

**RECEIVED**

**JAN 08 2010**

**HOBBSOCD**

January 5, 2010

**VIA HAND DELIVERED**

Mr. Geoffrey Leking, Env. Engineer  
State of New Mexico – Oil Conservation Division  
1625 N French Drive  
Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

RE: OCD Remediation Project No. 1RP-10-1-2378, EMSU Satellite #8 Removal and  
Excavation Closure Report, XTO Energy, Inc., Unit I (NE/4, SE/4), Section 7, Township 21  
South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Leking:

This report is submitted to the State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division on behalf of XTO  
Energy, Inc. (XTO) by Larson and Associates, Inc., its agent, and presents the results of remedial  
actions performed at referenced below grade tank removal.

Based upon the results of this investigation, XTO requests OCD closure approval.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me at 432.687.0901 to discuss.

Sincerely,

**LARSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.**



Michelle L. Green  
Environmental Scientist - Chemist  
[michelle@laenvironmental.com](mailto:michelle@laenvironmental.com)

Attachments

CC

Mr. Dudley McMinn – XTO Energy, Midland, TX  
Mr. Rick Wilson – XTO Energy, EMSU

**RECEIVED**

**JAN 08 2010**

**HOBBSOCD**

**Below Grade Tank Removal and  
Excavation Closure Report**

**XTO Energy, Inc.**

**1RP-10-1-2378**

**Eunice Monument South Unit – Satellite #8**

**Unit I (NE/4, SE/4), Section 7, T21S, R36E**

**Lea County, NM**

**Project No. 8-0148**

**Prepared by:**

**Larson and Associates, Inc.**

**507 North Marienfeld Street**

**Suite 200**

**Midland, Texas 79701**

**432.687.0901**

**January 5, 2010**

## Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary.....	1
2.0	Operator Information.....	1
3.0	Closure Actions.....	1
3.1	Location and Siting Description.....	1
3.2	Closure Plan and Approval .....	2
3.3	Landowner and OCD Notifications.....	2
3.4	Tank Removal Closure Activities .....	2
4.0	Conclusion and Recommendation .....	2

## Tables

Table 1	Soil Analytical Data Summary
---------	------------------------------

## Figures

Figure 1	Topographic Map
Figure 2	Aerial Photograph
Figure 3	Site Drawing

## Appendices

Appendix A	Analytical Results
Appendix B	Initial and Final C-141 Forms

## 1.0 Executive Summary

This report is submitted to the State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) on behalf of XTO Energy, Inc. (XTO) by Larson and Associates, Inc. (LAI), its consultant, and presents the results of remedial actions performed at the referenced below grade tank removal. The following report documents the removal of the below grade tank associated with the Eunice Monument South Unit (EMSU), Satellite #8 (Facility) located in Lea County, New Mexico. The legal description of the Facility is Unit I (NE/4, SE/4), Section 7, Township 21 South, Range 36 East (Figure 1). The Site has a geodetic location of N32° 29' 37.02", W103° 17' 51.66".

Closure activities consisted of notifications to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) and the landowner of record (New Mexico State Land Office), removal of ancillary equipment and tank, removal of soil, collection of soil samples, OCD issuance of a remediation case number and the subsequent investigation. Activities were performed in conformance with New Mexico Administrative Code Rule 19.15.17 as amended June 16, 2008 and June 18, 2009.

## 2.0 Operator Information

Primary Contact: Mr. Rick Wilson  
Address: XTO Energy Inc., Permian Division – SE New Mexico  
PO Box 700  
Eunice, New Mexico 88231  
Office: 575.394.2089, ext. 2201

Secondary Contact: Mr. Guy Haykus  
Address: XTO Energy Inc.  
Midland Office  
200 N. Loraine Street, Suite 800  
Midland, Texas 79701  
Office: 432.682.8873

## 3.0 Closure Actions

### 3.1 Location and Siting Description

The Site has a geodetic location of N32° 29' 37.02", W103° 17' 51.66", and is located in rural Lea County, New Mexico. The nearest producing well is the XTO EMSU Well #293, with API # 30-025-04539. The Site encompasses a 0.6-acre tract of land. The Facility consisted of a fiberglass, below-ground storage tank, with an approximate capacity of 90 barrels. The surface is covered with crushed caliche rock, has an earthen berm to prevent run-on/run-off, and is situated in flat to very gently sloping land (Figures 2 and 3).

The Facility's siting criteria presented the following findings:

- Groundwater is more than 100 feet below ground surface based on records from the New Mexico State Engineer (NMSE).
- No continuously flowing watercourse is within 300 horizontal feet of the Facility.

- No surface water features, including lakes, rivers, ponds, arroyos, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake, are located within 200 horizontal feet of Facility.
- No permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church is within 300 horizontal feet of Facility.
- No private, domestic fresh-water well or spring are within 500 horizontal feet of Facility.
- No other fresh water wells or springs are within 1000 horizontal feet of the Facility.
- The Facility is not located within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance.
- The Facility is not within 500 feet an area designated as wetlands.
- The Facility is not within an area overlying a subsurface mine.
- The Facility is not within an unstable area.
- The Facility is not within a 100-year flood plain.

### **3.2 Closure Plan and Approval**

On December 12, 2008, LAI, on behalf of XTO, submitted a below grade tank closure plan to the OCD in Santa Fe and Hobbs, New Mexico, in accordance with an Agreed Scheduling Order (ASO-008) between XTO and OCD. The Closure Plan was approved and signed by the OCD representative Mr. Brad Jones on July 17, 2009.

### **3.3 Landowner and OCD Notifications**

In accordance with the approved closure plan and prior to commencing work, notification of closure was sent by XTO to the New Mexico State Land Office (the surface owner) and the OCD.

### **3.4 Tank Removal Closure Activities**

On December 10, 2009, XTO removed ancillary equipment (i.e. metal barricade) for salvage or scrap metal. A Hydro-Vac truck was used to excavate soil around the tank. LAI personnel performed a site visit to collect a 5-part composite soil sample from the bottom (Satellite 8 Bottom).

The sample was analyzed for the following constituents: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX) by method 8021B, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) by method 418.1 and chloride by method 300.1. The Satellite 8 Bottom sample was below the TPH and chloride OCD reporting levels of 100 ppm (96.7 ppm) and 250 ppm (99.3 ppm), respectively.

An initial C-141 was submitted to the OCD District 1, Hobbs office on December 22, 2009. The OCD District 1 office issued remediation project number 1RP-10-1-2378.

Summary of analytical data is presented in Table 1. Analytical laboratory report is presented in Appendix A.

## **4.0 Conclusion and Recommendation**

Based on the soil sample results, XTO requests approval from OCD District 1 to close the excavation according to the requirements of the closure plan approved by the OCD Santa Fe office. The initial and final C-141 forms are presented in Appendix B.

Table 1  
Soil Analytical Data Summary  
EMSU - Satellite #8  
XTO Energy, Inc.  
Lea County, New Mexico  
Project No.: 8-0148

Sample ID	Date	Benzene	Ethyl benzene	Toluene	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX	TRPH	Chlorides
Reporting Limit		0.2				50	100	250
Satellite 8 Bottom	12/10/2009	<0.0011	<0.0011	<0.0023	<0.0011	<0.0011	96.7	99.3

**Notes**

RRAL - Recommended Remediation Action Level

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons analyzed via Method 418.1.

Chlorides analyzed via EPA Method 300.

All values reported in Milligrams per Kilogram - dry (mg/kg, parts per million).

**Bold and blue** indicates the value exceeds NMOCD requirements.





Figure 1 - Topographic Map



30 0 30  
Graphic Scale in Feet


XTO Energy, Inc.  
EMSU - Sat #8  
Unit I. Sec. 7, T-21-S, R-36-E  
Lea County, New Mexico

N 32° 29' 37.02"  
W 103° 17' 51.19"

Larson &  
Associates, Inc.  
Environmental Consultants

Figure 2 - Aerial





Graphic Scale in Feet

XTO Energy, Inc.  
EMSU - Sat #8  
Unit I. Sec. 7, T-21-S, R-36-E  
Lea County, New Mexico

N 32° 29' 37.02"  
W 103° 17' 51.19"

**L**arson &  
Associates, Inc.  
Environmental Consultants

Figure 3 - Site Drawing

# Analytical Report 355229

for

**Larson & Associates**

**Project Manager: Michelle Green**

**XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8**

**8-0148**

**21-DEC-09**



**12600 West I-20 East Odessa, Texas 79765**

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122):

Texas (T104704215-08-TX), Arizona (AZ0738), Arkansas (08-039-0), Connecticut (PH-0102), Florida (E871002)  
Illinois (002082), Indiana (C-TX-02), Iowa (392), Kansas (E-10380), Kentucky (45), Louisiana (03054)  
New Hampshire (297408), New Jersey (TX007), New York (11763), Oklahoma (9218), Pennsylvania (68-03610)  
Rhode Island (LAO00308), USDA (S-44102)

Xenco-Atlanta (EPA Lab Code: GA00046):

Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483), South Carolina (98015), Utah (AAL11), West Virginia (362), Kentucky (85)  
Louisiana (04176), USDA (P330-07-00105)

Xenco-Miami (EPA Lab code: FL01152): Florida (E86678), Maryland (330)

Xenco-Tampa Mobile (EPA Lab code: FL01212): Florida (E84900)

Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-08-TX)

Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-08-TX)

Xenco-Corpus Christi (EPA Lab code: TX02613): Texas (T104704370-08-TX)

Xenco-Boca Raton (EPA Lab Code: FL00449): Florida (E86240),

South Carolina (96031001), Louisiana (04154), Georgia (917)



21-DEC-09

Project Manager: **Michelle Green**  
**Larson & Associates**  
P.O. Box 50685  
Midland, TX 79710

Reference: XENCO Report No: **355229**  
**XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8**  
Project Address:

**Michelle Green:**

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number 355229. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. Estimation of data uncertainty for this report is found in the quality control section of this report unless otherwise noted. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 355229 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

**Brent Barron, II**

Odessa Laboratory Manager

*Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.*

*Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.*

*A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY*

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Atlanta - Corpus Christi - Latin America



## Sample Cross Reference 355229



Larson & Associates, Midland, TX

XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
Satellite-8 Pit Bottom	S	Dec-10-09 09:40		355229-001



## CASE NARRATIVE

*Client Name: Larson & Associates*

*Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8*

*Project ID: 8-0148*

*Work Order Number: 355229*

*Report Date: 21-DEC-09*

*Date Received: 12/11/2009*

---

**Sample receipt non conformances and Comments:**

*None*

---

**Sample receipt Non Conformances and Comments per Sample:**

*None*

**Analytical Non Conformances and Comments:**

*Batch: LBA-785465 Percent Moisture*

*None*

*Batch: LBA-785471 Anions by E300*

*None*

*Batch: LBA-786005 TPH by EPA 418.1*

*None*

*Batch: LBA-786278 BTEX by EPA 8021B*

*SW8021BM*

*Batch 786278, m,p-Xylenes recovered below QC limits in the Matrix Spike Duplicate.*

*Samples affected are: 355229-001.*

*The Laboratory Control Sample for m,p-Xylenes is within laboratory Control Limits*



# Certificate of Analysis Summary 355229

Larson & Associates, Midland, TX

Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8



Project Id: 8-0148

Contact: Michelle Green

Date Received in Lab: Fri Dec-11-09 09:23 am

Report Date: 21-DEC-09

Project Location:

Project Manager: Brent Barron, II

<b>Analysis Requested</b>	<b>Lab Id:</b> 355229-001 <b>Field Id:</b> Satellite-8 Pit Bottom <b>Depth:</b> <b>Matrix:</b> SOIL <b>Sampled:</b> Dec-10-09 09 40					
<b>Anions by E300</b>	<b>Extracted:</b> <b>Analyzed:</b> Dec-11-09 18 28 <b>Units/RL:</b> mg/kg RL					
Chloride	99 3 4 76					
<b>BTEX by EPA 8021B</b>	<b>Extracted:</b> Dec-17-09 13 30 <b>Analyzed:</b> Dec-17-09 21 12 <b>Units/RL:</b> mg/kg RL					
Benzene	ND 0 0011					
Toluene	ND 0 0023					
Ethylbenzene	ND 0 0011					
m,p-Xylenes	ND 0 0023					
o-Xylene	ND 0 0011					
Total Xylenes	ND 0 0011					
Total BTEX	ND 0 0011					
<b>Percent Moisture</b>	<b>Extracted:</b> <b>Analyzed:</b> Dec-11-09 17 00 <b>Units/RL:</b> % RL					
Percent Moisture	11 7 1 00					
<b>TPH by EPA 418.1</b>	<b>Extracted:</b> <b>Analyzed:</b> Dec-16-09 09 46 <b>Units/RL:</b> mg/kg RL					
TPH, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	96 7 11 3					

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Since 1990 Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Latin America - Atlanta - Corpus Christi

Brent Barron, II  
Odessa Laboratory Manager

- X** In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to effect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also effect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E** The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J** The target analyte was positively identified below the MQL and above the SQL.
- U** Analyte was not detected.
- L** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL** Reporting Limit
- \* Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC Accreditation.

***Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.***

*Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.*

*A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY*

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Corpus Christi - Midland/Odessa - Tampa - Miami - Latin America

4143 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, Tx 77477  
 9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220  
 5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238  
 2505 North Falkenburg Rd, Tampa, FL 33619  
 5757 NW 158th St, Miami Lakes, FL 33014  
 12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79765  
 842 Cantwell Lane, Corpus Christi, TX 78408

Phone	Fax
(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335
(813) 620-2000	(813) 620-2033
(305) 823-8500	(305) 823-8555
(432) 563-1800	(432) 563-1713
(361) 884-0371	(361) 884-9116



## Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8

Work Orders : 355229,

Project ID: 8-0148

Lab Batch #: 786278

Sample: 545849-1-BKS / BKS

Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/17/09 18:13

### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.0324	0.0300	108	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0308	0.0300	103	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 786278

Sample: 545849-1-BSD / BSD

Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/17/09 18:36

### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.0315	0.0300	105	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0304	0.0300	101	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 786278

Sample: 545849-1-BLK / BLK

Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/17/09 19:42

### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.0276	0.0300	92	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0270	0.0300	90	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 786278

Sample: 355229-001 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/17/09 21:12

### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.0267	0.0300	89	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0269	0.0300	90	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 786278

Sample: 355585-006 S / MS

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/18/09 17:23

### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.0309	0.0300	103	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0279	0.0300	93	80-120	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits, data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] =  $100 * A / B$

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes





## Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8

Work Orders : 355229,

Project ID: 8-0148

Lab Batch #: 786278

Sample: 355585-006 SD / MSD

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/18/09 17:46

### SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B  Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzene	0.0303	0.0300	101	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0267	0.0300	89	80-120	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits, data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] =  $100 * A / B$

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes



## Blank Spike Recovery



Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8

Work Order #: 355229

Project ID:

8-0148

Lab Batch #: 785471

Sample: 785471-1-BKS

Matrix: Solid

Date Analyzed: 12/11/2009

Date Prepared: 12/11/2009

Analyst: LATCOR

Reporting Units: mg/kg

Batch #: 1

### BLANK/BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY STUDY

Anions by E300  Analytes	Blank Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Chloride	ND	10.0	10.7	107	75-125	

Blank Spike Recovery [D] =  $100 * [C] / [B]$

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes

BRL - Below Reporting Limit

**Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8**
**Work Order #: 355229**
**Analyst: ASA**
**Date Prepared: 12/17/2009**
**Project ID: 8-0148**
**Date Analyzed: 12/17/2009**
**Lab Batch ID: 786278**
**Sample: 545849-1-BKS**
**Batch #: 1**
**Matrix: Solid**
**Units: mg/kg**
**BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY**

<b>BTEX by EPA 8021B</b>	<b>Blank Sample Result [A]</b>	<b>Spike Added [B]</b>	<b>Blank Spike Result [C]</b>	<b>Blank Spike %R [D]</b>	<b>Spike Added [E]</b>	<b>Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]</b>	<b>Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]</b>	<b>RPD %</b>	<b>Control Limits %R</b>	<b>Control Limits %RPD</b>	<b>Flag</b>
<b>Analytes</b>											
Benzene	ND	0 1000	0 1090	109	0 1	0 1089	109	0	70-130	35	
Toluene	ND	0 1000	0 1122	112	0 1	0 1122	112	0	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0 1000	0 1081	108	0 1	0 1079	108	0	71-129	35	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0 2000	0 2399	120	0 2	0 2402	120	0	70-135	35	
o-Xylene	ND	0 1000	0 1192	119	0 1	0 1188	119	0	71-133	35	

**Analyst: LATCOR**
**Date Prepared: 12/16/2009**
**Date Analyzed: 12/16/2009**
**Lab Batch ID: 786005**
**Sample: 786005-1-BKS**
**Batch #: 1**
**Matrix: Solid**
**Units: mg/kg**
**BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY**

<b>TPH by EPA 418.1</b>	<b>Blank Sample Result [A]</b>	<b>Spike Added [B]</b>	<b>Blank Spike Result [C]</b>	<b>Blank Spike %R [D]</b>	<b>Spike Added [E]</b>	<b>Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]</b>	<b>Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]</b>	<b>RPD %</b>	<b>Control Limits %R</b>	<b>Control Limits %RPD</b>	<b>Flag</b>
<b>Analytes</b>											
TPH, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	2500	2610	104	2500	2750	110	5	65-135	35	

 Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200 * |(C-F)/(C+F)|$ 

 Blank Spike Recovery [D] =  $100 * (C)/[B]$ 

 Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] =  $100 * (F)/[E]$ 

All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



### Form 3 - MS Recoveries

Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8



Work Order #: 355229

Lab Batch #: 785471

Date Analyzed: 12/11/2009

Date Prepared: 12/11/2009

Project ID: 8-0148

Analyst: LATCOR

QC- Sample ID: 355331-002 S

Batch #: 1

Matrix: Soil

Reporting Units: mg/kg

#### MATRIX / MATRIX SPIKE RECOVERY STUDY

Inorganic Anions by EPA 300  Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	%R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
Chloride	170	220	387	99	75-125	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] =  $100 \times (C-A)/B$   
Relative Percent Difference [E] =  $200 \times (C-A)/(C+B)$   
All Results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

BRL - Below Reporting Limit



# Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8



Work Order #: 355229

Project ID: 8-0148

Lab Batch ID: 786278

QC- Sample ID: 355585-006 S

Batch #: 1 Matrix: Soil

Date Analyzed: 12/18/2009

Date Prepared: 12/17/2009

Analyst: ASA

Reporting Units: mg/kg

## MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Benzene	ND	0 1214	0 1066	88	0 1214	0 0948	78	12	70-130	35	
Toluene	ND	0 1214	0 1080	89	0 1214	0 0938	77	14	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0 1214	0 1002	83	0 1214	0 0870	72	14	71-129	35	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0 2427	0 2181	90	0 2427	0 1543	64	34	70-135	35	X
o-Xylene	ND	0 1214	0 1050	86	0 1214	0 0912	75	14	71-133	35	

Lab Batch ID: 786005

QC- Sample ID: 355229-001 S

Batch #: 1 Matrix: Soil

Date Analyzed: 12/16/2009

Date Prepared: 12/16/2009

Analyst: LATCOR

Reporting Units: mg/kg

## MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH by EPA 418.1 Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
TPH, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	96 7	2830	2940	100	2830	3020	103	3	65-135	35	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100 * (C - A) / B$   
Relative Percent Difference  $RPD = 200 * |(C - F) / (C + F)|$

Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery  $[G] = 100 * (F - A) / E$

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable  
N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit



## Sample Duplicate Recovery



Project Name: XTO / ESMU - Satellite - 8

Work Order #: 355229

Lab Batch #: 785471

Project ID: 8-0148

Date Analyzed: 12/11/2009

Date Prepared: 12/11/2009

Analyst: LATCOR

QC- Sample ID: 355331-002 D

Batch #: 1

Matrix: Soil

Reporting Units: mg/kg

SAMPLE / SAMPLE DUPLICATE RECOVERY					
Anions by E300	Parent Sample Result [A]	Sample Duplicate Result [B]	RPD	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analyte					
Chloride	170	148	14	20	

Lab Batch #: 785465

Date Analyzed: 12/11/2009

Date Prepared: 12/11/2009

Analyst: WRU

QC- Sample ID: 355229-001 D

Batch #: 1

Matrix: Soil

Reporting Units: %

SAMPLE / SAMPLE DUPLICATE RECOVERY					
Percent Moisture	Parent Sample Result [A]	Sample Duplicate Result [B]	RPD	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analyte					
Percent Moisture	11.7	12.4	6	20	

Spike Relative Difference RPD  $200 * |(B-A)/(B+A)|$

All Results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes

BRL - Below Reporting Limit

[illegible]

**Environmental Lab of Texas**  
Variance/ Corrective Action Report- Sample Log-In

Client: Larson & Assoc  
Date/ Time: 12-11-09 9:23  
Lab ID #: 355279  
Initials: AL

**Sample Receipt Checklist**

Client Initials

#1 Temperature of container/ cooler?	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>4.1</u> °C	
#2 Shipping container in good condition?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#3 Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler?	Yes	No	<u>Not Present</u>	
#4 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles/ container?	Yes	No	<u>Not Present</u>	
#5 Chain of Custody present?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#6 Sample instructions complete of Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#7 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#8 Chain of Custody agrees with sample label(s)?	<u>Yes</u>	No	ID written on Cont./ Lid	
#9 Container label(s) legible and intact?	<u>Yes</u>	No	Not Applicable	
#10 Sample matrix/ properties agree with Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#11 Containers supplied by ELOT?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#12 Samples in proper container/ bottle?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#13 Samples properly preserved?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#14 Sample bottles intact?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#15 Preservations documented on Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#16 Containers documented on Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#17 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#18 All samples received within sufficient hold time?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#19 Subcontract of sample(s)?	Yes	No	<u>Not Applicable</u>	
#20 VOC samples have zero headspace?	<u>Yes</u>	No	Not Applicable	

**Variance Documentation**

Contact: \_\_\_\_\_ Contacted by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date/ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Regarding: \_\_\_\_\_

Corrective Action Taken:

- Check all that Apply:
- ☐ See attached e-mail/ fax
  - ☐ Client understands and would like to proceed with analysis
  - ☐ Cooling process had begun shortly after sampling event



RECEIVED

State of New Mexico  
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources  
Oil Conservation Division  
1220 South St. Francis Dr.  
Santa Fe, NM 87505Form C-141  
Revised October 10, 2003Submit 2 Copies to appropriate  
District Office in accordance  
with Rule 116 on back  
side of formDistrict I  
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240  
District II  
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210  
District III  
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410  
District IV  
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

## Release Notification and Corrective Action

## OPERATOR

☒ Initial Report ☐ Final Report

Name of Company: XTO Energy Permian Division - SE New Mexico	Contact: Rick Wilson/Production Foreman
Address: P.O. Box 700, Eunice, New Mexico 88231	Telephone No.: (575) 394-2089
Facility Name: EMSU - Satellite No. 8	Facility Type: Tank Battery - Nearest Well is EMSU #293 (API #30-025-04539)

Surface Owner: State of New Mexico	Mineral Owner	Lease No.
------------------------------------	---------------	-----------

## LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter I	Section 7	Township 21S	Range 36E	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County Lea
------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------	---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	---------------

Latitude: N 32° 29' 37.02" Longitude: W 103° 17' 51.66"

## NATURE OF RELEASE



Type of Release: Crude Oil and Water	Volume of Release: Unknown	Volume Recovered: N/A
Source of Release: Below Grade Tank	Date and Hour of Occurrence: Unknown	Date and Hour of Discovery: Unknown
Was Immediate Notice Given? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Required	If YES, To Whom?	
By Whom?	Date and Hour	
Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully. \*

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken. \* Below grade tank removed per OCD approved closure plan. Initial composite sample (5-spot) from bottom of tank excavation shows evidence of a release. TPH was detected at 96.7ppm below the reporting limit of 100 ppm. Propose to close with clean soil.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken. \* No cleanup action was taken at this time; the TPH was below reporting limit (100 ppm). XTO request to close tank excavation per OCD approved closure plan.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature: 	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
Printed Name: Guy Haykus - XTO Energy	Approved by District Supervisor  ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER	
Title: Production Superintendent	Approval Date: 1-6-10	Expiration Date: -
E-mail Address: William_haykus@xtoenergy.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 12/21/2009 Phone: (432) 682-8873	1RP 10-1-2378	

Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

10-1-2378  
1RP-09-12-

RECEIVED

District I  
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240  
District II  
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210  
District III  
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410  
District IV  
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico  
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources  
Oil Conservation Division  
1220 South St. Francis Dr.  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

JAN 08 2010

HOBBSOCD

Form C-141  
Revised October 10, 2003

Submit 2 Copies to appropriate  
District Office in accordance  
with Rule 116 on back  
side of form

## Release Notification and Corrective Action

## OPERATOR

☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report

Name of Company: XTO Energy Permian Division - SE New Mexico	Contact: Rick Wilson/Production Foreman	
Address: P.O. Box 700, Eunice, New Mexico 88231	Telephone No.: (575) 394-2089	
Facility Name: EMSU - Satellite No. 8	Facility Type: Tank Battery - Nearest Well is EMSU #293 (API #30-025-04539)	
Surface Owner: State of New Mexico	Mineral Owner	Lease No.

## LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter I	Section 7	Township 21S	Range 36E	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County Lea
------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------	---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	---------------

Latitude: N 32° 29' 37.02" Longitude: W 103° 17' 51.66"

## NATURE OF RELEASE



Type of Release: Crude Oil and Water	Volume of Release: Unknown	Volume Recovered: N/A
Source of Release: Below Grade Tank	Date and Hour of Occurrence: Unknown	Date and Hour of Discovery: Unknown
Was Immediate Notice Given? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Required	If YES, To Whom?	
By Whom?	Date and Hour	
Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.\*

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.\* Below grade tank removed per OCD approved closure plan. Initial composite sample (5-spot) from bottom of tank excavation shows evidence of a release. TPH was detected at 96.7ppm below the reporting limit of 100 ppm. Propose to close with clean soil.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.\* No cleanup action was taken at this time; the TPH was below reporting limit (100 ppm). XTO request to close tank excavation per OCD approved closure plan.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature: 	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
Printed Name: Guy Haykus - XTO Energy	Approved by District Supervisor 	
Title: Production Superintendent	Approval Date: 1.6.10	Expiration Date: —
E-mail Address: William_haykus@xtoenergy.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 12/21/2009 Phone: (432) 682-8873		1RP# 10-1-2378

Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary