OFFICE: 432-550-8887

FAX: 432-366-0743



RECEIVED I. Cleothompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P. 2009 OCT 9 AM 10 52 325 North St. PAUL*SUITE 4300 DALLAS, TEXAS 7520

October 6, 2009

JCT"24" Federal Well NO, I Well was illed in 17

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

RE: J. Cleo Thompson JCT Federal 24 Lease Well No. 001 Lea County, New Mexico

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Enclosed you will find the following information and our request to inject produced water into the above well into a formation not productive of oil or gas.

- 1. Original and a copy of Form C-108. (A copy was sent to the District Office)
- 2. Map that identifies all wells and leases within 2 miles and a ¹/₂-mile radius circle defining the area of review.
- 3. Tabulation of wells within the area of review.
- 4. Schematic of P&A'd well within area of review.
- 5. Data on the proposed operation
- 6. Injection water analysis and formation water analysis
- 7. Proposed wellbore schematic with appropriate geological data on aquifers, isolating mechanism,
- 8. No stimulation program anticipated.
- 9. Appropriate logs
- 10. Chemical analysis from 2 water wells in the area
- 11. Geological statement concerning hydrologic connection
- 12. Proof of Notice
 - a. Copy of certified letters and receipt of same from surface owner and each lease-hold operator within ¹/₂-mile of the well.
 - b. Copy of newspaper legal notice and affidavit of same.

If additional information is required, please contact Carole Stevens at 432/550-8887 or by email at carolestevens@cableone.net.

ns Carole K. Stevens Engineer

JCTOCDletter

۰.

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87505

APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT

I.	PURPOSE : Secondary Recovery Pressure Maintenance X Disposal Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval? Yes No					
II.	OPERATOR:					
	ADDRESS :_ P. O. BOX 12577, ODESSA, TX 79768					
	CONTACT PARTY : JIM STEVENS PHONE ; (432)550-8887					
III.	WELL DATA: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well processed for injection. Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.					
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project? Yes No If yes, give the Division order number authorizing the project					
V.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circle drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.					
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.					
VII.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:					
	 Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected; Whether the system is open or closed; Proposed average and maximum injection pressure; Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach a chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearby wells, etc.). 					
*VIII.	Attach appropriate geological data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geological name, thickness. and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters with total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such sources known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.					
IX.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.					
*X.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division, they need not be resubmitted.)					
*XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.					
XII.	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground source of drinking water.					
XIII.	Applicants must complete the 'Proof of Notice' section on the reverse side of this form.					
XIV.	Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.					
	NAME: J. E. STEVENS					
	SIGNATURE:					

E-MAIL ADDRESS: stevens@jcleo.com

If the information required under Sections VI, VHI, X, and XI above has been previously submitted, it need not by resubmitted. Please show the date and circumstance of the earlier submittal:

III. WELL DATA

- A. The following well data must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. The data must be both in tabular and schematic form and shall include:
 - (1) Lease name; Well No.; Location by Section, Township, and Range; and footage location within the section.
 - (2) Each casing string used with its size, setting depth, sacks of cement used, hole size, top of cement, and how such top was determined.
 - (3) A description of the tubing to be used including its size, lining material, and setting depth.
 - (4) The name, model, and setting depth of the packer used or a description of any other seal system or assembly used.

Division District Offices have supplies of Well Data Sheets which may be used or which may be used as models for this purpose. Applicants for several identical wells may submit a "typical data sheet' rather than submitting the data for each well.

- B. The following must be submitted for each injection well covered by this application. All items must be addressed for the initial well. Responses for additional wells need be shown only when different. Information shown on schematics need not be repeated.
 - (1) The name of the injection formation and, if applicable, the field or pool name.
 - (2) The injection interval and whether it is perforated or open-hole.
 - (3) State if the well was drilled for injection or, if not, the original purpose of the well.
 - (4) Give the depths of any other perforated intervals and detail on the sacks of cement or bridge plugs used to seal off such perforations.
 - (5) Give the depth to and name of the next higher and next lower oil or gas zone in the area of the well, if any.

XIV. PROOF OF NOTICE

All applicants must furnish proof that a copy of the application has been furnished, by certified or registered mail, to the owner of the surface of the land on which the well is to be located and to each leasehold operator within one-half mile of the well location.

Where an application is subject to administrative approval, a proof of publication must be submitted. Such proof shall consist of a copy of the legal advertisement which was published in the county in which the well is located. The contents of such advertisement must include:

- (1) The name, address, phone number, and contact party for the applicant;
- (2) The intended purpose of the injection well; with the exact location of single wells or the Section, Township, and Range location of multiple wells;
- (3) The formation name and depth with expected maximum injection rates and pressures; and
- (4) A notation that interested parties must file objections or requests for hearing with the Oil Conservation Division, 1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 within 15 days.

NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN ON THE APPLICATION UNTIL PROPER PROOF OF NOTICE HAS BEEN SUBMITTED.

NOTICE: Surface owners or offset operators must file any objections or requests for hearing of administrative applications within 15 days from the date this application was mailed to them.

Side 1 INJ	JECTION WELL DATA SHEET			4
OPERATOR: THOMPSON, J. CLEO				
WELL NAME & NUMBER: JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 001				
WELL LOCATION: 438' FNL & 860.4' FEL	S A	24	T9S	R37E
FOOTAGE LOCATION	UNIT LETTER SE	ECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE
* PROPOSED* WELLBORE SCHEMATIC		WELL CON	STRUCTION DATA	
		Surface C	asing	
1338" @ 570'	Hole Size: 17-1/2"		Casing Size: <u>13-3/8"</u>	" @ 570'
	Cemented wtih: 500	SX.	or -	ft3
	Ton of Cement: SURFACE		Method Determined	· CIRCULATED
		Intermediat	- Casino	
8-5/1'@ 5,145			Sman	
	Hole Size: 11"		Casing Size: <u>8-5/8" (</u>	@ 5,145'
	Cemented with: 1.700	SX.	or -	ft ³
	Top of Cement: SURFACE		Method Detemined:	CIRCULATED
		Production	Casing	
	Hole Size: 7-7/8"		Casing Size: <u>4-1/2" (</u>	@ 12.390'
	Cemented with: 630	SX.	or -	ft 3
	Top of Cement: <u>10,000'</u>		Method Determined:	CBL
1/2 1/2 12390'	Total Depth: 12.390'			
* propose to cut and pull csg @ 10,000'		Injection I	nterval 50	, t o ,
Plug w/ 200' cement.	5,145'	feet	to stant 6	A les
D	(Peforat	ed or Open Ho	e indicated which) $^{\mathcal{U}}$	LO R ould
				2

Side 1

.

Name of the Injected Formation: <u>GLORIETTA (5632'), TUBB (6970'), WOLFCAMP (8934'), PENN (9700')</u> If no, for what purpose was the well originally drilled? DEVONIAN PRODUCTION TEST Has the well ever been perforated in any other zone(s)? List all such perforated °Z ATOKA 11,662'-11,682 & 11,708' - 11,726' WILL BE BENEATH 200' CEMENT PLUG × Lining Material: PLASTIC intervals and give plugging detail, i.e. sacks of cement or plug(s) used. _Yes _ **INJECTION WELL DATA SHEET** Additional Data Name of Field or Pool (if applicable): <u>SAWYER</u> Other Type of Tubing/Casing Seal (if applicable): 1. Is This a new well drilled for injection? Type of Packer: ARROWSET 1X Packer Setting Depth: 5.135' Tubing Size: 2-3/8" i, ы. 4.

Give the name and depths of any oil or gas zones underlying or overlying the proposed injected zone in this area: <u>SAWYER SAN ANDRES 4,915 - 5,011</u> S.

ŝ

THOMPSON, J. CLEO JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 1 API NO. 30-025-38569 A-24-9S-37E

Average Injection Rate:	300 BPD
Max Injection Rate:	1,000 BPD
Est. Project Life Injection Volume:	3,650,000 Barrels
System:	Closed
Average Injection Pressure:	1500 psi
Max. Injection Pressure:	2500 psi
Source of Injected Fluid:	Devonian





Numbers @ well symbol correspond to "Key Well No." on Table of wells.

H

0

Thompson. J. Cleo JCT 24 Federal Well No. 001 A-24-9S-37E Lea County, NM

Table of Wells WithinHalf (1/2) Mile Radius

Key Well No.

1. BROWN 84 WELL NO. 001

N-13-9S-37E 8-5/8" @ 415' 4-1/2' @ 5099' Drilled: 01/1971 Producing San Andres Oil Well

2. BROWN 35 WELL NO. 001

P-13-9S-37E 8-5/8" @ 414' 4-1/2' @ 5020' Drilled: 08/1965 Producing San Andres Gas Well

3. PRE-ONGARD WELL NO. 001

M-18-9S-38E 10-3/4" @ 292' 5-1/2" @ 4955' Drilled: Dec-48 Plugged San Andres Well (Schematic Attached)

4. BROWN 93 WELL NO. 001

C-24-9S-37E 8-5/8" @ 425' 4-1/2" @ 5050' Drilled: Dec-71 Producing San Andres Well

5. JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 001 WELL OF INTEREST

6. AIKMAN 24 FEDERAL WELL NO. 001 A-24-9S-37E NO WELL, DRILLING PERMIT EXPIRED

30-025-33976



TD: (5100)

Dugan Production

Dugan Production 30-025-21234 660' FSL & 660' FEL



TD: 5020'

Great Western Drilling Co. 30-025-07060 660' FSL & 660' FWL

TD: 4982'

Dugan Production 30-025-23975



TD: 5050'

7. AIKMAN FEDERAL WELL NO. 001 A-24-9S-37E 8-5/8" @404" 4-1/2" @ 5014' DRILLED: Dec - 60Producing San Andres Gas Well

Cobra Oil & Gas/Element Petroleum 30-025-04976 660' FNL & 660' FEL

5025' TD:

Orbit Petroleum 30-025-07061



8. BROWN 51 WELL NO. 001 D-18-9S-38E

13-3/8" @ 323' 660' FNL & 528' FWL 7" @ 4925' 4-1/2" @ 4969' Drilled: Jan - 47 TD: 5002' SI San Andres Well *NOTE*: OCD has requested P&A by 03/15/2008. No further information is available.



PAGE 01

Permian Treating Chemicals, Inc. WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

SAMPLI Oil Co Lease Well N Locati Attent	E .: J. Cleo Thomps :: Fed 7 No.: #1 Devoni ion: ion:	on an		Date Sampled Date Analyzed: Lab ID Number Salesperson : File Name : De	: 17-December-200 : 26-December-200 r: Dec2607.002- 1 ec2607.002	7 7	
ANALY	SIS						
1	Ph		6.190				
2	Specific Gravity 6	0/60 F.	1.080				
3	CACO3 Saturation	n index	@ 80F	-0,246	Negligible		
			@140F	0.674	Moderate		
Q	issolved Gasses			MG/L.	EQ. W1.	NIC WIL	
4.	Hydrogen Suffide			Not Present			
5.	Carbon Dioxide		N	ot Determined			
6.	Dissolved Oxyger	ר	N	of Determined	.		
C	Cations				/ 00.1	157 01	
7.	Calcium	(Ca++)		3,1/4	/ 10.0 =	57.38	
8.	Magnesium	(Mg++)		700	/ 12.2 =	1.424.09	
9 .	Sodium	(Na+)		32,704 at Data-minod	/ 2 .0. 0 –	.,	
10.	Barium	(Ba++)	14	of Defermined			
A	<u>Inions</u>			0	1170 -	0.00	
11.	Hydroxyl	(OH-)		0	/ 30.0 -	0.00	
12.	Carbonate	(CO3=)		0	/ 61.1 =	10.36	
13.	Bicarbonate	(HCO3-)		1 100	/ 48.8 =	22.54	
14.	Sultate	(504=)	1	56 987	/ 35.5 =	1,605.27	1
15.	Unionae	(01-)		05 340		· · ·	
16.	Total Dissolved S	Solids		90,340	n / 182 =	10.49	
17.	Total Iron	(re) (Mar)	N	ot Dotermin e d	, 101 <u>–</u>		
18.	Manganese			10 810			
19.	Designation of the second s	s Caucos E (Calculate	d)	,0,0.0	99 Ohm meters		
20.	Resistivity @ / 5 /	r. (Calculate	0)				~ * 1
	LOGARITHMI	C WATER P	ATTERN	PRO	DBABLE MINERAL	COMPOSING)N ma/l
		meq/L.		COMPOU	ND *meq/L X	EQ. W1. =	= 119/5 940
N		╢ ╎╃╎╌╊╌╎╏╏╢╢ ┯╴┝╂┼		Ca(HCO3)	2 10.36	69.07	1 534
_				CaSU4	22,54	55 50	6.938
C					0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	73 17	0
	La mure mure mure hu			MaSO4	0.00	60,19	0
IVI				MgCl2	57.38	47.62	2,732
F		₩₩₩ <u>₩</u>	III - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I	NaHCO3	0.00	84.00	0
·	10000 1000 100 10	1 10	100 1000 10000	NaSO4	0.00	71.03	0
	Calcium Sulfa	ate Solubility	Profile	NaCl	1,422.88	58.46	83,182
	3091	>			* milliequivalents	per Liter	
n	3372						
g	3334	+->					
/	3315		<u> </u>	M	' m		
ų	3277			∽ ∬ _{8an}	in Idurena		
	3239			Kevin Burne	a Analyst		
	32,20	110 130	1,60 170	Nevni wynie	a mayor		





□ SELECT/DESELECT ALL Submit

副團公

ARAAC

null





□ SELECT/DESELECT ALL

Submit

NewWMexicontech

APARE



New Mexico Tech

Merre



Permian Treating Chemicals, Inc. WATER ANALYSIS REPORT

SAMPLI						
Oil Co	o. : J. Cleo Thompson		Date Sampled	: 28-March-2008		
Lease	Federal 24	01	Date Analyzed	: 01-April-2008		
	NO. # T Fresh Wite w	ell	Lab ID Numbe	r: Apr0108.002-1		
Attenti	ion: East of Fal all t	1	File Name Ar	5r0108 002		
ABIALVE	approx 250	r	The Hume Ap			
3NALT:	bis ~ yards					
1.	Ph	7,640				
2.	Specific Gravity 60/60 F.	1.008				
З.	CACO3 Saturation Index	@ 80F	0.772	Moderate		
_		@140F	1.372	Severe		
<u>, D</u>	issolved Gasses		<u>MG/L</u>	EQ. WT.	MEQ/L	
4.	Hydrogen Sullide	b 1 - 4	Not Present			
э. о		NO	Determined			
б.	Dissolved Oxygen	NQ	Determined			
<u>c</u>	ations					
7.	Calcium (Ca++)		54	/ 20.1 =	2.69	
8.	Magnesium (Mg++)		33	/ 12.2 =	2.70	
9.	Sodium (Na+)	(Calculated)	93	/ 23.0 =	4.04	
10.	Barlum (Ba++)		6	/ 68.7 =	0.09	
<u>A</u>	nlons					
11.	Hydroxyl (OH-)		Q	/ 17.0 =	0.00	
12.	Carbonate (CO3=)		0	/ 30.0 =	0.00	
13.	Bicarbonate (HCO3-)		281	/ 61.1 =	4.60	
14.	Sulfate (SO4=)		70	/ 48.8 =	1.43	
15.	Chloride (Cl-)		120	/ 35.5 =	3.38	
16.	Total Dissolved Solids		657	9		
17.	Total Iron (Fe)		3.00	/ 18.2 =	0.16	
1 3 .	Manganese (Mn++)	Not	Determined			
19.	Total Hardness as CaCO3		269			
20.	Resistivity @ 75 F. (Calculated	j)	2.64	5 Ohm · meters		
		TTERN	PRO		OMPOSITION	
			COMPOLIN		FO WT =	mall
Na			Ca(HCO3)2		81.04	218
			CaSO4	- 1 .05	68.07	~ iõ
Ca	┓╠╫╫┼┽┽┊╠╢╬╞┼╎╴ <mark>╟╓╿╎╴╴╠╢╽┧╸┠╶┊╽<mark>┢╢╢</mark>╌┡┽╄╋</mark>	HC03	CaCl2	0.00	55.50	ō
			Mg(HCO3)	2 1.91	73.17	140
Mg	┓ _╞ ╫╫╪╪┊┿╶╴ <mark>╫╫╪</mark> ╎╪╱┾╺ ╞ ╫╢┊┟╪╌╽╌┊╫╢╢┟ <mark>╇╶┝┈┟┯┦╌┾┼╪╢╢─┈┼╴┼┼┼</mark>	₩ <u>-++++₩</u> SO4	MaSO4	0.79	60.19	48
			MgCl2	0.00	47.62	0
Fe	╕╠╫╢┧╅┝╏╴╸╫╫╁┇╪╌┝╼╶╠╫╫╁┼┽╼╼╴╠╫╫┾┟╶┤╮╩┽┈┢╼┾┾┼╫╫┯┈╪┼┾╢╅		NaHCO3	0.00	84.00	0
	Calolum Sulfate Solubility	Profile	NaSO4	0.55	71.03	39
			NaCl	3.38	58.46	198
~	1415			* milliequivalents	per Liter	
	1365					
ы ,	1340					
· ·	1280					
L	1265					
	1215		Kevin Byrne	Analyst		
	1190	150 170	Kevil Dille	, calaiyat		

P.01

SAMPL								
Lease	D. : J. Cleo Thompson Federal 24	+ nn		Date Sampled Date Analyzed	: 28-Mari : 01-Apri	ch-2008 1-2008		
Locat	ion: s, + f - + 1	a well		Salesperson :	Γ: Αριψτύ	J8.002- 2		
Attent	ion: approx that of	Fed 24 #/		File Name : Ap	pr0108.00)2		
ANALY	515	2						
1.	Ph	_	8.450					
2.	Specific Gravity 60/60 I	F,	1.005					
З.	CACO3 Saturation Inde	ax @ 80F @140F		1.185 1.785	Moderat Severe	e		
<u>_</u>	lissolved Gasses			MG/L.	EQ.	<u>. WT.</u>	*MEQ/L	
4, E	Hydrogen Sulfide			Not Present				
Э. ¢			Not	Determined				
0.	Dissolved Oxygen		NOI	Determined				
<u> </u>	ations				, ,	00 4		
/. 0	Magnacium (M	a++) a++)		31	/ /	20.1 =	1.54	
о. О	Sodium (N	y++) a+) (Calculat	od)	35		12.2 =	2.87	
9. 10	Berium (Re	a+) (Calculat a++)	eu)	94 Rolow 10	1.	23.0 =	4.09	
10.		977)		DEIOW IU				
<u>A</u>	nions Hudrouxil (O			•	,	477.0	A A A	
12	Carbonate (C	□-) つ3~\		17		17.0 =	0.00	
13	Bicarbonate (C	CO3-1		100		SU.U = 61 1 -	0.00	
14	Sulfate (St	D() D()		00 199			J.ZO 1 DA	
15	Chloride (Ci	-)		120	1 1	40.0 - 355 -	1.04	
16	Total Dissolved Solids	,		500	/ 、	55.5 -	5,50	
17	Total Iron /Fr)		509	, ,	10 7	0.00	
18	Manganese (M	ግ በ++)	Not	Determined	/	10.2 -	0.08	
19.	Total Hardness as CaC	03	1401	221				
20.	Resistivity @ 75 F. (Ca	iculated)		2.63	7 Ohm -	meters		
		ER PATTERN		PRO		MINERAL	COMPOSITION	1
Na	י meq /ו בנורבונטעע בעש <mark>א בי</mark> ושע ה	en. Erstikul i starikul i kokolukt i 170			ID "m	eq/L X	EQ.WT. =	mg/L.
INE						1.54	81.04	125
Ca			HC03			0.00	00.U/	0
•••				Mg(HCO3)2	, .	1 71	73 17	125
Mg	╽┊╫╫╅╁╉┶┉╞╢╫╫┼┼┼╴╫╢╢╢┼┼┼╴╞╫╢╬┼┫┼╌┼╌┨	<u> }}}}}<mark> - </mark> </u>	# SO4	MaSO4	•	1.71	60.19	60
				MaCI2	(0.00	47.62	0
Fe	• }//// /++- /////+++-/////++- /////+/-/-* Y -+-	╎╫╎ <u>╪╫╫</u> ╎┉╌╢╮╎╫ ╎╎╢ ╎┈╎╵┟╫╎╢ <mark>╢</mark> ┥╌╎┼╞╎╎	# CO3	NaHCO3	(0.00	84.00	ŏ
	Caloium Sulfate Sol			NaSO4	(0,69	71.03	49
				NaCl		3.38	58.46	198
m	1456				* milliec	quivalents	per Liter	
٥	1405							
-	1360							
L	1330							
	1280							
	1266		•	Kevin Byrne,	Analyst			
	Temp *F, 50 70 90 110	130 150 170		•				



Monday, August 17, 2009

JCT Federal 24 #1 Section 24, T9S-R37E Lea County, New Mexico

To whom it may concern:

I was asked to review all geologic data to determine if there was any evidence of hydrologic communication between the injection interval and known drinking water. After this review, I see no evidence of possible communication.

We have access to a confidential 3-D seismic survey and there are no indications of any faults that connect the injection interval, 5,145' - 9,800', with shallower zones, specifically the San Andres at 4,920' and the Lea County Basin Extension from surface to approximately 270'. There are multiple large shale zones and evaporitic sections that will also prohibit communication.

If you have any further questions regarding this review, please feel free to call me at 432-550-8887.

Sincerely: Jeff Bryden

Exploration Manager J. Cleo Thompson Texas PG #3673 (w) 432-550-8887 (c) 432-661-0171



PETRA 8/3/2009 10:24:34 AM

J. Cleo Thompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P.

WEST TEXAS DIVISON OFFICE P. O. Box 12577 Odessa, Texas 79768 (432)550-8887

September 23, 2009

Cobra Oil & Gas P O Box 8206 Wichita Falls, Texas 76307

RE: JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 1 WATER INJECTION APPLICATION

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

It is the intention of J. Cleo Thompson to convert the above mentioned well to water disposal. As part of the injection application with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, we are obligated to furnish to you a copy of our application, which you will find enclosed.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call at the above number, or feel free to email me at <u>carolestevens@cableone.net</u>.

Sincerely, DÊLÎVERY SENDER: COMPLETE THIS CTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete Carole K. Stevens C Agent item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Engineer C Addressee Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. C. Date of Delivery Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits. D. Is delivery eddress different from item 1. Article Addressed to: If YES, enter delivery address below: Cubra Dil 4 Gas Attn: Darcy P.D. Box 8206 Service Type 3. Certified Mail Express Mail Wichita Falls, TX C Registered Return Receipt for Merchandis C.O.D. Insured Mail (250 Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Ves 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) PS Form 3811, February 2004 **Domestic Return Receipt** 102595-02-M-1

Due to an error by the United States Post Office, this letter is being resent. Please note, the original letter was sent August 19, 2009.

J. Cleo Thompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P. WEST TEXAS DIVISON OFFICE P. O. Box 12577 Odessa, Texas 79768 (432)550-8887

~

August 19, 2009

Great Western Drilling Company P O Box 1659 Midland, Texas 79702-1659

RE: JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 1 WATER INJECTION APPLICATION

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

It is the intention of J. Cleo Thompson to convert the above mentioned well to water disposal. As part of the injection application with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, we are obligated to furnish to you a copy of our application, which you will find enclosed.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call at the above number, or feel free to email me at <u>carolestevens@cableone.net</u>.

Sincerely,

Engineer

Carole K. Stevens

 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Great Western Drilling Co. Dr Rev 11659 	A. Signature X B. Received by (<i>Hiped terne</i>) D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: No
Midland, TX 79702	3. Service Type Image: Service Type </th
1659	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)

J. Cleo Thompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P.

٢

WEST TEXAS DIVISON OFFICE P. O. Box 12577 Odessa, Texas 79768 (432)550-8887

August 19, 2009

Mr. David Bilbrey HC 65 Box 55 Crossroads, New Mexico 88114

RE: JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 1 WATER INJECTION APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Bilbrey:

It is the intention of J. Cleo Thompson to convert the above mentioned well to water disposal. As part of the injection application with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, we are obligated to furnish to you a copy of our application, which you will find enclosed.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call at the above number, or feel free to email me at carolestevens@cableone.net.

Sincerely,

Carole K. Stevens Engineer				
	 SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if. Restricted Delivery Is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: MY. DAVID BILDREY HC05 	A. Signature A.		
	BOX 55 Crossroads, NM 88114	3. Service Type Image: Certified Mail Image: Express Mail Image: Certified Mail Image: Certified Certified Mail Image: Certified Mail Image: Certified Certified Mail Image: Certified Mail Image: Certified Certified Certified Certified Mail Image: Certified Mail Image: Certified Cer		
	2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	10000 loziel 2227		
	PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ret	turn Receipt 102595-02-M-1540		

J. Cleo Thompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P. WEST TEXAS DIVISON OFFICE P. O. Box 12577 Odessa, Texas 79768 (432)550-8887

August 19, 2009

Element Petroleum, LLC 110 W Louisiana Suite 405 Midland, Texas 79701

JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 1 RE: WATER INJECTION APPLICATION

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

It is the intention of J. Cleo Thompson to convert the above mentioned well to water disposal. As part of the injection application with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, we are obligated to furnish to you a copy of our application, which you will find enclosed.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call at the above number, or feel free to email me at carolestevens@cableone.net.

Sincerely,

Carole K. Stevens Engineer

 SENDER: COMPLETE THISSECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: ELEMENT PATWORM, IC 	A. Signature Agent A. Signature Agent B. Proceived by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery S. Proceived by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery S. Proceived by (Printed Name) S-20-65 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: No
Ste 405 Midland, TX 79701	Service Type Service Type Gentified Mail Registered Insured Mail C.O.D. Serviced Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 1009 1410 1 PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ret	200 (2261 2224 um Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

J. Cleo Thompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P.

WEST TEXAS DIVISON OFFICE P. O. Box 12577 Odessa, Texas 79768 (432)550-8887

August 19, 2009

۰.

Dugan Production P O Box 420 Farmington, New Mexico 87499-0420

RE: JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 1 WATER INJECTION APPLICATION

.

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

It is the intention of J. Cleo Thompson to convert the above mentioned well to water disposal. As part of the injection application with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, we are obligated to furnish to you a copy of our application, which you will find enclosed.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call at the above number, or feel free to email me at <u>carolestevens@cableone.net</u>.

Sincerely,

Carole K. Stevens Engineer

 SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Dygan Production Dygan Production Dygan Production 	A. Siggetture X Agent A. Siggetture X Addressee B. Received by (<i>Printed Name</i>) C Date of Delivery O. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: No
Farmington, NM 87499.042	3. Service Type D Certified Mail Express Mail Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise Insured Mail C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7004 1410 PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ret	000 6266 2365 urn Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

J. Cleo Thompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P. WEST TEXAS DIVISON OFFICE P. O. Box 12577 Odessa, Texas 79768 (432)550-8887

•

August 19, 2009

Orbit Energy 1415 South Voss 110479 Houston, Texas 77057

RE: JCT FEDERAL 24 WELL NO. 1 WATER INJECTION APPLICATION

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

It is the intention of J. Cleo Thompson to convert the above mentioned well to water disposal. As part of the injection application with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, we are obligated to furnish to you a copy of our application, which you will find enclosed.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call at the above number, or feel free to email me at carolestevens@cableone.net.

Sincerely,

Carole K. Stevens Engineer

 SENDER: COMPLETE: THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: 	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY. A. Signature X OURGUETSE Storifie B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery Turgue ise storifie(d) 9 D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
Orbit Energy 1415 S. Voss 110479	If YES, enter delivery address below: LI No
Houston, TX 77057	3. Service Type Certified Mall Express Mail Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise Insured Mail C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	8256 10160 00
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ret	urn Receipt 1,02595-02-M-1540

Affidavit of Publication

) ss.

)

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF LEA

Joyce Clemens being first duly sworn on oath deposes and savs that she is Advertisting Director of THE LOVINGTON LEADER, a daily newspaper of general paid circulation published in the English language at Lovington, Lea County, New Mexico; that said newspaper has been so published in such county continuously and uninterruptedly for a period in excess of Twenty-six (26) consecutive weeks next prior to the first publication of the notice hereto attached as hereinafter shown; and that said newspaper is in all things duly qualified to publish legal notices within the meaning of Chapter 167 of the 1937 Session Laws of the State of New Mexico.

That the notice which is hereto attached, entitled

Legal Notice

Debbie Schilling

was published in a regular and entire issue of THE LOV-

INGTON LEADER and not in any supplement thereof, for <u>) day</u>, beginning with the issue of one (gust 22___, 2009 and ending with the issue ugust 22 , 2009.

And that the cost of publishing said notice is the sum of \$36,27 which sum has been (Paid) as Court Costs.

Notary Public, Lea County, New Mexico My Commission Expires June 22, 2010

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of stember 2009

LEGAL NOTICE NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR FLUID INJECTION WELL PERMIT

J. Cleo Thompson, 325 N St. Paul, Suite 4300, Dallas, TX 75201 is applying to the New Mexico Oil and Das Conservation Division for a permit to dispose of produced salt water by well injection into a formation that is not known to be productive of oil or das.

The applicant proposes to dispose of salt water into the Glorietta formation; Tubb formation; Wolfcamp formation; and Penn formation at a depth of 5,632 feet to 9,800 feet in the JCT 24 Federal Well No. 001 located 438' FNL & 860' FEL, Unit Letter "A", Section 24, Township 9S, Range 37E in the Sawyer Field, Lea County, New Mexico.

Requests for a public hearing from persons who can show they are adversely affected or requests for further information concerning any aspect of the application should be submitted, in writing within fifteen days of publication to the Oil and Gas Conservation Division, 1220 South St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505.

Published in the Lovington Leader August 22, 2009.

06RID 11181

From:Wesley_Ingram@blm.govSent:Thursday, November 12, 2009 12:11 PMTo:carolestevens@cableone.netCc:Jones, William V., EMNRDSubject:Re: Large Open Hole Injection Interval

Carole,

I have been looking at the JCT Federal 24 Well #1 request for the large open hole injection interval and have the following comments.

An interval of that length does not fit the BLM criteria for open hole areas in a plugged well, which would result in this project requiring a variance. The BLM allows a maximum of 2000' between plugs in open hole. Therefore, to improve the chance of approval, an injection survey (tracer/temperature/pressure) would be required. Then the survey can be reviewed to determine the best approach to allow injection in this well. If the project is approved for the entire length, injection surveys would be required (possibly yearly). In reviewing the data on wells in this area, the majority of the production has been in the San Andres formation. There are some instances of production in the Atoka and Morrow formations. Apparently, this well did not indicate any shows below the Glorietta and to the Penn formations. Would you please confirm this? It doesn't appear that a mud logger was on location. The operator would need to provide a detailed review of wells in this township and the one to the east to confirm that no hydrocarbon zones are in the injection zones of interest. The following determinations were made based on a permitted injection pressure of 0.22 psi/ft. Injection at 5632' at an initial injection pressure of 0.22 psi/ft using 9.0 ppg produced water would result in a pressure at the formation of 3875 psig. This results in a fracture gradient of 0.69. Using the same process for the Tubb at 6970' will result in a pressure at the formation of 4795 psig. The fracture gradient is 0.69. However, the fracture gradient for the Glorietta will now increase to 0.74. Using the same process for the Wolfcamp at 8934' will result in a pressure at the formation of 6147 psig. The fracture gradient is 0.69. However, the fracture gradient for the Glorietta will now increase to 0.82 and the Tubb will be 0.75.

Using the same process for the Penn at 9680' will result in a pressure at the formation of 6660 psig. The frac gradient is 0.69. However, the fracture gradient for the Glorietta will now increase to 0.85, the Tubb will be 0.77 and the Wolfcamp will be 0.71.

1

Therefore, it will be necessary to confirm that the fracture gradient for these formations would be able to withstand these pressures. If not, the standard injection pressure limitation would have to be reduced for the lower zones. In addition, these pressure regimes would have to be reevaluated for any request to increase injection pressure.

Thanks, Wesley

This inbound email has been scanned for malicious software and transmitted safely to you using Webroot Email Security.

From:	Jones, William V., EMNRD
Sent:	Thursday, November 19, 2009 12:36 PM
To:	'carolestevens@cableone.net'; 'jstevens@jcleo.com'
Cc:	'Wesley_Ingram@blm.gov'; Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Hill, Larry, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD
Subject:	Disposal application from J Cleo Thompson: JCT 24 Fed #1 30-025-38569 Open hole interval: 5145 to 9800 Lower San Andres through Upper Penn

Hello:

After reviewing your application I have some comments and questions:

- 1. First of all, Thank You for submitting a thorough and easy to read application it is nice when we get one such as this.
- 2. The big open hole interval is the primary concern of OCD (and of BLM as I understand it), the OCD has rarely approved one with this big an interval and always have required tracer/temp surveys within 6 months and periodically thereafter in order to ensure disposal is not rounding the intermediate casing shoe or going below the bottom plug and also to see which intervals are actually being charged with foreign waters. The trouble is, even though this is required, our records indicate that operators are not complying with this requirement so it takes time for legal and compliance action that could have been spent elsewhere.
- 3. The only nearby producing formations that I can find within this interval are the Upper Penn which is within about 3 miles. The Wolfcamp has been another target and bailout interval in some other Devonian producing areas and the Wolfcamp oil and Wolfcamp gas has also been horizontal drilling targets many miles away. You sent porosity logs which were not too promising for porosity over this proposed interval. Assume you also have laterologs over this interval?
 - a. Would you please send a standard digital computer log analysis using all available logs over this proposed disposal interval.
 - b. and also send a copy of the Mudlog over this interval (if Mudloggers were on site for these depths).
 - c. Drill time plot over this interval as long as it is easily available from modern digital drill records and not included in the Mudlog. I assume the old geolograph is no longer the standard while drilling.
- 4. Send your engineering comments as to:
 - a. Well productivity by formation or depth
 - b. Well suitability for injection and which intervals are predicted to be most permeable.
 - c. Whether you would be willing to exclude the deeper depths such as below the Wolfcamp.
 - d. Whether J. Cleo Thompson would actually run the required tracer/temp survey(s) if it were a requirement.

Thank You,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448



From:	Jones, William V., EMNRD
Sent:	vvednesday, November 25, 2009 10:18 AM
To:	'carolestevens@cableone.net'
Cc:	'Wesley Ingram'; Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD; Kautz, Paul, EMNRD
Subject:	RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Carol:

I would like this much better.

As I understand it, the intended open hole would include the San Andres, Glorieta, Paddock, and Blinebry (or Yeso section)?

And it would exclude the Tubb, Drinkard, Abo, Wolfcamp and the upper Penn formations that were originally proposed?

We still may require some due diligence on the hydrocarbon evaluation of the open hole section and an injection survey (after the well catches some pressure on the surface) – but you may have a mudlog and should have the LAS log files and a log analysis program and injection surveys are not hard to run.

We'll wait to see what Wesley has to say?

Thanks for this,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:45 AM
To: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc: 'Wesley Ingram'
Subject: JCT Federal 24 #1

Good Morning. J. Cleo THompson (JCT) has proposed to pull casing on this well and convert the 4500' of open hole to injection. This proposal was met with some hesitation by both NMOCD and BLM. Having regrouped our thoughts and desires, JCT would like to propose that we still cut and pull casing at TOC on the 5-1/2" casing, which is down around 10,000' (CBL). Then we propose to set the required 100' cement plugs every 2,000' from the top of the cut casing to about 7,000' and request an injection interval from the base of the intermediate casing (5145') to the top of the plug. If this proposal sets better with both NMOCD and BLM, please respond back to me what I need to do to move ahead with this new proposal. As always, I can be reached to (432) 550-8887.

Have a great Thanksgiving.

-Carole Stevens

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

From: Sent: To: Subject: Kautz, Paul, EMNRD Wednesday, November 25, 2009 7:45 PM Jones, William V., EMNRD RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Will

They are not including all of the Yeso. The Paddock & Blinebry is Upper Yeso.

They are excluding Tubb - Middle Yeso and the Drinkard - Lower Yeso

Paul

From: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Sent: Wed 11/25/2009 10:17 AM
To: carolestevens@cableone.net
Cc: 'Wesley Ingram'; Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD; Kautz, Paul, EMNRD
Subject: RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Carol:

I would like this much better. As I understand it, the intended open hole would include the San Andres, Glorieta, Paddock, and Blinebry (or Yeso section)? And it would exclude the Tubb, Drinkard, Abo, Wolfcamp and the upper Penn formations that were originally proposed?

We still may require some due diligence on the hydrocarbon evaluation of the open hole section and an injection survey (after the well catches some pressure on the surface) – but you may have a mudlog and should have the LAS log files and a log analysis program and injection surveys are not hard to run.

We'll wait to see what Wesley has to say?

Thanks for this,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:45 AM
To: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc: 'Wesley Ingram'
Subject: JCT Federal 24 #1

Good Morning. J. Cleo THompson (JCT) has proposed to pull casing on this well and convert the 4500' of open hole to injection. This proposal was met with some hesitation by both NMOCD and BLM. Having regrouped our thoughts and desires, JCT would like to propose that we still cut and pull casing at TOC on the 5-1/2" casing, which is down around 10,000' (CBL). Then we propose to set the required 100' cement plugs every 2,000' from the top of the cut casing to about 7,000' and request an injection interval from the base of the

intermediate casing (5145') to the top of the plug. If this proposal sets better with both NMOCD and BLM, please respond back to me what I need to do to move ahead with this new proposal. As always, I can be reached to (432) 550-8887.

Have a great Thanksgiving.

-Carole Stevens

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - <u>http://www.cableone.net</u>

.

This inbound email has been scanned for malicious software and transmitted safely to you using Webroot Email Security.

From:Jones, William V., EMNRDSent:Wednesday, December 02, 2009 2:56 PMTo:'carolestevens@cableone.net'Cc:'Wesley Ingram'; Kautz, Paul, EMNRD; Hill, Larry, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRDSubject:RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Hello Carol:

Would you please send a quick log hydrocarbon evaluation of this proposed open hole disposal interval from 5,145 feet to approx 7,000 feet and a copy of the mudlog or drill-time plot over this interval? Our geologist, Paul in Hobbs tells me this new interval includes the San Andres, Glorieta, and upper Yeso (Paddock and Blinebry).

Pending this, and unless Wesley objects, I can most likely recommend approval of the disposal application. We would ask you to run a log after disposal begins to determine where, vertically the water enters the wellbore. If the well is on a vacuum, it will be approximate, but still give some information.

Regards,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:45 AM
To: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc: 'Wesley Ingram'
Subject: JCT Federal 24 #1

Good Morning. J. Cleo THompson (JCT) has proposed to pull casing on this well and convert the 4500' of open hole to injection. This proposal was met with some hesitation by both NMOCD and BLM. Having regrouped our thoughts and desires, JCT would like to propose that we still cut and pull casing at TOC on the 5-1/2" casing, which is down around 10,000' (CBL). Then we propose to set the required 100' cement plugs every 2,000' from the top of the cut casing to about 7,000' and request an injection interval from the base of the intermediate casing (5145') to the top of the plug. If this proposal sets better with both NMOCD and BLM, please respond back to me what I need to do to move ahead with this new proposal. As always, I can be reached to (432) 550-8887.

Have a great Thanksgiving.

-Carole Stevens

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

This inbound email has been scanned for malicious software and transmitted safely to you using Webroot Email Security.

From:	Wesley_Ingram@blm.gov
Sent:	Wednesday, December 02, 2009 3:41 PM
То:	Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc:	carolestevens@cableone.net; Hill, Larry, EMNRD; Kautz, Paul, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD; Wesley Ingram
Subject:	RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Will and Carole,

A quick review of the wells in the area indicate that the proposed injection zone from the San Andres to the upper Yeso should be okay. The other San Andres production in the area is above the setting depth of the 8-5/8" casing on this well and therefore injection should not affect that production.

I am in agreement that a log will be necessary to determine actual depth of water injection. The BLM will want to review the plug back program as a plug every 2000' may not meet our requirements for plugging different formations.

In addition, these are not 100' plugs, but 100' plus 10% for each thousand feet. A plug at 7000' is required to be 170' in length and a minimum of 25 sacks.

Thanks, Wesley

"Jones, William V., EMNRD"	
<william.v.jones< td=""><td>То</td></william.v.jones<>	То
@state.nm.us>	<carolestevens@cableone.net></carolestevens@cableone.net>
	CC
12/02/2009 02:56	"Wesley Ingram"
PM	<wesley_ingram@nm.blm.gov>, "Kautz, Paul, EMNRD"</wesley_ingram@nm.blm.gov>
	<paul.kautz@state.nm.us>, "Hill, Larry, EMNRD"</paul.kautz@state.nm.us>
	<larry.hill@state.nm.us>, "Warnell,</larry.hill@state.nm.us>
	Terry G, EMNRD"
	<terryg.warnell@state.nm.us></terryg.warnell@state.nm.us>
	Subject
	RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Hello Carol:

Would you please send a quick log hydrocarbon evaluation of this proposed open hole disposal interval from 5,145 feet to approx 7,000 feet and a copy of the mudlog or drill-time plot

over this interval? Our geologist, Paul in Hobbs tells me this new interval includes the San Andres, Glorieta, and upper Yeso (Paddock and Blinebry).

Pending this, and unless Wesley objects, I can most likely recommend approval of the disposal application. We would ask you to run a log after disposal begins to determine where, vertically the water enters the wellbore. If the well is on a vacuum, it will be approximate, but still give some information.

Regards,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448 From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net] Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:45 AM To: Jones, William V., EMNRD Cc: 'Wesley Ingram' Subject: JCT Federal 24 #1

Good Morning. J. Cleo THompson (JCT) has proposed to pull casing on this well and convert the 4500' of open hole to injection. This proposal was met with some hesitation by both NMOCD and BLM. Having re-grouped our thoughts and desires, JCT would like to propose that we still cut and pull casing at TOC on the 5-1/2" casing, which is down around 10,000' (CBL). Then we propose to set the required 100' cement plugs every 2,000' from the top of the cut casing to about 7,000' and request an injection interval from the base of the intermediate casing (5145') to the top of the plug. If this proposal sets better with both NMOCD and BLM, please respond back to me what I need to do to move ahead with this new proposal. As always, I can be reached to (432) 550-8887.

Have a great Thanksgiving.

-Carole Stevens

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

This inbound email has been scanned for malicious software and transmitted safely to you using Webroot Email Security.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the Sybari - Antigen Email System.

2

Jeff Bryden

From	Lance Smith [smithl@midland oilfield slb.com]
Sent:	Tuesday, December 08, 2009 9:25 AM
To:	jbryden@jcleo.com
Subject:	ELAN on JCT Fed 24-1
Attachments:	J_Cleo_JCT_Federal_24-1_PEX_ELAN_5000_7000_LAS_PDS.zip

Jeff,

Three major intervals stick out as potential injection/water zones in my opinion. These zones are in good hole and in dolomites with good porosity and perm.

The interval around them have bad hole so I'd be concerned about hydraulic isolation. I do not see a bond log that we did anywhere in the archives. The data quality was horrible due to hole conditions, I tried to flag the bad hole and I reconstructed RHOB & DT is those intervals with the help of transit times and the resistivity. Regular GR only, so clay volume may be underestimated and some sands may be more silt that what it suggests. Rw of 0.15 at FT at 7000' seems reasonable to me and no major oil zones discovered, but some minor ones that looks curious (6950, 6450, 5470).

The 3 primary zones that contain separate lobes of porosity & perm within them are:

6284-6384 (3 zones),

6500-6560 (3 zones),

& 6825-6920 (5 zones).

I'm send over 3 prints to you. The actual distribution has 10 other partners including J Cleo in Ozona & Dallas. Let me know if anyone else wants it and I'll make it happen.

Lance Smith

Principal Petrophysicist

Schlumberger OFS-DCS USSW

Midland, TX (432) 571-4637 Direct

(432) 238-1021 Cell

<<....>>

J. CLEO THOMPSON & JAMES CLEO THOMPSON, JR. OIL PRODUCERS 325 NORTH ST. PAUL • SUITE 4300 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201

OFFICE: 214-953-1177 FAX: 214-969-7433

February 11, 2010

Re: J. Cleo Thompson JCT Fed 24-1 Sec 24, T9S – R37E Lea County, New Mexico

I was asked to evaluate the shallow formations in the J Cleo Thompson JCT Federal 24-1. Due to rough hole conditions, I decided to contract the work out to Schlumberger. After their analysis, they determined there were no major producing zones in the shallow formations. This corresponds with the lack of shallow production from any of these formations in the area.

The log analyst does believe there are several zones where water can be injected. I fully believe we have adequate conditions to turn this well into a successful water injection well.

If you have any further questions regarding this injection proposal, please feel free to call me at 432-550-8887.

Sincerely,

Exploration Manager J. Cleo Thompson Odessa, Texas W:432-550-8887 Email: jbryden@jcleo.com

ł

From:	Carole Stevens [carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent:	Monday, February 15, 2010 10:12 AM
To:	carolestevens@cableone.net; Jones; William; V.; Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc:	'Wesley Ingram'; Kautz; Paul; Kautz, Paul, EMNRD; Hill; Larry; Hill, Larry, EMNRD; Warnell;
Subject:	Terry; G; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Dear All - I found the file for the JCT Federal 24 #1 lying in a pile and finally got the peices put together. Will, I did get the hydrocarbon log put together and will send it to you today with comments from the geologist. Wesley - I will look for a second copy and send it to you as well. Additionally, we will plug back as need be. Let me know what you want and I'll get it done - we aim to please. Sorry to have dropped the ball, but it's up and running again. Thanks - Carole

On Thu Dec 2 15:56, "Jones, William V., EMNRD" sent:

Hello Carol:

Would you please send a quick log hydrocarbon evaluation of this proposed open hole disposal interval from 5,145 feet to approx 7,000 feet and a copy of the mudlog or drill-time plot over this interval? Our geologist, Paul in Hobbs tells me this new interval includes the San Andres, Glorieta, and upper Yeso (Paddock and Blinebry).

Pending this, and unless Wesley objects, I can most likely recommend approval of the disposal application. We would ask you to run a log after disposal begins to determine where, vertically the water enters the wellbore. If the well is on a vacuum, it will be approximate, but still give some information.

Regards,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:45 AM
To: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc: 'Wesley Ingram'
Subject: JCT Federal 24 #1

Good Morning. J. Cleo THompson (JCT) has proposed to pull casing on this well and convert the 4500' of open hole to injection. This proposal was met with some hesitation by both NMOCD and BLM. Having regrouped our thoughts and desires, JCT would like to propose that we still cut and pull casing at TOC on the 5-1/2" casing, which is down around 10,000' (CBL). Then we propose to set the required 100' cement plugs every 2,000' from the top of the cut casing to about 7,000' and request an injection interval from the base of the intermediate casing (5145') to the top of the plug. If this proposal sets better with both NMOCD and BLM, please respond back to me what I need to do to move ahead with this new proposal. As always, I can be reached to (432) 550-8887.

Have a great Thanksgiving.

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

This inbound email has been scanned for malicious software and transmitted safely to you using Webroot Email Security.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the Sybari - Antigen Email System.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. This email has been scanned using Webroot Email Security.

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

J. Cleo Thompson & James Cleo Thompson, Jr., L.P.

WEST TEXAS DIVISON OFFICE P. O. Box 12577 Odessa, Texas 79768 (432)550-8887

February 15, 2010

William V. Jones, PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: JCT FEDERAL 24 #1 CONVERT TO WATER INJECTION

Dear Mr. Jones:

Enclosed with this letter is the hydrocarbon log you requested and comments from our geologist. JCT is committed to converting this well to injection after recovering the 4-1/2" casing. I am sending a copy to Wesley Ingram, BLM as well. Let's all keep in touch and get this project done.

Thanks for your patience waiting on the log; your time is much appreciated.

Stevens Engineer

RECEIVED OCD

200

-

C

11

41

Cc: Mr. Wesley Ingram Bureau of Land Management 620 E. Greene Carlsbad, NM 88220

Jones, William V., EMNRD
Monday, March 01, 2010 10:28 AM
'carolestevens@cableone.net'
JCT Federal 24 #1 Log Analysis

Hello Carole:

Would you send a short discussion of what you folks believe the effect of open hole injection into this well would be on the following three "curious" intervals that Schumberger identified (possible moveable hydrocarbons):

6950 6450 5470

Did this log analysis change your mind about whether to keep to the plan to inject "open hole" or change the plan to cement existing pipe and perforate it for disposal?

Has JCT totally given up on any hydrocarbon recovery in this well or from those three intervals in this vicinity?

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2010 9:27 AM
To: Jones; William; V.; Jones, William V., EMNRD
Subject: RE: JCT Federal 24 #1

Good Morning - Did you received the hydrocarbon log I sent to you concerning this well? Where do we stand on it now?

-Carole

On Thu Nov 25 11:17, 'Jones, William V., EMNRD' sent:

Carol:

I would like this much better.

As I understand it, the intended open hole would include the San Andres, Glorieta, Paddock, and Blinebry (or Yeso section)?

And it would exclude the Tubb, Drinkard, Abo, Wolfcamp and the upper Penn formations that were originally proposed?

We still may require some due diligence on the hydrocarbon evaluation of the open hole section and an injection survey (after the well catches some pressure on the surface) $\hat{a} \in \hat{}$ but you may have a mudlog and should have the LAS log files and a log analysis program and injection surveys are not hard to run.

WeR17; Il wait to see what Wesley has to say?

Thanks for this,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2009 10:45 AM
To: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc: 'Wesley Ingram'
Subject: JCT Federal 24 #1

Good Morning. J. Cleo THompson (JCT) has proposed to pull casing on this well and convert the 4500' of open hole to injection. This proposal was met with some hesitation by both NMOCD and BLM. Having regrouped our thoughts and desires, JCT would like to propose that we still cut and pull casing at TOC on the 5-1/2" casing, which is down around 10,000' (CBL). Then we propose to set the required 100' cement plugs every 2,000' from the top of the cut casing to about 7,000' and request an injection interval from the base of the intermediate casing (5145') to the top of the plug. If this proposal sets better with both NMOCD and BLM, please respond back to me what I need to do to move ahead with this new proposal. As always, I can be reached to (432) 550-8887.

Have a great Thanksgiving.

-Carole Stevens

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

This inbound email has been scanned for malicious software and transmitted safely to you using Webroot Email Security.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the Sybari - Antigen Email System.

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. This email has been scanned using Webroot Email Security.

From:	Jones, William V., EMNRD
Sent:	Monday,"April 12, 2010 11:40 AM
To:	'carolestevens@cableone.net'; 'jstevens@jcleo.com'
Cc:	Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD
Subject:	Disposal application from J Cleo Thompson: JCT Federal 24 #1 30-025-38569

Hello Carole: (Resending this - I probably sent to the wrong email...)

Would you send a short discussion of what you folks believe the effect of open hole injection into this well would be on the following three "curious" intervals that Schumberger identified (possible moveable hydrocarbons):

6950 6450 5470

Did this log analysis change your mind about whether to keep to the plan to inject "open hole" or change the plan to cement existing pipe and perforate it for disposal?

1

Has JCT totally given up on any hydrocarbon recovery in this well or from those three intervals in this vicinity?

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From:	Carole Stevens [carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent:	Tuesday, April 13, 2010 10:23 AM
To:	Jones; William; V.; Jones, William V., EMNRD
Subject:	RE: Disposal application from J Cleo Thompson: JCT Federal 24 #1 30-025-38569

Will-

This is from the BOSS's mouth: Despite what the Schlumberger log shows, which always tend to be optimistic (kind of like why the size expense cloths on the small side), JCT does not believe these zones to be productive and there is no commercial production for MILES around us. Our injection will only affect about a 1/2 mile radius around us, which push comes to shove and someone else finds a larger zone, the injection may help by pressuring it up. In conclusion, JCT strongly feels there are no commercial recoverable reserves in these zones.

As always, I appreciate the work you do. Now I also appreciate your tax dollars as well, since this is the first year in many I'M GETTING A RETURN!!!!!

-Carole

On Mon Apr 12 15:30, 'Jones, William V., EMNRD' sent:

ОК

Sorry to bug you folks – it is Monday morning and was going through my pile of correspondence. I have a STACK of pending C-108's that got stranded for some reason or other and this one is very close to resolution.

I hope you make the decision on this one to run pipe and perforate – but understand the cost and the chance it would miss the best disposal interval.

Tonight I will be doing taxes so our government can give some needy "too big to fail" company some more money.

Regards,

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

From: Carole Stevens [mailto:carolestevens@cableone.net]
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2010 4:13 PM
To: Jones; William; V.; Jones, William V., EMNRD
Subject: Re: Disposal application from J Cleo Thompson: JCT Federal 24 #1 30-025-38569

I have received this email - just thought I'd let you know so you don't stay up all night wondering! :-)

I've sent it on to Geology and the boss, will get back to you shortly.

On Mon Apr 12 12:40, 'Jones, William V., EMNRD' sent:

Hello Carole: (Resending this - I probably sent to the wrong email...)

Would you send a short discussion of what you folks believe the effect of open hole injection into this well would be on the following three "curious" intervals that Schumberger identified (possible moveable hydrocarbons):

6950 6450 5470

Did this log analysis change your mind about whether to keep to the plan to inject "open hole" or change the plan to cement existing pipe and perforate it for disposal?

Has JCT totally given up on any hydrocarbon recovery in this well or from those three intervals in this vicinity?

William V. Jones PE New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3448

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the Sybari - Antigen Email System.

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the Sybari - Antigen Email System.

Msg sent via CableONE.net MyMail - http://www.cableone.net

Injection Permit Checklist (8/14/09) Permit Date 4 13/1 QUC Qtr Case CT 24" Felt # Wells 🔟 Well Name: 🗸 Spud Date: 2007 API Num: (30-) 025-38559 New/Old: N (UIC primacy March 7, 1982) Footages 438 FNL/860 FELUTINI ASea 24 TSp 95 Rge 37E County CLEO, THOMPSON JIM STE Operator: \bigcirc , Contact ____RULE 5.9 Compliance (Wells)____ OGRID: (Finan Assur) Operator Address: PO. Box 12577, ODESSA 79768 Current Status of Well: Par Prod Test UTE Pull 4/2010,00 Stplus Planned Work to Well Planned Tubing Size/Depth: Cement Top and Determination Sizes Setting Cement Method Hole... Depths Sx or Cf 123 8 Z 570 500 CIRC Existina Surface 858 145 700 CIRC Existing -Intermediate 4Yz 390 630 Existing Long String 10000 CBL Total Depth 12390 DV Tool Line Open Hole Well File Reviewed After Conversion Elogs in Imaging File: Diagrams: Before Conversion_ Intervals: Depths Producing (Yes/No) Formation Above (Name and Top Above (Name and Top Injection.... 5632 GLARET 1029 PSI Max. WHIP Interval TOP 8168 Injection Interval BOTTOM Open Hole (Y/N) Below (Name and Top) 2 Salt Depths Sensitive Areas: Gapitar Fresh Water: Depths: 0-270 Analysis? 🖌 Wells Disposal Fluid Sources: Deir Analysis? Disposal Interval Production Potential/Testing/Analysis Analysis: Dene____ 406 WITH Notice: Newspaper(Y(N) Surface Owner RULE 26.7(A) Affected Part water. Area of Review: Adequate Map (Y/N) _____and Well List (Y/N) Active Wells _____ Num Repairs _____ Producing in Injection Interval in AOR _ ...P&A Wells 💁 Num Repairs _____ All Wellbore Diagrams Included? ____ Questions to be Answered: OTH Required Work on This Well: Request Sent ____ Reply: AOR Repairs Needed: Request Sent Reply: Request Sent _Reply:

6940

SWD_Checklist.xls/List

in Sayn - SA Ullerfon a zwier NW-

.

gebar well Logi gon Aole

.

Pote New Frank Prom MUDLOS Port ign Surrey

· . .

.

.