## STATE LAND OFFICE

## MEMORANDUM

June 27, 1956

THE CONGINESIONER (For information) TO:

PROM: WILLIAM O. JORDAN, Legal Division

Following Mr. C. D. Fleet's call to the Commissioner this morning, Mrs. Rhea, Mr. Bilberry, Mr. Bowns and myself, along with Mr. Warren Mankin of the OCC, called Mr. Fleet regarding their proposed unitization of leases B-11297 (Penrose lease) and E-5146-1 (Astec Oil Company's lease).

Mr. Fleet stated that at first they were drilling for gas on the Penrose lease and therefore needed a communitization, but they went ahead and drilled the well and it turned out to be an oil well. He stated that they wanted the unitization so they could treat this all as one lease, credit production to one lease, etc.

I told him that I doubted that this office or the OCC could approve a unit under these circumstances where it was not in the interest of conserving oil and gas, and asked him if what he really wanted was to commingle the oil from these two wells, and he said, "Yes, that was actually what they wanted to do."

I told him that Mrs. Crock, as he knew, had already given him a letter authorizing that, and it was agreed at this conference that he would submit one royalty statement listing both leases and the portion to be accredited to each one (all acreage involved in both leases is common school).

Warren Mankin then advised him that, since the Commissioner and both lessees had agreed to the commingling of the oil in a common tank battery, the OCC would approve same and would require the report to be submitted, designated as 1 through 4 wells and designated as 11,297, being Penrose and Aztec. Mr. Fleet's attention was called to the fact that Notice of Intention to Drill on well No. 4 stated that it was being drilled on lease B-11,297, when, in fact, the well is located on lease E-5146-1.

I asked Mr. Fleet what he wanted us to do with the proposed unit agreement which he had submitted -- whether he wanted it returned or filed as a Miscellaneous Instrument, inassuch as neither the Coumissioner nor the OCC would approve same. He requested that his \$10.00 fee be applied to filing this instrument as a Miscellaneous Instrument. He is returning the two copies to us that were formerly returned to him. This should clear the situation up.

cc: OCC, Mrs. Crook, Mrs. Rhes. and Mr. Bilberry

William O. Jordan William O. Jordan

Attorney, Legal Division

BOING CHAI PERIS

## MUGHACO Es

Stell . 78 2006

(contactor tor) HENDISSICATION (For the sector)

solary William . The Mala W see a

Hellewith Mellewith t. D. Fleet's cell to the Countesioner into Acreing. Frs. Rhes, Mr. Filberry, Mr. Found avself, along with Mr. Merren Manuit. of the CCC, sulled Mr. Fleet Lagsoding their proposed unitination of Leases Found? (Merrose lease) and E-Sité-1 (Astac Oil Courteny's Lease).

Veri Place Stated that at first they were drilling for gas in the Periode Lassa and therefore meded a communitization, but they wore sheed and distilled the well and it serned out to be an oil well. He stated that doey worked the unitization so they could treat this all as one lease, could treduction to one reset, atc.

I toud him that Y doubled that this this office or the OCC could sprove a until under these circumstances where it was not in the interest of conservies of and gas, and asked him if what he really wanted was to compingle the our from these two wells, and he said. Tes, that was accually what they wanted to do.

3 sold his that Mrs. Groos, as he may, had siready given him a "utic actorizing that, and it was spreed at this conference that he would worked the royalty statement listing both leases and the portion to be not creduced to each one (all acreage involved in both leases is conson school).

Marren Mannin then sovieed him that, since the Comministoner subnoth leasees had agreed to the commingling of the oil in a common tool retrach, the OCC would approve same and would require the report to be outsitted, designated as i through 4 wells and designated as 11,29%, being matted, it concerts the states the value of the fact the value matted that it use the on well on well is acted that it uses heing drailed on lease below to the fact, the well is located that it uses heing drailed on lease bell interation, when, in they, the well is located on lease 2-jikt 1.

I said Mr. Mieer what he wanted as to do with the proceed and spreasant which he had submitted -- whether he wanted it returned or filed as a Miscelianeous Instrument, inserated as neither the Commissioner nor the CNC would approve same. He requested that his \$10.00 fee be applied to file, a le instrument as Miscelianeous Instrument. We is returning the submotion of the transmist ware formerly recorded to him. This should down the situation of

ae C. C. ara . Sport, Mrs. Etee. an m Billerry

alle age

William (. Jorden Attorney, Legal Division

NEVILLE G. PENROSE, INC. FAIR BUILDING FORT WORTH 2, TEXAS June 6, 1956 1. . New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Attn: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. P. O. Box 871 Secretary Director Santa Fe, New Mexico 12 de Re: Magruder State Lease, SE/4, Section 28, T-20-S, R-36-E, Lea County, New Mexico, E. I Gas Peal New Mexico State Leases B-11297 and E-5146

Gentlemen:

In July 1954, our Magruder #1 was completed as an oil well flowing 46 bbls. of oil in eleven hours. Prior to the commencement of this well, we had anticipated obtaining gas production, and, therefore, had made arrangements to communitize the SE/4 of Section 28 with the Aztec Oil and Gas Company, who at that time were the owners of the State Lease covering the NE/4 SE/4 of Section 28. Because of the proposed communitization, Aztec Oil and Gas bore one-fourth of the cost of drilling this well. Subsequent to the discovery of oil, three additional wells for oil were drilled in all of which Aztec bore their proportionate share of the cost. We have repeatedly attempted to obtain permission to communitize the SE/4 of Section 28, but in a letter dated April 24, 1956, the State Land Office denied our request.

Inasmuch as all production since the completion of the first well has been handled in a common tank battery, and since our request for pooling the acreage has been denied, we respectfully request an exception to Rule 309-A, in order that production from the four wells on this lease may be commingled and produced into common tankage. In support of this request, the following is offered:

- 1. Both State Leases are dedicated to Neville G. Penrose, Inc., Aztec Oil and Gas, Broseco Corporation and John B. Rich.
- 2. The wells are producing from a common source of supply.
- 3. No more than the four wells now producing will be completed on this lease.
- 4. All owners of adjoining oil and gas leases have been notified of our intention to request this exception as noted by copy of registered letter attached.
- 5. A letter from the State Land Commissioner approving the commingling of oil from the two separate State Lease is attached.

Very truly yours,

John P. McNaughton

1 augh

If there are no objections to this request within thirty days, we will appreciate your approving our application for exception to Rule 309-A.

JPM:gc cc: Aztec Oil & Gas Company Dallas, Texas **NEVILLE G. PENROSE, INC.** 

1815 FAIR BUILDING FORT WORTH 2, TEXAS

June 6, 1956

Amerada Petroleum Corporation Bax 312 Midland, Texas Wilson Oli Company Evalue, New Mexico

Two States Old Company Hobbs, New Nexico

Aztec (11 & Gas Company 920 Mercantile Securities Building Dallas, Tenas

Charm Oll Company Artesia, New Maxica

Re: Magnuder State Leave, SE/4, Section 20, 1-20-5, A-36-E, Les Causty, New Mexico -- Distriction Loston B-11297 and E-5146

Cent lemon

This latter will serve as notice that Newlife G. Paerose, inc. is regenering as exception to Rule 30P-A, la order to permit the production of all and gen from the two State Lauses mentioned above into common tankage.

Armission to commingle this oil has been granted by the State Lond Office, as evidenced by capy of their June 1st letter attached. If there are objections to this request, please advice.

Very truly your John F. McNovghton

IPM-gc Enclosure

Registered Mail -- Return Receipt Requested

√ ce: New Mexico Cil Construction Constision P. O. Box 871 Santa Fa, New Mexico Martin Constant COC

Aztec Oil & Gas Company

920 Mercantile Securities Building Dallas 1, Texas

QUILMAN B. DAVIS SECRETARY AND GENERAL ATTORNEY

June 8, 1956

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary-Director

> Re: Magruder State Lease SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Sec. 28, T-20-S, R-36-E, Lea County, N.M., New Mexico State Leases B-11297 and E-5146

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to application dated June 6, 1956, by Neville G. Penrose, Inc. for approval of a common tank battery for the oil production from the  $SE_{\mu}^{1}$  of Section 28.

We, as non-operator and an undivided one-fourth owner of the production of oil from the  $SE_4^1$  of Section 28, concur in the above mentioned application and recommend its approval by the Commission.

With thanks, I am

Yours very truly,

QBD:NL cc - Mr. John P. McNaughton Neville G. Penrose, Inc.



June 1, 1956

Neville G. Penrose, Inc. Fair Bldg. Ft. Worth 2, Texas

Gentlemen:

Permission is hereby granted to commingle oil produced from wells located in SE/4 of Section 28 T-20-S, R-36-E, Lea County, New Mexico, State Leases B-11297 and E-5146. This acreage in both leases will be credited to Common Schools.

When reporting production on our Production and Royalty Statements Form SLO-111-A please combine production on the SLO showing both lease numbers.

Sincerely yours,

1 weeker

E. S.WALKER COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS

LC:ESW:mb

cc: Oil Conservation Commission