soil taxonomy. 10th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://soils.usda.gov/

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical. Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://soils.usda.gov/

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.glti.nrcs.usda.gov/

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://soils.usda.gov/

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://soils.usda.gov/





United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210.



33

••••

Attachment E Remediation System Diagrams

,



